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trans $-\left[\operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{CO}) X\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](X=\mathrm{Br}$ or Cl$)$ reacts with $\mathrm{ZH}_{3}(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{As}$, or Sb$)$ in toluene at 180 K to give $\left[\operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{XH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{ZH}_{2}\right)\right]$. When $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{P}$ or As, the product is monoisomeric, but with $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Sb}$ two isomers are formed. $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right](4)$ reacts with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give $\left[\operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$; with $\mathrm{Se},\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}\right)\right]$ is the product. Reaction with HCl at 200 K gives $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$, but as the solution is allowed to warm $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ is displaced by Cl , and a similar reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ leads to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{SeH})\right]$. Boron trifluoride does not interact with (4), but $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ reacts to give a $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct that is stable in solution at room temperature. Compound (4) reacts with $\left[\left\{\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$, forming $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right]$. Reactions of $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CIH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{2}\right)\right]$ (5) are similar, except that treatment with Se leads to decomposition. The crystal structures of (5) and the complexes formed by both (4) and (5) with $\left[\left\{\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ are reported.

The reactions of platinum metals and their derivatives with tertiary phosphines have been extensively studied, and very many triorganophosphine complexes of these metals have been described. Hydrides of $\mathrm{P}^{\vee}$ such as $\mathrm{PF}_{2} \mathrm{HS}$, with no lone pairs at P , react with square-planar $d^{8}$ complexes of platinum metals such as $\left[\mathrm{PtClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, by oxidative addition; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{PF}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ reacts with $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (1) by initial co-ordination through the lone pair at P to give a complex of five-co-ordinated $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$, and much slower oxidative addition of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ to the iridium centre follows ${ }^{2}$ to give $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{F}_{2}\right)\right]$.

The co-ordination chemistry of $\mathrm{PH}_{3}, \mathrm{AsH}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{SbH}_{3}$ towards the platinum metals has received little attention. Some years ago it was shown ${ }^{3,4}$ that stable $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ complexes of $\mathrm{Mo}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{0}$ could be obtained. With $\left[\mathrm{PtClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], \mathrm{PH}_{3}$ reacted reversibly by displacement of chloride ion forming a cationic complex that was stable only at very low temperature in solution: ${ }^{5}$ equation (1). In contrast to this, ${ }^{6}$ the chelated

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\mathrm{PtClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] } \\
{\left[\mathrm{PH}_{3} \xrightarrow{220 \mathrm{~K}}\right.}  \tag{1}\\
{\left[\mathrm{PtH}^{2}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} }
\end{align*}
$$

cation $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{dppe})_{2}\right]^{+}[\mathrm{dppe}=1,2-\mathrm{bis}($ diphenylphosphino)ethane $]$ reacted with $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ in an open vessel by internal co-ordination to give a cationic complex of five-co-ordinated $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{I}}$, but in a sealed tube oxidative addition led to the formation of a $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$ complex of Ir ${ }^{\text {III }}$ (Scheme 1 ).
In order to explore and understand these reactions better, and to study the use of metal- $\mathrm{PH}_{2}$ complexes as intermediates in
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Scheme 1.
inorganic synthesis, we have investigated the reaction between $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right][\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{1})$ or $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{2})]$ and $\mathrm{ZH}_{3}(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{As}$, or Sb ) under different conditions. In this paper we describe the reactions in toluene; in a later paper we shall give an account of the analogous reactions in dichloromethane. A preliminary account of this work has appeared. ${ }^{7}$

## Results

Reactions between Complex (1) and Phosphine.-Equimolar amounts of (1) and $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ reacted at 180 K in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ to give a white precipitate, which slowly redissolved. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum of the resulting solution at this temperature showed that some $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ had been formed, but the major product was a new species identified by its n.m.r. parameters as $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]$ (3). The spectrum consisted of a doublet $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ] at $\delta-13.5$ p.p.m., a chemical shift associated ${ }^{8}$ with $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ bound to six-co-ordinated $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}}$, and a triplet with the same splitting at very low frequency ( $\delta-217.9$ p.p.m.). When proton coupling was restored, the lowfrequency resonance showed additional wide triplet couplings $\left[{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=172.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ and narrow doublet $\left[^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=7.3\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}]$. The value of the wide triplet coupling verifies ${ }^{9}$ that $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ is three-co-ordinated and bound to two protons; the narrow coupling implies ${ }^{10}$ the presence of a hydride ligand bound to the metal and cis to ' $P^{\prime}$. The proton resonance spectrum confirmed these conclusions. In it we observed a triplet $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ of doublets $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ at $\delta$ -18.4 p.p.m.; the chemical shift confirms that the resonance is
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due to IrH trans to chloride. ${ }^{11}$ A wide doublet $\left[{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=\right.$ 172.4 Hz ] of triplets [ ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH})=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] was assigned to the P'H protons. The compound was obtained as an oil which could not be crystallised. Its i.r. spectrum contained bands assigned to $v(\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H})$ and $v(\mathrm{CO})$ modes. All this evidence leads us to assign complex (3) the structure shown; its n.m.r. parameters are collected in Table 1.

Reaction between Complex (2) and Phosphine.-Reaction between equimolar proportions of (2) and $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ proceeded similarly and gave one main product, whose n.m.r. spectra were very like those of (3), except that we were able to resolve a very narrow triplet coupling ( 0.9 Hz ) on the IrH proton resonance which we assigned to ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH})$. We identify this product as $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]$ (4), with the same stereochemistry as (3); its n.m.r. parameters are given in Table 1. This compound was easier to isolate and handle than (3), but we were unable to obtain crystals of it.

