The Crystal Structure of Nonachlorodimolybdenum(v) Heptachlorodioxodimolybdate(v)^{\dagger}

Anthony J. Edwards* and David R. Slim

Chemistry Department, University of Birmingham, P.O. Box 363, Birmingham B15 2TT

The compound Mo₂Cl₈O was formed as a minor product in the reaction of molybdic acid with thionyl chloride. Its structure has been determined by the heavy-atom method from 1 265 reflections, measured with a diffractometer, and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods to R 0.066. Crystals are monoclinic, space group P2/c, with a = 10.01(1), b = 6.27(1), c = 17.89(2) Å, $\beta = 101.8(3)^{\circ}$. The structure consists of singly chlorine-bridged, binuclear cations [Mo₂Cl₉]⁺ and triply chlorine-bridged binuclear anions [Mo₂Cl₇O₂]⁻ which are linked into chains by weak interactions through the oxygen atoms.

The interaction of thionyl chloride with molybdenum trioxide was reported ¹ to yield pure MoCl₄O, and recently a crystal obtained from this reaction has been shown to be a solvated form of the compound.² The corresponding reaction of thionyl chloride with molybdic acid,³ however, was reported to produce MoCl₄O, MoCl₆, MoCl₃O, and MoCl₅. In an attempt to repeat this preparation of MoCl₆ we have isolated, from a mixture of products, crystals of Mo₂Cl₈O.

Experimental

Freshly distilled thionyl chloride (15 cm³) was added to molybdic acid (2 g), forming a dark red solution immediately. After refluxing for 96 h, the excess of thionyl chloride was removed by distillation and separation of the products attempted by vacuum sublimation. Although no complete separation of pure compounds was achieved, it was obvious that several different compounds were present. Samples of the products were sealed under vacuum in thin-walled Pyrex capillaries and crystals grown by sublimation in a temperature gradient. Three different crystals were isolated in small sections of capillary tube, and unit-cell dimensions established by X-ray crystallography. The first crystal studied, which formed thin plates, brown by reflected and green by transmitted light, had cell dimensions and space group identical with those reported⁴ for MoCl₅. The second crystal, which formed dark red blocks, had a tetragonal unit cell, a = 3.9, c = 13.9 Å, very similar to that reported ⁵ for WCl₂O₂ (a = 3.87, c = 13.87 Å) and was assumed to be MoCl₂O₂. The third crystal, which formed dark red, thick plates, was the compound Mo₂Cl₈O. Unit-cell and space-group data were obtained photographically and intensity data were recorded on a diffractometer. No evidence for MoCl₆ was obtained, but not all the product of the reaction was investigated.

Crystal Data.— $[Mo_2Cl_9]^+[Mo_2Cl_7O_2]^-$, M = 983, monoclinic, a = 10.01(1), b = 6.27(1), c = 17.89(2) Å, $\beta = 101.8(3)^\circ$, $U = 1\,100$ Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 2.96$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 912, space group P2/c or Pc from systematic absence h0l when $l \neq 2n$. The centric space group P2/c was confirmed by the subsequent successful refinement of the structure. Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å, $\mu = 39$ cm⁻¹).

Structure Determination.—Intensity data were collected about the *b* axis (layers, k = 0—6) with a Stoe two-circle computer-controlled diffractometer as described previously.⁶

 Table 1. Final atomic positional parameters with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses

| Atom | X/a | Y/b | Z/c |
|-------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| Mo(1) | 0.106 8(1) | -0.183 1(2) | 0.375 1(1) |
| Mo(2) | 0.443 2(1) | 0.044 8(2) | 0.338 9(1) |
| Cl(1) | 0.216 8(5) | -0.3224(9) | 0.485 6(2) |
| Cl(2) | 0.088 7(5) | 0.145 5(7) | 0.420 9(3) |
| Cl(3) | -0.0974(5) | -0.3081(9) | 0.386 9(3) |
| Cl(4) | 0.158 9(4) | -0.4371(7) | 0.299 2(2) |
| Cl(5) | 0 | 0.000 2(9) | ł |
| Cl(6) | 0.445 6(4) | 0.357 9(7) | 0.398 9(2) |
| Cl(7) | 0.598 3(4) | -0.1254(8) | 0.428 8(2) |
| Cl(8) | 0.334 9(4) | 0.192 3(7) | 0.217 4(2) |
| Cl(9) | 1 | -0.2342(9) | ł |
| O(1) | 0.299 4(14) | -0.056 0(20) | 0.357 9(7) |
| | | | |

Within the range $0.1 < (\sin\theta)/\lambda < 0.65$, 1 265 independent reflections having $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were observed. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, but not for absorption, since the crystal was small (dimensions $0.02 \times 0.01 \times 0.01$ mm) and the section of Pyrex capillary not completely symmetrical.

