Metallaheteroborane Chemistry. Part 3.[†] Synthesis of $[2,2-(PR_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ ($R_3 = Et_3$, Bu^n_3 , or Me_2Ph), their Characterisation by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, and the Crystal and Molecular Structure of $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ [‡]

George Ferguson*

Chemistry Department, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1 John D. Kennedy and Xavier L. R. Fontaine School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT Faridoon and Trevor R. Spalding* Department of Chemistry, University College, Cork, Ireland

Reaction of $[Pt(PR_3)_2Cl_2]$ ($R_3 = Et_{3'}$ Buⁿ_{3'} or Me₂Ph) with the $[7\text{-}TeB_{10}H_{11}]^-$ anion in refluxing tetrahydrofuran affords the compounds $[2,2-(PR_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ [$R_3 = Et_3$ (1), Buⁿ₃ (2) or Me₂Ph (3)] as the major products. An X-ray diffraction study of (1) (recrystallised from CH₂Cl₂) shows the crystals to be monoclinic, space group Cc with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions a = 10.387(2), b = 17.227(4), c = 14.447(3) Å, and $\beta = 106.83(2)^\circ$. The final R factor was 0.020 for 2 601 observed reflections. Principal interatomic distances are Pt–Te 2.704(1), Pt–P 2.320(2) and 2.341(2), Pt–B 2.248(7)–2.295(9), Te–B 2.291(8)–2.404(8) Å. The compounds, together with the [TeB₁₀H₁₁]⁻ precursor, have been examined by n.m.r. spectroscopy, and several interesting features are noted. Relative sign information for the various $^n J(^{195}Pt-^1H)$ couplings to the heteroborane cluster protons is apparent from two-dimensional [¹H–¹H]-COSY experiments, and variable-temperature ¹H-{³¹P} spectroscopy on compound (3) reveals $\Delta G_{328}^t = 62 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ for the rotational metal-to-heteroborane bonding fluxionality.

A review of the literature reveals the surprising fact that, whereas twelve-vertex *closo* metallaboranes are comparatively rare,¹ related carbaborane derivatives are well established.^{2,3} This is particularly noticeable for compounds which contain platinum-borane interactions. Apparently no twelve-vertex closo-metallaborane containing a single platinum atom is yet known (although two Pt_2B_{10} species have been characterised).¹ In platinum-carbaborane chemistry several PtC₂B₉ compounds have been prepared either by reaction of platinum(0) phosphine complexes with eleven-vertex C_2B_9 substrates,⁴ or by reaction of $[Pt(cod)Cl_2]$ (cod = cyclo-octa-1,5-diene) with $[C_2B_9$ - H_{11}]^{2-.5} Similarly, the reaction of [Pt(*trans*-PhCH=CHPh)- $(PEt_3)_2$] (PhCH=CHPh = stilbene) with [NMe_4][CB₁₀H₁₁] afforded a PtCB₁₀ product.⁶ It is interesting to note in an early report that, whereas a variety of reactions could be used to prepare $[M(C_2B_9H_{11})_2]^{n-}$ complexes (n = 0-2) of nickel and palladium, similar reactions failed to produce the platinum analogues.⁵ However, a later paper has reported the solid-state structure of the *commo* compound $[3,3'-Pt(1,2-C_2B_9H_{11})_2]$ which had been prepared from the reaction of 'chloroplatinic acid' in $Pr^{i}OH$ with either K[C₂B₉H₁₂] or C₂B₉H₁₃.

For twelve-vertex *closo* complexes with heteroboranes other than carbaboranes as ligands, only the compounds $[Pt(PEt_3)_2(SB_{10}H_{10})]^8$ and $[2,2-(PPh_3)_2-1,2-SePtB_{10}H_{10}]^9$ have been described. The former was prepared from the reaction between $[Pt(PEt_3)_2Cl_2]$ and $[SB_{10}H_{10}]^{2-}$ and the latter was isolated from the reaction of $[Pt(PPh_3)_4]$ and $SeB_{11}H_{11}$. We now report the preparation of the first platinatelluraboranes $[2,2-(PR_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ ($R_3 = Et_3$, Bu^n_3 , or Me_2Ph) and their structural characterisation by n.m.r. spectroscopy and (for

R = Et) X-ray diffraction techniques. We have also studied the rotation of the Pt(PR₃)₂ unit above the TeB₄ face to which it is bonded and have measured the free energy of activation for the process using variable-temperature n.m.r. spectroscopy (for R₃ = Me₂Ph).

Results and Discussion

The platinum(II) complexes $[Pt(PR_3)_2Cl_2](R_3 = Et_3, Bu^n_3, or Me_2Ph)$ react with $[7-TeB_{10}H_{11}]^-$ in a 1:1 mol ratio in refluxing tetrahydrofuran (thf) to give several products. After isolation by preparative t.l.c. and recrystallisation from dichloromethane, the major product in each case showed C and H analyses consistent with the formulation $[Pt(PR_3)_2-TeB_{10}H_{10}]$. The yields of the air-stable red crystalline compounds $[R_3 = Et_3 (1), Bu^n_3 (2), or Me_2Ph (3)]$ were 28–49%. The addition of an equimolar quantity of NEt₃ to the reaction mixture did not appreciably affect the yields.

