# Preparation and Characterization of Two Triphenylphosphineiron Carbonyl Complexes: X-Ray Crystallographic Studies of $(1-4-\eta-5-exo-Benzylcyclopenta-1,3-diene)dicarbonyl(triphenylphosphine)iron(0), (1), and Dicarbonyl(<math>\eta$ -cyclopentadienyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron( $\parallel$ ) 1,1,2,3,3-Pentacyanopropenide, (2)

By George A. Sim • and David I. Woodhouse, Chemistry Department, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 800

Graham R. Knox, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL

The complex  $[Fe(CH_2Ph)(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2]$  undergoes a photochemical reaction with PPh<sub>3</sub> to yield (1), which has been treated with tetracyanoethylene to yield (2). The products (1) and (2) have been identified and characterized by X-ray crystallography.

THE complex  $[Fe(CH_2Ph)(\eta-C_5H_5)(CO)_2]$  undergoes a photochemical reaction with triphenylphosphine in benzene; the structure of the adduct was not uniquely defined by spectroscopic and chemical investigations but it appeared that the complex might be  $[Fe(CH_2Ph)-(\eta^3-C_5H_5)(CO)_2(PPh_3)]$ . The adduct was subsequently treated with tetracyanoethylene and a new product obtained in small yield.

X-Ray analyses of the triphenylphosphine adduct and its reaction product were undertaken to elucidate their molecular structures. These studies established that the photochemical reaction had resulted in the formation of  $(1-4-\eta-5-exo-benzylcyclopenta-1,3-diene)dicarbonyl-$ (triphenylphosphine)iron, (1), and that the subsequentreaction between this complex and tetracyanoethylene $had produced the ionic complex dicarbonyl(<math>\eta$ -cyclopentadienyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron 1,1,2,3,3-pentacyanopropenide, (2).

### EXPERIMENTAL

Crystal Data.—(1),  $C_{32}H_{27}FeO_2P$ , M = 530.1, Monoclinic, a = 17.318(6), b = 11.687(4), c = 26.811(6) Å,  $\beta = 102^{\circ}$  18(1)', U = 5 302 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_m = 1.33$ , Z = 8,  $D_c = 1.328$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, space group  $P2_1/c$  ( $C_{2\hbar}^5$ ), F(000) = 2 208,  $\mu$ (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ ) = 6.74 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

(2),  $C_{33}H_{20}$ FeN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>P, M = 605.2, Triclinic, a = 11.812(3), b = 15.913(5), c = 8.873(2) Å,  $\alpha = 95^{\circ} 5(1)'$ ,  $\beta = 104^{\circ} 57(1)'$ ,  $\gamma = 110^{\circ} 24(1)'$ , U = 1 481 Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_m = 1.345$ , Z = 2,  $D_c = 1.357$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, space group *P*I (*C*<sup>1</sup>), *F*(000) = 620,  $\mu$ (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ ) = 6.84 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Crystallographic Measurements.—The cell dimensions were obtained from a least-squares analysis of the  $\theta$ ,  $\chi$ , and  $\phi$ setting angles of X-ray reflections measured on a Hilger and Watts automatic diffractometer with Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. The intensity measurements were made by the  $2\theta$ — $\omega$  stepscan procedure, with Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation. For complex (1), 10 421 independent reflections were surveyed in the range  $\theta \leq 26^{\circ}$  and 6 517 with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  were retained for the structure analysis. The crystals of complex (2) were rather small and gave weaker intensities; here 3 156 reflections with  $I > 3\sigma(I)$  were obtained.

Structure Analyses.—Complex (1). There are two crystallographically unrelated molecules in the asymmetric unit. The co-ordinates of the Fe and P atoms were deduced from a Patterson synthesis and an electron-density distribution phased by those atoms disclosed the carbon and oxygen atoms in both molecules. Three cycles of block-diagonal adjustment of co-ordinates and isotropic thermal parameters gave R = 0.102 and after assignment of anisotropic thermal parameters three further cycles of calculations reduced R to 0.075. A difference electron-density distribution revealed the positions of all the hydrogen atoms, with an average peak height of 0.47 e Å<sup>-3</sup>, and these atoms were included in the subsequent structure-factor calculations with isotropic



FIGURE 1 Outline of the thermal ellipsoids (50% probability level) in the anion of complex (2) before the disorder model was adopted and, superimposed, the two orientations of the 1,1,2,3,3-pentacyanopropenide anion after least-squares refinement

thermal parameters based on the parameters of the adjacent carbon atoms. After three rounds of least-squares calculations, convergence was reached at R = 0.058. Atomic scattering factors, including dispersion corrections, were taken from ref. 1. The weighting scheme adopted was w = XY, where X = 1 for  $\sin\theta > 0.3$ ,  $(\sin\theta)/0.3$  for  $\sin\theta < 0.3$ , Y = 1 for  $|F_0| < 110$  or  $110/|F_0|$  for  $|F_0| > 110$ . The calculations were performed on the Univac 1108 computer at East Kilbride, using the 'X-Ray' system of programs.<sup>2</sup>

Complex (2). Co-ordinates for the Fe and P atoms were deduced from a Patterson synthesis. A series of electrondensity calculations gave peaks consistent with a dicarbonyl( $\eta$ -cyclopentadienyl)(triphenylphosphine)iron cation,

least-squares calculations; a difference synthesis was obtained after each calculation and additional peaks selected

but another group of peaks could not be interpreted sensibly in terms of an ordered anion, although it was evident that



FIGURE 2 The two crystallographically independent molecules of complex (1) each viewed in projection onto the butadiene plane



FIGURE 3 The crystal structure of (1) viewed along the b axis

the anion contained several linear fragments, presumably C-C=N. After initial refinement of the positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of only the atoms in the cation, the anion peaks were also included by stages in the series of

for inclusion in the subsequent least-squares refinement. When the calculations had reached R = 0.059 all the anion peaks had been incorporated and a plot of the thermal ellipsoids of these peaks could then be explained by the

superimposition of two orientations of a 1,1,2,3,3-pentacyanopropenide anion,  $[(NC)_2CC(CN)C(CN)_2]^-$  (see Figure 1). Examination of the peak heights in the previous difference maps and comparison of the anisotropic thermal parameters associated with the peaks indicated that both orientations of the anion are equally populated; accordingly, each atom in the disordered model was assigned a population parameter of 0.5 and subsequent least-squares calculations based on this model converged at R = 0.055. The hydrogen atoms of the cation were included in the structure-factor calculations but their parameters were not adjusted. Atomic scattering factors, including dispersion corrections, were taken from ref. 1. The weighting scheme was w = 1 for  $|F_0| < 10$  or  $10/|F_0|$  for  $|F_0| > 10$ . The calculations were performed on the KDF9 computer at Glasgow University and subsequently on the IBM370/155 computer at the Edinburgh Regional Computer Centre.



