Bimetallic Systems. Part 15.¹ Some Reactions of Platinum–Manganese Carbonyl Complexes containing Bridging Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂ (dppm) Ligands

Stuart W. Carr and Bernard L. Shaw*

School of Chemistry, The University, Leeds LS2 9JT

Treatment of $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}PtCI]$ with either Li(C=CPh) or with PhC=CH–NEt₃ gives $[(OC)_{2}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}(\mu-CO)Pt(C=CPh)]$ (1c), in which one of the CO ligands is probably weakly semi-bridging. A C=CC₆H₄Me-*p* complex was made similarly. Treatment of compound (1c) with HBF₄·Et₂O gives $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_{2}Pt(C=CPh)]BF_4$, reversibly. Treatment of $[(OC)_{3}Mn-(\mu-dppm)_{2}PtBr]$ with NaBH₄ or N₂H₄·H₂O gives the corresponding hydride $[(OC)_{2}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}-(\mu-CO)PtH]$ which reacts reversibly with HBF₄·Et₂O to give $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_{2}PtH]BF_4$. Treatment of $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}PtBr]$ with TIPF₆-CO gives $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}Pt(CO)]PF_6$, which is protonated by HBF₄·Et₂O to give $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_{2}Pt(CO)]BF_4(PF_6)$ and also reacts reversibly with OMe⁻ to give $[(OC)_{2}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}(\mu-CO)Pt(CO_{2}Me)]$. The compound $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}PtBr]$ reacts with CNBu^t to give $[(OC)_{2}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}(\mu-CO)Pt(CNBu^t)]^+$ which, in turn, could be protonated reversibly by HBF₄·Et₂O to give $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_{2}-Pt(CNBu^t)]^{2+}$, isolated as the mixed BF₄⁻⁻-PF₆⁻ salt. Treatment of $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}PtBr]$ with NOBF₄ gives an NO⁺ adduct possibly with a weakly bridging NO ligand, *viz*. $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}(\mu-NO)PtBr]BF_4$. Hydrogen-1, ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. and i.r. data are given.

The chemistry of manganese carbonyls and of related species such as manganese carbonyl cyanides and isonitrile complexes bridged by $Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2$ (dppm) is quite extensive. Most of it involves dimanganese derivatives bridged by two dppm ligands,²⁻¹⁰ although *mer,cis*-[MnBr(CO)₂(dppm-*PP'*)-(dppm-*P*)]¹¹ has been described, as have mixed manganese– palladium complexes of the type [(OC)₃Mn(µ-dppm)₂PdX] or [(OC)₂Mn(µ-dppm)₂(µ-CO)PdX], X = Cl or Br,^{12,13} with one CO strongly bonded to Mn, *i.e.* end-on but apparently weakly interacting with the Pd, a so-called semi-bridging CO. A tetranuclear dimanganese complex has also been described, *viz.* [Pd₂Mn₂(CO)₉(dppm)₂] with a triply bridging CO.¹³

We have shown in a previous paper ¹⁴ that treatment of *mer,cis*-[MnX(CO)₂(dppm-*PP'*)(dppm-*P*)] (X = Cl or Br) with [Pt(PPh₃)₄] under an atmosphere of CO gives mixed manganese(0)-platinum(1) complexes, analogous to the manganese(0)-palladium(1) complexes mentioned above and of type [(OC)₃Mn(μ -dppm)₂PtX] or [(OC)₂Mn(μ -dppm)₂(μ -CO)PtX], X = Br or Cl, with a weakly semi-bridging CO ligand as depicted in (1a) or (1b). We now describe an extensive chemistry of such complexes which, for convenience, is summarized in the Scheme.

