# Single-crystal Electron Spin Resonance Studies of Gadolinium Trisilylamide and Sodium Gadolinium Dipicolinate (Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate) 

By Dhruvendar S. Katoch and Keith D. Sales,* Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary College, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS

The $X$-band e.s.r. spectra of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ doped into single crystals of $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and into $\mathrm{La}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ are reported and interpreted in terms of the same spin Hamiltonian. The zero-field splitting of $4.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ obtained for $\mathrm{Gd}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ appears to be the largest yet reported for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$.

We have previously reported ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ on the e.s.r. studies of the trisilylamide complexes of chromium(III) and iron(III), where this novel ligand produced large zero-field splittings in the orbitally non-degenerate ground states ${ }^{4} A$ and ${ }^{6} A$ respectively. A preliminary study ${ }^{2}$ on polycrystalline samples of the corresponding gadolinium complex indicated effective $g$ values of 8 and 2 . We now report the results of a single-crystal e.s.r. study on gadolinium doped into lanthanum trisilylamide to extend our work to an ${ }^{8} A$ (or ${ }^{8} S$ ) state.

Gadolinium must be one of the most studied atoms in e.s.r. spectroscopy, perhaps because its spectra may easily be observed at room temperature and under most conditions. The emphasis in these studies has been two-fold: to investigate the local structure in different crystals and to try to understand the theoretical mechanisms whereby an $S$ state is split in a crystal field. Accordingly, most studies have been concerned with doping gadolinium into different crystal types rather than with discrete gadolinium compounds. The atoms surrounding the lanthanide have usually been oxygen, fluorine, chlorine, or bromine. ${ }^{3}$ We can trace but one other e.s.r. study of gadolinium complexed to nitrogendonor ligands, ${ }^{4}$ viz. the complexes of gadolinium nitrate with 1,10 -phenanthroline and $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine in which the symmetry is low and the effect of the crystal field relatively small. Thus, our study is somewhat unusual in that it is concerned with discrete molecules of low coordination and with nitrogen-donor ligands.

In gadolinium trisilylamide the lanthanide is surrounded by three nitrogen atoms with trigonal symmetry as discussed below in more detail. In the absence of comparable studies, as indicated above, we have also investigated the e.s.r. spectra of sodium gadolinium dipicolinate, in which the environment of the lanthanide ion has similarities to the trisilylamide. As the effect of the crystal field in the dipicolinate is not too different to that in previous work we report these results first so as to highlight the peculiarities of the trisilylamide case.

## RESULTS

Sodium Gadolinium Dipicolinate.-We presume that the gadolinium and lanthanum compounds, $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right]$. $15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, have similar structures to that of neodymium. ${ }^{5}$ The space group is $P \overline{1}$, the lanthanide co-ordination polyhedron being a tricapped trigonal prism with carboxylate
oxygen atoms at the corners of the prism and nitrogen atoms in the equatorial plane (see Figure 1). To within the accuracy of the $X$-ray data the nitrogen atoms form an equilateral triangle with the lanthanide atom at the centre.


Figure 1 The ligand arrangement in sodium gadolinium dipicolinate: $O=$ oxygen; $O$ nitrogen. Atoms with the same number belong to the same ligand

Thus, if we consider nearest neighbour atoms only, the local symmetry is $D_{3 h}$, whereas the inclusion of the complete ligand drops the symmetry to $D_{3}$.

The $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ ion is in an $\left(f^{7}\right)^{8} S_{\frac{5}{2}}$ ground state which is split by the crystal field. The spin Hamiltonian used to represent the e.s.r. results is usually written in the form of equation (1), where the $O_{l}{ }^{m}$ are spin operators. ${ }^{6}$ The symmetry of the

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}=\beta \boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \mathbf{S}+\sum_{l, m} B_{l^{m}}^{m} O_{l^{m}}^{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