Reaction between Complex (1) and Arsine.-Reaction between equimolar amounts of (1) and $\mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ was rapid in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ at 200 K , and gave a single product, which we identify as $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{2}\right)\right]$ (5). Its ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum consisted of a single peak ( $\delta-13.7$ p.p.m.) which split into a doublet $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ] when only the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons were decoupled. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum we observed a triplet $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=\right.$ 12.3 Hz ] of narrow triplets [ ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH})=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] at $\delta-18.4$ p.p.m., assigned to IrH trans ${ }^{11}$ to Cl and cis to $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$, and a second triplet $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH})=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ of narrow doublets at $\delta 0.1$
p.p.m. which we assign to the $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$ protons. The narrow triplet coupling to the IrH proton collapsed when the $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$ resonance at 0.1 p.p.m. was irradiated, and the narrow doublet on the $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$ resonance collapsed when the IrH resonance was irradiated. The n.m.r. parameters are collected in Table 1.

We were able to obtain crystals of (5) suitable for $X$-ray diffraction, and the structure is shown in Figure 1; some structural parameters are collected in Table 2 and are discussed below. The results confirm our analysis of the n.m.r. spectrum; though the hydride ligand was not located, the As centre was found to be trans to CO and it is clear that the co-ordination site trans to Cl must be occupied by the hydride.

Reaction between Complex (2) and Arsine.-Equimolar amounts of (2) and $\mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ gave a product (6) whose n.m.r. spectra were closely similar to those of (5). We identified it as the bromide analogue of (5); its n.m.r. parameters are included in Table 1.

Reaction between Complex (1) and Stibine.-The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum of an equimolar solution of (1) and $\mathrm{SbH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ at 180 K showed that all the starting materials had been consumed and that two new products, (7) and (8), had been formed; initially there was about twice as much of (7) as (8), but the proportion of ( 8 ) increased with temperature, and at room temperature the amounts of both were about equal. The n.m.r. spectra allowed us to identify the two complexes as isomers of molecular formula $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{SbH}_{2}\right)\right]$; see the structural formulae.

Table 1. N.m.r. parameters ${ }^{a}$ for complexes of $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}}$ of the type $\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{XH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right]$

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathrm{P}) / \\ \text { p.p.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta\left(\mathbf{P}^{\prime}\right) / \\ & \text { p.p.m. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta(\mathbf{I} \mathrm{r} H) / \\ \text { p.p.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} H\right) / \\ \text { p.p.m. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{1} J\left(\mathbf{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{H}\right) / \\ \mathrm{Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right) \\ \mathrm{Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH}) / \\ \mathrm{Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right) / \\ \mathrm{Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH}) / \\ \mathrm{Hz} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH}) / \\ \mathrm{Hz} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (3) | -13.5 | -217.9 | -18.4 | +1.1 | 172.4 | 9.3 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 9.7 | n.o. |
| (4) | -16.7 | -219.3 | -17.5 | +1.4 | 172.8 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 9.6 | 0.9 |
| (5) | -13.7 |  | $-18.4$ | $+0.1{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 12.3 |  | 10.2 | 0.9 |
| (6) | $-17.0$ |  | -17.5 | $+0.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 12.3 |  | 10.1 | 0.7 |
| (7) | -13.5 |  | -18.7 | $-1.5^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 12.0 |  | 10.2 | n.o. |
| (8) | -8.2 |  | $-8.8$ | $-2.0^{\text {c }}$ |  |  | 16.3 |  | 7.1 | 2.9 |
| $(9){ }^{\text {d }}$ | -12.9 | -138.9 | -17.8 | +4.6 | 406.2 | 33.5 | 10.4 | 19.8 | 7.4 | n.o. |
| (10) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | -12.5 | -139.4 | -17.8 | +5.0 | 407 | 33.2 | 10.4 | 19.5 | 7.4 | п.о. |
| $(11)^{e}$ | -17.2 | -117.1 | -18.4 | +3.1 | 396.6 | 30.9 | 11.2 | 17.2 | 9.9 | 1.5 |
| (12) | -15.7 |  | -18.2 | $-5.4{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $(29.0)^{\text {g }}$ |  | 11.7 |  | 1.3 | n.o. |
| $(13){ }^{h}$ | $-17.2$ | $-125.6$ | -17.1 | +3.19 | 313.5 | 23.5 | 11.2 | 22.3 | 10.2 | n.o. |
| (14) | -18.2 | - 124.9 | -15.9 | +3.7 | 300.3 | 24.4 | 11.2 | 25.0 | 9.9 | 1.5 |
| $(15){ }^{i}$ | $-13.9$ |  | -18.0 |  |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | n.o. |
| (16) | -12.3 |  | -17.5 |  |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | n.o. |

${ }^{a}$ Values obtained at 300 K in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ unless otherwise stated. Shifts are positive to high frequency of $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ), $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ (for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ), and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ (for ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ ). Chemical shifts are accurate to $\pm 0.1$ p.p.m.; coupling constants to $\pm 0.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$; n.o. $=$ not observed. ${ }^{b} \delta(\mathrm{AsH}) \cdot{ }^{c} \delta(\mathrm{SbH}) .{ }^{d}{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{In} \mathrm{CDCl}{ }_{3}$ at $300 \mathrm{~K}^{\prime}{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{SeP}^{\prime}\right)=572 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{f} \delta(\mathrm{Se} H) .{ }^{g}{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{SeH}){ }^{h} \delta(\mathrm{~B})-27.5$ p.p.m., $\delta(\mathrm{BH})$ not observed; ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\right)=57.3,{ }^{1} J(\mathrm{BH})=96,{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{HBP}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$; measured at $230 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{i} \delta(\mathrm{~B})-23.5, \delta(\mathrm{BH}) 2.1$ p.p.m.; ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{BH})=103,{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HAsBH})=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$.