Results and Discussion

Previously,¹⁴ we showed that $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (1a) reacted reversibly with HBF₄ to give $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]BF_4$. We wished to establish whether a corresponding acetylide complex $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(C\equiv CR)]$, *e.g.* with R = Ph, could be made and whether it would protonate similarly to give a μ -hydride, *i.e.* $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(C\equiv CR)]^+$, or whether the acetylide group would protonate to give a μ -alkylidene complex. We found that treatment of the chloro-complex (1b) with either Li(C=CPh) or with PhC=CH-NEt₃ gave the hoped for phenylacetylide complex (1c) in good yield. This complex was characterized by elemental analysis (Table 1) and the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectrum showed the expected AA'XX' pattern together with satellites due to coupling to platinum-195 (data in Table 2). The pattern of the P nuclei bonded to manganese is sharp, indicating that in this particular compound the quadrupolar manganese nucleus (⁵⁵Mn, $I = \frac{5}{2}$, 100% abundant) is relaxing rapidly, even at 20 °C. The PCH₂P resonance in the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum consisted of a singlet with satellites (due to platinum-195 coupling). The i.r. spectrum in solution showed a band at 2 120w cm⁻¹ due to v(C=C) and two bands due to v(C=O), 1 836s and 1 908m cm⁻¹; the low-frequency band is probably associated with a semi-bridging CO as with complexes of the type [(OC)₂Mn(μ -dppm)₂(μ -CO)MX], M = Pd or Pt, X = Cl or Br, mentioned above.¹²⁻¹⁴ We similarly made the corresponding *p*-tolylacetylide complex (1d); details in the Experimental section, characterizing data in Tables 1 and 2.

The presence or absence of a semi-bridging CO in these and other compounds described in this paper is not clear cut. Semibridging CO ligands have been described in some detail ¹⁵ and the bridging interaction can be induced by electronic and/or steric effects. Sometimes the bridging interaction is weak and in such cases v(CO) (semi-bridging) is quite high, *e.g.* for $[(OC)_2Mn(\mu-dppm)_2(\mu-CO)PdBr] v(CO)$ is at 1 860s cm⁻¹. It is arguable whether in such a case the CO is bridging or not.¹² For $[(OC)_2Mn(\mu-dppm)_2(\mu-\sigma,\eta-CO)Mn(CO)_2] v(CO)$ is at 1 645 cm⁻¹ and the unique CO is strongly bridging.²

Treatment of the phenylacetylide complex (1c) with an excess of HBF₄ rapidly gave the hydride adduct $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-H)]$ $dppm)_2Pt(C=CPh)]BF_4$ (2). The protonation is reversible and on treatment with methanol the proton was removed, giving back the starting complex (1c). The protonated complex was characterized by elemental analysis (Table 1), $^{31}P\text{-}\{^1\dot{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy (Table 2), and particularly by ${}^{1}H{-}{{}^{31}P}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy; the hydride resonance occurred at -15.0 p.p.m. and the relatively small value of ${}^{1}J(PtH)$ (584 Hz) was in agreement with a bridging hydride. The methylene hydrogens PCH_2P at ambient temperature showed a broad singlet with satellites (due to platinum-195) and when cooled to -90 °C a broad pattern (possibly of the AB type) was observed. There was no sign of a resonance which might be attributed to a bridging alkylidene group, viz. µ-C=CHPh. The i.r. spectrum as a Nujol mull showed three bands due to v(C=O) at 1868s,



Scheme. (i) HBF₄; (ii) MeOH; (iii) NaBH₄ or N₂H₄·H₂O; (iv) water; (v) CO + TIPF₆; (vi) NaOMe–MeOH; (vii) HPF₆; (viii) CNBu^t; (ix) NOBF₄

1 884s, and 1 952s and a medium-intensity band at 2 060 cm⁻¹ due to $v(C\equiv C)$. A v(Pt-H) was not observed but it may lie under the acetylide band as the v(Pt-H) bands appear in the region 2 050-2 060 cm⁻¹ for related complexes (see below).