In order to ascertain the solid-state geometry of the platinatelluraboranes, and because no telluraboranes or their derivatives had been structurally characterised in the solid state previously, it was decided to undertake a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of (1). Suitable crystals were grown by the slow evaporation of a solution of (1) in dichloromethane. Figure 1 presents a perspective view of a molecule of (1) and the cage atomic numbering scheme. Table 1 lists interatomic distances and selected angles. The gross cage structure is that of a distorted icosahedron with the platinum and tellurium atoms in adjacent positions. The molecule can be regarded as a derivative of $[B_{12}H_{12}]^{2-}$ with the Pt(PEt₃)₂ and Te units replacing Wadeian BH and BH²⁻ units respectively.¹⁰

The conformation of the P_2Pt group with respect to the TeB₄ face, Figure 2, is the expected one based on the analysis of the highest occupied molecular orbital (h.o.m.o.)-lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (l.u.m.o.) interactions in an analogous SB₁₀H₁₀ compound and is equivalent to that found in [2,2-(PPh₃)₂-1,2-SePtB₁₀H₁₀].⁹ The angle θ between (*a*) the

[†] Ref. 9 is to be regarded as Part 1; Part 2 is ref. 30b.

*t closo-*2,2-Bis(triethylphosphine)-1-tellura-2-platinadodecaborane-(10).

Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, Issue 1, pp. xvii—xx.

Table 1. Important molecular dimensions for $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ (1)

(a) Interatomic distances (Å)

| Pt-Te | 2.704(1) | C(11)-C(12) | 1.506(11) | P(1)-C(1) | 1.819(7) | B(6) - B(11) | 1.836(10) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| Pt-P(1) | 2.320(2) | B(3) - B(4) | 1.943(12) | P(1)-C(3) | 1.832(8) | B(7) - B(8) | 1.792(13) |
| Pt-P(2) | 2.341(2) | B(3) - B(7) | 1.851(12) | P(1)-C(5) | 1.831(7) | B(7) - B(11) | 1.798(10) |
| Pt-B(3) | 2.295(9) | B(3)-B(8) | 1.747(11) | P(2) - C(7) | 1.816(7) | B(7) - B(12) | 1.751(11) |
| Pt-B(6) | 2.292(7) | B(4) - B(5) | 1.908(12) | P(2) - C(9) | 1.829(7) | B(8)-B(9) | 1.764(11) |
| Pt-B(7) | 2.248(7) | B(4)-B(8) | 1.749(12) | P(2) - C(11) | 1.840(9) | B(8) - B(12) | 1.762(13) |
| Pt-B(11) | 2.252(8) | B(4)-B(9) | 1.728(12) | C(1) - C(2) | 1.476(16) | B(9) - B(10) | 1.799(14) |
| Te-B(3) | 2.396(7) | B(5) - B(6) | 1.948(13) | C(3) - C(4) | 1.508(13) | B(9) - B(12) | 1.792(14) |
| Te-B(4) | 2.291(8) | B(5) - B(9) | 1.747(12) | C(5)-C(6) | 1.506(12) | B(10) - B(11) | 1.802(13) |
| Te-B(5) | 2.318(9) | B(5) - B(10) | 1.741(13) | C(7) - C(8) | 1.512(11) | B(10) - B(12) | 1.792(11) |
| Te-B(6) | 2.404(8) | B(6) - B(10) | 1.755(11) | C(9)-C(10) | 1.515(12) | B(11) - B(12) | 1.782(13) |
| (b) Interatom | ic angles (°) are | ound Pt, Te, P(1), P(2), an | d C(1), C(3 |), C(5), C(7), C(9), and C(| 11) | | |
| Te-Pt-P(1) | 121.70(5) | B(3)-Te-B(4) | 48.9(3) | P(2)-Pt-B(6) | 86.0(2) | Pt-P(2)-C(7) | 111.3(2) |
| Te-Pt-P(2) | 104.24(5) | B(3)-Te- $B(5)$ | 83.2(3) | P(2)-Pt-B(7) | 147.4(2) | Pt-P(2)-C(9) | 113.6(3) |
| Te-Pt-B(3) | 56.6(2) | B(3)-Te- $B(6)$ | 81.0(3) | P(2) - Pt - B(11) | 104.1(2) | Pt-P(2)-C(11) | 121.6(2) |
| Te-Pt-B(6) | 56.8(2) | B(4)-Te- $B(5)$ | 48.9(3) | B(3)-Pt-B(6) | 85.6(3) | C(7) - P(2) - C(9) | 103.9(3) |
| Te-Pt-B(7) | 94.6(2) | B(4)-Te- $B(6)$ | 82.9(3) | B(3) - Pt - B(7) | 48.1(3) | C(7)-P(2)-C(11) | 102.7(4) |
| Te-Pt-B(11) | 94.7(2) | B(5)-Te-B(6) | 48.7(3) | B(3) - Pt - B(11) | 83.0(3) | C(9) - P(2) - C(11) | 101.7(4) |
| P(1)-Pt-P(2) | 97.70(6) | Pt-P(1)-C(1) | 116.8(2) | B(6) - Pt - B(7) | 82.4(3) | P(1)-C(1)-C(2) | 112.9(6) |
| P(1) - Pt - B(3) | 90.8(2) | Pt-P(1)-C(3) | 113.6(3) | B(6) - Pt - B(11) | 47.7(3) | P(1)-C(3)-C(4) | 112.6(6) |
| P(1) - Pt - B(6) | 176.3(2) | Pt-P(1)-C(5) | 116.5(3) | B(7) - Pt - B(11) | 47.1(3) | P(1)-C(5)-C(6) | 117.5(6) |
| P(1) - Pt - B(7) | 94.5(2) | C(1)-P(1)-C(3) | 103.1(4) | Pt-Te-B(3) | 53.1(2) | P(2)-C(7)-C(8) | 115.1(5) |
| P(1) - Pt - B(11) | 130.9(2) | C(1)-P(1)-C(5) | 102.7(3) | Pt-Te-B(4) | 93.1(2) | P(2)-C(9)-C(10) | 115.5(5) |
| P(2) - Pt - B(3) | 160.4(2) | C(3)-P(1)-C(5) | 102.2(3) | Pt-Te-B(5) | 93.0(2) | P(2)-C(11)-C(12) | 116.9(6) |
| | | | | Pt-Te-B(6) | 52.9(2) | • • • • • • • • | / |
| | | | | | | | |

