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#### Abstract

The reaction (refluxing ethanol) of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ with closo- $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ affords two products. One, characterised spectroscopically, is [ $\left.\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]$. The second product, isolated after recrystallisation from dichloromethane ethanol solution is [2,2- $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{SePtB}{ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1). An $X$-ray diffraction study shows crystals of (1) to be triclinic, space group $P \overline{1}$, with two formula units in a cell of dimensions $a=12.837$ (3), $b=14.534(2), c=11.898(3) \AA, \alpha=96.59(2)$, $\beta=107.31$ (2), $\gamma=81.89(1)^{\circ}$. Using 5046 reflections, the structure was refined to $R=0.028$. The dodecahedral $\mathrm{SePtB}_{10}$ cage has Se and Pt atoms occupying adjacent sites. The principal dimensions include the bond lengths $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se} 2.676$ (1), Se-B 2.098(9)-2.210(6), $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ 2.326(1) and 2.350 (2), and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B} 2.231$ (8)-2.311 (6) $\AA$ and an interplanar angle of $80.0^{\circ}$ between the plane containing the $\mathrm{PtP}_{2}$ unit and that containing the Se and Pt atoms and passing through the mid-point of the $B(2)-B(3)$ bond opposite the Se atom. The crystal and molecular structure of $\mathrm{Se}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ was studied by $X$-ray diffraction methods in an attempt to provide more information on $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ bonding. Crystals of $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ are cubic, space group Pa3, with four molecules in a cell, $a=9.847$ (3) $\AA$. The structure was refined to $R=0.075$ for 164 reflections. The molecule lies on a site with $\overline{3}$ crystallographic symmetry; the electron density and refinement are consistent with scrambling of the Se atom and eleven B-H groups over the twelve dodecahedral positions. This precluded any precise determination of $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ bond distances.


Several routes are now established for the synthesis of cage substituted metalla-boranes, -carbaboranes, and -heteroboranes. ${ }^{1.2}$ Perhaps the most common reactions are the 'direct' insertions, as exemplified by Stone and co-workers, ${ }^{3}$ and salt elimination reactions which use metal halides and appropriate boron cluster anions. Most reactions are not simple and little is understood about the mechanisms. Many reactions are accompanied by loss of ligands (usually $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ or CO ) from metal fragments or the elimination of hydrogen. In several reactions partial degradation of the boron cluster cage occurs to give unexpected products. An example of the complications which can occur in heteroborane chemistry is given by the reaction in ethanol of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}^{\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] \text { and either closo }-\mathrm{SB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \text { or closo- }}\right.$ $\mathrm{SB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \cdot{ }^{4}$ It was expected that an oxidative addition would occur to give an exo-polyhedral platinum closo sulphur-borane species. Instead nido- $\left[9,9-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-6,9-\mathrm{SPtB}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$ was isolated from both reactions. It is noteworthy that $\mathrm{SB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ had reacted quite differently to the previously reported isoelectronic system closo-1,2- $\mathrm{R}_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or Me$)$. The carbaborane failed to react even with $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{3}\right] \text { which is much more }}\right.$ reactive than $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] .{ }^{5}$ Likewise the closo- $\left[\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right]^{2-}$ anion is unreactive towards $\left[\mathrm{Pt}^{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ species. ${ }^{6}$ To investigate further the effect of the heteroatom on the reactivity of the borane cage we decided to examine the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ with closo-1-SeB ${ }_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$. The products were closo-[2,2- $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{SePtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ [ $\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ ].