The structure was solved by standard Patterson–Fourier techniques. Refinement was by full-matrix, least-squares methods, with scattering factors for neutral atoms.⁷ Initial refinement was with all atoms vibrating isotropically, with layer scale factors refined separately and with unit weights. This resulted in R0.082. Further refinement with layer scale factors held constant and with the introduction of anisotropic thermal parameters for all atoms reduced R to 0.066.

The refinement was considered complete with final values of R and $R' \{ = [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w|F_o|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \}$ of 0.066 and 0.070 respectively with $w = 1.67/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.002F^2]$. Final parameter shifts were <0.1 σ and an analysis of the variation of $w\Delta^2$ with increasing (sin θ)/ λ and with increasing fractions of $|F_o|$ was satisfactory. The calculations were carried out on the ICL 1906 A computer at the University of Birmingham Computer Centre using the program ⁸ SHELX 76. The final positional parameters are given in Table 1 and the interatomic distances and angles in Table 2.

Discussion

The structure determination has established that one product of the interaction of thionyl chloride with molybdic acid is the previously unreported chloride oxide of empirical formula Mo_2Cl_8O . If the shortest bonds to molybdenum are considered

[†] Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, Issue 1, pp. xvii—xx.

Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| Mo(1)-Cl(1) | 2.235(4) | Mo(2)Cl(6) | 2.237(4) |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Mo(1)-Cl(2) | 2.240(5) | Mo(2)-Cl(7) | 2.262(4) |
| Mo(1)-Cl(3) | 2.238(4) | Mo(2)Cl(8) | 2.406(4) |
| Mo(1)-Cl(4) | 2.223(4) | $Mo(2)-Cl(8^{i})$ | 2.777(4) |
| Mo(1)-Cl(5) | 2.549(3) | Mo(2)-Cl(9) | 2.506(4) |
| Mo(1)-O(1) | 2.165(14) | Mo(2)-O(1) | 1.670(14) |
| Cl(3)-Mo(1)-Cl(1) | 94.2(2) | O(1)-Mo(2)-Cl(6) | 99.2(4) |
| Cl(3) - Mo(1) - Cl(2) | 98.3(2) | O(1) - Mo(2) - Cl(7) | 99.8(Š) |
| Cl(3)-Mo(1)-Cl(4) | 98.0(2) | O(1) - Mo(2) - Cl(8) | 94.7(5) |
| Cl(3)-Mo(1)-Cl(5) | 90.8(1) | O(1) - Mo(2) - Cl(9) | 100.5(4) |
| Cl(1)-Mo(1)-Cl(2) | 95.6(2) | Cl(6)-Mo(2)-Cl(7) | 97.7(2) |
| Cl(1)-Mo(1)-Cl(4) | 97.2(2) | Cl(6)-Mo(2)-Cl(8) | 93.1(2) |
| Cl(2)-Mo(1)-Cl(5) | 81.7(2) | Cl(7)-Mo(2)-Cl(9) | 84.8(1) |
| Cl(4)-Mo(1)-Cl(5) | 84.0(1) | Cl(8)-Mo(2)-Cl(9) | 79.3(1) |
| Cl(3)-Mo(1)-O(1) | 177.1(4) | $O(1)-Mo(2)-Cl(8^{1})$ | 170.6(4) |
| $Mo(1)-Cl(5)-Mo(1^{II})$ | 126.4(2) | $Mo(2)-Cl(9)-Mo(2^{i})$ | 91.4(2) |
| Mo(1)-O(1)-Mo(2) | 176.3(8) | $Mo(2)-Cl(8)-Mo(2^{I})$ | 87.3(2) |
| | | | |

Roman numeral subscripts refer to atoms in the positions: I 1 - x, $y_{.,\frac{1}{2}} - z$; II - x, $y_{.\frac{1}{2}} - z$.

(Table 2) the structure can best be described in terms of the ions $[Mo_2Cl_9]^+$ and $[Mo_2Cl_7O_2]^-$.

In the cation the molybdenum atoms have a distorted squarepyramidal co-ordination by five chlorine atoms, with Cl(5), on a two-fold axis, acting as a basal atom and symmetrically bridging the two molybdenum atoms. The average Mo–Cl (terminal) distance is 2.234 Å, which is very similar to the values of 2.24 Å in both ^{4.9} MoCl₅ and MoCl₃O, and the Mo–Cl (bridge) distance of 2.549 Å is again similar to the corresponding values of 2.53 Å in MoCl₅ and 2.48 Å in MoCl₃O. The molybdenum atom is displaced 0.19 Å above the basal plane of the four chlorine atoms in the square-pyramidal arrangement.