We also found that the halogen in $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtX]$ could be replaced by hydride: treatment of the bromide (1a) with either NaBH₄ or with hydrazine hydrate gave the corresponding hydride $[(OC)_2Mn(\mu-dppm)_2(\mu-CO)PtH]$. This was characterized by elemental analysis and by the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectrum (Table 2). The ¹H-{³¹P} n.m.r. spectrum showed a hydride resonance at $\delta = -3.1$ p.p.m., with a large coupling to platinum-195 (1 145 Hz), indicative of a terminal hydride. The i.r. spectrum (in CH₂Cl₂ solution) showed a band due to v(Pt-H) at 2 004m cm⁻¹ and three bands due to v(C=O) at 1 794s, 1 822m, and 1 948m cm⁻¹. The very low-frequency band clearly indicates a bridging (or semi-bridging) CO and we formulate the compound as (3) with such a CO.

Treatment of the yellow terminal hydride complex (3) with HBF₄·Et₂O immediately gave a bright red complex which we formulate as the dihydride $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2PtH]$ -

BF₄. The protonation was reversed on the addition of water. This proton-addition complex showed a characteristic AA'XX' ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ n.m.r. pattern with satellites due to platinum-195 and in the ${}^{1}H-{}^{31}P$ n.m.r. pattern it showed a terminal hydride resonance at $\delta = -2.0$ p.p.m., ${}^{1}J(PtH) = 1.272$ Hz, and a bridging hydride resonance at -12.3 p.p.m., ${}^{1}J(PtH) = 561$ Hz: the two hydrides were mutually coupled, ${}^{2}J(HH) = 5.8$ Hz. We formulate this complex therefore as (4). The absence of an i.r. absorption band due to v(CO) below 1.888 cm⁻¹ suggests that all the CO groups are terminal, as was found for $[(OC)_{3}Mn(\mu-dppm)_{2}(\mu-H)PtBr]^{+.14}$

We also investigated displacement of the halide ligand from complex (1a) or (1b) by neutral ligands such as CO or CNBu^t. Treatment of the bromide (1a) with TlPF₆ in the presence of CO gave TlBr and the cationic platinum carbonyl complex $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CO)]PF_6$ (5). Characterizing elemental analytical and i.r. and n.m.r. data are in the Tables. In particular, in the i.r. spectrum (in CH₂Cl₂) there was an absorption band at 2 067m cm⁻¹ due to v(C=O)(Pt-bonded). This complex, which contains a manganese-platinum bond, was