[^0]
## Results and Discussion

Reaction of $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ in refluxing ethanol for 18 h gave two products, one brown and the other orange. The brown compound was characterised spectroscopically as $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]$. The presence of a terminal $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is indicated by a strong i.r. stretching mode at $2110 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Absorptions for the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and borane ligands are also seen in the i.r. spectrum. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of the $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}$cation has previously been reported for a series of carboxylic acid derivatives. The present compound shows the unusual and complex splitting pattern in the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectra which is exactly analogous to previously analysed spectra. In particular there are two double doublets at the centre of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ region ( $c a .5 .8$ p.p.m.) which almost certainly arise from second-order effects and which have been explained satisfactorily previously. ${ }^{7}$ The integrated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonances associated with the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and borane ligands are in the ratio $45: 11$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectrum in the borane region shows broad singlets in the ratio $2: 2: 1: 2: 2: 1: 1$. The undecoupled ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum confirms that the resonance at highest field in this region ( -4.10 p.p.m.), is due to the single bridging proton. The $115.5-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum shows six resonances at positions very close to those previously reported in the $70.6-\mathrm{MHz}$ spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]^{-} ; ;^{8} \delta /$ p.p.m., present work (ref. 8): $-5.6(-6.0), 2 \mathrm{~B} ;-9.2(-9.5), 2 \mathrm{~B} ;-14.6(-15)$, $1 \mathrm{~B} ;-16.3,2 \mathrm{~B}$, and $-17.6,2$ B $(-16.8,4 \mathrm{~B}) ;-35.6(-35.9), 1$ B.

The i.r. and n.m.r. spectra of the orange product show no signs of $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ units. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectrum indicates that the ratio of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ protons to borane protons is $3: 1$. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectrum is consistent with the presence of ten B atoms in the ratio $1: 3: 2: 2: 2$. Although the compounds $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{5}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)\right]^{2-}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}$ or Fe$)$ have
been characterised spectroscopically, ${ }^{8}$ and some thia ${ }^{9}$ and tellura ${ }^{8}$ analogues have been obtained, no crystallographic studies have been reported. Hence an $X$-ray diffraction study of a single crystal of the orange product grown from dichloromethane ethanol solution (1) was undertaken.

The crystals of (1) $\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ contain discrete, neutral monomeric molecules of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Se}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules of solvation. The structural analysis shows that there is no imposed symmetry on the molecule (1) and that Pt and Se


Figure 1. View of $\left[2,2-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{SePtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right.$ ] with the numbering scheme. For clarity, the heavy atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius and hydrogen atoms are omitted
occupy adjacent sites of a slightly distorted dodecahedron $\mathrm{PtSeB}_{10}$. Figure 1 gives a perspective view of (1) with the atomic numbering scheme. Table 1 lists the polyhedral bond distances and interbond angles. The overall cluster structure is that of a closo dodecahedron as expected from Wade's rules where a BH unit in $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ has been replaced by $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} .{ }^{10}$

The $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}$ bonding distances fall within the range 2.231(8)$2.311(6) \AA$, and are typical of platinum-substituted borane clusters. ${ }^{2.4}$ Likewise the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bond distances [2.326(1) and $2.350(2) \AA]$ are typical of platinum phosphines. With respect to B-B bonds, the mean $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ distance in $\mathrm{SeB}_{2}$ deltoid faces [1.919(10) $\AA$ ] is longer than in $\mathrm{PtB}_{2}$ faces $\left[1.813(10) \AA\right.$ ] and $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ faces [1.768(10) $\AA$ ]. The wide range of B-B distances (1.702$1.965 \AA$ ) is typical of metallaborane systems in general. ${ }^{2.4}$ Although no closo-selenaborane structures have been reported previously, some comparisons may be made with closo-[2,2'-(1$\left.\left.\mathrm{SB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}\right],{ }^{11}$ closo- $\left[\mathrm{Se}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{2-}$ which has an exo-polyhedral $\mathrm{Se}_{3}$ chain, ${ }^{12}$ and nido- $\left[7-\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-7,8,12-\mathrm{CoSe}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right] .{ }^{13}$ The B-B distances in closo- $\left[\mathrm{Se}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{2-}$ and the thiaborane dimer are in the ranges $1.738(20)-2.091(21)$ and $1.689(8)-1.940(4) \AA$ respectively, whilst in the nido compound they are 1.708(7)2.007(7) A.

