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The cluster $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ reacts with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (dppe) to give mainly 1,2$\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right](1)$ but also some $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\text { dppe- } \mathrm{P})_{2}\right]$. Variable-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectra for (1) were used to show that inversion of the OsPCCPOs ring can easily be slowed to give frozen-out spectra below $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single-crystal $X$-ray structures of ( 1 ) and its protonated derivative $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2) (two independent but similar molecules in the unit cell) were determined. Although compounds (1) and (2) have closely related geometries, (1) has a much distorted structure in which the axial OC-Os-CO directions are not perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Os}_{3}$ plane but are screwed with respect to each other. This screw distortion is not present in (2) because the $\mu-\mathrm{H}$ ligand causes the two axial OC-Os-CO directions through adjacent Os atoms to be locked perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{H}$ directions and hence they are parallel. Ring inversion in (2) was also frozen out in the low-temperature n.m.r. spectra.

The diphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (dppe) has been widely used as a chelating ligand although less commonly it is known to bridge. Both co-ordination modes have been found in clusters. The cluster $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right]$ has been isolated in isomeric forms with chelating ${ }^{1}$ and bridging ${ }^{2}$ dppe respectively, $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{5} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CO})_{13}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ contains chelating $\mathrm{dppe}^{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ bridging dppe. ${ }^{4}$ We have reported the syntheses of the isomers $1,1-$ and $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\right]^{5}$ and the corresponding protonated derivatives $1,1-$ and $1,2-$ $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ have been structurally characterised. ${ }^{6} \dagger$ These isomers correspond with chelating and bridging forms, if the two monodentate ligands are replaced by a diphosphine, and we have briefly reported the syntheses of $1,1-$ and $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ and related compounds with other diphosphines. ${ }^{7}$ The compound $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppm})\right]$ (dppm $=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) has only been synthesised in the 1,2 (bridging) form. This paper reports the syntheses, $X$-ray structures, and dynamic behaviour of the 1,2 isomer of $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ and its protonated form 1,2$\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$. The crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ can be compared with that of the corresponding ruthenium compound ${ }^{4}$ and the effect of protonation was also assessed.

## Results and Discussion

$1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$.-The cluster $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ reacts with dppe in refluxing chloroform to give predominantly the expected product $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mu\right.$-dppe $\left.)\right]$, (1), which is the subject of this paper. Some $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe}-P)_{2}\right]$ is also formed in low yield but this was only characterised by elemental

[^0]analysis and its i.r. spectrum (see Experimental section). The i.r. spectrum around $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ of this latter compound is very close to that of $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}\right]^{5}$ and rather different from that of compound (1). The cluster $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3^{-}}\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}$ ] exists as two interconverting isomers in solution which differ in the occupancy of equatorial sites by the $\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ ligands but neither isomer has these ligands in adjacent equatorial sites as in compound (1).

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectrum of compound (1) at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ contains five equal-intensity CO signals at $\delta 196.5,192.0,185.9,180.1$, and 174.5 (see Figure 1). Thus the symmetry of the molecule is lower than $C_{2 v}$. The first three signals may be assigned to axial CO ligands and we propose that there is a sufficiently high barrier to inversion of the OsPCCPOs ring to give frozen-out n.m.r. signals at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Likewise at low temperatures two equal-intensity ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. multiplets at $\delta 3.08$ and 1.80 for the $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ protons of dppe (see Figure 2) confirm that there is no plane of symmetry through the diphosphine ligand. Nonplanarity of such a ring is expected but the barrier to inversion is higher than normal for this ligand. The inversion process can, however, be observed in the spectra shown in Figures 1 and 2; coalescence between -80 and $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shows that a timeaveraged plane of symmetry is generated by ring flipping. The Scheme shows that this process (A) leads to exchange of carbonyl ligands a and b and methylene protons f and g . The carbonyls c-e are unaffected. At $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the onset of another process which broadens signal d occurs (Figure 1). At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ all carbonyl signals except those for c and e are broad. These further coalescences are readily explained by a second process (B) (Scheme) which is a delocalised merry-go-round CO exchange over the two bridged Os atoms. Normally this is the fastest process in dodecacarbonyltriosmium and its substituted derivatives. Compound (1) gives a single ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. singlet down to $-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ consistent with the molecule having $C_{2}$ symmetry as shown in the Scheme. For the clusters $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left\{\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{n} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right\}\right]$ where $n=1,3$, or 4 the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra give no evidence for freezing-out of inversions of rings formed by the bridging diphosphine ligands. ${ }^{7}$

The single-crystal $X$-ray structure of compound (1) was determined to establish the conformation of the dppe bridge