FIGURE 4 The cation of (2) viewed along the normal to the  $\eta$ -cyclopentadienyl ring

A subsequent chemical confirmation of the identity of complex (2) was obtained by its direct synthesis using previously reported preparations of the cation  $^3$  and anion.<sup>4</sup>

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Views of the molecular and crystal structures of the complexes are given in Figures 2-5. The atomic coordinates, bond lengths, bond angles, displacements from various planes, and intermolecular contacts are listed in Tables 1-5 for complex (1) and Tables 6-10 for complex (2). The thermal parameters of the atoms and lists of calculated and observed structure amplitudes are included in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 22441 (17 pp.).\*

The crystal structure of complex (1) contains two crystallographically unrelated molecules; both are of *exo* rather than *endo* stereochemistry, indicating that the migration of the benzyl group from the iron atom occurred by an intermolecular, and not an intramolecular, mechanism.

\* For details see Notices to Authors No. 7, J.C.S. Dalton, 1978, Index issue.

#### Fractional atomic co-ordinates, with standard deviations in parentheses for complex (1)

	in parentnese	s, for complex $(1)$	)
Atom	X	Y	Ζ
$F_{e}(1)$	0 343 86(4)	0 336 64(6)	0.503.11(3)
$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{I})$	0.343.55(7)	0.00004(0) 0.97151(11)	0.493 89(5)
$\Gamma(1)$	0.324.00(7)	0.27101(11) 0.9519(5)	0.423 85(5)
C(1)	0.3701(3)	0.2012(0)	0.597 5(2)
C(2)	0.3407(3)	0.180 3(0)	0.3472(2)
C(3)	0.268 3(3)	$0.216\ 2(5)$	0.5227(2)
C(4)	0.254 9(3)	$0.325 \ 1(5)$	$0.542 \ 3(2)$
C(5)	$0.325\ 2(3)$	0.358 6(5)	0.5775(2)
C(6)	$0.343\ 0(4)$	0.1924(6)	$0.643\ 0(2)$
C(7)	0.3525(4)	$0.273 \ 4(5)$	$0.688 \ 4(2)$
C(8)	$0.424\ 6(4)$	$0.288\ 7(6)$	$0.721 \ 4(2)$
C(9)	$0.432 \ 9(5)$	0.364 0(8)	$0.762 \ 3(3)$
C(10)	0.367 9(5)	$0.423\ 5(7)$	0.770 3(3)
C(11)	$0.296\ 8(5)$	0.408 9(8)	0.7380(3)
C(12)	$0.286\ 8(4)$	$0.333\ 3(7)$	$0.696\ 3(3)$
C(13)	$0.359\ 5(3)$	$0.362\ 3(4)$	$0.376\ 9(2)$
C(14)	0.419 7(3)	0.439 8(5)	$0.393 \ 9(2)$
C(15)	0.447.7(4)	0.509 5(6)	0.358 8(3)
C(16)	0.415 8(4)	0.500 1(6)	0.307 6(3)
Č(17)	0.356.3(4)	0.422.9(5)	0.2910(2)
Č(18)	0.328.3(4)	0 353 3(5)	0.325.0(2)
C(19)	0 220 8(3)	0.2437(7)	0.391.5(2)
C(20)	0 162 8(3)	0.2101(5)	0.0010(2)
	0.102 0(3)	0.907 0(6)	0.380.0(2)
C(21)	0.064 2(4)	0.2370(0)	0.360 5(3)
C(22)	0.004 0(4)	0.217 1(0) 0.150 2(6)	0.341 0(3)
C(23)	0.1212(4)	0.1303(0)	0.328 0(3)
C(24)	0.199 5(4)	0.103 2(9)	0.3530(2)
C(25)	0.3741(3)	0.1348(4)	$0.418 \ 5(2)$
C(26)	0.4484(3)	0.1344(5)	0.4067(2)
C(27)	0.4875(4)	$0.031 \ 3(6)$	0.405 9(3)
C(28)	$0.454\ 3(4)$	-0.070 6(6)	0.4173(3)
C(29)	0.380 8(4)	-0.0694(5)	$0.429 \ 1(3)$
C(30)	$0.341 \ 3(3)$	$0.033\ 0(5)$	$0.430 \ 4(2)$
C(31)	$0.448\ 2(3)$	$0.340 \ 4(5)$	$0.515\ 6(2)$
O(32)	$0.515 \ 9(2)$	$0.341\ 7(4)$	$0.524 \ 3(2)$
C(33)	$0.320\ 6(3)$	$0.478\ 1(5)$	$0.482 \ 3(2)$
O(34)	0.306 1(3)	0.570 9(4)	$0.470\ 3(2)$
Fe(101)	$0.928 \ 91(4)$	0.241 83(6)	$0.534 \ 41(3)$
P(101)	$0.974 \ 05(7)$	$0.265\ 54(11)$	$0.617\ 56(5)$
C(101)	$0.782 \ 8(3)$	0.231 5(5)	$0.478\ 3(2)$
C(102)	$0.808 \ 9(3)$	$0.205\ 5(5)$	$0.535\ 2(2)$
C(103)	$0.856\ 6(3)$	$0.105\ 5(5)$	0.5418(2)
C(104)	0.887 0(3)	0.094 3(5)	0.497 0(2)
C(105)	0.857 9(3)	$0.187 \ 1(5)$	$0.464\ 7(2)$
C(106)	0.706 0(3)	0.170 2(6)	$0.452 \ 0(2)$
C(107)	0.681 6(3)	0.203 6(5)	0.396 8(2)
C(108)	0.640 4(4)	0.305 7(6)	0.382 9(2)
C(109)	0.622 9(4)	0.3421(8)	0.3324(3)
C(110)	0.645 9(4)	$0.277 \ \overline{2}(7)$	$0.295 \ 3(2)$
cinií	0.6849(4)	$0.176\ 5(7)$	$0.308\ 2(2)$
C(112)	0.702.7(4)	0.1394(5)	0.3580(2)
Č(113)	1.031 3(3)	0.3959(5)	0.637.5(2)
C(114)	1.028 0(3)	0.454 5(5)	0.682 6(2)
CIII5	1.025 0(0) 1.075 4(4)	0.549.2(5)	0.602 0(2)
C(116)	1 126 5(4)	0 588 7(6)	0 667 6(3)
C(117)	1.1200(4) 1 1900(4)	0.5337(0)	0.607 0(3)
	1.120 0(4)	0.436 4(5)	0.022 3(3)
C(110)	1.082 8(3)	0.450 4(5)	0.007.8(2)
C(119)	1.0300(3) 1.0555(4)	0.1007(0)	0.0000(2)
C(120)	1.0000(4)	0.0007(0)	$0.031 \theta(2)$
C(121)	1.1014(0) 1 100 0(4)	-0.027 2(0)	0.0007(3)
C(122)	1.129 0(4)	-0.0134(7)	0.712 1(3)
C(123)	· 1.110 9(4)	0.085 0(6)	0.735 8(2)
C(124)	1.000 1(4)	0.108 4(5)	0.707 6(2)
C(125)	0.890 3(3)	0.275 9(4)	0.049 0(2)
C(126)	0.843 7(3)	0.374 0(5)	$0.642\ 0(2)$
C(127)	$0.771 \ 8(3)$	$0.375\ 1(6)$	$0.657\ 0(2)$
C(128)	$0.745\ 5(4)$	0.279 3(6)	0.677 9(2)
C(129)	$0.791\ 2(4)$	$0.182 \ 3(6)$	$0.685\ 1(3)$
C(130)	0.863 9(3)	0.179 1(5)	$0.670\ 7(2)$
C(131)	0.912 7(3)	0.389 9(5)	$0.521\ 4(2)$
O(132)	0.901 5(3)	$0.485\ 2(4)$	-0.512 9(2)
C(133)	1.022 6(3)	$0.221\ 0(5)$	$0.519\ 5(2)$
O(134)	1.080 6(3)	0.206 3(5)	0.507 2(2)

