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#### Abstract

Attempts to prepare $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ by the modification of methods which give the corresponding chloride have not been successful, but this compound can be obtained by treating $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}$ with $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{SiPhF} \mathrm{F}_{5}\right.$ ]. Comparison of the mass spectra of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ and $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ suggests the formation of strong fluorine bridges in the former, which has been confirmed by an $X$-ray analysis. Crystals of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ are orthorhombic with $a=8.18(1), b=11.04(1)$, $c=$ 12.02(1) $\AA$, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$, and $Z=4$. Atomic positions have been determined by Patterson and Fourier methods from $X$-ray diffractometer data and refined by least squares to $R 0.042$ for 1170 reflections. The structure consists of infinite chains of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ units, resulting from the formation of strong intermolecular fluorine bridges [Sb••F $2.221(5) \AA$ ]; the corresponding intramolecular $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F}$ distance is $2.166(5) \AA$ and the angle at the bridging fluorine is $140.2(3)^{\circ}$. The antimony lone pair of electrons is stereochemically active giving a structure based on a trigonal bipyramid with fluorines in axial positions and the phenyl groups occupying two of the equatorial positions. The mean $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{C}$ distance is $2.13 \AA$ and angle between the phenyl groups $[\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)]$ is 99.9(4).


Phenylantimony(iii) chlorides and bromides, i.e. $\mathrm{SbPh} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or Br , can be prepared by a number of methods including the partial arylation ${ }^{1}$ of the appropriate trihalide with, among other things, $\mathrm{MgPh}(\mathrm{Br})$ or LiPh or the redistribution ${ }^{2}$ of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{3}-\mathrm{SbX}_{3}$ mixtures in the appropriate ratio. Information on the corresponding fluorides on the other hand is sparse. Only one method is reported to give the monofluoride ${ }^{3}$ and attempts to obtain $\mathrm{SbPhF}_{2}$ by an adaptation of this method were unsuccessful.

We have attempted to prepare $\mathrm{SbPh}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{F}$ by a number of alternative methods including: (1) the fluorination of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ with (a) KF in methanol, (b) AgF in acetonitrile, and (c) $\mathrm{AsF}_{3}$; (2) the phenylation of $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}$ with (a) $\mathrm{SbPh}_{3}$, (b) LiPh , and (c) $\mathrm{MgPh}(\mathrm{Br})$. In no case, however, was the required compound produced. With potassium fluoride there was no change even after long periods of reflux in methanol, while fluorination with both AgF and $\mathrm{AsF}_{3}$ led to simultaneous oxidative fluorination and phenyl-group migration. In each case a black precipitate was obtained immediately on addition at room temperature, which was identified as elemental silver and arsenic respectively. It was also possible to isolate in good yield the compound $\mathrm{SbPh}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2},{ }^{4}$ identified by elemental analysis and by comparison of its m.p., mass spectrum, and i.r. spectrum with those of an authentic sample. The equations below can be written to represent the reactions:

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 \mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+4 \mathrm{AgF} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SbPh}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+4 \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{SbCl}_{3} \\
9 \mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+4 \mathrm{AsF}_{3} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{SbPh}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+4 \mathrm{As}+3 \mathrm{SbCl}_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similar results were obtained when the starting material was $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$.

Although $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}$ can be 'phenylated' by triphenylantimony, a similar reorganisation reaction with $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}$ did not occur even after long periods of reflux of solutions in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or MeOH . Nor was any change observed when the two components were melted and held at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . With both phenyl-lithium and phenylmagnesium bromide, it was not possible to achieve partial arylation even when using the stoicheiometric quantity of the reagent at temperatures as low as $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In all cases the product isolated was triphenylantimony, of excellent purity and in good yield.

The method reported by Müller and Dathe, ${ }^{3}$ on the other hand, with minor modifications works well. In this approach $\mathrm{SiPhCl}_{3}$ is fluorinated and converted into the salt $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{SiPhF}_{5}\right]$ which is used to phenylate $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}$ in aqueous solution. Diphenylantimony fluoride is a white, air-stable, crystalline solid which dissolves in hot ethanol but is insoluble in non-polar organic solvents. It melts at $154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sublimes in a vacuum. These general properties are in contrast to those of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ which, for example, have melting points of 69 and $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, and are soluble in a wide range of organic solvents. The difference between $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ on the one hand and the corresponding chloride and bromide on the other appears to be the same as that between $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}$, a fluorine-bridged polymer, and $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{SbBr}_{3}$ which are molecular solids.