Figure 1. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ N.m.r. spectra of dichloromethane solutions of 1,2$\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ (1). The assignments relate to the left-hand molecules in the Scheme


Figure 2. Proton n.m.r. spectra for $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions of 1,2$\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right]$ (1) showing the methylene signals of the dppe ligand

Table 1. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right]$ (1)

| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 2.891(1) | Os(1)-P(1) | 2.328(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(3)$ | 2.878(1) | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.333(3) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(3)$ | 2.871(1) |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.81(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.59(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | 1.82(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(121)$ | 1.83(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.83(3) |
|  |  | $\mathbf{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(211)$ | 1.82(1) |
| Os-C (carbonyl) | $1.92(1)$ (mean) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(221)$ | 1.86(1) |
| C-O (carbonyl) | 1.14(2) (mean) |  |  |
| Phenyl rings |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring 111) | 1.40(2) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring 211) | 1.40(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring 121) | 1.40(2) | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring 221) | 1.39 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 59.9(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(3)$ | 158.3(1) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | 60.4(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 101.2(1) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | 59.7(1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(3)$ | 156.3(1) |
|  |  | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | 100.5(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | 116.6(3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | 112.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 111.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(121)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | $117.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 111.1(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 116.3(4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(211)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 118.4(4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(221)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 113.9(4) |  |  |

and how this relates to the geometry of the cluster as a whole. We also wished to compare the geometry to that of the protonated form (see later). Selected bond lengths and angles are in Table 1, while Figure 3 shows the molecular structure. The 1,2 configuration is established and the structure is closely similar to that of $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right] .{ }^{4}$ The bridged $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ distance of $2.891(1) \AA$ is slightly longer than the non-bridged ones [2.871(1) and $2.878(1) \AA$ ] which are similar to the $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{Os}$ distance in $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right.$ ] $\left(2.877 \AA\right.$ ). ${ }^{8}$ Bridging the metal atoms by $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (dppm) in the clusters $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppm})\right]^{9}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\right]^{10}$ leads to shorter $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ distances than for the unbridged ones.

The main features of the structure are the non-planarity of the $\mathrm{Os}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(1) \mathrm{C}(2) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{Os}(2)$ ring and how the chirality of this arrangement is linked to the chirality of the cluster as a whole which results from the screwed arrangement of the carbonyl framework. The axial OC-Os-CO directions are far from parallel. The four ligands at each Os atom maintain approximately octahedral geometry but these four octahedral sites are twisted as a whole with respect to the other two sites defined by the other metal atoms. The metal-metal directions are less important in defining the co-ordination geometries than the metal-ligand directions. This type of distortion is common and appears to a small extent in the structure of $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right]^{8}$ but is particularly pronounced in this compound. Transfer of axial ligands between metal atoms by a delocalised conical rotation not affecting the equatorial CO ligands, which would relate to this screw distortion, has been discussed. ${ }^{9-11}$ This process is slow even in $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppm})\right]$ and we did not examine high-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra from which this might be apparent.
$1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$.-Addition of an excess of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ to a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of compound (1) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave immediately a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum containing two hydride signals: $\delta-19.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and $-21.31\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=17.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. The doublet signal was the minor component and became



Scheme.


Figure 3. Molecular structure of $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ (1)
even weaker on warming the solution to $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The isolated salt $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mathrm{dppe}^{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2), when redissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, gave only the triplet signal at $\delta-21.31$. We believe that the hydride doublet results from a species with the hydride spanning one of the Os-Os edges not bridged by dppe. On the other hand the isolated cation (2) has the hydride and the dppe ligand bridging the same edge. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of (2) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ contains two equal-intensity multiplets for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group ( $\delta 2.23$ and 4.60 ) which coalesce at about $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a broad singlet ( $\delta 3.5$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As for the parent cluster (1), we assign this as a ring-flipping process but this is somewhat slower for the protonated compound (2).