crystal field, and the restriction of the problem to $f$ electrons, limits the possible values of $l$ and $m$. For $D_{3 h}$ symmetry equation (2) is obtained, with the $g$ tensor having two principal components: $g_{\|}$and $g_{. L}$. For the case of $D_{3}$ the
$\mathscr{H}=\beta \boldsymbol{H} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}+\mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{0} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{0}+\mathrm{B}_{4}{ }^{0} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{0}+\mathrm{B}_{6}{ }^{0} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{0}+\mathrm{B}_{6}{ }^{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}{ }^{6}$
additional terms $B_{4}{ }^{3} O_{4}{ }^{3}$ and $B_{6}{ }^{3} O_{6}{ }^{3}$ must be added to equation (2). To avoid large numbers the constants $B$ are usually redefined as follows: ${ }^{7} \quad b_{2}{ }^{0}=3 B_{2}{ }^{0}, b_{4}{ }^{0}=60 B_{4}{ }^{0}$, $b_{6}{ }^{0}=1260 B_{6}{ }^{0}, \quad b_{4}{ }^{3}=3 B_{4}{ }^{3}, \quad b_{6}{ }^{3}=36 B_{6}{ }^{3}, \quad b_{6}{ }^{6}=1260 B_{6}{ }^{6}$. With the magnetic field parallel to the three-fold axis seven lines should be observed corresponding to the $\Delta S_{z}= \pm 1$ transitions. The positions and relative intensities of these lines are given in Table 1 provided all of the off-diagonal elements of the spin Hamiltonian are small (which may not be the case for the silylamide ligand).

## Table 1

Theoretical positions and relative intensities of the lines (to first order) for $D_{3}$ symmetry when the magnetic field is parallel to the trigonal axis

| Transition |  | Position | Relative intensity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm \frac{7}{2} \leftrightarrow \pm \frac{5}{2}$ | $g \beta H=h \nu$ | $\left(6 b_{2}{ }^{0}+20 b_{4}{ }^{0}+6 b_{8}{ }^{0}\right)$ | 1.75 |
| $\pm \frac{5}{2} \leftrightarrow \pm \frac{3}{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{g} \beta \boldsymbol{H}=\boldsymbol{h} \vee \mp$ | $\left(4 b_{2}{ }^{0}-10 b_{4}{ }^{0}-14 b_{6}{ }^{0}\right)$ | 3 |
| $\pm \frac{3}{2} \leftrightarrow \pm \frac{1}{2}$ | $g \beta H=\boldsymbol{h} \vee \mp$ | $\left(2 b_{2}{ }^{0}-12 b_{4}{ }^{0}-14 b_{6}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 3.75 |
| $-\frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow+\frac{1}{2}$ | $g \beta H=\boldsymbol{h} \nu$ |  | 4 |

Spectra of the pure gadolinium compound exhibit broad lines due to magnetic concentration. Figure 2 shows the spectrum for a single crystal of sodium lanthanum dipicolinate doped with gadolinium ( $1: 500$ ) mounted with the magnetic field parallel to the three-fold axis of the molecule.


Figure $2 \quad X$-Band spectrum of a single crystal of $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ doped with $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ aligned with the magnetic field parallel to the trigonal axis

This type of spectrum is typical for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ : seven lines with relative intensities approximately as in Table 1. The values of $g_{\|}, b_{2}{ }^{0}, b_{4}{ }^{0}$, and $b_{6}{ }^{0}$ may be obtained using the experimental line positions and the theoretical expressions; the parameters are listed in Table 2 and the comparison be-

Table 2
Spin Hamiltonian parameters for $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 15-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The energy unit is $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and absolute signs have not been determined

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{\|}=1.9921 & g_{\perp} \sim 1.9780 \\
b_{2}{ }^{0}=196.9 & b_{4}{ }^{3} \sim-5 \\
b_{4}{ }^{0}=2.08 & b_{6}{ }^{3} \sim 1 \\
b_{6}{ }^{0}=0.12 & b_{8}{ }^{6} \sim-7
\end{array}
$$

tween experimental and calculated line positions given in Table 3 under the $\theta=0^{\circ}$ column. The remaining parameters can only be obtained from spectra taken when the angle between the three-fold axis and the magnetic field ( $\theta$ ) is between 0 and $90^{\circ}$. The theoretical line positions must now be calculated by complete diagonalisation of the spin Hamiltonian, but good agreement with experiment could not be obtained. As an example, the best fit for $\theta=67^{\circ}$ is shown in Table 3; the parameter values for $g_{\perp}, b_{4}{ }^{3}, b_{6}{ }^{3}$, and $b_{6}{ }^{6}$ given in Table 2 were used. Certainly the interpretation of the spectra for angles other than $\theta=0^{\circ}$ is not as straightforward as for the silylamide. Presumably more spin Hamiltonian parameters are required with, perhaps, the
inclusion of terms of odd parity. ${ }^{8}$ Nevertheless we have established the main splitting parameters for $\mathrm{Na}_{3}$ [Gd$\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and they are typical for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ (see the discussion below).