(c) Selected interatomic angles (°) around B(3), B(4), B(5), B(6), B(7), B(9, opposite Pt), B(11), and B(12, opposite Te)

| $Pt_B(3)_Te$ | 70.4(2) | B(4) = B(9) = B(5) | 66 6(5) | $T_{e}=R(5)=R(4)$ | 64.8(4) | B(7) = B(12) = B(8) | 61 3(5) |
|--------------------|----------|--|----------|---|----------|---------------------|----------|
| I (D(5) I (| 10.4(2) | $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{T}) + \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{T})$ | 00.0(3) | $\mathbf{IC}^{*}\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{J})^{*}\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{H})$ | 04.0(4) | D(7) D(12) D(0) | 01.5(5) |
| Pt-B(3)-B(7) | 64.6(4) | B(4) - B(9) - B(8) | 60.1(5) | Te-B(5)-B(6) | 67.9(4) | B(7)-B(12)-B(11) | 61.2(5) |
| Te-B(3)-B(4) | 62.7(3) | B(5)-B(9)-B(10) | 58.8(5) | B(4)-B(5)-B(9) | 56.2(4) | B(8) - B(12) - B(9) | 59.5(5) |
| Te-B(3)-B(7) | 118.1(4) | B(8) - B(9) - B(12) | 59.4(5) | Pt-B(6)-Te | 70.3(2) | B(9)-B(12)-B(10) | 60.2(5) |
| Te-B(4)-B(3) | 68.3(3) | B(10)-B(9)-B(12) | 59.9(5) | Pt-B(6)-B(11) | 65.0(3) | B(10)-B(12)-B(11) | 60.6(5) |
| Te-B(4)-B(5) | 66.3(3) | Pt-B(11)-B(6) | 67.3(3) | Te-B(6)-B(5) | 63.4(4) | Pt-B(7)-B(3) | 67.3(3) |
| B(5) - B(4) - B(9) | 57.2(5) | Pt-B(11)-B(7) | 66.3(3) | Te-B(6)-B(11) | 118.7(4) | Pt-B(7)-B(8) | 117.2(5) |
| | | B(6)-B(11)-B(7) | 110.7(6) | | | Pt-B(7)-B(11) | 66.5(3) |
| | | | | | | B(3)-B(7)-B(11) | 111.2(5) |



Figure 1. ORTEP plot of $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ (1) with boron cage numbering scheme



Figure 2. View of the conformation of the TeB_4 and P_2Pt units observed from the top of the TeB₄ ring

plane containing the Pt and Te atoms and the mid-point of the B(7)-B(11) vector and (b) that containing the P(1)P(2)Pt atoms B(7)-B(17) vector and (o) that containing the $\Gamma(17(2))$ ratio is 102.5°, Figure 2. The corresponding angles were 100.0° in [2,2-(PPh₃)₂-1,2-SePtB₁₀H₁₀] and 104° in the isoelectronic [3,3-(PEt₃)₂-1,2,3-C₂PtB₉H₁₁].¹¹ The unique Pt–Te distance of 2.704(1) Å is somewhat shorter

than expected when compared to the Pt-Se distance of 2.676(1)

Å in closo-[2,2-(PPh₃)₂-1,2-SePtB₁₀H₁₀],⁹ taking into account the difference in the published covalent radii of Te and Se (ca. 0.020 Å).¹² The Pt–Te distance thus implies a higher bond order in (1) than in the Pt–Se complex. This increased interaction can be considered to be partly due to the increased steric demands of the Te atom compared to the Se atom when placed in the B₁₀ cage (see below). For comparison the Pt–S distance is 2.43 Å in *nido*-[2,2-(PEt₃)₂-2-H-1,2-SPtB₉H₁₀]¹³ and the covalent radius of S is 1.03 Å. In the recently reported non-cage platinum(II) complexes [Pt(1,2-Te₂C₆H₄)(PPh₃)₂]¹⁴ and [Pt{TePh(σ -C₆H₄PPh₂)}][Pt(SCN)₄]-2dmf (dmf = dimethylformamide)¹⁵ the mean Pt–Te bond lengths were 2.589(1) and 2.586(1) Å respectively. These values must be considered representative of a two-centre two-electron bonding interaction and therefore the Pt–Te bonding in (1) is not of this type.