Table 2. $^{31}P\mbox{-}\{^1H\}$ and $^1H\mbox{-}\{^{31}P\}$ n.m.r. data

Table 1. Analytical and i.r. (cm⁻¹) data

e I. Analytical and	I.r. data ^b									
Complex	A			Carbonyl						
	Analyses (%)"			Solid	Solution					
	C	н	Cl or Br			Others				
(1c)•0.25C ₆ H ₆	61.2 (61.3)	4.1 (4.1)		1 780s, 1 832s, 1 909m	1 836s, 1 908m	v(C=C) 2 105w (solid) 2 120w (solution)				
(1 d)	61.4 (61.1)	4.0 (4.2)		1 786s, 1 842s, 1 910m	1 850s, 1 930m	v(C=C) 2 105w (solid) 2 112w (solution)				
(2)-1.5CH ₂ Cl ₂	51.1 (51.5)	3.65 (3.65)	7.3 (7.3)	1 868s, 1 884s, 1 952s	1 865s, 1 885s, 1 985s	v(C=C) 2 060m (solid) 2 054m (solution)				
$(3) \cdot CH_2 Cl_2$	54.75 (54.6)	3.85 (4.0)	5.8 (5.9)	1 792s, 1 824s, 1 948s	1 794s, 1 822s, 1 948m	v(Pt-H) 2 000m (solid) 2 004m (solution)				
$(4) \cdot CH_2 Cl_2$	50.4 (50.8)	3.6 (3.8)	5.5 (5.55)	1 888s, 1 940s	1 892s, 1 942s	v(Pt-H) 2 059m, 2 018m (solid) 2 061m, 2 015m (solution)				
(5)	50.9 (50.8)	3.3 (3.3)		1 880s, 1 898s, 1 960m, 2 051m	1 881s, 1 890s, 1 958m, 2 067m					
(6)	47.4 (47.4)	3.4 (3.3)		1 942s, 1 982s, 2 036m ^c	1 936s, 1 990s, 2 045m	v(Pt-H) 2 050m ^c (solid)				
(7)•1.5CH ₂ Cl ₂	52.4 (52.6)	3.9 (3.9)	8.0 (8.2)	1 778s, 1 824s, 1 899s	1 825s, 1 904m	v(C=O) 1 637m, 1 626m (solid) 1 630m (solution)				
(8a)•0.75C ₆ H ₆ ^d	56.8 (56.7)	8.3 (8.4)	6.4 (6.0)	1 840s, 1 852s, 1 926m	1 860s, 1 942s	v(C≡N) 2 185m (solid) 2 188m (solution)				
(8b) •0.25C ₆ H ₆ ^e	57.7 (57.6)	4.5 (4.4)	2.5 (2.8)	1 845(sh), 1 855s, 1 930m	1 850(sh), 1 872s, 1 940m	v(C≡N) 2 192m (solid) 2 182m (solution)				
(8c) ^{<i>f</i>}	52.1 (52.3)	3.9 (4.0)		1 850s, 1 868s, 1 933m	1 850(sh), 1 860s, 1 936m	v(C≡N) 2 180m (solid) 2 186m (solution)				
(9)•CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^g	47.4 (47.1)	3.9 (3.7)	4.5 (4.7)	1 895w, 1 931s, 1 958s	1 944s, 1 968s, 1 986s	v(C=N) 2 240m (solid) v(Pt-H) 2 051m (solid) v(C=N) 2 228w (solution)				
(10) ^{<i>h</i>}	48.7 (49.0)	3.45 (3.4)	6.2 (6.1)	1 826(sh), 1 850s, 1 981s	1 828(sh), 1 850s, 1 979s	v(NO) 1 732vs (solid) v(NO) 1 729vs (solution)				

^a Calculated values in parentheses. The presence of solvent of crystallization in some of the complexes was confirmed by ¹H n.m.r. spectroscopy. ^b As Nujol mulls (solid) or in dichloromethane (solution). v = very, s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, and sh = shoulder. The assignments of v(Pt-H) to a bridging hydride in compounds (4), (6), and (9) are very tentative. We suggest that the hydride in these cations is much more strongly bonded to Pt than to Mn, hence the high frequency. However, these bands could be due to v(CO) (terminal) and the values of v(PtH) might be at a much lower frequency and obscured. ^c See text. ^d N 1.0 (1.0%). ^e N 0.8 (1.1%). ^f N 1.1 (1.1%). ^g N 0.9 (0.9%). ^h N 1.1 (1.1%).

	, (-)			¹ H ^{a,c}						
	³¹ P ^{<i>a</i>,b}				Methylene		Hydride				
Complex	δP(Mn)	δP(Pt)	$^{1}J(PtP)$	Nd	$\delta(PCH_2P)$	$^{3}J(\text{PtCH}_{2})$	δΗ	¹ J(PtH)	Others		
(1c)	81.3	21.0	2 819	107	4.02	38.6					
(1d)	81.6	21.2	2 756	107	3.82	49.8			$\delta(CH_3)$ 2.06		
(2)	53.6	14.1	1 967	78	4.15	36.2	-15.0	584			
(3)	84.7	26.3	2 941	110	3.97	35.6	-3.1	1 145			
(4)	53.7	14.1	2 309	71	4.06	30.8	-2.0	1 272			
							-12.3	561			
							$^{2}J(\text{HH})$	5.8			
(5)	69.5	17.0	2744	110	4.50	53.7					
(6)	53.9	14.1	2 951	71	4.67	39.1	-17.2	931			
(7)	80.9	17.0	2 991	105	4.05	41.3			$\delta(CH_3)$ 2.26, ⁴ J(Pt-H) 5.6		
(8a)	81.5	21.3	2 7 5 0	107	4.30	49.3			δ(Bu') 0.51		
(8b)	74.5	19.6	2 734	110	4.29	49.3			δ(Bu ^t) 0.50		
(8c)	74.5	19.6	2 722	110	4.28	49.1			δ(B u ^t) 0.50		
(9)	56.4	13.3	2 101	75	4.47	42.9	-17.1	745	δ(Bu ^t) 0.52		
(10)	57.7	19.5	2 488	112	3.62, 3.06 3.70, ^e 2.99 ^e ² J(HH) 11.8	f			、 <i>,</i>		