The single-crystal molecular structures of the two independent molecules (a and b) of $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2) in the unit cell are shown in Figures 4 and 5. Selected bond lengths and angles for molecules $a$ and $b$ are given in Table 2. The geometries of molecules $a$ and $b$ are very similar although there is an approximately $90^{\circ}$ rotation of the phenyl ring $\mathrm{C}(211)$ $\mathrm{C}(216)$ about the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ph}$ bond on going from one molecule to the other. The bridging hydride ligand was not located in either molecule but the structures are consistent with this ligand bridging $\mathrm{Os}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Os}(2)$. The positions shown in Figures 4 and 5 were calculated by minimising repulsions to the adjacent ligands and may be regarded as approximately correct (see Experimental section). One effect of protonation is to increase
all $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{Os}$ distances but especially that of $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ [3.058(1) and 3.060 (1) $\AA$ for molecules a and $b$ respectively]. The other major effect is to remove the screw distortion found for compound (1); the axial $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{CO}$ directions are closely perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Os}_{3}$ plane in (2) and the equatorial ligands lie in this plane. Figure 6 shows projections through the bridged metal atoms, $\mathrm{Os}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Os}(2)$, showing how the essentially eclipsed arrangement in cluster (2) [Figure 6(b)] compares with the significant distortion found in (1) [Figure 6(a)] which has torsional angles about the $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ pair of atoms of around $27^{\circ}$. We can see that this eclipsed geometry about the
$\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ pair results in the phenyl groups being eclipsed as well and these are positioned equally above and below the $\mathrm{Os}_{3}$ plane. In contrast the phenyl groups in compound (1) adopt distinctly axial and equatorial positions.
We believe that the differences shown in Figure 6 result from a necessity for the bridging hydride ligand in compound (2) to occupy a particular co-ordination site at the metal atoms to which it is bonded. Thus the ligands $\mathrm{C}(12) \mathrm{O}(12)$ and $\mathrm{C}(22) \mathrm{O}(22)$ orientate to be trans to the hydride and hence must lie in the metal plane. This produces the essentially eclipsed configuration shown in Figure $6(b)$. The differences between


Figure 4. Molecular structure of $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2), molecule a


Figure 5. Molecular structure of $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2), molecule $b$


Figure 6. Projections perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ direction for compounds (1) (a) and (2) (molecule a) (b)
compounds (1) and (2) do not appear to arise from an increase in the $\mathrm{Os}(1) \mathrm{Os}(2) \mathrm{P}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Os}(2) \mathrm{Os}(1) \mathrm{P}(1)$ angles on protonation since these increase from an average of 100.9 to an average of $103.0^{\circ}$ on protonation, a very small difference. The increased $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ distance in (2) would, however, have the effect of prizing the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups apart and possibly reducing flexibility in the OsPCCPOs ring which might favour a more closely planar $\mathrm{Os}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ set of atoms.

In spite of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group in (2) being the only set of atoms that remove molecular $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, we have found that the rates of ring inversion in (2) are somewhat slower than in (1) (see above).

## Experimental

I.r. spectra were recorded of solutions in $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ cells on a PerkinElmer PE983 spectrometer. N.m.r. spectra (Varian XL200) were recorded with chemical shifts relative to $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ or $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra (natural abundance) for solutions containing ca. $0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}\right]$ (acac $=$ acetylacetonate) as a shiftless relaxation reagent. The starting cluster $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right.$ ] was prepared as reported ${ }^{12}$ and dppe was purchased from Strem Chemicals Inc.

Reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ with $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (dppe).-A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right](0.288 \mathrm{~g})$ and dppe ( $0.185 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ per $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Os}_{3}$ ) in chloroform ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was

Table 2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10^{-}}\right.$ (dppe) $]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2)

| Molecule a |  |  | Molecule b |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Os(1a)-Os(2a) | 3.058(1) |  | $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | ) $3.060(1)$ |  |
| Os(1a)-Os(3a) | 2.896(1) |  | $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | b) $2.890(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 2.893(1) |  | $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | ) $2.895(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | 2.371(5) |  | $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 2.373 (5) |  |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | $2.378(5)$ |  | $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | $2.376(5)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(1 a)$ | 1.87(2) |  | $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.83(2) |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.85(2) |  | $\mathbf{P}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.87(2) |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(111 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.82(2) |  | $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(111 \mathrm{~b})$ | ) $1.85(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(121 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.80(2) |  | $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(121 \mathrm{~b})$ | ) $1.82(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(211 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.84(2) |  | $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(211 \mathrm{~b})$ | ) $1.80(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(221 \mathrm{a})$ | 1.83(2) |  | $\mathbf{P}(2 b)-C(221 b)$ | ) $1.84(3)$ |  |
| C(1a)-C(2a) | 1.56(3) |  | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 1.57(3) |  |
| Os-C (carbony | ) (mean) |  | 1.92(2) 1 | 1.89(3) |  |
| C-O (carbonyl) | (mean) |  | 1.13 (3) 1 | 1.16(3) |  |
| Phenyl rings (mean values) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring 11) |  |  | 1.40 (3) 1 | 1.40(4) |  |
| C-C (ring 12) |  |  | 1.41 (4) 1 | 1.41(4) |  |
| C-C (ring 21) |  |  | 1.41 (3) 1. | 1.41(3) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ (ring 22) |  |  | 1.40 (3) 1 | 1.40(4) |  |
| P-F ( $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ ions) |  |  | 1.56(2) 1 | 1.58(2) |  |
| $\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 58.1(1) | $\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b}$ | b) $-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 58.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 58.2(2) | $\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | b) - $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 58.0(1) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 63.8(1) | $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{~b}$ | ) $-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 63.9(1) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 103.7(1) | $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b}$ | b) $-\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 103.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 102.7(1) | $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b}$ | b) $-\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 101.9(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 101.7(7) | $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | $-\mathrm{C}(12 \mathrm{~b})$ | 100(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(22 \mathrm{a})$ |  | 103.8(8) | $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{~b})-\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | $-\mathrm{C}(22 \mathrm{~b})$ | 101.4(7) |