Two distinct values for the Pt–B distance are found in (1). The mean distance between platinum and boron atoms, B(3) and B(6), which are also bonded to Te is 2.294(9) Å whereas the value for the interaction with B(7) and B(11) which are not attached to Te is shorter at 2.250(8) Å. A similar effect was observed in $[2,2-(PPh_3)_2-1,2-SePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ where the corresponding distances were 2.305(7) and 2.249(8) Å respectively. Both values from (1) can be considered typical for Pt–B interactions and fall within the range reported for numerous platinaboranes.¹ The Pt–P distances, mean 2.331(10) Å, are typical for such bonds.

The Te-B distances in the TeB₄ face attached to platinum, *i.e.* Te-B(3) and Te-B(6) are longer [mean 2.400(8) Å] than those from Te to B(4) and B(5) [mean 2.305(14) Å].

The large variations in B-B distances [from B(5)-B(6) 1.948(13) to B(4)-B(9) 1.728(12) Å] and associated B-B-B angles [for example the acute $\approx 60^{\circ}$ angles in B₃ triangles which vary from B(5)-B(6)-B(10) 55.8(5) to B(5)B(10)B(6) 67.7(5)^{\circ}] are typical of borane and heteroborane cage structures although the longest values are at the upper end of the 'typical' range.¹ In the present case, the B-B distances in the TeB(3)B(4)B(5)B(6) section of (1) are all notably longer [1.908(12)-1.948(13) Å] than the other B-B distances [1.851(12)-1.728(12) Å]. Similar effects were observed in the related *closo* Pt-Se compound.⁹ These differential effects in bonding to the platinum and tellurium centres, together with the 'shorter' Pt-Te bond discussed above may suggest some diversion of bonding electron density into the Pt-Te linkage at the expense of platinum-boron and tellurium-boron bonding.

It is interesting to consider further the distortions imposed on the hypothetical regular icosahedral model compound $[B_{12}-H_{12}]^{2-}$ by the introduction of the adjacent platinum and tellurium atoms. The effects may be analysed in terms of the planarity of the TeB(3)B(7)B(11)B(6) and B(4)B(8)B(12)-B(10)B(5) rings, and the bonding of the B(9) atom to the above

2557 B_5 ring. In the TeB₄ ring the four boron atoms are essentially coplanar with no atom more than ± 0.02 Å above or below the B_4 plane. However, the tellurium atom lies 0.147 Å below the plane containing the four B atoms, *i.e.* towards the platinum atom. In the B₅ ring the atoms lie above or below the true plane but they are not far from coplanar, the deviations being B(4)-0.011, B(8) 0.034, B(12) -0.044, B(10) 0.037, and B(5) -0.017Å respectively. The B_5 ring is more nearly planar and the deviations are less than those reported for the equivalent part of the $[3,3-(PEt_3)_2-1,2,3-C_2PtB_9H_{11}]$ molecule.¹¹ In (1) the B(9) atom is notably not symmetrically bonded to the B₅ ring. Two B-B distances are significantly shorter [B(9)-B(4) 1.728(12)] and B(9)-B(5) 1.747(12) Å] than two others [B(9)-B(10) 1.799(14) and B(9)-B(12) 1.792(14) Å] and the fifth distance B(9)-B(8) is of an intermediate length [1.764(11) Å], Table 1. In the equivalent part of the [3,3-(PEt₃)₂-1,2,3-C₂PtB₉H₁₁] molecule the bonding was more symmetrical with all B-B distances in the range 1.767(12)-1.801(12) Å.11 The distortions in (1) discussed above are presumably a function of the incorporation of the relatively large Pt and Te atoms and may be partly associated with the 'antipodal' bonding interactions which can occur through either the D^{π} , P^{σ} , or S^{σ} type orbital combinations (as classified by Stone).¹⁶ Other possibly related antipodal effects are discussed below in relation to the n.m.r. data. Compounds (1)-(3) were characterised by n.m.r. using single- and multiple-resonance techniques. Selected ¹H and ¹¹B

Table 3. Observed $[{}^{11}B{}^{-11}B]$ - and $[{}^{1}H{}^{-1}H]$ -COSY correlations for (1), and relaxation times $T_1({}^{11}B)$ (ms) for (1), (2), and (3) (CD₂Cl₂ solutions, 297 K)

| | | (1) | | | (nnrox |
|----------|---|---|-----|-----|--------------------|
| | $\begin{bmatrix} 1^{11}B - 1^{11}B \end{bmatrix}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} {}^{1}\mathrm{H} - {}^{1}\mathrm{H} \end{bmatrix}$ | | | (2) |
| Assignme | | COST | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 12 | (8,10)s, (9)w, (7,11)w | (7,11)s, (8,10)s, (9)w | 8.1 | 3.7 | 6.6 |
| 7,11 | (12)w, (8,10)w | (12)s, (8,10)s, (3,6)w? | 5.2 | 2.0 | 4.2 |
| 9 | (12)w, (8,10)w | (12)s, (4.5)s, (8,10)s | 5.3 | 2.5 | ca. 4 ^c |
| 3,6 | | (7,11)w?, (8,10)w? | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| 4,5 | (8,10)w | (9)s, (8,10)s, | 2.2 | 0.5 | 1.8 |
| 8,10 | (12)s, (4,5)w, (9)w, (7,11)w | (4,5)s, (9)s, (12)s, (7,11)s, (3,6)w? | 9.2 | 4.2 | 7.4 |