The iron atom in complex (1) is bound to atoms C(2)—C(5) of the butadiene chain in the 5-exo-benzyl-

cyclopenta-1,3-diene ligand. The Fe-C(2,5) distances [2.123(6), 2.102(6) Å] in molecule A and [2.126(5), 2.106(5) Å] in molecule B are significantly longer than the Fe-C(3,4) distances [2.065(6), 2.046(6) Å] in molecule A and [2.062(6), 2.049(6) Å] in molecule B, the mean values of 2.114 (terminal) and 2.056 Å (central) being comparable with values in other butadieneiron carbonyl complexes, *e.g.* 2.124 and 2.052 Å in tricarbonyl(*N*-ethoxycarbonyl-3-formylazepine)iron <sup>5</sup> and 2.131 and 2.050 Å in tricarbonyl(sorbic acid)iron.<sup>6</sup> The Fe-C(1) separation is distinctly longer than these bonded

The C-C bond lengths in the  $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> ring lie in the range 1.392-1.413 Å (mean 1.402 Å).

The Fe-P bond in complex (1) [2.213(2), 2.216(2) Å] is slightly, but significantly, shorter than that in complex (2) [2.240(1) Å]. The Fe-CO bonds have a mean length of 1.768(3) Å in (1) and 1.776(4) Å in (2), while the C-O bonds have a mean length of 1.144(4) Å in (1) and 1.134(6) Å in (2). Since the metal atom in complex (1) is formally Fe<sup>0</sup>, whereas that in complex (2) is formally Fe<sup>II</sup>, slight differences in metal-ligand distances are not unexpected. The various small differences are consistent with  $d_{\pi} \rightarrow d_{\pi}$ 



0 1 2 3 Å Fe P 0 C

FIGURE 5 The crystal structure of (2) viewed along the c axis.

For clarity, only the outlines of the disordered anions are shown

distances, viz. 2.664(6) Å in molecule A and 2.655(6) Å in molecule B, and the dihedral angle between the butadiene plane and the C(2),C(1),C(5) plane is 34.7° in molecule A and 32.9° in molecule B. These angles are similar to angles of  $36.5^{\circ}$  in  $[Co(\eta-C_5H_5)(\eta^4-C_5H_5Ph)]$ ,<sup>7</sup> 32.3° in  $[Co(\eta-C_5H_5)\{\eta^4-(CF_3)_4C_4PO(OH)\}]$ ,<sup>8</sup> and 31.1° in  $[Mn-(CO)_3\{\eta^4-(CF_3)_4C_4SC_6F_5\}]$ .<sup>8</sup> The bond lengths within the butadiene fragments (1.412—1.425 Å) show little variation between central and terminal bonds and are appreciably shorter than the C(1)-C(2) and C(1)-C(5) distances (1.513—1.527, mean 1.521 Å).

The Fe-C(cyclopentadienyl) distances in complex (2) range from 2.085(7) to 2.102(5) Å (mean 2.094 Å) in excellent agreement with distances of ca. 2.10 Å in various  $\eta$ -cyclopentadienyliron carbonyl complexes.<sup>9</sup>

and  $d_{\pi} \rightarrow p_{\pi}$  back donation to  $\pi$ -acceptor ligands being greater in the neutral iron(0) complex (1) than in the charged iron(11) complex (2). The Fe-C-O angles in the complexes are 176.1—179.4° (mean 178.1°).