refluxed under nitrogen for 3 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue separated by t.l.c. $\left[\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$; eluant, light petroleum (b.p. $30-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )-dichloromethane ( $10: 3 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )] to give $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}(\mathrm{dppe}-P)\right]$ as yellow crystals from hexane $\left(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%\right.$ ) \{possibly derived from [ $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}(\mathrm{MeCN})$ ] impurity in $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]$ \} (Found: C, 35.25; H, 2.15; $\mathrm{P}, 4.8 . \mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ requires C, $34.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.9 ; \mathrm{P}, 4.85 \%$ ), $v(\mathrm{CO})\left(\right.$ cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ) at $2106 \mathrm{w}, 2052 \mathrm{~s}, 2033 \mathrm{~s}, 2017 \mathrm{~s}, 2000 \mathrm{w}$ $1987 \mathrm{~m}, 1976 \mathrm{w}$, and $1957 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1} ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ -154.0 (d) and -147.0 (d) $(J=39.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The compound $1,2-$ $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mu\right.$-dppe $\left.)\right]$ (1) was obtained as yellow crystals ( 0.250 $\mathrm{g}, 43 \%$ ) from pentane-dichloromethane mixtures (Found: C, $34.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.85 ; \mathrm{P}, 4.9 . \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 34.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.95$; $\mathrm{P}, 4.95 \%$ ), v(CO) (cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ) at $2086 \mathrm{~m}, 2023 \mathrm{~m}, 2013 \mathrm{vs}$, $1999 \mathrm{vs}, 1974 \mathrm{~m}, 1958 \mathrm{~m}, 1941 \mathrm{w}$, and $1932 \mathrm{w} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. N.m.r.: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta-138.6(\mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 194.1 (s), 185.9 (s), 180.1 (s), 174.5 (s) (CO signals, intensity ratio 2:1:1:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), 3.08(\mathrm{~m})$ and $1.80(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ signals). The compound $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe}-P)_{2}\right]$ was obtained as red crystals from hexane $(0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \%)$ (Found: C, 44.75; $\mathrm{H}, 3.05 ; \mathrm{P}, 7.5 . \mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 45.2 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.95$; $\mathrm{P}, 7.5 \%$ ), v(CO) (cyclo- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ) at $2082 \mathrm{w}, 2025 \mathrm{~s}, 2010$ (sh), $1997 \mathrm{vs}, 1965 \mathrm{~m}$, and 1953 (sh) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Protonation of $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mu-\mathrm{dppe})\right]$ (1).-Trifluoroacetic acid $\left(0.040 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 16 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ per $\left.\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Os}{ }_{3}\right)$ was added to an orange-yellow solution of compound (1) ( 0.040 g ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.5$

