## The Mechanism of Oxidation of Hexacyanoferrate(1) by Nitrous Acid

By John C. Bates, Patricio Reveco. and Geoffrey Stedman,\* University College of Swansea, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP

The kinetics of oxidation of  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$  by nitrous acid at 25 °C have been studied. Reaction is acid catalysed at low acidities,  $[H^+] = 0.01$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>, but the rate is independent of acidity at  $[H^+] = 0.5$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. Reaction is encounter controlled and occurs between  $[HFe(CN)_6]^{3-}$  and  $[NO]^+$ .

The redox equilibrium (1) has been studied by spectrophotometric and e.m.f. methods and it is known<sup>1</sup> that at low acidities the formation of ferricyanide [hexacyanoferrate(III)] is favoured, whereas at higher acidities ferrocyanide [hexacyanoferrate(II)] is favoured.

$$H_{2}O + HNO_{2} + 2[Fe(CN)_{6}]^{3-} = HNO_{3} + 2[Fe(CN)_{6}]^{4-} + 2H^{+}$$
(1)

The main factor involved in this shift of equilibrium is the basicity of ferrocyanide, which can accept up to four protons. The dissociation constants of  $[HFe-(CN)_6]^{3-}$  and  $[H_2Fe(CN)_6]^{2-}$  are known<sup>2</sup> and these species are formed in dilute (0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) mineral acid. The formation constants of the tri- and tetraprotonated species are not known. We have been concerned with the reactions occurring in a solution containing ferricyanide and ferrocyanide in a medium of nitric acid + nitrous acid,<sup>3</sup> and the object of the present work was to examine the mechanism of the reaction between ferrocyanide and nitrous acid at relatively low acidities, free from complications due to nitric acid. Under these conditions reaction (2) is observed.

$$\frac{\text{HNO}_2 + H^- + [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{4-}}{\text{NO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + [\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6]^{3-}} (2)$$

## EXPERIMENTAL

All materials were AnalaR chemicals, used without further purification. Because aqueous solutions of ferrocyanide may undergo photochemical, hydrolytic, or oxidation reactions in acidic media, all runs were carried out with freshly prepared solutions. Experiments carried out with deoxygenated solutions showed that under our conditions dissolved dioxygen had no effect on the rate of reaction. The kinetics were followed by stopped-flow spectrophotometry, using a Canterbury SF-3A instrument, measurements of the absorbance A being made at 418 nm. At this wavelength ferricyanide has a peak with a high absorption coefficient,  $\varepsilon = 1050$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, while the absorption of ferrocyanide is negligible. All experiments were carried out in perchloric acid solution at 25 °C (except for the activation-energy measurements). Where necessary, solutions were maintained at constant ionic strength by the addition of sodium perchlorate.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

All runs were carried out with a large excess of nitrous acid over ferrocyanide, and gave linear plots of  $\ln(A_{\infty} - A)$  vs. time over several half-lives. Typical ferrocyanide concentrations were around  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup>. The first-order rate constant  $k_1$  was independent of the initial concentration of ferrocyanide, confirming the first-order rate law. When the concentration of excess of nitrous acid was varied by a factor of four a plot of log  $k_1$  against log[HNO<sub>2</sub>] gave a slope of 0.91, thus showing the rate of reaction to be first order with respect to nitrous acid

Rate constants for the oxidation of ferrocyanide by nitrous acid in 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> perchloric acid at 25 °C (I = 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>)

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		* De	oxygen	ated so	lution			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	k₁/s <sup>-1</sup>	0.726	0.711	0.351	1.23	0.734	0.672	0.683
0 <sup>5</sup> [ferro]/mol dm <sup>-3</sup> 5 5 5 5 5 * 10 2.5  0 <sup>4</sup> [HNO₂]/mol	dm <sup>-3</sup>	5	5	2.5	10	5	5	5
	dm <sup>-3</sup> [0 <sup>4</sup> [HNO <sub>2</sub> ]/mol	5	5	5	5	5*	10	2.5

concentration. Some of our results are shown in the Table. If we take [ferro] to be the total concentration of ferrocyanide conjugate acid-base species, then the results fit equation (3).

$$-d[\text{ferro}]/dt = k_1[\text{ferro}] = k_2[\text{ferro}][\text{HNO}_2] \quad (3)$$

A plot of the variation of  $k_1$  with acidity for reaction with  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  mol dm<sup>-3</sup> nitrous acid at I = 1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> is shown in the Figure; at low acidities the rate law takes the form of equation (4) while at high acidities (5) holds. Equation (4) is the form of rate law found for

$$v = k_3 [H^+] [HNO_2] [ferro]$$
 (4)

$$v = k_{4} [HNO_{2}] [ferro]$$
 (5)

reaction between the nitrosonium ion and a wide range of nucleophiles that are only weakly basic; equation (5) is the form observed for reaction of the nitrosonium ion with basic nucleophiles.<sup>4</sup> From the known ionisation constants of [HFe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> and [H<sub>2</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>  $(pK_a \text{ at } I = 0: 4.17 \text{ and } 2.22 \text{ respectively})$  it can be calculated that at the lowest acidity studied,  $[H^+] =$ 



Variation of  $k_1$  with perchloric acid for the oxidation of ferrocyanide by excess of nitrous acid (5  $\times$  10<sup>-4</sup> mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) at I = 1 mol dm<sup>-s</sup> and 25 °C