The presence of fluorine bridges in $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ is supported by mass spectrometric data (see Table 1), which shows $\left[\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right]^{+}$as the highest $m / e$ ion accounting for $22.4 \%$ of the total ion current compared with $9.1 \%$ for the molecular ion. Data for $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ are also included in Table 1; no species containing two chlorine atoms

Table 1
Mass spectrometric data for $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$

| Ion | \% Total ion current |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}$ |
| $\mathrm{SbPh}_{3}$ | 0.1 |  |
| $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2}$ |  | 22.4 |
| $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ | 6.1 | 9.1 |
| $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2}{ }^{*}$ | 2.0 | 0.6 |
| SbPhX 2 |  | 0.1 |
| SbPhX | 4.5 | 14.2 |
| SbPh * | 14.3 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ | 0.3 |  |
| SbX | 1.2 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ * | 33.2 | 21.7 |
| Sb | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| PhX | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 0.3 | 0.1 |
| Ph* | 16.9 | 19.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 13.3 | 9.3 |

* Value includes ions derived by addition or loss of one or more hydrogen atoms.
analogous to the $\left[\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right]^{+}$ion was observed, and here even the molecular ion is of low intensity.

The i.r. spectrum (see Experimental section) shows the expected bands associated with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ groups attached to antimony, and little comment is necessary beyond noting that the $t$ mode ${ }^{5}$ is split, probably into asymmetric and symmetric components, to give bands at 290 and $269 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. There is no band that can be assigned unambiguously to the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F}$ mode, expected in the $500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region. Terminal fluorine atoms give strong absorption in both antimony(III) ${ }^{6}$ and antimony(v) ${ }^{7}$ compounds but only weak bands apparently result from bridging fluorine atoms. ${ }^{7}$ In the present case the appropriate $\mathrm{Sb}^{-}-\mathrm{F}$ band is presumed to be of low intensity. It was not possible to observe any ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ n.m.r. signal, although whether this is a function of the low solubility of the compound or the influence of the quadrupole moment of the antimony is not known.

The general behaviour of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ is thus consistent with a fluorine-bridged structure of the type known to be present in, for example, $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}{ }^{8}$ and a number of derived fluoro-anions. ${ }^{7}$ Further interest in the present compound stems from the presence of a single fluorine atom which as a result of reduced competition for neighbouring antimony centres might be expected to lead to particularly short intermolecular antimony-fluorine distances. An $X$-ray analysis has therefore been carried out.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of Diphenylantimony Fluoride.-Trichloro(phenyl)silane $(21.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwise under a blanket of nitrogen to antimony trifluoride ( 17.9 g , 0.1 mol ) and the mixture warmed to initiate reaction. Subsequently the temperature was kept below $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , the mixture was distilled, collecting the fraction boiling between 97 and $106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude trifluoro(phenyl)silane was redistilled and the $101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ fraction ${ }^{9}$ collected (yield: $15 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

Trifluoro(phenyl)silane ( $9.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise with cooling to an aqueous solution ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) of ammonium fluoride ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and after reaction had ceased a $2 \%$ solution $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ of ammonium fluoride was added to dissolve the precipitated $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{SiPhF}_{5}\right]$. A solution of antimony trifluoride ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in water $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was then added and the solution filtered if necessary. During $2-3 d$ at room temperature the solution deposited a white solid which was filtered and dried over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Pure diphenylantimony fluoride* was obtained by recrystallisation from a 1: l mixture of ethanol and carbon tetrachloride (yield: $6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ), m.p. $154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after sublimation (lit., ${ }^{3}$ $157-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Found: C, 48.7; H, 3.4. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10^{-}}$ FSb: C, 48.8; H, $3.4 \%$ ). Major bands below $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the i.r. spectrum were found at $746(\mathrm{sh}), 732 \mathrm{vs}, 700 \mathrm{vs}$, $662 \mathrm{w}, 622 \mathrm{vw}, 460 \mathrm{~s}, 290 \mathrm{vs}$, and $269 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$.

Crystals suitable for $X$-ray diffractometry were obtained by vacuum sublimation at $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

* CAUTION: This compound should be handled with care, particularly when dry, as it causes irritation of the eyes, nose, and throat.