Two $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are observed in (1). For bonds to atoms $\mathrm{B}(1)$ and $\mathrm{B}(4)$, which are also attached to Pt , the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are 2.210(6) and 2.198(7) $\AA$ whereas for bonds to $B(8)$ and $B(9)$ the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are 2.098(9) and 2.101(7) $\AA$ respectively. In the nido-cobaltadiselenaborane the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ distances lie in the range $2.004(5)-2.160(5) ~ \AA$. However comparison with (1) is difficult since the two selenium atoms in the nido compound are in an open face and interact with only two or three B atoms respectively. The exo-polyhedral $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ bond distances in $\left[\mathrm{Se}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{2-}$ are $2.016(15)$ and $2.023(16) \AA$. Bearing in mind the difference in covalent radii of Se and S which is generally taken to be $0.11-0.13 \AA$, the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ distances in (1) are comparable with the S-B distance of $1.930(8) \AA$ in closo- $\left[2,2^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left(1-\mathrm{SB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ ].

Table 1. Important molecular dimensions for $\left[\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PtSeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right](1)$, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses
(a) Bond lengths $(\AA)$

| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.350(2) | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.311(6) | Pt -B(4) | 2.299(7) | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | 2.098(9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.326 (1) | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 2.267(6) | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.210(6) | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $2.101(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}$ | 2.676(1) | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 2.231(8) | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | 2.198(7) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ in the $\mathrm{PtSe}_{10}$ cage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Se-B-B triangles |  | Pt - $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ triangles |  | B-B-B triangles |  |
|  | Range | 1.843-1.965(11) |  | 1.767-1.840(10) |  | 1.702-1.825(10) |  |
|  | Mean | 1.919(10) |  | 1.813(10) |  | 1.768(10) |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ | Range | 1.823-1.849(4) |  | B-H Range |  | 1.00-1.24 |  |
|  | Mean | 1.833(4) |  | Mean |  | 1.11 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | Constrained to be | 1.395 |  | C-H Constrained to be |  | 0.95 |  |

(b) Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $97.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $52.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $55.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $122.7(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}$ | $105.2(1)$ | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $88.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $100.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $108.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $86.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $87.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $101.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $104.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $106.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $51.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $87.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $96.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $149.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $47.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $90.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $115.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $156.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $80.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $54.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $113.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}$ | $119.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $82.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(8)$ | $53.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $117.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $171.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $46.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $91.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $107.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $137.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $81.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(8)-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(9)$ | $52.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $101.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $99.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $47.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $108.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(51)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $100.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $90.6(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $55.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $115.5(2)$ |  |  |



Figure 2. View of the Pt co-ordination in (1) observed normal to the $B(1), B(2), B(3), B(4)$,Se plane

Although the Pt -Se distance reported here [2.676(1) $\AA$ ] is unique since no analogous system has been studied previously, it may be compared with the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}$ bond in nido-[2,2-( $\left.\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}-2-$ $\mathrm{H}-1,2-\mathrm{SPtB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ] which is $2.43 \AA .{ }^{14}$