^{*a*} Recorded in CD₂Cl₂ solution at 21 °C, unless stated otherwise. Coupling constants in Hz. ^{*b*} Positive shifts to high frequency of external 85% H₃PO₄. ^{*c*} Chemical shifts (δ) in p.p.m. (\pm 0.01 p.p.m.) relative to SiMe₄, coupling constants (*J*) in Hz (\pm 0.3 Hz). ^{*d*} N = [²J(P_{Mn}P_{Pt}) + ⁴J(P_{Mn}P'_{Pt})]. ^{*e*} Data at 0 °C. ^{*f*} Not observed.

protonated when treated with $HBF_4 \cdot Et_2O$ to give the dication $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CO)]^{2+}$ isolated as the mixed

 BF_4 - PF_6 - salt (6); characterizing analytical and spectroscopic data are in the Tables. In particular, in the ${}^{1}H{-}{{}^{31}P}$ n.m.r.

spectrum, it showed a hydride resonance at -17.2 p.p.m. with ${}^{1}J(PtH) = 931$ Hz and in the i.r. spectrum it showed two bands above 2 000 cm⁻¹, viz. at 2 050m and 2 036m cm⁻¹, one of which might be due to v(Pt-H) although the dipositive charge (i.e. a 2 + cation) would make the wavenumbers for CO large. The high values for v(CO) indicated the absence of a semi-bridging CO. We also found that the cationic carbonyl complex (5)reacted reversibly with methoxide ion. Thus, treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol-dichloromethane gave the neutral methoxycarbonyl complex (7). The characterizing data are in the Tables. In particular, in the ¹H-{³¹P} n.m.r. spectrum, the observation of coupling between platinum-195 and the CH_3 , ${}^4J(PtOCH_3) = 5.6$ Hz shows that the methoxide attack has occurred on the platinum-bonded carbonyl. In the i.r. spectrum (CH₂Cl₂ solution) the carbonyl absorption v(C=O) for CO₂Me occurs at 1 630 cm⁻¹. The occurrence of a v(C=O) band at 1 778s cm⁻¹ suggests a semi-bridging C≡O ligand as depicted. Treatment of complex (7) with the equivalent amount of acid gave back the cationic carbonyl complex (5) $({}^{31}P-{}^{1}H)$ n.m.r. evidence).

t-Butyl isocyanide reacted rapidly with the metal-metal bonded bromo complex $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (1a) to give a cationic complex $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtGr]$ (1a) to give a cationic complex $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (8a): the chloride (8b) was prepared similarly. Treatment of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ with TlPF₆-Bu¹NC gave the corresponding PF₆ salt (8c). All three complexes were characterized by elemental analysis and by i.r. and n.m.r. spectroscopy. A semi-bridging C=O ligand may be present. The PF₆ salt was protonated when treated with an excess of HBF₄-Et₂O. The resultant hydride dication was isolated as the mixed PF₆-BF₄ salt (9). It gave a good elemental (C, H) analysis (Table 1); the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectrum showed a pattern typical of an AA'XX' system, with satellites due to platinum-195 and in the ¹H-{³¹P} n.m.r. spectrum the hydride resonance occurs at -17.1 p.m., ¹J(PtH) = 745 Hz. The wavenumbers for v(C=O) suggest the absence of a semi-bridging C=O ligand.