The P-C bond lengths in (1) range from 1.831(6) to 1.850(5) Å (mean 1.838 Å) while those in (2) range from 1.810(5) to 1.821(5) Å (mean 1.817 Å). The C-P-C angles in (1) have a mean value of 102.9°, and those in (2) have a mean value of 104.8°. These angles suggest that the P-C bonds have more s character in (2) than in (1), in accord with the P-C bond lengths, and this in turn requires that the phosphorus orbital directed towards the metal atom has less s character in the complex (2) than in (1), a deduction that is consistent with the relative electronegativities of Fe<sup>II</sup> and Fe<sup>0</sup>. This hybridization

effect could also contribute to the difference between the Fe-P bond lengths in (1) and (2).

The C-C bonds in the triphenylphosphine ligands have

### TABLE 2

Bond lengths (Å), with standard deviations in parentheses, for complex (1)

	Mole	cule
	A	B*
Fe(1) - P(1)	2.213(2)	2.216(2)
Fe(1) - C(31)	1.767(5)	1.775(6)
Fe(1) - C(33)	1.763(6)	1.768(6)
C(31) - O(32)	1.148(7)	1.145(7)
C(33) - O(34)	1.145(7)	1.137(8)
Fe(1) - C(2)	2.123(6)	2.126(5)
Fe(1)-C(3)	2.065(6)	2.062(6)
Fe(1) - C(4)	2.046(6)	2.049(6)
Fe(1) - C(5)	2.102(6)	2.106(5)
C(1) - C(2)'	1.527(7)	1.526(7)
C(2) - C(3)	1.425(7)	1.419(8)
C(3) - C(4)	1.414(8)	1.417(9)
C(4) - C(5)	1.427(7)	1.412(8)
C(5) - C(1)	1.513(8)	1.516(8)
C(1) - C(6)	1.562(9)	1.543(8)
C(6) - C(7)	1.521(8)	1.504(8)
C(7) - C(8)	1.381(8)	1.399(9)
C(8) - C(9)	1.388(10)	1.390(10)
C(9) - C(10)	1.379(12)	1.374(11)
C(10) - C(11)	1 355(11)	1.364(11)
C(11) - C(12)	1.406(11)	1.374(9)
C(12) - C(7)	1 390(10)	1 393(9)
P(1) - C(13)	1 844(6)	1.835(5)
P(1) - C(19)	1 850(5)	1.834(5)
P(1) - C(25)	1 833(5)	1.831(6)
C(13) - C(14)	1.384(8)	1401(8)
C(14) - C(15)	1.409(10)	1 383(8)
C(15) - C(16)	1.368(9)	1.384(10)
C(16) - C(17)	1.370(9)	1.385(10)
C(17) - C(18)	1 386(9)	1 388(9)
C(18) - C(13)	1.386(7)	1 398(9)
C(19) - C(20)	1 388(8)	1 384(8)
C(20) - C(21)	1 392(8)	1 389(9)
C(21) - C(22)	1 392(10)	1 369(10)
C(22) - C(23)	1 368(10)	1 381(10)
C(23) - C(24)	1 384(9)	1 376(9)
C(24) - C(19)	1.388(8)	1.070(3) 1.406(7)
C(25) - C(26)	1 390(8)	1 301(7)
C(26) - C(27)	1 385(9)	1 380(0)
C(27) - C(28)	1.385(10)	1 371(10)
C(28) = C(20)	1.365(10)	1.071(10)
C(20) = C(20)	1 389(0)	1 202(0)
C(20) = C(30)	1.002(8)	1.090(9)
C(30)C(23)	1.909(8)	1.393(8)

\* Molecule A corresponds to atoms Fe(1), P(1), C(1), etc., molecule B to atoms Fe(101), P(101), etc.

a mean length of 1.385 Å in (1) and 1.381 Å in (2). Similar contractions from the spectroscopic value of 1.397 Å for benzene have been noted in other complexes and attributed to thermal motion. The phenyl rings

pplexes deviations ranging from 0.01 to 0.36 Å in (1) and from 1 rings 0.01 to 0.12 Å in (2). The two crystallographically

TABLE 4

Displacements (Å) of atoms from various planes in complex (1). italicized

- Plane (a): C(2) -0.00, C(3) 0.01, C(4) -0.01, C(5) 0.00, C(1) -0.58, Fe(1) 1.72
- Plane (b): C(7) 0.00, C(8) -0.00, C(9) 0.00, C(10) -0.00, C(11) 0.00, C(12) -0.00, C(6) 0.02
- Plane (c): C(13) -0.01, C(14) 0.00, C(15) 0.00, C(16) 0.00, C(17) -0.00, C(18) 0.01, P(1) 0.01
- Plane (d): C(19) 0.01, C(20) -0.01, C(21) -0.00, C(22) 0.01, C(23) -0.01, C(24) -0.00, P(1) 0.10
- Plane (e): C(25) 0.01, C(26) -0.00, C(27) 0.00, C(28) -0.00, C(29) 0.01, C(30) -0.01, P(1) -0.11

). Atoms not included in the derivation of a plane are ed

Plane (f): C(102) -0.00, C(103) 0.00, C(104) -0.00, C(105) 0.00, C(101) -0.56, Fe(101) 1.73

Plane (g): C(107) 0.01, C(108) -0.01, C(109) -0.00, C(110) 0.01, C(111) -0.00, C(112) -0.00, C(106) 0.12

Plane (h): C(113) 0.00, C(114) -0.00, C(115) 0.00, C(116) 0.00, C(117) 0.00, C(118) -0.00, P(101) 0.09

- Plane (i): C(119) 0.00, C(120) -0.00, C(121) 0.00, C(122) 0.00, C(123) -0.00, C(124) 0.00, P(101) 0.04
- Plane (*j*): C(125) 0.00, C(126) -0.00, C(127) 0.01, C(128) -0.01, C(129) 0.00, C(130) 0.00, P(101) -0.36

are accurately planar, but the phosphorus atoms are appreciably displaced from some of the ring planes, the

Molecule

T.	ABL	.е 3	
		-	

Bond angles (°), with standard deviations in parentheses, for complex (1)