^a Measured with { 1 H(broad-band noise)} decoupling. ^b s = Stronger, w = weaker, ? = uncertain. ^c Very close 11 B resonances prevent more exact estimation.

| A | $\delta(^{11}\mathbf{B})/\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{p}.\mathbf{m}.^{b,c}$ | | | $^{1}J(^{11}B-^{1}H)/Hz^{d}$ | | $\delta(^{1}H)/p.p.m.^{e,f}$ | | | |
|-------------|---|------------------|--------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| (intensity) | (1) | (1) ^g | (2) | (3) | (1) ^g | (3) | (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 12(1) | + 19.6 | +23.1 | + 19.6 | + 19.4 | 153 | 137 | + 5.74 | + 5.67 | +58.3 |
| 7,11 (2) | + 9.05 | + 10.7 * | + 9.0 | +9.1 | 138 ^h ca | ı. 127' | + 4.25 | +4.17 | +4.30 |
| 9(1) | + 7.9 | + 10.7* | + 7.7 | +8.4 | 138 ^h ca | ı. 135' | + 6.44 | +6.38 | +6.48 |
| 3,6 (2) | -6.8 | - 5.2 | -6.5 | - 5.5 | 153 ca | ı. 138 ⁱ | +1.54 ^j | +1.53 | + 1.62 |
| 4,5 (2) | -14.3 | -12.8 | - 14.4 | -14.4 | 162 | 156 | +3.08 | +3.04 | +3.04 |
| 8,10 (2) | -21.2 | -19.4 | -21.3 | -20.6 | 145 | 142 | + 1.89 | +1.84 | + 1.97 |

Table 2. Proton and boron-11 n.m.r. data for $[2,2-(PR_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ $[R_3 = Et_3 (1), Bu^n_3 (2), or Me_2Ph (3)]$ (CD₂Cl₂ solution at 297 K)

^{*a*} By relative intensities, incidence of satellite structure arising from ¹ $J(^{195}Pt^{-11}B)$, and two-dimensional [¹¹B⁻¹¹B]- and [¹H⁻¹H]-COSY experiments. ^{*b*} ±0.5 p.p.m. ^c To high frequency of BF₃·OEt₂. ^{*d*} ±8 Hz, measured from resolution-enhanced ¹¹B spectra. ^{*e*} ±0.05 p.p.m., to high frequency of SiMe₄. ^{*f*} ¹H Resonances related to directly bound ¹¹B resonances by selective ¹H-{¹¹B} experiments. ^{*a*} These data recorded in CD₃C₆D₅ solution at 373 K. ^{*h*} Accidentally coincident peaks thus only approximate values. ^{*i*} Almost coincident peaks prevent more accurate estimation. ^{*j*} Doublet splitting, *ca.* 10 Hz, possibly due to ³ $J(^{31}P^{-1}H)$.



Figure 3. 128-MHz ¹¹B n.m.r. spectra for $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ (1) in CD₂Cl₂ solution. The top trace is the straightforward spectrum, and the second trace was recorded with {¹H(broadband noise)} decoupling. The bottom diagram is a [¹¹B¹¹B]-COSY 90 plot [also with {¹H(broad-band noise)} decoupling]. Note the presence of ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites to the ¹¹B(7,11) resonance, ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹¹B) ca. 235 Hz

chemical shift and coupling constant data for (1)—(3) are summarised in Table 2 while Table 3 contains details of $[^{11}B^{-11}B]$ - and $[^{1}H^{-1}H]$ -COSY data for (1), and longitudinal relaxation times $T_1(^{11}B)$ of the boron nuclei in (1)—(3). A symmetrised $[^{11}B^{-11}B]$ -COSY plot for (1) is shown in Figure 3. Additional n.m.r. data relating to ¹H, ³¹P, and ¹⁹⁵Pt nuclei are listed in the Experimental section.