Structure Determination.-Crystal data. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{SbF}$, $M=294.8$, Orthorhombic, $a=8.18(1), b=11.04(1), c=$ $12.02(1) \AA, U=1085.5 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.80 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $F(000)=568$, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ from systematic absences, Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.7107 \AA, \mu\left(\right.$ Mo- $\left.K_{\alpha}\right)=$ $25.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, crystal size $c a .0 .5 \times 0.3 \times 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}, \mu R=0.38$.

The unit-cell parameters, initially determined from oscillation and Weissenberg photographs, were refined using a Hilger and Watts four-circle diffractometer on 15 strong reflections with Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation. 1170 Reflections measured in the range $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 28^{\circ}$ had an intensity greater than $3 \sigma(I)$ and were considered observed. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects but not for absorption or secondary extinction. Data reduction and subsequent calculations used the CRYSTALS programs ${ }^{10}$ and atomic scattering factors were taken from ref. 11.

A three-dimensional Patterson synthesis gave a possible position for the antimony atom and, after a structurefactor calculation, a difference-Fourier synthesis revealed positions for the fluorine and 12 carbon atoms. Four cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement gave convergence at $R 0.099$ with isotropic thermal parameters and after four further cycles of refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters $R$ converged at 0.046 . Hydrogen atoms were placed at the calculated positions but were not refined in subsequent cycles. After three cycles of refinement and application of a four-coefficient Chebyshev weighting scheme, final convergence occurred at $R 0.042$. A final difference Fourier showed that, except in the vicinity of the antimony atom, there were no peaks $>0.4$ e $\AA^{-3}$. Atomic co-ordinates are given in Table 2, while

Table 2
Atomic co-ordinates ( $\times 10^{3}$ ) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)$ | $703.82(5)$ | $248.70(9)$ | $-22.50(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $\mathbf{4 6 5 . 3 ( 6 )}$ | $201.8(6)$ | $42.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $791.0(9)$ | $154.7(8)$ | $119.9(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $846(1)$ | $211.9(9)$ | $215(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $916(1)$ | $146(1)$ | $301(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $927(2)$ | $22(1)$ | $293(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $872(2)$ | $-38(1)$ | $199(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $805(1)$ | $29(1)$ | $113(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $674(1)$ | $425(1)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $744(1)$ | $522(1)$ | $-11(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $716(2)$ | $641(1)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $623(2)$ | $662(1)$ | $118(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $551(2)$ | $568(1)$ | $175(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $575(1)$ | $448(1)$ | $139(1)$ |

observed and calculated structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, and the calculated hydrogen positions are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 22559 (11 pp.). $\dagger$

## DISCUSSION OF THE STRUCTURE

Figure 1 shows the structure of the compound and also gives the atom-numbering scheme; bond-distance and -angle data are collected in Table 3. This structure determination confirms the presence of strong intermolecular fluorine bridges which leads to infinite chains of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ units lying parallel to the $a$ axis (see Figure 2); individual molecules in the chain are related by the two-fold screw axis down $a$.
$\dagger$ For details see Notices to Authors No. 7, J.C.S. Dalton, 1978, Index issue.

Table 3
Bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $2.166(5)$ | $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $83.9(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.124(9)$ | $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $88.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.132(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $99.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.39(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $118(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.39(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $121(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.38(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.39(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $121(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.38(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $119(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.39(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $121(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.39(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $119(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.42(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $119(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.35(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $121(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.36(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.41(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $120(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.40(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $120(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Sb} \cdots \mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right) *$ | $2.221(5)$ | $\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | $165.0(1)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $83.4(3)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $85.9(3)$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Sb}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{Sb}(1)$ | $140.2(3)$ |

* Atoms carrying a prime are related to unprimed atoms by the transformation $x, y, z \rightarrow x+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, z$.