The bonding between the $\mathrm{PtP}_{2}$ unit and the $\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ unit is of interest. A view normal to the $\mathrm{SeB}_{4}$ plane is given in Figure 2. The interplanar angle between the plane containing $\operatorname{PtP}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)$ and the plane through $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Se}$, and the mid-point of the $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ bond is $80.0^{\circ}$, i.e. approaches $90^{\circ}$. This orientation can be rationalised by consideration of the h.o.m.o.-l.u.m.o. (highest occupied and lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals) interactions of the $\mathrm{PtP}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ or analogous $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ units. The analysis is similar to that of Mingos et al. ${ }^{15}$ who studied $\mathrm{ML}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{CNBu}^{1}\right)_{2}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ derivatives of $1-\mathrm{NMe}_{3}-1-$ $\mathrm{CB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ and $3,4-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$. In the present case the energy level ordering and composition of the m.o.s of the $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ unit were calculated using the MNDO program, ${ }^{16}$ allowing free variation of all bond lengths. The form and composition of the h.o.m.o.s and l.u.m.o.s of the $\mathrm{PtP}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ units are shown schematically in Figure 3. The h.o.m.o. of $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ has $52 \%$ of its composition contributed by the $\mathrm{SB}_{4}$ face atoms while the l.u.m.o. has $56 \%$. From the observed structure it is clear that the conformation of the isoelectronic $\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ compound (1) is consistent with the major interaction occurring between the $\mathrm{XB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ l.u.m.o. $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Se})$ and $\mathrm{ML}_{2}$ h.o.m.o. $(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pt}$, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ). Mingos et al. ${ }^{15}$ reached similar conclusions in their more detailed analysis of metallacarbaboranes.
Several tentative comments may be made concerning the mode of formation of (1). It appears that solvent participation is important. The ethanol could act to degrade $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$, to initiate the dissociation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ into $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, and as a proton source for the formation of $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}$. Stereoselective degradation of $\mathrm{SB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ or $\mathrm{SB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ in alcoholic KOH to nido anions $\left[\mathrm{SB}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{-}$and [ $\left.\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]^{-}$respectively has been reported, ${ }^{17}$ and protonations of $\left.\left[\operatorname{Pt}(\operatorname{PR})_{3}\right)_{n}\right]$ species by alcohol $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}),{ }^{18}$ or carboxylic acids $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})^{7}$ are established in the literature. However, in the present case the highly nucleophilic nature of the zero-valent Pt complex may provide the driving force for the production of the ionic product $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}$by direct attack on the selenaborane. If such an attack initiated the disruption of $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ as well as B-H bonds, then degradation of the selenaborane cage by ethanol would be facilitated. The isolation of [8-OEt-$\left.9,9-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-6,9-\mathrm{SPtB}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]$ from the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $\mathrm{SB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ in ethanol further supports the view that solvent participation is important. ${ }^{4}$ Previous experiments by Rudolph and co-workers ${ }^{4}$ have established that reaction of $\mathrm{SB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{4}\right]$ in aprotic solvents (e.g. cyclohexane) was consistent with the formation of a product


Figure 3. Conformation of the $\mathrm{PtL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ unit on $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ : interaction of l.u.m.o.s and h.o.m.o.s
with Pt inserted into an exo-polyhedral B-H bond and no cage degradation. ${ }^{4}$
The isolation of two products from the reaction of $\mathrm{SeB}_{1,} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ is of interest. In an attempt to discover if the ionic product $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]$ is an intermediate in the formation of $\left[2,2-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{SePtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$, the ionic material was isolated and an ethanol solution was refluxed for 18 h . Thinlayer chromatography of the reaction solution showed unreacted starting material ( $c a .90 \%$ ) and some (1) ( $c a .5 \%$ ). Hence only a small fraction of (1) is formed via the ionic material. Such a reaction would be unusual. Whereas the elimination of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or a ligand such as $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ is well known during reactions producing metallaboranes or carbaboranes and often leads to cage closure, such eliminations usually occur when the metal unit is already attached as part of the cluster cage. ${ }^{2}$ In the present case it appears that $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ are both lost from the ionic intermediate either prior to or accompanying the insertion of the metal into the cage.
Previously some spectroscopic evidence for an intermediate species [ $\left.\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]$ has been mentioned in the work on the reaction of $\mathrm{SB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{4}\right]$ (see ref. 38 in ref. 4). Prolonged reaction afforded nido- $\left[9,9-\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}-\right.$ $6,9-\mathrm{SPtB}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ ] in $30 \%$ yield. The platinaselenaborane produced in the present reaction is more stable to further degradation than the corresponding thiaborane derivative. The reasons for this are unclear at present and require further investigation.