Although the anion has the same atomic ratio of metal to nonmetal as the cation, the structure is very different, with distorted octahedral co-ordination for the molybdenum atom and a triple chloride bridge. Atom Cl(9), which lies on a two-fold axis, forms a symmetric chlorine bridge. The other bridges are asymmetric with the larger distances *trans* to the terminal oxygen atoms. The Mo–O distance of 1.67 Å is close to the value of 1.64 Å in MoCl₄O³ although rather longer than the 1.60 Å reported⁹ for MoCl₃O. The average Mo–Cl (terminal) and the Mo–Cl (symmetric bridge) distances of 2.250 and 2.506 Å are very similar to those in the cation discussed above.

The asymmetric Mo–Cl (bridge) distances of 2.406 and 2.777 Å are very similar to the corresponding distances⁹ of 2.37 and 2.8 Å in MoCl₃O, where the terminal oxygen atom also affects the *trans*-related bridge bond.

If the longer bridge distance is disregarded, then the molybdenum atoms in the anion can be considered to have a square-pyramidal co-ordination, with the oxygen atom in the apical position. The four basal chlorine atoms [Cl(6), Cl(7), Cl(8), and Cl(9)] are coplanar to within 0.05 Å, and the molybdenum atom is displaced from the basal plane 0.34 Å towards the terminal oxygen atom. This displacement is markedly greater than the 0.19 Å in the cation, as expected for an apical oxygen rather than a chlorine atom.

Although the structure has been discussed in terms of the ions $[Mo_2Cl_9]^+$ and $[Mo_2Cl_7O_2]^-$, these ions are linked through the oxygen atoms to give asymmetric oxygen bridges, $Mo(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)-Mo(2)$. Although the weak bridging interaction $Mo(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)$ of 2.17 Å is longer than the symmetrical bridge reported ¹⁰ for NbCl₃O (Nb-O 1.99 Å), the separation of the



Figure. Projection of the structure down [010] showing the atom numbering and the approach to close packing. The arrows indicate planes of approximately close-packed atoms

molybdenum atoms across the oxygen bridge $[Mo(1) \cdots Mo(2)$ 3.84 Å] is less than the corresponding separation of niobium atoms of 3.98 Å. This would imply a stronger overall interaction for the molybdenum compound, and is of particular significance since doubt has been cast on the X-ray crystallographic results for NbCl₃O as single-crystal, laser-Raman studies¹¹ indicate that the Nb–O–Nb bridge is probably asymmetric. The lengthening of the shorter Mo–O distance of 1.67 Å compared with that of 1.60 Å in MoCl₃O can be attributed to the weak bridging interaction.

The oxygen atom, by this weak interaction, completes a distorted octahedral co-ordination arrangement for Mo(1) in the cation and leads to infinite chains of linked cations and anions, parallel to the *a* axis. These chains are packed together as shown in the Figure.

The projection of the structure down the *b* axis, illustrated in the Figure, appears to show well defined planes of atoms, as indicated by the arrows. Closer inspection reveals that these do not conform to either hexagonal or cubic close-packed sequences, in fact the relationship between adjacent layers changes from approximately cubic to approximately hexagonal along the lines of atoms. Thus the angle at the bridging Cl atom in the cation, of 126°, is close to the idealized 132° for filling adjacent octahedral holes in hexagonal close packing and the sequence Cl(7), Cl(6), O(1); Cl(8), Cl(9), Cl(8¹); Cl(7¹), Cl(6¹), O(1¹) also corresponds to the hexagonal arrangement. However, the angle at the oxygen atom of 176.3° is much closer to the theoretical 180° for filling adjacent octahedral holes in cubic close packing.

Acknowledgements

We thank the S.E.R.C. for support (to D. R. S.) and the staff at Birmingham University Computer Centre for their assistance.

References

1 R. Colton, I. B. Tompkins, and P. W. Wilson, Aust. J. Chem., 1964, 17, 496.

- 2 M. Mercer, K. W. Muir, and D. W. A. Sharp, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B., 1981, 36, 1416.
- 3 M. Mercer, Chem. Commun., 1967, 119.
- 4 D. E. Sands and A. Zalkin, Acta Crystallogr., 1959, 12, 723.
- 5 F. Schroeder, Naturwissenschaften, 1965, 52, 389.
- 6 J. C. Dewan, A. J. Edwards, D. R. Slim, J. E. Guerchais, and R. Kergoat, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 2171.
- 7 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4.
- 8 SHELX 76 Program for Crystal Structure Determination, G. M. Sheldrick, Cambridge University, 1976.
- 9 G. Ferguson, M. Mercer, and D. W. A. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1969, 2415.
- 10 D. E. Sands, A. Zalkin, and R. E. Elson, Acta Crystallogr., 1959, 12, 21.
- 11 I. R. Beattie, K. M. S. Livingston, D. J. Reynolds, and G. A. Ozin, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1970, 1210.

Received 13th March 1987; Paper 7/463