Figure 1. Perspective view of (5) showing the atom numbering; see footnote to Table 2

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum of (7) consisted of a singlet ( $\delta-13.5$ p.p.m.), due to the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ groups, which split into a narrow doublet when the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons were selectively decoupled. The associated IrH proton resonance ( $\delta-18.7$ p.p.m.) appeared as a simple triplet $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ], and no further splittings were resolved. The chemical shift shows ${ }^{11}$ that H was trans to Cl . A second triplet in the proton resonance spectrum ( $\delta-1.5$ p.p.m.) was assigned to the SbH protons. Since we were unable to resolve ${ }^{3} J(H \mathrm{IrSb} H)$, we could not show directly that there were two protons bound to Sb , but the integrals of the signals were consistent with this interpretation.

The spectra of $(8)$ told us more. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum was also a singlet ( $\delta-8.2$ p.p.m.) which split into a narrow doublet when the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons were selectively decoupled. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum we observed the IrH resonance at -8.8 p.p.m., the chemical shift showing that H was not trans to chloride. The resonance appeared as a triplet $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ of narrower triplets $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH})=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$, and we assign the narrower triplet splitting to coupling between $\operatorname{IrH}$ and SbH protons. There was an additional proton resonance, about twice as strong ( $\delta-2.0$ p.p.m.), which we assign to the $\mathrm{SbH}_{2}$ protons; this appeared as a triplet $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH})=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ of narrow doublets $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH})=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$. The magnitude of the HH coupling suggested that $\mathrm{SbH}_{2}$ was trans to hydride (the triplet splitting in the IrH proton resonance confirmed that there were two $\mathrm{Sb}-\mathrm{H}$ protons).

These complexes decomposed slowly at room temperature in solution; since the materials we obtained were not monoisomeric, we have not attempted to isolate either of the isomers.

Reactions of Complex (4).-With $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Reaction between (4) and an equimolar amount of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ began at 270 K . An orange precipitate formed as the reaction proceeded; from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectra we identified the soluble products as $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$, $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, and the cationic complex (9). We had hoped to detect the formation of $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]$, but we observed no evidence for the formation of such a species even as a transient in the reaction mixture, perhaps because the temperature of reaction was too high. However, the products we observed can be understood as formed through decomposition of such a complex (Scheme 2).

$\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \longrightarrow(\mathrm{PH})_{n}+\mathrm{HCl}$
$\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]+\mathrm{HCl} \longrightarrow$
$\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
$\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]+\mathrm{PH}_{3}$

Scheme 2.
With HCl . A solution of (4) in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ was allowed to react with an equimolar amount of HCl at 200 K . The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum showed that (4) had been consumed, and that $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ had been formed, with a new product giving a doublet in the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ region and a broad hump at $c a .-152$ p.p.m. The main product formed was the cation $\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ (9), generated by protonating (4), but additional experiments were needed to clarify the course of the reaction. When (4) was allowed to react in the same solvent with an equimolar amount of a mixture of HCl and $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ (1:1 mol ratio), several products were formed, including an oil; this oil was extracted and redissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, and was shown by its n.m.r. spectra to be (9). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum consisted of a doublet in the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$
region and a triplet at $\delta-138.9$ p.p.m.; both these resonances were sharp at 300 K . When proton coupling was restored, the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ resonance split into a wide triplet $\left[{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=406.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$. The proton spectrum showed $\operatorname{IrH}(\delta-17.8$ p.p.m., doublet of triplets) and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ( $\delta 4.6$ p.p.m., wide doublet of narrower triplets), both of which were sharp at 300 K .
When (3) was allowed to react with an equimolar amount of HCl in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum showed a sharp doublet in the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ region and a broad singlet at $c a .-150$ p.p.m. as observed in the reaction between (4) and HCl . At 200 K , additional resonances appeared which are assigned ${ }^{12}$ to the isomer of $(9)$ with H trans to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}$. As the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature, $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ was slowly liberated and at room temperature the resonance due to $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ had become strong. When (3) was allowed to react with an equimolar amount of HCl in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ at 180 K for 30 min , the solvent removed and the residue redissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 220 K , resonances which are assigned to $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ (10), by analogy with those for (9), were observed. Both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and the proton-coupled ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectra remained sharp at 270 K , showing that at that temperature any proton exchange was slow on the n.m.r. time-scale.

With Se . When (4) was allowed to react with red selenium ( $8: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) in a mixture of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ at 300 K , all the selenium slowly dissolved and the n.m.r. spectra of the solution showed that the product of the reaction was $[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}\right)\right]$ (11). In the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum, the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ nuclei gave rise to a doublet $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(P^{\prime}\right)=30.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ at $\delta-17.2$ p.p.m.; the resonance assigned to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}(\delta-117.1$ p.p.m. $)$ showed a triplet splitting due to ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)$, and split into a wide triplet [ $\left.{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=396.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ when proton coupling was restored, showing that $\mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ is four-co-ordinated and bound to two protons. There was an additional narrower doublet coupling to the IrH proton $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$. The observation of Se satellites associated with the resonance due to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, and the magnitude of the coupling ${ }^{13}\left[{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{Se}\right)=572 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ showed that $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ is doubly bound to a single Se atom. The proton spectra confirmed these conclusions. The chemical shift of the IrH resonance ( $\delta-18.4$ p.p.m.) showed ${ }^{11}$ that H is trans to Br ; the splitting pattern, a doublet $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ] of triplets $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ showed that the hydride ligand is cis to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ and to the two equivalent $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ groups. The $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ protons gave rise to a wide doublet of narrower triplets $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH})=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ at $\delta 3.1$ p.p.m. This complex was stable for some hours in solution at room temperature, but slowly decomposed over a longer period.