Because of the absence of any structural data on selenaboranes in the literature it was decided to study the crystal and molecular structure of $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ by single-crystal $X$-ray diffraction methods. A suitable crystal was grown from dichloromethane-ethanol solution. The crystal has a cubic space group (Pa3) and the molecule lies on a site with $\overline{3}$ crystallographic symmetry. The electron density and refinement are consistent with complete scrambling of the Se atom and eleven B-H groups over the 12 dodecahedral positions, see Figure 4. While no meaningful discussions of the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B}$ or $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond distance are possible, the values found on refinement are in the anticipated ranges: $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{B} 2.07-2.12(3)$, B-B 1.87-1.91(3) $\AA$. The latter may be compared with values of 1.787 and $1.777(2)$ $\AA$ for $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bonds in $\left[\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right]^{2-}$ (which has 3 crystallographic symmetry). ${ }^{19}$


Figure 4. ORTEP plot of $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ showing scrambled Se and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ groups

## Experimental

Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr discs on Perkin-Elmer 257 and 457 spectrometers. The n.m.r. spectra were recorded on a Bruker WH360 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are relative to $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and external $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right)$; positive values represent shifts to high frequency (low field) of the standards. Mass spectra were recorded on a AEI-Kratos MS3074 doublebeam spectrometer. All experiments were carried out under dry, oxygen-free nitrogen. Solvents were dried and distilled under nitrogen before use. The compound $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ was obtained from Fluorochem Ltd. (England), and used as supplied. The compound closo- $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ was prepared by the literature method ${ }^{20}$ with the slight modification of reacting the [ $\left.\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right]^{-}$ion as $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right] \cdot x \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}\right)_{2}$ in a slurry in $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}\right)_{2}$, and extracting the product using the Soxhlet procedure (yield $25 \%$; lit., ${ }^{20} 18 \%$ ). The compound $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ was identified from its i.r. spectrum, $v_{\text {max }}$ at 2585 (sh) (BH), $2567 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{BH}), 2530 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{BH}), 2512 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{BH}), 1014 \mathrm{~s}, 910 \mathrm{~s}, 853 \mathrm{~m}$, 770 m , and $722 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1} ;{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 115.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \delta 24.1(1),-2.6(5),-3.5(5)$; and mass spectrum, cut-off at $m / z 214\left(P^{+}\right)$(calc. for ${ }^{82} \mathrm{Se}^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{11}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{11}, 214$ ).

Reaction of closo- $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right]$ - A solution of closo- $-\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}\right](0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48$ mmol ) in ethanol ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was refluxed for 18 h . On cooling, a mixture of brown and orange products was observed. These were filtered under nitrogen and separated manually to give $c a$. $0.05 \mathrm{~g}(c a .10 \%)$ of the brown material. The orange material was recrystallised $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) from ethanol-dichloromethane ( $1: 1$ ) to give orange crystals of $\left[2,2-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{SePtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1) $(0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%)$. Attempted recrystallisation of the brown material from ethanol-dichloromethane gave a mixture of the brown and orange products.