 $0.01 \text{ mol } dm^{-3}$ , the bulk component of ferrocyanide is  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$ , while at the highest acidity ( $[H^+] = 0.5 \text{ mol}$ dm<sup>-3</sup>) it is  $[H_2Fe(CN)_6]^{2-}$ . Thus a simple explanation of the kinetics is a rate-determining reaction between  $[NO]^+$  and  $[HFe(CN)_6]^{3-}$  [equations (6) and (7)]. At low

$$H^+ + HNO_2 \iff [NO]^+ + H_2O$$
 fast (6)

$$[NO]^{+} + [HFe(CN)_{6}]^{3-} \longrightarrow \\ [Fe(CN)_{6}]^{3-} + H^{+} + NO \quad slow (7)$$

acidities where [ferro] =  $[HFe(CN)_6^{3-}]$  this would lead to equation (4), while at higher acidities where the bulk component of ferrocyanide is the doubly protonated  $[H_2Fe(CN)_6^{2-}] = [HFe(CN)_6^{3-}][H^+]K_a^{-1} =$ species. [ferro], the rate would be independent of acidity as in (5). In the above equation  $K_{a}$  is the dissociation constant of  $[H_2Fe(CN)_6]^{2-}$ . The mechanism of (7) may be a straight outer-sphere electron transfer, but it could also involve the formation of a nitrosylferrocyanide,  $[(NC)_{5}H$ -FeCN-NO]<sup>2-</sup>, which might break down by homolytic fission of the N-N bond. As reaction seems to be encounter controlled (see below) the kinetics do not distinguish between these possibilities. This mechanism is basically identical to that found <sup>5</sup> for the oxidation of [Fe(phen)<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>2</sub>] and [Fe(bipy)<sub>2</sub>(CN)<sub>2</sub>] by nitrous acid (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline; bipy = 2,2'-bipyridyl).

Many reactions of anions with the nitrosonium ion are encounter controlled,<sup>4</sup> and for a singly charged anion

the value corresponding to  $k_3$  in (4) is ca. 14 000 dm<sup>6</sup> mol<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C. A formula for calculating the effect of coulombic charge on the encounter rate is known,<sup>6</sup> and for a triply charged ion such as  $[HFe(CN)_6]^{3-} k_3$ would be expected to be ca. 32 000 dm<sup>6</sup> mol<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C. The rate constant calculated from the first-order part of our curve is ca. 16 600 dm<sup>6</sup> mol<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. This appears to be the first experimental value for the rate of reaction of a triply charged anion with [NO]+. A further point in favour of this interpretation is that we find the activation energy to be 53.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (measured over the range 0-25 °C), which compares very well with the activation energy for other encounter reactions of the nitrosonium ion of ca. 54 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. From the variation of  $k_1$  with acidity one can obtain a value for the  $pK_a$  of  $[HFe(CN)_6]^{3-}$ . The points for 0.1 and 0.05 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> perchloric acid from the Figure give  $pK_a$  values (corrected from I = 1 to 0 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> by the Davies equation) of 1.94 and 1.85 respectively. These compare satisfactorily with the literature value of 2.22, if one considers the approximations made.

The main reservation that we have about this mechanism is that it ascribes all the redox reactivity to one ferrocyanide species, [HFe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup>, and it might reasonably be asked why  $[H_2Fe(CN)_6]^{2-}$  is not active too. In survey experiments we have found no sign of any increase in rate up to 2 mol dm<sup>-3</sup> perchloric acid, so there is no sign of a term of the form  $v = k[H^+][HNO_2]$ - $[H_2Fe(CN)_6^{2-}]$  in the rate law. One possible explanation is that by the Frank-Condon principle a rapid electron transfer would result in the formation of a doubly protonated ferricyanide as a product, and this would be expected to be an unstable species as ferricyanide shows no sign of any basic behaviour in the acidity range we are studying. Such a pathway might have a high activation energy. There may, however, be small contributions to the reaction rate by redox pathways involving  $[Fe(CN)_{6}]^{4-}$  as a reductant, or possibly involving ion pairs such as [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>Na]<sup>3-</sup>. As we are working in a region of ionic strength well outside the range of the extended Debye-Hückel treatment, and we are dealing with ions with large charges, it seems unduly speculative to try to allow for these in a quantitative treatment of the rate data.

We thank the British Council for a maintenance award (to P. R.), and the Universidad Tecnica Federico Santa Maria, Valparaiso, Chile, for study leave. We thank Mr. M. S. Garley for technical help.

[9/1794 Received, 9th November, 1979]

## REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> J. C. Bates, K. M. Davies, and G. Stedman, J.C.S. Dalton, 1974, 246.

- <sup>2</sup> J. Jordan and G. I. Ewing, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1962, **1**, 587. <sup>3</sup> K. M. Davies and G. Stedman, *J.C.S. Dalton*, 1974, 2176.
- <sup>4</sup> J. H. Ridd, Quart. Rev., 1961, 15, 418.
- <sup>5</sup> P. Reveco and G. Stedman, Z. analyt. Chem., 1979, 295, 252. <sup>6</sup> E. F. Caldin, 'Fast Reactions in Solution,' Blackwell,

Oxford, 1964, p. 15.