With $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. An equimolar mixture of (4) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ reacted slowly in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 250 K to give two phosphorus-containing products, each of which gave singlet resonances in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum. One was easily identified as due to $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$, and the other was assigned to $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{SeH})\right]$ (12). Since the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ resonance was a singlet in the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ region, $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ had clearly been displaced. When the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons were selectively decoupled, the peak showed a narrow doublet splitting, demonstrating that the cis hydride ligand had been retained. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum, the IrH peak at $\delta-18.2$ p.p.m. appeared as a triplet of narrow doublets. The chemical shift showed that H is trans to the halogen, ${ }^{11}$ and the triplet splitting confirmed that the two $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ groups are still present. There was an additional resonance at $\delta-5.4$ p.p.m.; this appeared as an overlapping doublet of narrow triplets with ${ }^{77} \mathrm{Se}$ satellites [ ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{SeH})=29.0$ Hz ]. We assign this resonance to the SeH proton. When it was irradiated, the narrow doublet splitting in the IrH resonance collapsed, confirming that the two resonances came from the same species. The reaction can be represented as in equation (2).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \operatorname{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se} \longrightarrow} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \operatorname{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{SeH})\right]+\mathrm{PH}_{3}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

It is possible that the process involves initial protonation of (4) by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, forming (9) and the highly nucleophilic $\mathrm{SeH}^{-}$, which then displaces $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ as does $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(see above). The product is very like $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{SeH})\right]$, formed ${ }^{14}$ from (1) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$.

With $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. Reaction between (4) and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ( $2: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ began at 220 K . The n.m.r. spectra indicated that the sole product was $\left[\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)\right]$ (13). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum consisted of a doublet $[\delta-17.2$ p.p.m., ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right) 23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] assigned to $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, and a broad resonance ( $\delta-125.6$ p.p.m.) assigned to $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ and presumably broad because of the relaxation of quadrupolar B nuclei. This latter resonance split into a wide triplet [ ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=313.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] when proton coupling was restored, showing the presence of two protons bound to four-co-ordinated $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ resonance appeared as a doublet [ ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}\right)=57.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] which showed an additional quartet splitting [ ${ }^{1} J(\mathrm{BH})=96 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] when proton coupling was restored, thus confirming the presence of the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum showed the IrH resonance at -17.1 p.p.m., confirming that H was bound to Ir and trans to Br , as a doublet $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=22.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ] of triplets $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ]. The $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ proton resonance ( $\delta 3.19$ p.p.m.) gave rise to a wide doublet of triplets [ ${ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH})=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ] of quartets $\left[{ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{HP}^{\prime} \mathrm{BH}\right)=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ]. We did not observe the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ protons, which were probably under the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ proton peaks, and also probably very broad. This complex was stable in solution for a few minutes at room temperature.

With $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ spectra of (4) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 220 K were unaffected by the presence of an equimolar amount of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$; in particular, ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)$ was unchanged. We deduce that there is no significant interaction between (4) and $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ under these conditions.

With $\left[\left\{\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$. The reaction between (4) and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ at 300 K gave an orange precipitate which was identified by its i.r. and n.m.r. spectra, and by single-crystal $X$-ray diffraction as $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right]$ (14). The initial ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum consisted of a doublet $\left[^{2} J\left(\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)=24.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ in the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ region ( $\delta-18.2$ p.p.m.) and a triplet with the same coupling in the low-frequency region ( $\delta$ -124.9 p.p.m.) associated with $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$. When proton coupling was restored, the low-frequency resonance split into a wide triplet [ $\left.{ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=300.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ of narrow doublets $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=25 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ], showing that $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ is four-co-ordinated, bound to two protons and cis to a hydride ligand on Ir. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance spectrum, a doublet $\left[{ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right)=25 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ of triplets $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ at $\delta-15.9$ p.p.m. was assigned to the IrH proton trans to halogen; the resonance due to the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ protons, a wide doublet of triplets $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{PH})=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ was observed at $\delta 3.7$ p.p.m. As the solution was kept at room temperature, new resonances slowly grew. These were due to compounds with very similar n.m.r. parameters, and we take their appearance to indicate slow halogen exchange between Ru and Ir.

Details of the determination of the crystal structure of (14) are given in the Experimental section, and the general features of the structure are shown in Figure 2. The overall geometry of the complex is confirmed, despite a degree of disorder in the halogen distribution which is probably also associated with halogen exchange. Selected bond lengths are given in Table 2, and are discussed below.

Reactions of Complex (5).-With Se. When a solution of (5) in a mixture of toluene and $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ was shaken with red selenium (8:1 mol ratio), the selenium dissolved in a few minutes; the clear solution turned rapidly black. We obtained the same result using toluene as solvent. We were unable to study the reaction at low temperatures because the selenium did not dissolve. When the clear solution obtained immediately after dissolving the selenium was cooled and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)^{*}$