The brown material was characterised spectroscopically as $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right]: v_{\text {max }}$ at $3055 \mathrm{~m}, 2960 \mathrm{~m}, 2520 \mathrm{vs}$ (BH), $2440 \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{BH}), 2110 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{PtH}), 1480 \mathrm{vs}, 1435 \mathrm{vs}, 1312 \mathrm{~m}$, $1263 \mathrm{~m}, 1184 \mathrm{w}, 1163 \mathrm{w}, 1100 \mathrm{vs}, 1095 \mathrm{~s}, 1059 \mathrm{w}, 1029 \mathrm{~m}$, $1017 \mathrm{w}, 1000 \mathrm{~m}, 810 \mathrm{~m}, 749 \mathrm{~s}, 743 \mathrm{~s}, 707 \mathrm{~s}$, and $696 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. N.m.r. spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}(\right.$ broad band $\left.)\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \delta 7.55-7.05$ (complex m, $45 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 2.39 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}$ ), 2.06 (br s, 2 H , $\mathrm{BH}), 1.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}), 1.68(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}), 1.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, BH), 1.06 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}$ ), -4.10 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BHB}),-5.77[1 \mathrm{H}$
$\left.\mathrm{PtH}, J(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}) 773, J\left(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {trans }}\right) 160, J\left(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {cis }}\right) 12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right] ;{ }^{711} \mathrm{~B}-$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 115.5 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \delta-5.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~B}),-9.2(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~B})$, $-14.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}),-16.3(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~B}),-17.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~B}),-35.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}){ }^{8}$
The orange crystals of (1) were characterised by analysis (Found: C, 43.8; $\mathrm{H}, 4.1$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtSe}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 44.4 ;$ $\mathrm{H}, 4.2 \%$ ) and spectroscopy: $v_{\text {max. }}$ at $3055 \mathrm{~m}, 2582(\mathrm{sh})(\mathrm{BH})$, 2 565s (BH), 2535 (sh) (BH), 2 527vs (BH), 1480s, 1437 vs , 1431 vs, $1427 \mathrm{~m}, 1310 \mathrm{w}, 1$ 265w, 1 186w, 1 157w, $1095 \mathrm{~s}, 1015 \mathrm{~s}$, $1000 \mathrm{~m}, 810 \mathrm{~m}, 750 \mathrm{~s}, 740 \mathrm{~s}, 710(\mathrm{sh}), 700 \mathrm{vs}$, and $691 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. N.m.r. spectra: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ (broad band) $\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \delta$ 7.65-7.20 (complex m, $30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ), 5.27 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}$ ), 4.08 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}$ ), 3.47 (br s, 2 H, BH), 2.33 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}$ ), 1.37 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}$ ), $1.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}) ;{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 115.5\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \delta 18.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 6.7$ [m, $\left.3 \mathrm{~B}, J(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{B}) 226 \mathrm{~Hz}\right],-2.1$ (s, 2 B ), -12.2 (s, 2 B ), -20.9 (s, 2 B ).
X-Ray Analysis of $\left[2,2-\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}-1,2-\mathrm{SePtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right] \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathbf{1})$. Crystal data. $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PtSe}, M=1001(8)$, triclinic, $a=12.837(3), b=14.534(2), c=11.898(3) \AA, x=96.59(2)$, $\beta=107.31(2), \gamma=81.89(1)^{\circ}, U=2092.0 \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=$ $1.59 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=980, \lambda\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=0.71069 \AA, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}-$ $\left.K_{\alpha}\right)=45.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, space group $P \overline{1}$.
An orange crystal measuring $0.42 \times 0.40 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used for data collection with an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer. Following machine location and centring of 25 reflections in the range $10<\theta<15^{\circ}$, accurate cell constants and the orientation matrix were determined by a least-squares refinement. Intensity data were collected in the range $2 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 22.5^{\circ}$ by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan method using monochromatic . Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation. The intensities of three standard reflections monitored at regular intervals did not change significantly over the period of data collection. A total of 5455 unique reflections were measured of which 5046 had $I \geqslant 3 \sigma(I)$ and were used in structure solution and refinement. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors and for absorption. Maximum and minimum values of transmission coefficients were 0.3365 and 0.1566 respectively.
The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method. Initial refinement using the SHELX system of programs ${ }^{21}$ by fullmatrix least-squares calculations with isotropic thermal parameters lowered $R$ to 0.0885 ; this was followed by six cycles of refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters for the nonhydrogen atoms and the phenyl rings constrained as regular hexagons. A difference map calculated at this stage revealed maxima corresponding to the 42 hydrogen atoms; protons attached to C atoms were included in the subsequent refinement in geometrically idealised positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 0.95 \AA$ ) while coordinates for those on $\mathbf{B}$ atoms were taken from the difference map, only overall isotropic thermal parameters were refined for the two types of hydrogen atoms. B-H distances were in the range $0.99-1.24 \AA$. Refinement converged with $R=0.028$ and $R^{\prime}=w \Delta^{2} / w F_{0}{ }^{2}=0.034$. In the final stages of refinement, a weighting scheme of the form $w=1 /\left(\sigma^{2} F+p F^{2}\right)$ was employed where the final $p$ parameter was 0.00092 . A difference map calculated at the conclusion of the refinement showed electron density in the vicinity of the solvent molecule and was of no chemical significance. Scattering factors used in the calculations were taken from ref. 22, and allowance was made for anomalous dispersion. The final fractional co-ordinates and their standard deviations are shown in Table 2 and important molecular dimensions are summarised in Table 1.