Table 3. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\right.$ dppe $\left.)\right]$ (1)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Os(1) | $0.23626(2)$ | $0.30719(3)$ | $0.14841(4)$ | C(2) | 0.172 6(7) | 0.0387 (9) | $0.2958(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | 0.301 69(3) | 0.088 18(4) | 0.022 94(4) | C(111) | 0.2701 (7) | 0.428 6(9) | 0.4914 (10) |
| Os(3) | 0.318 30(3) | $0.26936(4)$ | -0.109 08(5) | C(112) | 0.2419 (7) | 0.5391 (9) | 0.544 2(11) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.2064 (2) | 0.298 4(2) | 0.364 3(3) | C(113) | 0.2953 (8) | $0.6460(11)$ | 0.632 0(13) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.2367 (2) | -0.033 2(2) | 0.154 3(3) | C(114) | 0.374 4(8) | 0.638 3(12) | 0.663 3(14) |
| C(11) | 0.3419 (7) | 0.424 7(10) | $0.2058(11)$ | C(115) | 0.399 2(8) | 0.527 9(13) | 0.6113 (14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 0.402 2(5) | 0.497 4(7) | 0.244 9(10) | C(116) | 0.347 5(8) | 0.424 6(11) | 0.525 9(12) |
| C(12) | 0.187 6(6) | 0.4390 (9) | $0.1625(11)$ | C(121) | $0.0997(6)$ | $0.3007(9)$ | 0.4291 (11) |
| O(12) | 0.155 6(5) | 0.516 6(7) | 0.170 1(10) | C(122) | 0.040 4(7) | 0.311 1(11) | 0.353 2(13) |
| C(13) | 0.139 3(7) | $0.1766(9)$ | 0.077 0(11) | C(123) | -0.041 1(8) | 0.314 1(13) | 0.4057 (13) |
| O(13) | 0.077 9(5) | 0.1047 (7) | 0.039 6(9) | C(124) | -0.061 8(8) | 0.309 3(13) | 0.533 6(15) |
| C(21) | 0.383 3(6) | 0.193 3(10) | 0.157 8(13) | C(125) | -0.002 2(9) | 0.298 0(14) | $0.6097(14)$ |
| O(21) | 0.433 4(5) | 0.248 6(7) | 0.237 2(10) | C(126) | 0.0807 (8) | 0.2957 (12) | 0.558 3(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 0.375 9(7) | $-0.0099(10)$ | -0.072 3(13) | C(211) | $0.3017(7)$ | -0.090 1(9) | 0.235 9(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | 0.423 2(6) | $-0.0646(9)$ | -0.126 7(12) | C(212) | 0.3883 (7) | -0.048 6(11) | 0.2370 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 0.219 1(8) | $-0.0050(11)$ | -0.115 7(13) | C(213) | 0.438 6(9) | -0.092 0(14) | 0.304 6(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | 0.1740 (7) | $-0.0663(9)$ | -0.199 5(10) | C(214) | 0.398 6(10) | -0.175 3(15) | $0.3715(18)$ |
| C(31) | 0.430 2(8) | 0.314 9(12) | -0.038 3(13) | C(215) | 0.309 6(10) | -0.222 1(16) | 0.363 2(20) |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)$ | $0.4970(6)$ | 0.347 2(10) | -0.003 2(12) | C(216) | 0.264 9(8) | -0.182 2(15) | 0.290 9(18) |
| C(32) | 0.2028 (9) | 0.2111 (13) | -0.169 6(12) | C(221) | $0.1610(6)$ | -0.177 6(8) | $0.0615(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)$ | 0.138 8(6) | 0.179 0(11) | -0.214 3(11) | C(222) | 0.1990 (7) | $-0.2714(10)$ | $-0.0307(13)$ |
| C(33) | 0.358 8(9) | 0.1961 (14) | -0.283 9(14) | C(223) | 0.1451 (8) | -0.381 5(11) | -0.103 9(14) |
| O(33) | 0.382 5(7) | 0.159 2(12) | -0.393 7(11) | C(224) | 0.057 0(8) | -0.397 8(10) | -0.089 3(12) |
| C(34) | 0.3221 (8) | 0.434 6(12) | -0.094 5(13) | C(225) | $0.0248(7)$ | -0.303 4(12) | $-0.0011(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(34)$ | 0.323 2(7) | 0.534 3(9) | $-0.0880(12)$ | C(226) | 0.078 2(7) | -0.192 4(10) | 0.073 3(12) |
| C(1) | 0.224 7(7) | 0.165 2(9) | 0.395 3(11) |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Os(1a) | 0.147 60(4) | $0.37910(5)$ | 0.046 22(3) | C(211a) | $0.1430(10)$ | 0.039 4(13) | 0.098 8(7) |
| Os(2a) | 0.080 98(4) | 0.208 90(5) | 0.014 62(3) | C(212a) | $0.1618(10)$ | 0.0030 (14) | 0.145 2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.089 02(5) | $0.33150(5)$ | -0.056 28(3) | C(213a) | 0.195 6(11) | $-0.0753(16)$ | 0.1523 (8) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | $0.1757(2)$ | 0.375 5(3) | 0.1328 (2) | C(214a) | 0.2040 (12) | -0.118 5(17) | 0.112 5(9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.0968 (3) | 0.140 4(3) | 0.090 4(2) | C(215a) | 0.182 9(12) | -0.082 9(16) | $0.0670(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.421 72(4) | $-0.20385(5)$ | $-0.04411(3)$ | C(216a) | 0.151 1(10) | -0.002 3(15) | 0.059 1(7) |