The geometry about the antimony atom is based on a distorted trigonal bipyramid with the phenyl groups and the lone pair of electrons on antimony occupying the equatorial positions and two fluorine atoms in the axial positions. The intramolecular $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F}$ distance, 2.166(5) $\AA$, is longer than that found ${ }^{8}$ in $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}(1.92 \AA$ ) and a number of anionic antimony(iII) fluorides, e.g. 1.94-2.08 $\AA$ in $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{4}\right]^{6}$ and $1.91-2.08 \AA$ in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right],{ }^{12} \mathrm{a}$ function presumably of the presence of two lower elec-tron-withdrawing groups. An unusual feature of the structure, however, is the small difference between the intra- and inter-molecular $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F}$ distances. The value for the latter in the present compound is $2.221 \AA$, much shorter than that found in the majority of other fluorinebridged antimony(III) compounds (cf. values of 2.61 in $\mathrm{SbF}_{3} ;{ }^{8} 2.66-2.86$ in $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{4}\right]^{6}$ and $2.41-2.57 \AA$ in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{7}{ }^{12}\right.$ ). In $\mathrm{Cs}\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right]$ the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{Sb}$ bridge is symmetrical and the $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F}$ distances are both $2.24 \AA .{ }^{13}$

The presence of a very strong $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{Sb}$ bridge is thus substantiated; this is probably a consequence of the presence of only a single fluorine atom and reduced competition for the vacant site in the antimony co-


Figure 1 The structure of $\mathrm{SbPh}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ showing the atomnumbering scheme


Figure 2 Projection of the unit-cell contents down the $b$ axis
ordination sphere. The angle at the bridging fluorine atom is $140.2(3)^{\circ}$; for comparison purposes, values for this parameter are 154 and $163^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{SbF}_{3}{ }^{8}$ and 130.9 and $148.2^{\circ}$ in $\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right] .{ }^{12}$ Again, $\mathrm{Cs}\left[\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{7}\right]$ is different with the fluorine angle being $125.3^{\circ} .^{13}$

The presence of the antimony lone pair leads to a reduction in (a) the angle between the phenyl groups from $120^{\circ}$ in an ideal trigonal bipyramid to $99.9^{\circ}$ and (b) the angles between the intra- and inter-molecular fluorine atoms and respectively $\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{C}(7)$ from $90^{\circ}$ to a mean value of $85.4^{\circ}$.

Table 4
Selected torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -101.3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -13.8 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -147.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 129.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}\left(1^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | 164.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}\left(1^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | 64.9 |
| $\mathrm{~F}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{Sb}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{Sb}\left(1^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | 132.8 |

- Atoms carrying a double prime are related to unprimed atoms by the transformation $x, y, z \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}, \bar{y}+\frac{1}{2}, \bar{z}$.
The phenyl groups are planar, with the maximum deviation of any atom from the appropriate best plane being $0.007 \AA$. A number of torsion angles are included in Table 4 showing among other things the relative orientation of the phenyl groups.

We thank Professor T. J. King for collecting the data and for his help in solving the structure.
[8/1996 Received, 16th November, 1978]

## REFERENCES

${ }^{1}$ G. O. Doak and L. D. Freedman, ' Organometallic Compounds of Arsenic, Antimony and Bismuth,' Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970.
${ }^{2}$ Netherlands P. Appl. 6,505,216 (Chem. Abs., 1966, 64, 9766).
3 R. Müller and C. Dathe, Chem. Ber., 1966, 99, 1609.
${ }^{4}$ G. O. Doak, G. G. Long, and L. D. Freedman, J. Organometallic Chem., 1965, 4, 82.
${ }_{6}$ D. H. Whiffen, J. Chem. Soc., 1956, 1350.
6 N. Habibi, B. Bonnet, and B. Ducourant, J. Fluorine Chem., 1978, 12, 237.
${ }^{7}$ I. R. Beattie, K. M. S. Livingstone, G. A. Ozin, and D. J. Reynolds, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 958; J. G. Ballard, T. Birchall, and D. R. Slim, J.C.S. Dalton, 1977, 1469.
${ }^{8}$ A. J. Edwards, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 2751.
${ }^{9}$ W. H. Pearlson, T. J. Brice, and J. H. Simons, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1945, 67, 1769.
${ }^{10}$ J. R. Carruthers, ' The Oxford Crystallographic ProgramsCRYSTALS.'
${ }_{11}$ ' International Tables for $X$-Ray Crystallography,' Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4.
${ }^{12}$ S. H. Mastin and R. R. Ryan, Inorg. Chem., 1971, 10, 1757.
${ }^{13}$ R. R. Ryan, S. H. Mastin, and A. C. Larsen, Inorg. Chem., 1971, 10, 2793.