|  | (5) | (14) | (16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ir-Z | 2.545 (5) | $2.4120(15)$ | $2.5037(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{X}(1)$ | 2.508(10) | 2.587 4(8) | 2.484(4) |
| Ir-P(1) | 2.357(11) | 2.352 0(16) | 2.347(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.357(10) | 2.358 2(16) | $2.361(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C}$ | 1.98(4) | 1.879(7) | 1.876(18) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}$ |  | 1.34(8) | 1.14(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ | 0.95(5) | 1.137(10) | 1.142(23) |
| Z-H(1Z) |  | 1.48(8) | 1.52(13) |
| Z-H(2Z) |  | 1.37(8) | 1.31 (13) |
| Ru-Z |  | 2.367 3(16) | $2.4650(16)$ |
| Ru-X(2) |  | 2.4989 (11) | 2.411 (4) |
| Ru-X(3) |  | 2.4307 (14) | 2.405(4) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ |  | 2.214(7) | $2.195(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ |  | 2.183(6) | 2.172(13) |
| Ru-C(4) |  | 2.176 (6) | 2.165(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ |  | 2.193 (7) | 2.186(13) |
| $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ |  | 2.208(6) | 2.204(13) |
| Ru-C(7) |  | $2.221(6)$ | 2.189(14) |
| Z-Ir-X(1) | 93.2(3) | 83.90(4) | 84.11(9) |
| Z-Ir-P(1) | 88.7(3) | 90.66(5) | 90.67(9) |
| Z-Ir-P(2) | 89.7(3) | 92.09(5) | 91.53(10) |
| Z-Ir-C | 172.0(11) | 179.80(23) | 179.2(5) |
| Z-Ir-H |  | 89(3) | 91(6) |
| $\mathrm{X}(1)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 93.2(3) | 96.65(4) | 95.94(13) |
| $\mathrm{X}(1)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 90.4(3) | 92.52(4) | 94.06(13) |
| $\mathrm{X}(1)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C}$ | $94.7(11)$ | 95.91(23) | 96.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{X}(1)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}$ |  | 172(3) | 171(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 176.1(4) | 170.66(6) | 169.93(13) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C}$ | 89.7(11) | 89.29(23) | 89.3(6) |
| P(1)-Ir-H |  | 81(3) | $90(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C}$ | 91.4(11) | 87.99(23) | 88.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{H}$ |  | 89(3) | $79(6)$ |
| C-Ir-H |  | 91(3) | 87(6) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ | 174(11) | 178.0(7) | 177.6(16) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{Z})$ |  | 102(3) | 103(5) |
| $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{Z})$ |  | 98(3) | 114(6) |
| H(1Z)-Z-H(2Z) |  | 118(4) | 90(8) |
| [r-Z-Ru |  | 131.43(7) | 129.97(6) |
| Ru-Z-H(1Z) |  | 104(3) | 111(5) |
| Ru-Z-H(2Z) |  | 102(3) | 100(6) |
| Z-Ru-X(2) |  | 90.43(5) | 88.64(10) |
| Z-Ru-X 3 ) |  | 87.10(5) | 85.84(10) |
| $\mathrm{X}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{X}(3)$ |  | 86.98(4) | 87.05(13) |

* $Z=A s$ in (5) and (16), $Z=P$ in (14). All $X=C l$ in (5) and (16). In (14), where Cl is partially substituted by Br , the mole fraction of Br is: X(1), 0.600; X(2), 0.322; X(3), 0.077.
recorded at 180 K , resonances due to at least six phosphoruscontaining species were observed. Among them, the only two that could be assigned from their parameters were due to $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and unreacted (5). The proton resonance spectrum was also complicated, and showed many resonances in the region $\delta 0$ to $\delta-5$ p.p.m. with Se satellites; one was assigned to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$. The reaction is obviously complicated; if any $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{2} \mathrm{Se}\right)\right]$ was formed, it must have been very unstable at room temperature.

With HCl . Reaction between (5) and an equimolar amount of HCl in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ began at 250 K , and the n.m.r. spectra showed that both $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] and $\mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ were formed. At this temperature, a singlet in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum, whose intensity diminished slowly as the reaction continued, appeared to correspond with the resonance expected from (5). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum, there was a sharp triplet due to the IrH proton whose chemical shift and coupling constant also corresponded with the analogous parameters of (5), but there was no resonance near $\delta$


Figure 2. Perspective view of (14) showing the atom numbering; see footnote to Table 2

0 p.p.m., where the $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$ protons of (5) gave a well defined signal. We conclude that there is an equilibrium (fast on the n.m.r. time-scale) between (5), free HCl , and $[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$, the exchange of protons [equation (3)]

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{2}\right)\right]+\mathrm{HCl} \longrightarrow} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

leading to broadening and shifting of the AsH resonances so that they were probably masked by the peaks due to the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons. Neither $\delta(\mathrm{P})$ nor $\delta(\operatorname{Ir} H)$ of (2) changed much when $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ was protonated to give (9) in an analogous reaction, so we would not expect much change in $\delta(\mathrm{P})$ or $\delta(\operatorname{Ir} H)$ of (5) on protonation at As. We therefore have no indication of the position of equilibrium. The protonation was accompanied by slow nucleophilic displacement of As by $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, just as $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ was displaced by $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in the analogous phosphorus system.

With $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. A mixture of (5) and $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ( $2: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) reacted rapidly in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ at 180 K to give a single product, identified by its ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra as $[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{2} \mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)\right](15)$. At room temperature the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum consisted of a singlet ( $\delta-13.9$ p.p.m.). The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum also consisted of a single peak ( $\delta-23.5$ p.p.m.) which split into a quartet when proton coupling was restored, showing the presence of three H atoms bound to boron. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ resonance spectrum consisted of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ proton peaks and two other multiplets. One, a triplet [ $\delta-18.0$ p.p.m. ${ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=11.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}]$ was clearly due to H bound to Ir and trans to halogen. The other, at $\delta 2.1$ p.p.m., was a broad peak which sharpened to a triplet $\left[{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{HH})=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ] when ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ was decoupled, and so was assigned to the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ protons. We did not observe the resonance due to the $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$ protons, and we suppose that it was under the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ multiplets.