Assignments to the relative atomic positions were made on the basis of $[^{11}B^{-11}B]^{-17}$ and $[^{1}H^{-1}H]^{-}COSY^{18}$ correlations as well as chemical shift, coupling constant, relative intensity, and selective decoupling data. In general we observe similar overall shielding and intensity patterns to those of *closo*-[2,2-(PPh₃)₂-1,2-SePtB₁₀H₁₀],⁹ with the ten ¹¹B resonances arranged in a 1:2:1:2:2:2 sequence within a 40 p.p.m. span. This behaviour is also similar to the previously reported iron and cobalt selenaand tellura-borane compounds [Co(η^5 -C₅H₅)(XB₁₀H₁₀)] and [M(XB₁₀H₁₀)₂]^{*n*-} (X = Se or Te, M = Fe or Co).¹⁹

A number of points arising from the n.m.r. spectroscopic results are noteworthy. We discuss principally the data from compound (1) although the comments apply generally. First, although there is an expected parallel between the $\delta(^{11}B)$ and $\delta(^{1}H)(exo)$ values for the various BH units in the compound



Figure 4. Plot of $\delta({}^{11}B)$ versus $\delta({}^{1}H)$ for the directly bound boron and hydrogen atoms of compounds (1) (\bigcirc), (2) (\square), and (3) (\triangle). The line drawn has slope $\delta({}^{11}B):\delta({}^{1}H)$ of 11:1, and intercept $\delta({}^{1}H) + 3.75$ p.p.m.

(Figure 4),²⁰ there are two significant deviations from the general trend that merit comment. The first of these concerns the ¹H(3,6) resonance which is some 1.5 p.p.m. above the general trend (*i.e.*, $\Delta\sigma$ + 1.5 p.p.m.). This shielding increase may perhaps arise from anisotropies associated with the Pt-Te linkage which flanks this position. The second deviation is that for the ¹H(9) resonance which is some 2 p.p.m. below the general trend (*i.e.*, $\Delta\sigma$ - 2 p.p.m.). This is the position antipodal to the platinum atom and in this context it may be noted that anomalously low proton shieldings for *exo*-terminal protons in positions antipodal to other third-row transition metals such as W, Os, and Ir have recently been noted in a variety of metallaborane systems.²¹

Another point of interest is the coupling constant information that the various experiments reveal. Coupling constants ${}^{1}J({}^{195}Pt-{}^{11}B)$ to ${}^{11}B(7,11)$ and ${}^{11}B(3,6)$ are apparent, although the latter was only resolved with resolution enhancement techniques. That to ${}^{11}B(7,11)$ of 233 Hz is within normal ranges though at the lower end; that to ${}^{11}B(3,6)$ is therefore very low at *ca.* 125 Hz. Lower inter-*boron* coupling constants have been noted in cluster compounds in which the interboron linkage flanks a more electronegative cluster heteroatom (see later). 20,22 Presumably this also applies to the platinum-boron linkages flanking the tellurium atom, and the low values could result from a diversion of electron density towards bonds to the electronegative tellurium atom at the expense of bonds to the boron atoms adjacent to tellurium. This is not inconsistent with the observed geometrical variations discussed above.

Nearly all the protons in the platinatelluraboranes exhibit observable couplings to ¹⁹⁵Pt. The exception to this is ¹H(3,6) which is related to platinum via a ²J path and therefore expected to have a smaller coupling constant than those linked by ³J paths; note also that the ¹H(3,6) protons are bonded to the two B atoms that flank the Pt–Te linkage that induces the small ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt–¹¹B) coupling referred to above. An upper limit to the magnitude of ²J(¹⁹⁵Pt–¹H) based on the ¹H linewidths would be 15–20 Hz for this position. The other ²J(¹⁹⁵Pt–¹H) coupling, to ¹H(7,11), is also small at 24 Hz and is resolvable under the solution conditions we have used only by selective ¹H-{¹¹B} spectroscopy in which the ¹¹B(7,11) resonance and its two ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites are each irradiated in turn.²³ These



Figure 5. 400-MHz ¹H n.m.r. spectra for $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ (1) in CD₂Cl₂ solution. The top trace is a ¹H-{¹¹B(broad-band noise)} spectrum from which an otherwise equivalent ¹H-{¹¹B(broad-band noise, off-resonance)} spectrum has been subtracted. The bottom diagram is a two-dimensional [¹H-¹H]-COSY 90 colour plot [also from data recorded with {¹¹B(broad-band noise)} decoupling]. The tilted lozenge-shapes of some of the observed cross-correlation peaks arise from the absence or presence of correlations between the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites of the ¹H resonances, and the slope of the tilt (dashed arrows) depends on the relative signs of the two appropriate coupling constants ⁿ/(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) (see text). A tilt to the right arises from like signs, and a tilt to the leftfrom opposite signs. The cross-correlations (A) arise between the phosphine methyl and the P-methylene protons, the direction of tilt (hatched arrow) illustrating that ³J(³¹P-C-¹H) and ²J(³¹P-C-¹H) are of mutually opposite sign

¹ $J(^{195}Pt^{-11}B)$ and ² $J(^{195}Pt^{-}B^{-1}H)$ at this position, and show that the couplings have *opposite* sign, *i.e.* ² $J(^{195}Pt^{-1}H)$ is negative on the reasonable assumption that ¹ $J(^{195}Pt^{-1}H)$ is positive.^{23–25} The couplings ³ $J(^{195}Pt^{-1}H)$ to H(8,10) and H(12) of 33 and 56 Hz respectively are within normal ranges. The lower value for ¹H(4,5) of *ca.* 20 Hz {apparent only from asymmetric correlations in two-dimensional [¹H⁻¹H]-COSY experiments discussed below (Figure 5)} for a geometrically similar coupling path is therefore of interest, although again it should be noted that this coupling path flanks the more electronegative Te position. Of interest is the incidence of a ¹⁹⁵Pt coupling to the antipodal ¹H(9) nucleus, formally *via* a ⁴J path although there may be a substantial amount of interaction through the cluster.