| $\mathrm{Os}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.331 15(4) | -0.362 28(5) | -0.074 08(3) | C(221a) | 0.012 6(9) | 0.1218 (13) | $0.1070(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.413 67(5) | -0.324 69(6) | 0.027 59(3) | C(222a) | 0.012 6(10) | 0.129 6(13) | 0.155 5(7) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.4105(3)$ | -0.144 8(3) | $-0.1217(2)$ | C(223a) | -0.049 8(11) | 0.104 5(15) | $0.1670(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.284 2(3) | -0.354 6(3) | -0.1613(2) | C(224a) | -0.113 9(12) | 0.076 8(16) | $0.1314(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.6251 (4) | 0.191 6(5) | 0.3163 (2) | C(225a) | -0.114 7(12) | 0.067 3(16) | $0.0829(9)$ |
| $F(1 a)$ | 0.633 1(11) | 0.114 4(11) | $0.2868(6)$ | C(226a) | -0.051 6(11) | 0.091 9(14) | $0.0695(7)$ |
| F(2a) | 0.559 6(8) | $0.1527(10)$ | 0.3311 (5) | C(11b) | 0.341 6(13) | $-0.1480(17)$ | -0.032 2(8) |
| F(3a) | 0.685 4(10) | 0.156 2(12) | 0.363 2(5) | $\mathrm{O}(11 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.2909 (9) | -0.115 1(12) | -0.025 4(6) |
| F(4a) | 0.690 3(8) | 0.232 4(10) | 0.3003 (6) | C(12b) | 0.486 6(17) | $-0.1250(21)$ | -0.001 8(11) |
| $F(5 a)$ | 0.622 4(10) | 0.267 4(12) | 0.348 2(6) | O(12b) | 0.532 2(16) | $-0.0821(20)$ | 0.0218 (10) |
| F(6a) | 0.567 6(8) | 0.229 1(13) | 0.270 0(5) | C(13b) | 0.5029 (16) | -0.259 4(20) | -0.049 4(10) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.164 4(4) | 0.186 4(4) | 0.298 5(2) | $\mathrm{O}(13 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.560 2(12) | -0.288 6(15) | $-0.0508(8)$ |
| $F(1 b)$ | 0.171 6(8) | 0.128 2(8) | 0.2561 (4) | C(21b) | 0.418 6(13) | -0.412 1(16) | -0.080 0(8) |
| $F(2 b)$ | 0.133 3(9) | 0.2571 (9) | 0.258 3(4) | $\mathrm{O}(21 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.470 7(9) | $-0.4450(12)$ | -0.085 8(6) |
| $F(3 b)$ | 0.1938 (9) | 0.115 3(9) | $0.3370(5)$ | C(22b) | 0.2954 (12) | -0.466 5(17) | -0.062 8(8) |
| $F(4 b)$ | 0.157 3(8) | 0.245 9(9) | 0.339 5(4) | $\mathrm{O}(22 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.279 0(9) | -0.530 9(13) | -0.052 1(6) |
| $\mathrm{F}(5 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.0817 (9) | 0.157 3(10) | 0.2890 (6) | C(23b) | 0.244 4(12) | -0.316 4(16) | -0.067 1(8) |
| F(6b) | 0.246 2(8) | 0.2179 (10) | $0.3064(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(23 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.1864(9)$ | -0.289 6(12) | -0.066 1(6) |
| C(11a) | 0.244 4(12) | 0.3371 (16) | 0.048 6(8) | C(31b) | 0.324 4(12) | -0.273 2(15) | 0.0347 (8) |
| O(11a) | $0.3027(10)$ | 0.315 4(13) | 0.0536 (7) | $\mathrm{O}(31 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.2707(8)$ | -0.247 6(11) | $0.0384(6)$ |
| C(12a) | 0.1803 (12) | 0.4890 (16) | 0.0363 (8) | C(32b) | $0.5000(12)$ | -0.378 2(15) | $0.0205(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.1977 (8) | 0.553 8(11) | 0.0291 (6) | $\mathrm{O}(32 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.554 4(9) | -0.4129(12) | $0.0208(6)$ |
| C(13a) | 0.048 8(9) | 0.417 5(12) | 0.0421 (6) | C(33b) | 0.473 8(14) | -0.265 9(18) | 0.083 3(10) |
| O(13a) | -0.008 2(7) | 0.4413 (9) | 0.043 0(5) | $\mathrm{O}(33 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.5130(11)$ | -0.228 5(14) | 0.1141 (7) |
| C(21a) | $0.1751(11)$ | $0.1702(14)$ | 0.0115 (7) | C(34b) | 0.3917 (12) | -0.419 7(18) | 0.057 1(9) |
| O(21a) | 0.2321 (9) | 0.145 2(11) | $0.0109(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(34 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.3771 (9) | -0.476 8(12) | 0.0754 (6) |
| C(22a) | 0.032 6(12) | $0.1315(17)$ | -0.032 8(9) | C(1b) | $0.3818(10)$ | -0.221 2(13) | -0.170 6(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.0030 (9) | $0.0877(13)$ | -0.064 2(6) | C(2b) | 0.297 9(10) | -0.250 0(13) | -0.184 1(7) |
| C(23a) | -0.0179(11) | 0.249 0(14) | 0.0117 (7) | C(111b) | 0.345 2(10) | -0.057 8(14) | -0.142 2(7) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23 \mathrm{a})$ | -0.074 8(8) | 0.266 6(11) | $0.0119(6)$ | C(112b) | 0.3090 (14) | -0.047 4(19) | -0.193 0(9) |
| C(31a) | $-0.0114(11)$ | 0.3670 (14) | -0.059 3(7) | C(113b) | 0.259 4(16) | 0.021 4(22) | -0.205 7(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(31 \mathrm{a})$ | -0.069 1(8) | 0.387 4(10) | -0.062 7(5) | C(114b) | $0.2510(16)$ | 0.075 5(21) | -0.170 1(11) |
| C(32a) | 0.189 8(11) | 0.295 9(15) | -0.054 9(7) | C(115b) | 0.290 5(13) | 0.064 3(17) | -0.121 4(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(32 \mathrm{a})$ | $0.2460(8)$ | 0.278 3(11) | -0.055 2(5) | C(116b) | 0.338 4(10) | $-0.0039(15)$ | -0.105 9(7) |