With $\left[\left\{\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$. At room temperature (5) and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}_{2}\right]$ ( $2: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio) in $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{8}$ solution reacted slowly to give an orange compound which was isolated as a crystalline solid and characterised by its n.m.r. spectra, elemental analysis, and singlecrystal $X$-ray diffraction as $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{ClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{AsH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right]$ (16). The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\{\mathrm{H}\}$ n.m.r. spectrum consisted of a singlet ( $\delta-12.3$ p.p.m.) assigned to $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, which


Figure 3. Perspective view of (16) showing the atom numbering; see footnote to Table 2
split into a narrow doublet when the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons were selectively decoupled, confirming the presence of a hydride ligand bound to Ir and cis to the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ groups. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum showed a triplet $\left[{ }^{2} J(\mathrm{PH})=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right]$ at $\delta-17.5$ p.p.m., the chemical shift indicating ${ }^{11}$ that H is trans to Cl . We did not observe the $\mathrm{AsH}_{2}$ proton resonances, persumably because they were under those of the $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ protons.

We were able to obtain a crystal suitable for $X$-ray diffraction and determine the structure, details of which are given in the Experimental section; the structure is shown in Figure 3, and selected parameters are presented in Table 2 and discussed below.

## Discussion

The reaction between $\mathrm{ZH}_{3}(\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{P}$, As , or Sb ) and (1) or (2) in toluene is apparently simple, and leads to oxidative addition. Under these conditions we observed no evidence for the formation of an intermediate donor adduct containing five-coordinated $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ like that formed ${ }^{2}$ in the reaction of $\mathrm{PF}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ with $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{l}}$. Though results obtained in other solvents are different, ${ }^{13}$ it is possible that the precipitate formed in the first stage of the reaction between $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ and (1) could be such a species. The complexes formed with $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ are both monoisomeric, and the stereochemistry implies cis addition. With $\mathrm{SbH}_{3}$, two isomers were produced. The change in relative proportions of the two with temperature implies that there is a ready mechanism for isomerisation; if this mechanism is available for the complexes formed from phosphine and arsine as well, then the isomers of these must be thermodynamically stable. It seems likely that the difference between $\mathrm{SbH}_{3}$ on the one hand and $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ on the other is related to the greater size of Sb than $P$ or As.

We explored the reactions of the $\operatorname{Ir}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ system in [ $\mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{CO})$ $\left.\mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)\right]$ because it was formed more cleanly than its chloride analogue. As expected, the $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ atom is a weak donor, and shows distinctly 'soft' character. The absence of any evidence for interaction with $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ is in marked contrast to the relatively tightly bound complexes formed with the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)$ moieties. The $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ligand (possibly $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ ) is easily displaced by Cl or SeH in reactions with HCl and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$, and can be oxidised with selenium, though we
found no evidence for the formation of $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{BrH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}-\right.$ ( $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ )] in the reaction with $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The chemical behaviour of [ $\left.\mathrm{IrClH}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{AsH}_{2}\right)\right]$ was similar, except that attempts to oxidise the As atom with Se led to decomposition.

The n.m.r. parameters of (4) and its derivatives showed useful structural correlations. The chemical shift of $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ in (4) is at very low frequency, and increases when the lone pair on $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ is coordinated. The other n.m.r. parameters are very valuable in determining the reaction products and their structures. These deductions are corroborated by the crystallographic studies; in all three complexes whose crystal structures were determined, H was trans to halogen (as indicated from the IrH proton chemical shifts). The other structural parameters are unremarkable.

In all of the crystal structures, the hydrogen positions are at best approximate. While the hydrogen atoms bonded to Ir in (14) and (16) make realistic angles, the Ir-H bond in (16) is clearly unreasonably short. The parameters for (14) are the most accurate of the three structures, as the data were collected at 180 K , but the scrambling of halogen atoms makes interpretation of bond-length differences difficult. The Ir-As bond in (5) (with three-co-ordinated As) is $0.05 \AA$ longer than that in (16), where the As atom is four-co-ordinated through the additional bond to Ru . The effect of the increase in co-ordination at As on the geometry at Ir in (16) may also be seen in the increase in the As-Ir-P angles and the decrease of P-Ir-P and As-Ir-Cl angles relative to those in (5). A similar distortion at Ir occurs in $\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)\left\{\mathrm{PF}_{2} \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}-p\right)\right\}\right]$, ${ }^{2}$ where the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ru}$ angle is $132.3(3)^{\circ}$, similar to those in both (14) and (16).

The Ir-As and Ru-As bonds in (16) are both ca. $0.095 \AA$ longer than the corresponding $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{P}$ bonds in (14). This is partly compensated for by the fact that the $\operatorname{Ir}-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{Ru}$ angle is $1.5^{\circ}$ less in (16) than in (14), making the $\mathrm{Ir} \cdots \mathrm{Ru}$ distances $4.503 \AA$ in (16) and $4.356 \AA$ in (14). Since the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in (16) are all shorter than the corresponding ones in (14), and the partial replacement of Cl by Br in (14) makes all bonds to halogen longer, the effective size of the two molecules is very similar. This in part accounts for the isomorphism of the two crystal structures.

## Experimental

Volatile compounds were handled in conventional vacuum systems fitted with greased glass or greaseless Sovirel taps, and involatile and air-sensitive materials using a Schlenk line under dry nitrogen gas. Iridium starting materials were prepared as described elsewhere. ${ }^{14}$ The n.m.r. spectra were recorded using JEOL FX60Q $\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$, Bruker WP200 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right)$, and Bruker WH360 ( $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ spectrometers. Infrared spectra were obtained using Perkin-Elmer 457, 577, or 597 spectrometers, and C and H analyses with a Perkin Elmer 240 elemental analyser.* The mass spectra were recorded by courtesy of Kratos Analytical Instruments Ltd., Manchester, using a Kratos-80RF spectrometer with fast atom bombardment.