We have also studied the action of NO⁺ on the manganese(0)-platinum(I) complex (1a), which when treated with NOBF₄ in dichloromethane gave an adduct salt in 84% yield. We tentatively formulate this complex as $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2(\mu-NO)PtBr]BF_4$ (10) on the basis of elemental analysis (Table 1), the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. data (Table 2), the occurrence of three carbonyl stretching bands in the i.r. spectrum (Table 1), and a very intense band at 1 729 cm⁻¹ due to NO. At +21 °C the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum shows two broad resonances for PCH₂P which at 0 °C became an AB pattern $\delta_1 = 3.70$, $\delta_2 = 2.99$, ²J(H¹H²) = 11.8 Hz.

Experimental

The general procedures and apparatus used were the same as in other recent publications from this laboratory.¹⁴

Preparations.—[(OC)₃Mn(μ -dppm)₂Pt(C=CPh)] (1c). (i) Using HC=CPh. Phenylacetylene (2 cm³) and triethylamine (2 cm³) were added to a solution of [(OC)₃Mn(μ -dppm)₂PtCl] (0.100 g, 0.087 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 cm³). The resultant mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 24 h, over which period a white precipitate formed. The mixture was then evaporated to dryness and the required product isolated with benzene. It formed yellow needles from benzene–light petroleum (b.p. 30–40 °C). Yield 0.065 g (58%). The *p*-tolylacetylide complex (1d) was prepared analogously in 65% yield.

(*ii*) Using Hg(C=CPh)₂. A solution of Hg(C=CPh)₂ (0.018 g, 0.044 mmol) in benzene (3 cm³) was added to a stirred solution

of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtCl]$ (0.100 g, 0.087 mmol) in benzene (5 cm³). The mixture was then stirred for 1 h, filtered, and the filtrate evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with diethyl ether, the product isolated and purified by three recrystallizations from benzene–n-hexane. Yield 0.020 g (19%).

(*iii*) Using Li(C=CPh). The compound $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtCl]$ (0.10 g, 0.087 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of Li(C=CPh), prepared from HC=CPh (0.013 g, 0.13 mmol) and LiBuⁿ (0.087 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (thf) (10 cm³). The mixture was stirred for a further 2 h and then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The required product was isolated with dichloromethane and purified as above. Yield 0.059 g (52%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(C=CPh)]BF_4$ (2). An excess of HBF₄·Et₂O (20 µl) was added to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(C=CPh)]$ (0.050 g, 0.041 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 cm³). The mixture was left to stand for 1 h. Diethyl ether was then added to the solution and the required product separated as deep yellow prisms. Yield 0.031 g (56%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtH]$ (3). (i) Using NaBH₄. Sodium tetrahydroborate (0.050 g, 1.3 mmol) was added with vigorous stirring to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (0.10 g, 0.084 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 cm³). Methanol (3 cm³) was then added and after stirring for a further 20 min the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated to low volume under reduced pressure. The required product separated as yellow microcrystals and was collected. Yield 0.061 g (61%).

(*ii*) Using N_2H_4 · H_2O . A mixture of N_2H_4 · H_2O (0.1 cm³) and [(OC)₃Mn(µ-dppm)₂PtBr] (0.10 g, 0.084 mmol) was stirred for 16 h in dichloromethane (10 cm³). The dichloromethane layer was washed with water and then evaporated to dryness and the residue triturated with diethyl ether to give the required product. This formed yellow microcrystals from dichloromethane-diethyl ether. Yield 0.085 g (85%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2PtH]BF_4$ (4). The adduct HBF₄· Et₂O (20 µl) was added to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtH]$ (0.10 g, 0.090 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 cm³). The solution changed immediately to red and on addition of diethyl ether gave the required product as red prisms. Yield 0.061 g (56%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CO)]PF_6$ (5). The compound $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (0.080 g, 0.067 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of TIPF₆ (0.022 g, 0.13 mmol) in acetone (20 cm³) saturated with carbon monoxide; TIBr was precipitated and the solution became orange. The mixture was stirred for 1 h then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and the product isolated with dichloromethane. It formed orange prisms from dichloromethane–n-hexane. Yield 0.058 g (61%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CO)]BF_4(PF_6)$ (6). An excess of HBF₄·Et₂O (100 µl) was added to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CO)]PF_6$ (0.050 g, 0.039 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 cm³). Diethyl ether (3 cm³) was then added to the deep red solution and the required product gradually separated, as red prisms. Yield 0.035 g (66%).