	A	В
P(1) - Fe(1) - C(31)	97.5(2)	95.8(2)
P(1) - Fe(1) - C(33)	92.4(2)	94.7(2)
C(31) - Fe(1) - C(33)	101.1(3)	101.8(3)
Fe(1) - C(31) - O(32)	179.0(5)	179.4(5)
Fe(1) - C(33) - O(34)	178.0(5)	176.1(5)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	109.0(5)	109.2(5)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	105.8(4)	106.4(5)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	108.0(5)	107.5(5)
C(4) - C(5) - C(1)	107.9(5)	109.2(5)
C(5)-C(1)-C(2)	95.1(4)	94.9(4)
C(5) - C(1) - C(6)	115.0(5)	115.6(5)
C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	113.6(5)	114.0(5)
C(1) - C(6) - C(7)	110.7(5)	111.3(5)
C(6) - C(7) - C(8)	121.5(6)	120.2(6)
C(6) - C(7) - C(2)	118.9(5)	122.2(5)
C(12) - C(7) - C(8)	119.0(6)	117.5(5)
C(7) = C(8) = C(9)	121.0(7)	120.9(7)
C(8) = C(9) = C(10)	119.0(0)	119.9(7)
C(9) = C(10) = C(11)	119.8(7)	119.8(7)
C(10) = C(11) = C(12) C(11) = C(12) = C(7)	121.9(8)	121.0(7) 191.0(2)
$E_{11} = C_{12} = C_{13}$	116.1(0)	116 7 (9)
$F_{0}(1) - P(1) - C(10)$	116.3(2)	110.7(2) 190.9(9)
Fe(1) - P(1) - C(25)	113.5(2)	120.2(2) 109 1(2)
C(13) - P(1) - C(19)	102.0(2)	103.1(2) 101.7(2)
C(13) - P(1) - C(25)	102.7(2)	104.1(3)
C(19) - P(1) - C(25)	103.5(2)	103.2(2)
P(1) - C(13) - C(14)	119.1(4)	122.5(4)
P(1) - C(13) - C(18)	121.8(4)	119.1 <b>(</b> 4)
$C(18) \rightarrow C(13) \rightarrow C(14)$	119.1(5)	118.4(5)
C(13) - C(14) - C(15)	120.1(5)	120.1(6)
C(14) - C(15) - C(16)	120.1(6)	121.4(6)
C(15)-C(16)-C(17)	119.6(7)	119.0(6)
C(16)-C(17)-C(18)	121.1(5)	120.4(7)
C(17)-C(18)-C(13)	120.0(5)	120.8(6)
P(1) - C(19) - C(20)	117.3(4)	120.6(4)
P(1) - C(19) - C(24)	123.1(4)	121.2(4)
C(24) - C(19) - C(20)	119.6(5)	118.2(5)
C(19) = C(20) = C(21)	119.7(5)	120.2(5)
C(20) - C(21) - C(22)	120.1(6)	120.8(7)
C(21) = C(22) = C(23)	120.0(6)	119.8(7)
C(22) - C(23) - C(24) C(22) - C(24) - C(10)	120.3(0) 120.4(6)	119.9(0)
D(1) = C(25) = C(25) = C(26)	120.4(0) 110 5(4)	121.1(0) 110.0(4)
P(1) - C(25) - C(30)	120.6(4)	190 1(4)
$C(30) \rightarrow C(25) \rightarrow C(26)$	119.6(4)	120.1(4) 119 5(5)
C(25) - C(26) - C(27)	119.2(6)	119 9(5)
C(26) - C(27) - C(28)	121.1(7)	120.5(6)
C(27) - C(28) - C(29)	119.4(6)	120.0(6)
C(28)-C(29)-C(30)	120.1(6)	120.7(7)
C(29)-C(30)-C(25)	120.6(6)	119.4(6)

Intermolecular distances (< 3.6 Å) for complex (1)

	•	• – •	•
$O(134) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(3^{I})$	3.19	$O(134) \cdots C(20^{I})$	3.54
$O(32) \cdots O(34^{11})$	3.22	$O(134) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(211)$	3.56
$O(34) \cdots C(101^{11})$	3.24	$O(134) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(104^{VI})$	3.56
$O(34) \cdots O(102^{11})$	3.27	$O(32) \cdots C(3311)$	3.56
$O(134) \cdot \cdot \cdot \dot{C}(41)$	3.27	$O(132) \cdots C(11711)$	3.57
$O(32) \cdots C(14^{11})$	3.40	$O(132) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(4^{II})$	3.57
$O(132) \cdots \dot{C}(11811)$	3.43	$O(132) \cdots C(20^{11})$	3.57
$O(34) \cdots C(127^{II})$	3.45	$C(7) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(17^{VII})$	3.57
$C(17) \cdots C(8^{IV})$	3.45	$C(21) \cdots C(115^{11})$	3.58
$O(32) \cdots C(28^{\circ})$	3.52	$O(34) \cdots C(126\pi)$	3.59
$O(32) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(151)$	3.52		

The superscripts define the following transformations of the atomic co-ordinates:

I 1 + x, y, z	$V \ 1 - x, -y, 1 - z$
II $1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$	VI $2 - x_1 - y_1 - z_1$
III $2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$	VII $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$
IV $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$	

### TABLE 6

Fractional atomic co-ordinates, with standard deviations in parentheses, for complex (2)