Reactions between iridium complexes and volatile materials were allowed to take place in n.m.r. tubes using standard procedures. The metal complex ( $c a .0 .1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was weighed into the n.m.r. tube, solvent ( $c a .0 .5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) distilled in, and the volatile reagent allowed to condense in the tube, which was then sealed. Reactions were studied in the probe of the n.m.r. tube at the chosen temperature; the tube was stored in liquid nitrogen before use and not allowed to warm initially before insertion into the probe. Products of reactions in n.m.r. tubes were

[^1]Table 3. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for complex (5) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ir | $0.23830(16)$ | 0.5 | $0.28417(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.609(6)$ | $0.379(3)$ | $0.362(5)$ |
| As | $0.0771(7)$ | $0.5422(4)$ | $0.5105(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.730(7)$ | $0.315(4)$ | $0.444(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $0.0604(16)$ | $0.5950(7)$ | $0.1363(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $0.447(6)$ | $0.422(3)$ | $0.635(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.154(6)$ | $0.617(3)$ | $-0.049(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $0.540(5)$ | $0.499(4)$ | $0.664(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.319(9)$ | $0.676(5)$ | $-0.051(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $0.299(6)$ | $0.291(3)$ | $0.447(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.003(8)$ | $0.699(3)$ | $0.218(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $0.260(6)$ | $0.241(3)$ | $0.303(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-0.134(7)$ | $0.761(4)$ | $0.134(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.336(5)$ | $0.4514(23)$ | $0.101(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $-0.173(7)$ | $0.545(4)$ | $0.095(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.383(4)$ | $0.4224(24)$ | $0.018(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-0.175(7)$ | $0.461(3)$ | $0.025(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $0.4628(14)$ | $0.6182(6)$ | $0.3250(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $0.3996(14)$ | $0.3990(6)$ | $0.4351(10)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for complex (14) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru | 0.123 45(3) | $0.21439(4)$ | $0.21270(2)$ | P (2) | $0.08780(12)$ | $0.60675(13)$ | $0.19670(8)$ |
| P | $0.21845(11)$ | 0.373 01(12) | $0.21745(8)$ | Br | $0.35603(6)$ | 0.594 72(6) | $0.21971(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $0.03189(8)$ | 0.273 48(9) | 0.316 68(5) | O | 0.179 1(5) | 0.733 6(4) | 0.369 6(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $-0.00270(9)$ | $0.30997(11)$ | $0.13879(7)$ | C | 0.1889 (6) | 0.6591 (6) | 0.337 0(4) |
| C(1) | 0.194 4(6) | 0.050 4(6) | 0.349 4(4) | C(11) | 0.3021 (5) | $0.3145(5)$ | $0.3848(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.1814(5)$ | 0.0723 3(6) | 0.270 8(3) | C(12) | 0.349 4(6) | 0.2658 (7) | $0.4517(4)$ |
| C(3) | $0.2578(5)$ | 0.1173 (5) | $0.2337(3)$ | C(13) | $0.4218(5)$ | $0.5017(6)$ | $0.3998(4)$ |
| C(4) | $0.2429(5)$ | $0.1379(5)$ | 0.1587 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.4369(7)$ | $0.6202(7)$ | $0.4106(5)$ |
| C(5) | 0.1523 (5) | 0.114 3(5) | $0.1215(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.2382(6)$ | $0.4919(6)$ | 0.4665 (4) |
| C(6) | 0.0741 (5) | $0.0657(5)$ | 0.1593 (3) | C(16) | 0.1341 (7) | 0.4482 (8) | $0.4737(5)$ |
| C(7) | 0.0881 (5) | 0.045 2(5) | $0.2318(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.0882(5)$ | $0.7527(6)$ | 0.1947 (4) |
| C(8) | 0.132 4(5) | $0.1309(5)$ | $0.0440(3)$ | C(22) | $0.1847(6)$ | 0.799 2(7) | $0.1687(4)$ |
| C(9) | 0.1941 (6) | 0.2227 (6) | $0.0151(4)$ | C(23) | -0.041 7(6) | $0.5753(6)$ | 0.2120 (4) |
| C(10) | $0.1518(7)$ | $0.0267(6)$ | 0.004 4(5) | $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -0.076 0(6) | 0.608 2(7) | 0.2833 (4) |
| Ir | $0.20157(2)$ | $0.53375(2)$ | 0.284 64(1) | C(25) | $0.1037(5)$ | 0.5693 (5) | 0.104 5(3) |
| P(1) | 0.29201 (13) | $0.45757(13)$ | $0.38315(8)$ | C(26) | $0.0355(6)$ | $0.6247(7)$ | 0.049 9(4) |