 $[(OC)_2Mn(\mu-dppm)_2(\mu-CO)Pt(CO_2Me)]$ (7). A methanolic solution of sodium methoxide (0.26 cm³, 0.152 mol dm⁻³, 0.039 mmol) was added to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt-(CO)]PF_6$ (0.050 g, 0.039 mmol) in dichloromethane (1.5 cm³). The required product separated as yellow needles from the resultant solution. Yield 0.034 g (68%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CNBu^i)]Cl (8b)$. t-Butyl isocyanide (15 µl, 0.18 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtCl]$ (0.085 g, 0.074 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³). The required product precipitated almost immediately as a yellow, microcrystalline solid. This was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether, and dried. Yield 0.051 g (56%). The analogous bromide complex (8a) was prepared similarly in 67% yield.

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CNBu')]PF_6$ (8c). The compound $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (0.20 g, 0.17 mmol) was added with stirring to a solution of TIPF₆ (0.042 g, 0.25 mmol) and Bu'NC (21 µl, 0.25 mmol) in benzene (20 cm³). After 30 min the resultant mixture was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and the product was isolated with dichloromethane and washed with diethyl ether. Yield 0.161 g (72%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-H)(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CNBu^{1})]BF_4(PF_6)$ (9). A large excess of HBF₄·Et₂O (80 µl) was added to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2Pt(CNBu^{1})]PF_6$ (0.050 g, 0.039 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 cm³). Diethyl ether was then added until the solution was just turbid. The required product then gradually separated over a period of 1 h as deep red prisms. Yield 0.303 g (56%).

 $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2(\mu-NO)PtBr]BF_4$ (10). The salt NOBF₄ (0.020 g, 0.17 mmol) was added to a solution of $[(OC)_3Mn(\mu-dppm)_2PtBr]$ (0.20 g, 0.17 mmol) in dichloromethane (30 cm³). The resultant mixture was then stirred for 3 h, filtered, and n-hexane added to the filtrate until it became just turbid. The solution was then cooled to -20 °C when it deposited the required product as deep red prisms. Yield 0.185 g (84%).

Acknowledgements

We thank the S.E.R.C. for support and Johnson Matthey Ltd. for the generous loan of platinum salts.

References

- 1 Part 14, D. M. McEwan, D. P. Markham, P. G. Pringle, and B. L. Shaw, preceding paper.
- 2 R. Colton and C. J. Commons, Aust. J. Chem., 1975, 28, 1673.
- 3 K. G. Caulton and P. Adair, J. Organomet. Chem., 1976, 114, C11.
- 4 A. Balch and L. S. Benner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, 135, 339.
- 5 J. A. Marsella and K. G. Caulton, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 274.
- 6 G. Ferguson, W. J. Laws, M. Parvez, and R. J. Puddephatt, Organometallics, 1983, 2, 276.
- 7 H. C. Aspinall and A. J. Deeming, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1981, 724.
- 8 H. C. Aspinall, A. J. Deeming, and S. Donovan-Mtunzi, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1983, 2669.
- 9 L. S. Benner, M. M. Otmstead, and A. L. Balch, J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 159, 289.
- 10 H. C. Aspinall and A. J. Deeming, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 743.
- 11 V. Carrieda, V. Riera, and J. Santamaria, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 234, 175.
- 12 B. F. Hoskins, R. J. Steen, and T. W. Turney, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 1831.
- 13 P. Braunstein, J-M. Jud, and J. Fischer, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 5.
- 14 S. W. Carr, B. L. Shaw, and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 2131.
- 15 F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, 'Advanced Inorganic Chemistry,' 4th edn., Wiley, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, 1980, pp. 1057-1061.

Received 10th October 1985; Paper 5/1745