Table 4 (continued)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(33a) | $0.1038(12)$ | 0.4328 (16) | $-0.0861(8)$ | C(121b) | 0.498 6(11) | -0.106 6(16) | -0.126 8(8) |
| $\mathrm{O}(33 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.1120 (8) | 0.4931 (12) | -0.103 8(6) | C(122b) | 0.520 6(14) | -0.023 1(19) | -0.114 1(9) |
| C(34a) | 0.0440 (12) | 0.267 3(16) | -0.112 6(8) | C(123b) | 0.593 8(16) | $0.0024(21)$ | -0.116 5(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(34 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.010 6(9) | 0.225 2(12) | -0.144 5(6) | C(124b) | 0.638 6(14) | -0.049 5(20) | -0.129 0(10) |
| C(1a) | 0.126 8(10) | 0.286 4(13) | 0.149 6(7) | C(125b) | 0.616 4(15) | -0.131 6(21) | -0.1429(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{a})$ | 0.1593 (9) | 0.2017 (13) | 0.141 6(6) | C(126b) | 0.544 3(14) | -0.161 4(19) | -0.142 3(10) |
| C(111a) | 0.273 3(9) | 0.362 6(13) | 0.170 5(6) | C(211b) | 0.185 4(9) | $-0.3661(13)$ | -0.192 5(7) |
| C(112a) | $0.2913(11)$ | $0.3165(15)$ | 0.2141 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(212 \mathrm{~b})$ | $0.1331(13)$ | -0.304 3(17) | $-0.1889(9)$ |
| C(113a) | 0.368 6(13) | 0.314 4(17) | 0.244 9(9) | $\mathrm{C}(213 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.055 2(13) | -0.3119(17) | -0.2159(9) |
| C(114a) | 0.423 3(14) | 0.3519 (19) | 0.231 1(10) | C(214b) | 0.030 0(12) | $-0.3780(16)$ | -0.2481(8) |
| C(115a) | 0.404 2(13) | 0.4009 (17) | $0.1878(9)$ | C(215b) | 0.079 4(13) | -0.4400 (17) | -0.249 6(9) |
| C(116a) | 0.329 6(12) | $0.4062(16)$ | 0.158 6(8) | $\mathrm{C}(216 \mathrm{~b})$ | 0.157 1(13) | -0.433 3(18) | -0.222 7(9) |
| C(121a) | 0.142 5(9) | 0.462 4(13) | 0.158 3(6) | C(221b) | 0.3287 (11) | -0.427 2(15) | -0.192 2(7) |
| C(122a) | $0.0775(11)$ | $0.4587(16)$ | $0.1718(8)$ | C(222b) | 0.354 4(10) | -0.401 2(14) | -0.2301 (7) |
| C(123a) | 0.053 5(12) | $0.5332(16)$ | $0.1902(8)$ | C(223b) | 0.3916 (13) | -0.460 2(18) | $-0.2506(9)$ |
| C(124a) | $0.0983(12)$ | 0.604 6(17) | 0.1971 (8) | C(224b) | 0.403 9(14) | -0.535 9(20) | -0.232 2(10) |
| C(125a) | 0.158 9(12) | $0.6057(17)$ | $0.1830(8)$ | C(225b) | 0.372 3(14) | -0.566 8(19) | $-0.1977(10)$ |
| C(126a) | $0.1830(11)$ | $0.5352(15)$ | 0.1638 (7) | C(226b) | $0.3390(13)$ | $-0.5088(18)$ | -0.173 4(9) |