	17			- Ē
Atom	X	Ŷ	Z	- F.
(i) The c	ation			
Fo	0 590 90/6)	0 721 85/4	0 117 52(0)	č
Te D	0.380 20(0)	0.731 00(4)	$0.117 \ 0.0(9)$	
	$0.711 \pm 0(10)$	0.043 12(1) 0.605 $4(4)$	0.03412(14)	
C(1)	0.00000(7)	0.0004(4)	0.1001(9)	č
C(2)	0.0421(0)	0.024.0(4)	0.090 3(9)	
C(3)	0.3772(0)	0.031.8(4)	-0.055 2(8)	P <sup>*</sup>
C(4)	0.431 8(0)	0.0170(4)	-0.0000(9)	- P
C(b)	0.439 5(5)	0.002 2(4)	0.088 Z(10)	P
	0.765 5(4)	0.903 0(3)	0.100 0(0)	
C(7)	0.079 3(5)	0.996 2(4)	0.1077(8)	C
C(8)	$0.712 \ 6(8)$	$1.077 \ Z(4)$	0.203 0(10)	č
C(9)	0.831 2(9)	1.1104(4)	0.370 9(8)	č
C(10)	0.913 8(8)	1.0744(4)	0.3820(7)	č
$C(\Pi)$	0.8848(5)	0.994 4(4)	0.280 6(6)	č
C(12)	0.8517(4)	0.822 1(3)	0.026 2(6)	č
C(13)	0.923 2(4)	0.804 0(3)	0.101 4(0)	č
C(14)	1.028 0(5)	0.783 9(4)	$0.158\ 2(7)$	Č
C(15)	1.0594(5)	$0.779 \ 1(5)$	0.022 9(9)	
C(16)	0.987 2(7)	$0.793\ 5(5)$	-0.1146(9)	
C(17)	0.883 6(6)	0.816.6(4)	-0.1118(7)	2
C(18)	$0.650 \ 8(4)$	0.870 0(3)	-0.1573(6)	
C(19)	$0.716\ 2(6)$	$0.952\ 5(4)$	-0.1930(7)	U
C(20)	$0.669\ 2(8)$	$0.974 \ 3(5)$	-0.3400(8)	
C(21)	$0.560 \ 8(7)$	$0.913 \ 3(5)$	-0.4505(7)	
C(22)	$0.496\ 9(6)$	$0.833\ 2(5)$	-0.4177(7)	
C(23)	0.539 5(5)	$0.808 \ 9(4)$	$-0.270\ 2(6)$	
C(24)	$0.671\ 0(5)$	$0.783\ 7(3)$	0.3194(7)	
O(25)	$0.729\ 2(4)$	$0.814\ 1(3)$	$0.448\ 2(5)$	
C(26)	$0.471\ 3(4)$	0.786 7(4)	0.102 5(7)	
O(27)	0.399 7(4)	$0.820\ 3(3)$	$0.094\ 2(7)$	р
(ii) The	anion *			P.
C(31)	1.199.6(25)	$0.483 \ 8(15)$	0.4356(23)	C
$\tilde{C}(\tilde{3}\tilde{2})$	1,107,6(13)	0.5056(9)	0.3336(14)	F
$\tilde{C}(33)$	0.9727(12)	0.467.6(8)	$0.257\ 7(15)$	$\mathbf{F}$
C(34)	1 141 2(16)	0.390.0(10)	0.488(18)	$\bar{\mathbf{P}}$
N(34)	11230(18)	0.3176(13)	0.5339(22)	$\bar{\mathbf{P}}$
C(35)	1.3206(31)	$0.525\ 5(19)$	$0.475 \ 8(35)$	$\mathbf{P}$
N(35)	1.4151(24)	0.5694(17)	$0.511 \ 9(31)$	P
C(36)	1 164 5(14)	0.5937(12)	0.283 2(19)	$\mathbf{P}$
N(36)	1.2350(14)	$0.663 \ 3(11)$	0.2374(18)	Ĉ
C(37)	0.917.7(15)	0.5116(12)	0.1613(20)	Ċ
N(37)	0.850.7(16)	0.5368(11)	$0.079\ 2(21)$	Ċ
C(38)	0.892 2(25)	$0.382\ 2(18)$	0.2981(29)	Č
N(38)	0.813.0(19)	0.330.5(14)	0.316.4(26)	Č
C(31')	1 150 1(14)	0.457.5(10)	0.428.5(15)	Ē
C(32')	10514(15)	0.469.7(10)	0.3251(16)	F
C(33')	10517(11)	0 540 6(8)	0.2485(14)	F
C(34')	12832(23)	0.5229(13)	$0.485\ 2(23)$	Ē
N(34')	1.381 5(31)	0.561.5(20)	$0.543 \ 3(25)$	č
C(35')	1.103 2(21)	0.3724(15)	0.494 8(25)	Č
N(35')	1.095 0(17)	0.321 6(12)	0.558 9(21)	$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$
C(36')	0.9131(22)	0.395 9(16)	0.2848(29)	$\mathbf{P}$
• /		<b>``</b>	· /	

	TABLE 6	(Continued)	
Atom	X	Y	Z
(ii) The anio	n		
N(36')	0.816 8(18)	0.3314(13)	0.261 9(23)
C(37')	$0.934\ 3(15)$	$0.532\ 7(11)$	0.129 5(19)
N(37')	$0.861 \ 3(12)$	0.539 6(8)	$0.029\ 0(17)$
C(38')	1.173 0(16)	$0.622 \ 0(14)$	$0.264\ 5(19)$
N(38')	1.232 8(14)	$0.682\ 5(10)$	0.284 9(19)

\* The occupancy parameter for each atom in the anion is 0.5.