Table 3
Comparison between theory and experiment for $\mathrm{Na}_{3}$ $\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with the parameters in Table 2 (line positions are in T )

| $\theta=0^{\circ}$ |  | $\theta=67^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Experiment | Theory | Experiment | Theory |
| 0.2007 | 0.2009 | 0.2928 | 0.2853 |
| 0.2503 | 0.2502 | 0.3202 | 0.3129 |
| 0.2928 | 0.2927 | 0.3263 | 0.3241 |
| 0.3326 | 0.3325 | 0.3346 | 0.3363 |
| 0.3725 | 0.3723 | 0.3428 | 0.3439 |
| 0.4448 | 0.4147 | 0.3551 | 0.3488 |
| 0.4638 | 0.4641 | 0.3685 | 0.3646 |

Gadolinium Trisilylamide.-The gadolinium and lanthanum compounds, $\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$, are taken to have the same structure ${ }^{9}$ as that of scandium and europium, which crystallise in the space group $P \overline{3} 1 c$. The three silylamide ligands by themselves show $D_{3}$ symmetry with the metal atom situated out of the plane of the three nitrogen atoms such that the $\mathrm{MN}_{3}$ moiety has a pyramidal structure with $C_{3 v}$ symmetry. The symmetry of an isolated molecule is quite low if the complete ligands are considered. We shall assume $C_{3 v}$ symmetry when the spin Hamiltonian considered for $D_{3}$ is appropriate.

As for the dipicolinate, the pure gadolinium compound gave broad lines. The spectrum for a single crystal of lanthanum trisilylamide doped with gadolinium (1:200) oriented with the field parallel to the trigonal axis $\left(\theta=0^{\circ}\right)$ is shown in Figure 3: the contrast with Figure 2 is great


Figure $3 \quad X$-Band spectrum of a single crystal of $\mathrm{La}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ doped with $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ aligned with the magnetic field parallel to the trigonal axis
and is a clear indication of much larger spin Hamiltonian parameters. The simplest interpretation, that the line positions are as given in Table 1 with the positive signs, is incorrect in that an additional line at 0.2058 T is then predicted for the transition $\left(\frac{3}{2} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\right)$. To first order the line positions are given in Table 4. As the middle two line positions are related we do not have enough experimental data to find all four theoretical parameters $g_{\|}, b_{2}{ }^{0}, b_{4}{ }^{0}$, and $b_{6}{ }^{0}$. However, if we assume that $b_{6}{ }^{0}$ is negligible (it is usually small ${ }^{3}$ ), we obtain the values given in Table 5 under

Table 4
Theoretical positions and relative intensities (to first order) of the four lines for $\operatorname{Gd}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$

| Transition | Position | Relative intensity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2}$ | $g \beta H=h \nu$ | 4 |
| $-\frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow-\frac{3}{2}$ | $g \beta H=-\boldsymbol{h \nu}+\left(2 b_{2}{ }^{0}-12 b_{4}{ }^{0}+14 b_{6}{ }^{0}\right)$ | 3.75 |
| $-\frac{3}{2} \leftrightarrow-\frac{1}{2}$ | $g \beta H=\boldsymbol{h} v+\left(2 b_{2}{ }^{0}-12 b_{4}{ }^{0}+14 b_{6}{ }^{0}{ }^{6}{ }^{0}\right)$ | 3.75 |
| $-\frac{3}{2} \leftrightarrow-\frac{5}{2}$ | $g \beta H=-\boldsymbol{h v}+\left(4 b_{2}{ }^{0}-10 b_{4}{ }^{0}-14 b_{6}{ }^{0}\right)$ | 3 |

the column headed set 1. An alternative interpretation is possible. The mean position of the middle two lines found experimentally (see Table $6, \theta=0^{\circ}$ ) corresponds to a value of $g_{\|}$of $c a .1 .99$, the most common value for gadolinium complexes. This value cannot fit the experimental data unless we go beyond first-order theory: solution of the com-

## Table 5

Spin Hamiltonian parameters for $\operatorname{Gd}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$. The energy unit is $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and absolute signs have not been determined