Table 5. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for complex (16) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru | $0.11885(7)$ | $0.21330(8)$ | 0.209 46(6) | P (2) | 0.0833 (3) | 0.6093 (3) | $0.19983(21)$ |
| As | $0.22022(9)$ | $0.37575(10)$ | $0.21508(7)$ | $\mathrm{Cl}(3)$ | 0.351 3(3) | 0.5998 (3) | $0.22747(23)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 0.0308 (3) | 0.2753 (3) | $0.30906(21)$ | O | 0.1681 (13) | 0.732 0(11) | 0.374 (8) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $-0.00211(25)$ | $0.3114(3)$ | 0.136 24(21) | C | 0.182 0(13) | 0.659 4(14) | $0.3407(10)$ |
| C(1) | 0.1838 (14) | 0.0531 (15) | 0.347 1(9) | C(11) | 0.303 7(11) | 0.321 4(11) | $0.3828(8)$ |
| C(2) | 0.173 3(10) | 0.074 3(11) | 0.268 5(7) | C(12) | 0.3519 (13) | $0.2660(14)$ | $0.4475(9)$ |
| C(3) | 0.2530 (9) | $0.1177(10)$ | 0.232 4(7) | C(13) | 0.418 2(12) | $0.5063(13)$ | $0.4002(10)$ |
| C(4) | 0.2407 (9) | 0.133 6(10) | 0.159 9(7) | C(14) | $0.4276(17)$ | $0.6218(17)$ | 0.4136 (13) |
| C(5) | 0.150 0(9) | 0.1119 (10) | 0.1205 (7) | C(15) | $0.2312(12)$ | 0.488 4(14) | $0.4668(9)$ |
| C(6) | 0.068 6(10) | $0.0662(10)$ | $0.1574(7)$ | C(16) | $0.1301(15)$ | 0.437 6(17) | $0.4742(12)$ |
| C(7) | 0.079 8(10) | 0.049 5(11) | 0.229 2(7) | C(21) | 0.0831 (11) | 0.751 6(11) | 0.1981 (9) |
| C(8) | 0.1345 (12) | 0.129 4(12) | 0.0423 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $0.1797(13)$ | 0.8019 (15) | $0.1723(10)$ |
| C(9) | $0.1962(13)$ | $0.2197(14)$ | $0.0138(10)$ | C(23) | -0.047 6(11) | 0.573 3(13) | 0.2161 (8) |
| C(10) | 0.1560 (16) | $0.0260(15)$ | $0.0062(11)$ | C(24) | -0.079 9(12) | 0.6077 (13) | $0.2876(9)$ |
| Ir | 0.199 25(4) | $0.53838(4)$ | $0.28662(3)$ | C(25) | 0.0983 (11) | 0.573 6(12) | 0.1089 (8) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.2891 (3) | $0.4612(3)$ | 0.383 68(18) | $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 0.028 0(14) | 0.628 2(16) | $0.0548(10)$ |

isolated by opening the n.m.r. tube under dry nitrogen gas, removing the solvent on a Schlenk line, and allowing the solid to dry under vacuum.

Crystal Data for (5) $-\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{AsClIrOP}_{2}, \quad M=569.94$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1}, a=7.855(6), b=14.899(4), c=$ $8.980(3) \AA, \beta=92.50(6)^{\circ}, U=1050 \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.803 \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}, F(000)=552, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=81.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Crystal Data for (14).- $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{BrCl}_{2} \mathrm{IrOP}_{3} \mathrm{Ru}, M=876.64$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / a, a=13.336(4), b=12.619(3)$, $c=18.901(5) \AA, \beta=94.01(3)^{\circ}, U=3171 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{c}=$ $1.835 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=1712, \mu\left(\right.$ Mo- $\left.K_{\alpha}\right)=62.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Crystal Data for (16). $-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{AsCl}_{3} \mathrm{IrOP}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}, M=876.14$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / a, a=13.197(5), b=12.753(4)$, $c=18.989(6) \AA, \beta=93.42(2)^{\circ}, U=3190 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=$ $1824 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=1712, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{a}\right)=60.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Data Collection, Structure Solution, and Refinement.-Data are given for (5), with those for (14) and (16) respectively given in parentheses. Intensities were collected on a STADI-2 (CAD4, CAD4) diffractometer at $290(180,290) \mathrm{K}$ using graphitemonochromatised Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, with $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$, to $2 \theta=50^{\circ}$. Of the $1860(5415,5609)$ independent data collected, $1520(4634,3356)$ with $I \geqslant 3 \sigma(I)$ were used to solve and refine the structures. The structures of (5) and (14) were solved by
normal heavy-atom Patterson techniques, and the degree of isomorphism between (14) and (16) made possible the solution of (16) directly from the heavy-atom co-ordinates of (14). After isotropic refinement of the non-hydrogen atoms, data were corrected for absorption using DIFABS. ${ }^{15}$ The halogen atoms in (14) proved to be scrambled, and were refined as Br with a variable site occupancy, which was fixed in the final stages of refinement to give the analytical $\mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{Br}$ ratio of $2: 1$. All atoms heavier than carbon were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms could not be reliably located in (5) but hydrogen atoms were clearly indicated in the other structures. Hydrogen atoms $i$ onded to carbon were included in all structures in ideal, staggered conformations with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 1.08 \AA$. Hydrogen atoms bonded to P, As, or Ir were refined positionally in (14) and (16). In the final stages of refinement, a weighting scheme of the form $w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}(F)+0.00044(0.00069,0.00225) \sigma|F|^{2}$ was used. In the last cycle of refinement, the maximum shift/error was 0.03 $(0.4,0.3)$. The final agreement factors were $R=0.079$ ( 0.033 , $0.049)$ and $R^{\prime}=0.098(0.049,0.057)$ for $106(210,210)$ adjustable parameters. Some residual electron density in the vicinity of Ir in (5) indicates a slight disorder which we could not model successfully. Otherwise, the maximum peak in a residual electron-density synthesis was $0.8(1.5,1.0)$ e $\AA^{-3}$. Fractional co-ordinates for (5), (14), and (16) are listed in Tables 3-5. Other computer programs used are given in refs. 16-18.
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[^0]:    * Carbonylchloro(dihydrogenarsenido)hydridobis(triethylphosphine)iridium(III), $\quad 1$-bromo-1-carbonyl-2,2-dichloro-2-( $\eta^{6}$-p-cymene)- $\mu$-di-hydrogenphosphido-1-hydrido-1,1-bis(triethylphosphine)iridiumruthenium, and 1-carbonyl-1,2,2-trichloro-2-( $\eta^{6}$-p-cymene)- $\mu$-dihydro-genarsenido-1-hydrido-1,1-bis(triethylphosphine)iridiumruthenium respectively.

[^1]:    * Found for (5): $\mathrm{C}, 27.8$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.90 . \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{AsClirOP}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 27.4$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.85 \%$. Found for (16): C, $30.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.40 . \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }_{7} \mathrm{AsCl}_{3} \mathrm{IrOP}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 31.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.40 \%$.