### TABLE 7

## Bond lengths (Å), with standard deviations in parentheses, for complex (2)

(i) The cation	on		
Fe-P	2.240(1)	C(6)-C(7)	1.397(9)
Fe-C(24)	1.778(5)	C(7) - C(8)	1.389(9)
Fe-C(26)	1.774(7)	C(8)-C(9)	1.385(11)
C(24) - O(25)	1.129(7)	C(9) - C(10)	1.355(14)
C(26)-O(27)	1.138(9)	C(10) - C(11)	1.374(9)
FèĆ(1)	2.096(7)	C(11) - C(6)	1.392(6)
Fe-C(2)	2.085(7)	C(12) - C(13)	1.392(7)
FeC(3)	2.093(7)	C(13) - C(14)	1.389(9)
FeC(4)	2.102(5)	C(14) - C(15)	1.347(11)
Fe-C(5)	2.092(5)	C(15) - C(16)	1.383(11)
C(1) - C(2)	1.394(12)	C(16) - C(17)	1.400(12)
C(2) - C(3)	1.413(10)	C(17)-C(12)	1.374(9)
C(3)-C(4)	1.406(10)	C(18)-C(19)	1.382(8)
C(4) - C(5)	1.392(12)	C(19)-C(20)	1.402(10)
C(5) - C(1)	1.405(10)	C(20)-C(21)	1.362(8)
P-C(6)	1.820(5)	C(21)-C(22)	1.337(11)
P-C(12)	1.821(5)	C(22)-C(23)	1.413(9)
P-C(18)	1.810(5)	C(23)-C(24)	1.388(6)
(ii) The ani	on		
C(31) - C(32)	1.390(30)	C(31')-C(32')	1.369(23)
C(32)–C(33)	1.436(18)	C(32')–C(33')	1.368(21)
C(31) - C(34)	1.580(28)	C(31')C(34')	1.471(25)
C(34) - N(34)	1.221(27)	C(34') - N(34')	1.062(36)
C(31) - C(35)	1.282(40)	C(31') - C(35')	1.507(28)
C(35) - N(35)	1.034(37)	C(35') - N(35')	1.021(31)
C(32) - C(36)	1.491(22)	C(32')-C(36')	1.569(26)
C(36) - N(36)	1.303(23)	C(36') - N(36')	1.190(27)
C(33) - C(37)	1.333(24)	C(33')-C(37')	1.466(20)
C(37) - N(37)	1.138(27)	C(37') - N(37')	1.112(22)
C(33)-C(38)	1.497(29)	C(33') - C(38')	1.523(20)
C(38) - N(38)	1.070(34)	C(38') - N(38')	0.942(22)

### TABLE 8

### Bond angles (°), with standard deviations in parentheses, for complex (2)

(i) The cation			
P-Fe-C(24)	90.7(2)	C(11)-C(6)-C(7)	118.8(5)
P-Fe-C(26)	91.9(2)	C(6) - C(7) - C(8)	120.0(5)
C(24) - Fe - C(26)	96.4(3)	C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	120.4(8)
Fe-C(24)-O(25)	177.9(6)	C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	118.6(6)
Fe-C(26)-O(27)	178.4(7)	C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	122.8(6)
P-Fe-C(1)	142.4(2)	C(10) - C(11) - C(6)	119.3(6)
P-Fe-C(2)	104.7(2)	P-C(12)-C(13)	118.7(4)
P-Fe-C(3)	91.6(2)	P-C(12)-C(17)	122.0(4)
P-Fe-C(4)	115.5(2)	C(17)-C(12)-C(13)	119.1(5)
P-Fe-C(5)	154.1(2)	C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	120.4(5)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	107.6(6)	C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	120.3(6)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	107.8(7)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	120.5(7)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	108.0(6)	C(15)-C(16)-C(17)	119.8(7)
C(4) - C(5) - C(1)	108.2(7)	C(16)-C(17)-C(12)	119.9(6)
C(5)-C(1)-C(2)	108.3(7)	P-C(18)-C(19)	119.6(3)
Fe-P-C(6)	111.2(2)	P-C(18)-C(23)	121.4(4)
Fe	111.5(2)	C(23)-C(18)-C(19)	119.0(5)
Fe-P-C(18)	118.7(2)	C(18)-C(19)-C(20)	120.2(5)
C(6) - P - C(12)	107.6(2)	C(19)-C(20)-C(21)	120.3(6)
C(6) - P - C(18)	101.5(2)	C(20)-C(21)-C(22)	120.0(7)
C(12) - P - C(18)	105.4(3)	C(21)-C(22)-C(23)	121.7(5)
P-C(6)-C(7)	117.6(3)	C(22)-C(23)-C(18)	118.8(5)
P-C(6)-C(11)	123.5(5)		

	TABLE 8	(Continued)	
(ii) The anion			
(a) The anom C(32)-C(31)-C(34) C(32)-C(31)-C(35) C(34)-C(31)-C(35) C(31)-C(32)-N(35) C(31)-C(32)-N(35) C(31)-C(32)-C(36) C(32)-C(36)-N(36) C(32)-C(36)-N(36) C(32)-C(33)-C(37)	$\begin{array}{c} 112.4(17)\\ 127.8(24)\\ 119.4(25)\\ 165.4(22)\\ 169.8(41)\\ 139.0(15)\\ 111.7(14)\\ 109.2(12)\\ 166.4(19)\\ 119.8(16)\\ 120.5(13) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C(32') - C(31') - C(34')\\ C(32') - C(31') - C(35')\\ C(34') - C(31') - C(35')\\ C(31') - C(35') - N(34')\\ C(31') - C(35') - N(35')\\ C(31') - C(32') - C(33')\\ C(31') - C(32') - C(36')\\ C(33') - C(32') - C(36')\\ C(32') - C(36') - N(36')\\ C(32') - C(36') - N(36')\\ C(32') - C(33') - C(38')\\ C(32') - C(33') - C(37')\\ C(32') - C(33') - C(37')\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125.8(15)\\ 111.1(14)\\ 122.8(16)\\ 168.3(28)\\ 165.2(21)\\ 129.7(12)\\ 119.4(16)\\ 110.9(15)\\ 170.3(28)\\ 117.9(13)\\ 119.0(11)\\ \end{array}$
C(32)-C(33)-C(38) C(33)-C(37)-N(37) C(33)-C(38)-N(38)	119.5(16) 167.4(18) 161.9(33)	C(33') - C(33') - C(38') C(33') - C(37') - N(37') C(33') - C(38') - N(38')	122.5(13) 166.2(17) 161.4(26)

### TABLE 9

- Displacements (Å) of atoms from various planes in complex (2). Atoms not included in the derivation of a plane are italicized
- Plane (a): C(1) 0.01, C(2) -0.01, C(3) 0.00, C(4) 0.00, C(5) -0.01
- Plane (b): C(6) -0.01, C(7) 0.00, C(8) 0.00, C(9) -0.00, C(10)  $0.00, \acute{C}(11) 0.00, P - 0.12$
- Plane (c): C(12) = 0.01, C(13) = 0.02, C(14) = 0.01, C(15) = 0.01, C(16) 0.02, C(17) = 0.01, P 0.09
- Plane (d): C(18) -0.00, C(19) 0.01, C(20) -0.01, C(21) 0.01, C(22) 0.00, C(23) = 0.00, P = 0.01
- Plane (e): C(31) -0.06, C(32) -0.04, C(33) 0.04, C(34) 0.01, N(34) 0.17, C(35) 0.01, N(35) -0.07, C(36) -0.08, N(36) 0.08, C(37) 0.05, N(37) 0.05, C(38) -0.00, N(38) -0.16
- Plane (f): C(31') 0.09, C(32') 0.01, C(33') -0.05, C(34') 0.09, N(34') 0.06, C(35') 0.01, N(35') -0.07, C(36') -0.07, N(36') -0.05, C(37') 0.02, N(37') 0.14, C(38') 0.02, N(38') -0.21

independent molecules in (1) exhibit different phosphorus displacements and rotational orientations, and intermolecular repulsions must therefore be, at least partially, responsible for the conformations of PPh<sub>3</sub> ligands in transition-metal complexes in the solid state.