The ¹H lines are broad because all the proton resonances are coupled to others, principally via the ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H-B-B-{}^{1}H)$ pathways. However these couplings ensure the success of the $[{}^{1}H-{}^{1}H]$ -COSY experiment,¹⁸ Table 3. Interproton correlations for all the ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H-{}^{1}H)$ coupling pairs (except one) were apparent from the two-dimensional $[{}^{1}H-{}^{1}H]$ -COSY plot which confirms the positional assignments in Table 2 as discussed above. The one ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H-{}^{1}H)$ correlation not observed is that between ${}^{1}H(3,6)$ and ${}^{1}H(4,5)$, and it may also be noted



Figure 6. (a) An illustration of one possible conformation of the ligands about Pt in a static structure of $[2,2-(PMe_2Ph)_2-1,2-TePtB_{10}H_{10}]$ (3) showing two Me group environments. (b) 400-MHz Proton n.m.r. spectra in the Me_2PhP region of (3) in $CD_3C_6D_5$ solution at temperatures from 305 to 355 K

that some of the other correlations involving these positions are quite weak; again it is probably significant that these positions flank the more electronegative tellurium atom.

Of particular interest is the relative sign information on the couplings " $J(^{195}Pt^{-1}H)$ that is available from the [$^{1}H^{-1}H$]-COSY spectrum. As far as the authors are aware this kind of information has not been obtained from a [$^{1}H^{-1}H$]-COSY spectrum on a boron cluster compound before. Since only one ^{195}Pt satellite of a particular ¹H resonance line will be associated with one particular ^{195}Pt spin state, this satellite line will only correlate with one such satellite line of a second ¹H resonance. If the two couplings $J(^{195}Pt^{-1}H)$ are of the same sign, then correlations will only be observed for the low frequency–low frequency and high frequency–high frequency pairs of satellites. If of opposite sign, then only the low frequency–ligh frequency and high frequency–low frequency correlations will be observed. This phenomenon, together with the experimental linewidth, causes the shapes of most of the interproton cross-correlations on the contour plot to be 'lozenge-like' (Figure 5). The tilt of the 'lozenges' with respect to

a vertical axis then gives the relative signs of the coupling constants $J(^{195}Pt-^{1}H)$. Interestingly the correlation for the ¹H(4,5) resonance also appears as a tilted lozenge which thereby indicates the presence of a ${}^{3}J(^{195}Pt-^{1}H)$ coupling that is not resolved in the ¹H-{¹¹B(broad-band noise)} spectrum. The ¹H-{¹¹B} results described above for the 7,11 position reasonably establish the geminal coupling ${}^{2}J(^{195}Pt-^{1}H)$ for this position as negative in sign and thence, *via* the two-dimensional [¹H-¹H]-COSY results, all the observable vicinal couplings ${}^{3}J(^{195}Pt-^{1}H)$ as positive. These signs are in accord with the few established patterns.^{20,23-25}

The values of $\delta({}^{31}P)$ and ${}^{1}J({}^{195}Pt-{}^{31}P)$ are within ranges typical for bis(phosphine)platinaboranes 20 although perhaps somewhat higher than those observed for non-heteroatom-containing species.

The ¹¹B and ¹H chemical shift data for compounds (2) and (3) (Table 2) are very similar to those for (1) discussed above. For the ¹¹B spectra the principal differences reside in the successive increase in ¹¹B linewidth in the sequence PEt₃ \leq PMe₂Ph < PBuⁿ₃ (as the boron relaxation times, Table 3, increase with decrease in molecular mobility) which increasingly inhibits the resolution of coupling constants across this sequence. Interesting points include a marginal *broadening* of the central parts of the P–alkyl α -proton resonances for the three compounds upon irradiation at $\delta(^{11}B)(3,6)$, which suggests an involvement of the ¹¹B(3,6) spins in the $[AX_n]_2$ -type spin systems (A = ³¹P, X = ¹H). This has been noted previously in Pt(PMe₂Ph)₂-borane derivatives.²⁴

For the dimethylphenylphosphine compound (3), the two methyl groups in each of the equivalent phosphine ligands are chemically distinct (even with free rotation about the platinumphosphorus σ bonds), Figure 6(*a*). [The same will be true for the α -protons on the P-ethyl and P-butyl groups but the $\delta(^{1}H)$ differences are not so marked.] With a rotational twist of the Pt(PMe₂Ph)₂ unit about the pseudo-five-fold axis the chemically distinct sites are interchanged. Figure 6(*b*) shows the 400-MHz ¹H n.m.r. spectra for the Me_2 PhP region of compound (3) in CD₃C₆D₅ recorded from 305 to 355 K. The coalescence temperature was 328 K. This gave a value of the activation energy ΔG_{328}^{\dagger} of 62 kJ mol⁻¹ for the rotational twist process of the Pt(PMe₂Ph)₂ unit over the TeB₄ face (allowance being made in the calculation for the differential shielding