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\overbrace{g_{\|}=g_{1}=1.9754}^{\text {Set } 1} & \overbrace{g_{\|}=g_{\perp}=1.9918} \\
b_{2}{ }^{0}=3808 \quad b_{4}{ }^{3} \text { undet. } & b_{2}{ }^{0}=3850 \quad b_{4}{ }^{3}=105.9 \\
b_{4}{ }^{0}=22.2 \quad b_{6}{ }^{3} \text { undet. } & b_{4}{ }^{0}=25.8 \quad b_{6}{ }^{3} \sim 0 \\
b_{6}{ }^{0}=0 & b_{6}{ }^{6} \text { undet. }
\end{array}
$$

plete determinant of the spin Hamiltonian shows that a value of $105.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $b_{4}{ }^{3}$ gives a reasonable fit to the spectrum if $b_{2}{ }^{0}$ and $b_{4}{ }^{0}$ are slightly modified (see Table 5, set 2 ). The experimental intensities are approximately 4:3.6:2.7:1.4 in reasonable agreement with theory if allowance is made for the inaccuracy of our field measuring

Table 6
Comparison of theory and experiment for $\mathrm{Gd}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ with the parameters in Table 5 (line positions are in $\Gamma$ )

| $\theta^{\circ}$ | Experiment | Set 1 | Set 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.3352 | 0.3353 | 0.3352 |
|  | 0.4648 | 0.4616 | 0.4648 |
|  | 1.1300 | 1.1322 | 1.1355 |
|  | 1.2920 | 1.2922 | 1.2938 |
| 90 | 0.0875 | 0.0875 | 0.0868 |
|  | 0.3082 | 0.3094 | 0.3085 |
|  | 0.7330 | 0.7681 | 0.7704 |

equipment and the inhomogeneity of the field outside the range $0.2-0.6 \mathrm{~T}$.

The line positions obtained for the crystal mounted along its needle axis are shown in Table $6\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right)$, along with the calculated values for both sets of parameters. The agreement is reasonable in that three lines only are predicted at
about the right positions. The biggest difference is for the line at 0.7330 T , but the theoretical position of this line is very sensitive to the value of $\theta$. Thus if the crystal is misaligned by $c a .3 .5^{\circ}$ (i.e. $\theta=86.5^{\circ}$ ) this line is predicted to be ca. 38 mT downfield of the values in Table 6 , with changes of 0.8 mT downfield for the 0.3082 T line and 0.2 mT upfield for the third line. The technique which had to be used to mount a single crystal of this reactive compound (see Experimental section) makes such a misalignment quite possible, so we conclude that the agreement is good. Unfortunately the misalignment precludes the possibility of finding $b_{6}{ }^{6}$ by rotation about the needle axis. ${ }^{10}$

## DISCUSSION

The theory of $g$ values ${ }^{11}$ and of crystal-field parameters ${ }^{12}$ for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ is not sufficiently well developed for us to distinguish on theoretical grounds between the two sets of values in Table 5 for $\mathrm{Gd}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$. Empirical $g$ values tend to be close to 1.99 and $g_{\| \|}$and $g_{\perp}$ to be equal, ${ }^{3}$ although many exceptions are known. It is interesting to note that $g$ values apparently less than 1.99 may be due to an insufficiently general variation of the crystal-field parameters. ${ }^{13}$ We cannot definitely select the better set but tend to favour the second one because the $g$ values are more typical for gadolinium compounds.

In Table 7 we collect the largest known crystal-field

## Table 7

The largest crystal-field parameters (in units of $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ in different symmetries

| Host lattice | Symmetry | $\left\|b_{2}{ }^{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|b_{4}{ }^{0}\right\|$ | $\left\|b_{6}{ }^{0}\right\|$ | Ref. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ | Tetragonal | 1486 | 23 | 1 | $a$ |
| $\mathrm{La}_{2}\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right]_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Hexagonal | 639.7 | 2.6 | 0.2 | $b$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{CaF}_{2} 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}-\right)^{c}$ | Trigonal | 2905.7 | 12.6 | 0 | $d$ |
| $[\mathrm{CSY}]\left[\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right]_{2}$ | Lower than | 902.0 | 22.4 | 0.2 | $e$ |
|  | trigonal |  |  |  |  |

a J. Sierro and R. Lacroix, Compt. rend., 1960, 250, 2686. ${ }^{b}$ D. R. Johnston, E. Y. Wang, and O. M. Stafsudd, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 44, 2693. c The extra charge, when $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ is substituted for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$, is compensated by an $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ion. ${ }^{d}$ Sook Lee, Chi-Chung Yang, and A. J. Bevolo, Phys. Rev., 1974, B10, 4515. e A. I. Otko, L. N. Pelikh, and A. I. Zvyagin, Sov. Phys.-Solid State, 1973, 14, 2918.
parameters for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ in different symmetries and in Table 8 some typical values for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ in trigonal fields. The values found for $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Gd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Table 2) are fairly typical for trigonal symmetry as is the total zero-field splitting of $0.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. On the other hand, $b_{2}{ }^{0}$ and the total zero-field splitting of $4.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for