The metal bond angles associated with the unidentate ligands are consistently smaller in complex (2), where the metal is formally six-co-ordinate, than in (1), where the metal is formally five-co-ordinate. The P-Fe-CO bond angles in (1) are 92.4-97.5° (mean 95.1°), whereas those in (2) are 90.7 and 91.9° (mean 91.3°). The OC-Fe-CO angles in (1) are 101.3 and 101.8° (mean  $101.6^{\circ}$ ), and the corresponding angle in (2) is 96.4°.

Because of disorder in the packing of the anions in the crystal structure of (2), the individual geometrical results for the 1,1,2,3,3-pentacyanopropenide anion are of limited validity. Nevertheless, it is suggested that the C(CN)<sub>2</sub> groups are twisted by ca. 4° away from a planar conformation of the anion. In 1,1,3,3-tetracyano-2-cyanomethylpropenide the analogous twist is 6°.10

### TABLE 10

Interionic distances (< 3.6 Å) for complex (2)

$N(34) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(25^{I})$	3.15	$N(35') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(14I)$	3.49
$N(36) \cdots O(27^{11})$	3.18	$N(35') \cdot \cdot \cdot O(25')$	3.49
$O(25) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(21^{III})$	3.18	$N(35') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(9^{V11})$	3.51
$C(36) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(37' \mathbf{i} \mathbf{v})$	3.21	$N(37)' \cdot \cdot \cdot C(4v)$	3.51
$N(37) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(5v)$	3.22	$N(34) \cdots C(13^{i})$	3.51
$C(33') \cdots N(37' \mathbf{IV})$	3.23	$C(33') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(37'^{IV})$	3.52
$N(38') \cdots O(27^{11})$	3.30	$C(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(5v)$	3.52
$N(37') \cdots C(5v)$	3.30	$N(35') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(2^1)$	3.53
$C(35') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(13')$	3.32	$C(37) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(35')$	3.53
$N(37') \cdots C(38^{v} II)$	3.33	$N(37) \cdots N(35')$	3.53
$O(25) \cdots C(22^{III})$	3.35	$C(37) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(35')$	3.54
$C(37') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(37'IV)$	3.36	$N(34') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(3^{VI})$	3.54
$N(34') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(4^{VI})$	3.36	$N(36') \cdot \cdot \cdot O(27V)$	3.54
$N(36) : \cdot \cdot C(26II)$	3.38	$C(37') \cdot \cdot \cdot N(37'IV)$	3.54
$C(32) \cdots N(37'IV)$	3.38	$C(24) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(21^{III})$	3.55
$N(36) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(5^{II})$	3.40	$C(32') \cdot \cdot \cdot N(37'^{IV})$	3.56
$O(25) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(20^{III})$	3.41	$C(32) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(38I)$	3.56
$N(34) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(24I)$	3.42	$C(36) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(38I)$	3.56
$N(38') \cdots C(22^{v_1})$	3.44	$N(38) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(9^{VIII})$	3.57
$N(38) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(34'^{I})$	3.46	$C(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(4^{I})$	3.58
$N(36) \cdots N(37' V)$	3.47	$C(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(4^{\nabla})$	3.58
$C(10) \cdots C(16^{VII})$	3.47	$C(32) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(381)$	3.58
$N(34) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(2I)$	3.49	$N(38') \cdot \cdot \cdot C(26^{II})$	3.59
$C(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(3^{v})$	3.49	$C(31) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(38i)$	-3.59

The roman superscripts define the following transformations of the atomic co-ordinates:

$1 \ 2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$	$V \ 1 - x, \ 1 - y, \ -z$
II $1 + x, y, z$	VI $1 + x, y, 1 + z$
III x, y, $1 + z$	VII $2 - x, 2 - y, -z$
IV $2 - x$ , $1 - y$ , $-z$	VIII x, $1 + y$ , z

We thank the S.R.C. for the award of a research studentship (to D. I. W.).

### REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' Kynoch

[8/1005 Received, 31st May, 1978]

Press, Birmingham, 1962, vol. 3. J. M. Stewart, G. J. Kruger, H. L. Ammon, C. Dickinson, and S. R. Hall, Technical Report TR-192, Computer Science

Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, 1972. <sup>3</sup> A. Davison, M. L. H. Green, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 3172; P. M. Trèichel, R. L. Shubkin, K. W. Barnett, and

D. Reichard, Inorg. Chem., 1966, 5, 1177.

<sup>4</sup> W. J. Middleton, E. L. Little, D. D. Coffman, and V. A. Engelhardt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 2795.

<sup>5</sup> D. I. Woodhouse, G. A. Sim, and J. G. Sime, J.C.S. Dalton, 1974, 1331.

R. Eiss, Inorg. Chem., 1970, 9, 1650.

7 M. R. Churchill and R. Mason, Proc. Roy. Soc., 1964, A279,

191.
<sup>8</sup> M. J. Barrow, A. A. Freer, W. Harrison, G. A. Sim, D. S. Taylor, and F. B. Wilson, J.C.S. Dalton, 1975, 197.
<sup>9</sup> See, for example, M. R. Churchill and J. Wormald, Inorg.
<sup>1026</sup> P. F. Brvan and P. T. Greene, J. Chem.

Chem., 1969, 8, 1936; R. F. Bryan and P. T. Greene, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 3064.

<sup>10</sup> B. Klewe, Acta Chem. Scand., 1971, 25, 1975.