$\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum showed the immediate formation of two hydrido-species [ $\delta-19.48$ (d) and -21.31 ( t$)$ ]. The solvent was removed under vacuum after 48 h , the residue dissolved in methanol, and a methanolic solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.008 \mathrm{~g})$ added followed by a few drops of water to give a pale yellow precipitate. Extraction of this with dichloromethane and recrystallisation from dichloromethanediethyl ether mixtures gave $1,2-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mu\right.$-dppe $\left.)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2) $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$ as pale yellow crystals (Found: C, $30.9 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.85$; $\mathrm{P}, 6.8 . \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 31.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 1.85 ; \mathrm{P}, 6.65 \%$ ), $v(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ at $2121 \mathrm{~m}, 2078 \mathrm{vs}, 2068 \mathrm{~ms}, 2038 \mathrm{vs}$, and $2020 \mathrm{vs} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \delta 2.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.60$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ph})$, and $-21.32\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{OsH}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

Crystallographic Studies.-Crystal data for $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10^{-}}\right.$ (dppe)] (1). $\quad \mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}, \quad M=1249.1, \quad$ triclinic, $a=16.558(3), b=11.777(3), c=10.617(2) \AA, \alpha=110.19(3)$, $\beta=84.27(2), \gamma=104.70(3)^{\circ}, U=1879.4 \AA^{3}$, space group $P \overline{1}$, $Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=2.21 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=1155.9, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=98.38$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}, \lambda=0.71069 \AA$.

Crystal data for $\left[\mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}(\mathrm{dppe})\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$ (2). $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{25}$ $\mathrm{F}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Os}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3}, M=1$ 395.1, monoclinic, $a=18.856(3), b=$ $16.294(3), c=28.747(5) \AA, \beta=108.96(3)^{\circ}, U=8353.0 \AA^{3}$, space group $P 2_{1} / c, Z=8, D_{\mathrm{c}}=2.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, F(000)=5183.5$, $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=89.13 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \lambda=0.71069 \AA$.

Data collection. Unit-cell parameters and intensity data for both structures were collected at room temperature on a Phillips PW1100 four-circle diffractometer using graphitemonochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation in the range $3 \leqslant \theta \leqslant 25^{\circ}$. The method of data collection and processing was similar to that described previously. ${ }^{13}$ A semi-empirical absorption correction based on a pseudo-ellipsoid model ${ }^{14}$ was applied to each data set; 370 azimuthal scan data from 10 independent reflections for each crystal gave relative transmission factors of $1.00-0.415$ for compound (1) and $1.00-0.200$ for compound (2). Equivalent reflections were merged to give 5422 and 8127 unique data with $I \geqslant 3 \sigma(I)$ for (1) and (2) respectively.

Structure solution and refinement. ${ }^{15}$ For both compounds the Os atoms in the asymmetric unit [two unique molecules per asymmetric unit for compound (2)] were located from a Patterson map. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located by subsequent refinement and Fourier difference synthesis. In compound (2) the position of the hydrogen atom bridging $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(2)$ in each molecule was calculated using the program HYDEX. ${ }^{16}$ For compound (1), the positions of the
methylene hydrogen atoms in the dppe bridge were calculated and then refined as a rigid group with an overall thermal parameter. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors ${ }^{17}$ and weights, $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right]$, were used in final refinements. For compound (2), blocked, full-matrix least squares with $\mathrm{Os}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{F}$ atoms anisotropic, and C and O atoms isotropic; for compound (1), blocked, full-matrix least squares with all non-hydrogen atoms anisotropic, and the four methylene hydrogen atoms refined with an isotropic group thermal parameter, riding on their respective C atoms with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ of $1.08 \AA$. The final values of $R$ and $R^{\prime}$ were 0.0438 and 0.0439 for (1) and 0.0585 and 0.0570 for (2). The final difference maps for each compound contained several residual peaks of $c a .2 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ in the vicinity of the osmium atoms and several of $c a .1 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ in possible positions for H atoms bonded to phenyl carbon atoms.

Refined atomic positional parameters are in Tables 3 and 4 for compounds (1) and (2) respectively. Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H -atom co-ordinates, thermal parameters, and remaining bond lengths and angles.
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[^0]:    * $1,2-\mu-\left[1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}\right.$-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane $]-1,1,1,2,2,2,3,3,3,3-$ deca-carbonyl-triangulo-triosmium and $1,2-\mu-\left[1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}\right.$-bis(diphenylphos-phino)ethane]-1,1,1,2,2,2,3,3,3,3-decacarbonyl-1,2- $\mu$-hydrido-triangulotriosmium hexafluorophosphate.
    Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, Issue 1, pp. xvii-xx.
    $\dagger$ Throughout this paper the numbers prefixing formulae of complexes indicate the metal atoms to which the diphosphine ligands are bonded.