Table 8
Some parameters for $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ in a trigonal environment ( $b$ values in $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ )

| Host lattice | $g_{\text {il }}$ | $g \perp$ | $b_{2}{ }^{0}$ | $b_{4}{ }^{0}$ | $b_{6}{ }^{0}$ | $b_{4}{ }^{3}$ | $b_{6}{ }^{3}$ | $b_{6}{ }^{6}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{BaF}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | 1.9923 | 1.9923 | $-136.6$ | 24.54 | -0.28 | -34.661 | $-0.0827$ | $-0.60$ | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{SrF}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right)^{4}$ | 1.9927 | 1.9927 | -2 671.7 | 11.7 | 0 | $-346$ | 22 | 24 | c |
| $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right)^{\text {d }}$ | 1.9910 | 1.9910 | -2905.7 | 12.6 | 0 | -547 | 30 | 11 | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| ZnO | 1.987 | 1.978 | 850.4 | 15.77 | 0.464 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 1.9912 |  | 1032.9 | 26.00 | 1.0 | \|18.30| | \|1.0| | \|5.00| | ${ }^{*}$ |
| $\mathrm{La}\left[\mathrm{AlO}_{3}\right]$ | 1.9908 | 1.986 | 371.2 | 6.17 | 1.0 |  |  | 7.6 | $f$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right]_{12} \cdot 24 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.9917 | 1.9983 | 117.9 | 0.384 | 0.4216 |  |  | 5.3587 | 0 |
| $\left[\mathrm{La}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{h}$ | 1.9931 |  | 827 1310 | ${ }_{16}^{2.8}$ | $-1.1$ | ${ }_{10} 8.0$ | ${ }_{6} 8$ | 6.9 | $i$ |
| $\mathrm{La}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 1.96 | 1.988 | 1310 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 7 | ; |

${ }^{a}$ See footnote $c$ to Table 7. ${ }^{b}$ L. A. Boatner, R. W. Reynolds, and M. M. Abraham, J. Chem. Phys., 1970, 52, 1248. e See footnote $b$ of Table 7. ${ }^{\text {d A. Hausmann, Solid State Comm., 1969, 7, 579. e Ref. 7. S W. Low and A. Zusman, Phys. Rev., } 1963,130, ~}$ 144. $\quad g$ H. A. Buckmaster, J. C. Dering, and D. J. I. Fry, J. Phys., 1968, C1, 599. ${ }^{h} \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is antipyrine. ${ }^{i}$ J. M. Baker and F. I. B. Williams, Proc. Phys. Soc., 1961, 78, 1340. ${ }^{\text {T D D Vivien. A. Kahn, and A. M. Lejus, Phys. Status Solidi, 1976, B73. } 593 .}$
$\mathrm{Gd}\left[\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}$ appear to be the largest values yet reported; the only others which approach it are also for trigonal symmetry: the charge compensated centres in $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SrF}_{2}$. We conclude that the silylamide ligands produce the largest zero-field splitting in the ground state of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ yet reported ( $c f$. the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions ${ }^{1}$ ) and that this effect is a property of the ligands as a whole rather than the triangular array of nitrogen atoms.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Tris[bis(trimethylsilyl)amido]-derivatives of gadolinium and lanthanum were prepared by the method of Bradley et al. ${ }^{2}$ Single crystals of both the pure gadolinium complex and gadolinium doped in different concentrations into the corresponding lanthanum complex were grown from toluene solutions under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. The compounds crystallize as thin needles. For the anisotropy studies well formed crystals of $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length were mounted both along the long axis and at right angles to the long axis in Spectrosil tubes under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen in a dry box. The needle axis of the crystal coincides with the trigonal axis of the molecule, so orientation in the cavity with respect to the magnetic field was straightforward.

Trisodium tris(pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylato)-derivatives of lanthanum and gadolinium were prepared by the method of Albertsson. ${ }^{5}$ Suitable single crystals, both of the pure gadolinium compound and of gadolinium doped into the lanthanum compound, were grown by slow evaporation
from aqueous solutions. The crystals effloresced, and were coated with a thin layer of Durofix. The crystals are triclinic and their Weissenberg $X$-ray photographs were used to orient them in the cavity.

All the spectra were obtained at $X$-band with the samples at room temperature.
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