X-Ray Analysis of $\mathrm{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ - Crystal data. $\mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{Se}, M=$ 209.0, cubic, $a=9.847(3) \AA, U=954.8 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{c}=$ $1.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-3}, \quad F(000)=400, \quad \lambda\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=0.71069 \AA$, $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=38.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Space group $P a 3$, uniquely from systematic absences ( $0 k l$ absent if $k=2 n+1$ ) and the Laue symmetry.

A colourless crystal $(0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm})$ was used for

Table 2. Final fractional co-ordinates ( Pt and $\mathrm{Se} \times 10^{5}$, others $\times 10^{4}$ ) of $\left[\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PtSeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right]$ with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt | 11041 (1) | 24 539(1) | 26 616(1) | C(32) | 3 374(3) | $1603(2)$ | 676(4) |
| Se | 6 196(5) | 39 806(4) | 39 799(6) | C(33) | $4372(3)$ | $1211(2)$ | 494(4) |
| P (1) | 1926 (1) | 2 974(1) | 1370 (1) | C(34) | 5 286(3) | $1704(2)$ | 891(4) |
| P (2) | 2 533(1) | $1351(1)$ | 3 521(1) | C(35) | 5 203(3) | 2590 (2) | 1470 (4) |
| B(1) | -264(5) | 3 669(5) | 2 107(6) | C(36) | 4 204(3) | 2 983(2) | $1652(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)$ | -716(5) | 2 498(5) | 1 698(6) | C(41) | 3 902(3) | 1743 (2) | 3 945(3) |
| B(3) | -289(5) | $1856(4)$ | 2 961(6) | C(42) | 4 804(3) | $1241(2)$ | 3 646(3) |
| B(4) | 444(5) | $2551(4)$ | 4 274(6) | C(43) | $5824(3)$ | $1586(2)$ | $4030(3)$ |
| B(5) | -1 648(5) | 3 496(5) | $1983(6)$ | C(44) | 5940 (3) | 2 433(2) | 4 714(3) |
| $\mathrm{B}(6)$ | -1639(6) | $2373(5)$ | 2 500(7) | C(45) | 5 038(3) | $2935(2)$ | $5013(3)$ |
| B(7) | -958(6) | 2 422(5) | $4010(6)$ | C(46) | 4020 (3) | 2 588(3) | 4 628(3) |
| B(8) | -656(6) | 3 566(5) | 4 501(6) | C(51) | 2586 (3) | 255(3) | $2615(3)$ |
| B(9) | - 1080 (6) | 4 262(5) | 3 207(6) | C(52) | 3 338(3) | -503(3) | $3047(3)$ |
| B(10) | -1830(6) | 3 405(5) | 3 400(7) | C(53) | 3 389(3) | - 1329 (3) | $2332(3)$ |
| C(11) | 2 034(3) | 4 228(3) | $1724(3)$ | C(54) | 2 688(3) | -1397(3) | $1186(3)$ |
| C(12) | $1527(3)$ | 4 898(3) | 924(3) | C(55) | $1934(3)$ | -641(3) | 753(3) |
| C(13) | 1591 (3) | $5843(3)$ | $1281(3)$ | C(56) | $1883(3)$ | 186(3) | 1469 (3) |
| C(14) | 2161 (3) | $6116(3)$ | 2 437(3) | C(61) | 2 508(3) | 916(3) | 4910 (3) |
| C(15) | 2 670(3) | 5 444(3) | 3 238(3) | C(62) | 3 205(3) | 1 191(3) | $6004(3)$ |
| C(16) | 2 605(3) | 4 501(3) | $2881(3)$ | C(63) | 3 094(3) | 899(3) | 7 035(3) |
| C(21) | $1215(3)$ | $2785(3)$ | -203(3) | C(64) | 2 288(3) | 328(3) | $6971(3)$ |
| C(22) | 476(3) | $2117(3)$ | -571(3) | C(65) | $1591(3)$ | 52(3) | $5877(3)$ |
| C(23) | 37(3) | $1875(3)$ | -1772(3) | C(66) | 1700 (3) | 346(3) | 4846 (3) |
| C(24) | 336(3) | $2300(3)$ | -2605(3) | C(s) | 4 267(22) | 4300 (16) | 8433 (24) |
| C(25) | $1075(3)$ | $2968(3)$ | -2 237(3) | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 3 778(7) | 3 440(7) | $7673(8)$ |
| C(26) | $1514(3)$ | $3211(3)$ | -1036(3) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 5437(8) | $4363(7)$ | $8572(11)$ |
| C(31) | 3 290(3) | 2490 (2) | $1255(4)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Final fractional co-ordinates and occupancy factors, $k\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$, with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $k$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | $1029(29)$ | $1528(23)$ | $3828(30)$ | $792(20)$ |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | $416(26)$ | $-513(30)$ | $2919(9)$ | $875(20)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $852(18)$ | $1257(16)$ | $3983(22)$ | $9622(225)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $359(12)$ | $-430(14)$ | $3260(4)$ | $8710(255)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $1402(0)$ | $2050(0)$ | $3454(0)$ | $9622(225)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $595(0)$ | $-690(0)$ | $2221(0)$ | $8710(225)$ |

Table 4. Bond distances $(\AA)$ in the disordered $\operatorname{SeB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ cage (e.s.d. is 0.010 for all bonds)

| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(1)$ | 2.250 | $\mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.078 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | 2.281 | $\mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.111 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | 2.271 | $\mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.104 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | 2.247 | $\mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 2.089 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.123 | $\mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 2.082 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.068 | $\mathrm{~B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 1.898 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.113 | $\mathrm{~B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 1.871 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 2.116 | $\mathrm{~B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 1.905 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 2.093 | $\mathrm{~B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 1.912 |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)-\mathrm{Se}(2)$ | 2.254 | $\mathrm{~B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 1.876 |

data collection in a manner similar to that for (1). The intensities of 2348 reflections were measured, corresponding to the set with indices $h 0$ to $10, k-10$ to $+10, l-10$ to +10 (i.e. a 'triclinic' data set). These were then corrected for Lorentz polarisation and absorption factors (maximum and minimum transmission coefficients 0.616 and 0.236 respectively); after merging equivalent reflections 199 unique reflections were left of which 164 had $I>3 \sigma(I)$ and were labelled 'observed'.

The structure was solved by a detailed analysis of the threedimensional Patterson function. In space group Pa3 with $Z=4$, the molecule is required to lie on a site with $\overline{3}$ crystallographic symmetry. Consequently the selenium-boron
cage is defined by two sites and the Se atom and 11 B atoms are scrambled over the 12 dodecahedral sites. Initially we refined the structure by placing two Se atoms with appropriate occupancy ( 0.218 ) to approximate the $\mathrm{SeB}_{11}$ system. Electrondensity maps showed that the two unique atom maxima were not exactly equal in height and in the final round of calculations we used constrained refinement of both B and Se atoms with tied occupancies and with anisotropic thermal parameters in the SHELX system. ${ }^{21}$ Final co-ordinates, and occupancy factors are in Table 3. The bond lengths for the disordered cage are given in Table 4. The final $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ values were 0.075 and 0.088 respectively and a final difference map showed no significant features.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ closo-2,2-Bis(triphenylphosphine)-1-selena-2-platinadodecaborane(10) and closo-selenadodecaborane(11) respectively.
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