variations $d\sigma/dT$ in CD₃C₆D₅ of the two different Me group types). Although there are no previous ΔG^{\ddagger} values reported for the rotation of a $Pt(PR_3)_2$ unit in a *closo* compound there has been a qualitative statement that the barrier to rotation of a $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ unit over the C_2B_3 face of a closo seven-atom metallacarbaborane was less than that over the C_2B_3 face of a *closo* twelve-atom system.²⁶ A theoretical analysis of the rotational barriers in the Pt(PH₃)₂ analogues using extended-Hückel calculations has been attempted.^{26b} It was concluded that the complex rotational process could not be taken simply as a reflection of the differences in the original displacements of the platinum atoms over the carbaborane ligand faces as had been previously suggested.^{26a} Rotation of an η^4 -bound $Pt(PMe_2Ph)_2$ unit with respect to a B_4 face in nido- $[Pt(PMe_2Ph)_2B_{10}H_{12}]$ was reported to have an activation energy of *ca*. 79 kJ mol^{-1.27} Several rhodium and iridium carbaborane compounds of the type [$\{MH(PR_3)_2\}C_2R_2B_9H_9$], and related ruthenium complexes, have been studied by Hawthorne and co-workers ²⁸ with (dynamic) ¹H and ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectroscopy. The activation energies for the rotation of the metal-containing unit were in the range $35 - \leq 73 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$.

In order to complete the n.m.r. spectroscopic study of telluraborane cages presented here, we have measured n.m.r. parameters for the *nido*- $[7-\text{TeB}_{10}H_{11}]^-$ anion (Table 4, structure and numbering in Figure 7). The ¹¹B chemical shifts correspond closely to those previously reported.^{19,29} They are now readily assigned in the eleven-vertex nido structure (I) on the basis of relative intensities and nearest-neighbour connectivities as established by $[^{11}B-^{11}B]$ -COSY n.m.r. spectroscopy, together with the results of ${}^{1}H$ -{ ${}^{11}B(selective)$ } spectroscopy. A similar analysis of the $[7-SeB_{10}H_{11}]^-$ ion has been recently reported.³⁰ The resonance at $\delta(^{11}B) - 16.8$ p.p.m. is associated with the bridging proton, thus assigning this resonance to the B(9,10) position and therefore the resonance at $\delta(^{11}B)$ – 18.5 p.p.m. to the B(2,3) position and those at – 34.0 and -12.8 p.p.m. to the B(1) and B(5) positions respectively. All the nearest-neighbour connectivities are reflected in observed $\begin{bmatrix} {}^{11}B - {}^{11}B \end{bmatrix}$ -COSY correlations, although those between ${}^{11}B(2,3)$ and ${}^{11}B(8,11)$ flanking the more electronegative Te atom are very weak. This has precedent in carbaborane chemistry, 20,22,31 and also in couplings to platinum in the platinatelluraborane discussed above. The ¹H resonances were traced to their directly

Table 4. Measured n.m.r. parameters for Cs[nido-7-TeB₁₀H₁₁] in CD₃CN solution at 295 K

| Assignment ^a (intensity) | δ(¹¹ B)/p.p.m. ^b | $T_1(^{11}\text{B})/\text{ms}$ (approx.) | Observed [¹¹ B- ¹¹ B]-COSY correlations ^{c,d} | δ(¹ H)/p.p.m. ^e | ¹ J(¹¹ B– ¹ H)/Hz | Observed [¹ H- ¹ H]-COSY ^{d,f} correlations |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 4,6 (2 BH) | - 5.6 | 54 | (8,11)w, (5)m, (9,10)m, (2,3)m, (1)m | + 2.91 | 138 <i>ª</i> | (8,11)m, (5)s, (9,10)w?, (2,3)w, (1)m |
| 8,11 (2 BH) | - 12.1 | 14 | (4,6)w, (9,10)s, (2,3)vw | + 2.20 | 165* | (4,6)m, (9,10)w, (2,3)m |
| 5 (1 BH) | - 12.8 | 46 | (4,6)m, (9,10)m, (1)m | + 2.79 | 133' | (4,6)s, (9,10)s, $(\mu)s,^{k} (1)w$ |
| 9,10 (2 BH) | -16.8 | 25 | (4,6)m, (8,11)s, (5)m | + 1.49 | 132' | (4,6)w?, $(8,11)$ w, (5)s, (μ)s, ^k |
| (1 μ-Η) ^{<i>j</i>} | | | (2,3)m | - 3.99 | 44 ⁱ | $(5)m, (9,10)s,^{k}$ (1)m ^t |
| 2,3 (2 BH) | - 18.5 | 14 | (4,6)m, (8,11)vw, (9,10)m, (1)m | + 1.77 | 161 <i>ª</i> | (4,6)w, (8,11)m, (1)m |
| 1 (1 BH) | - 34.0 | 74 | (4,6)m, (5)m, (2,3)m | + 1.26 | 141 ^g | (4,6)m, (5)w, (µ)m, ¹ (2,3)m |

^{*a*} From relative intensities, COSY correlations, and ¹H-{¹¹B(selective)} experiments that associated ¹¹B(9,10) with $\delta^{1}(H) = -3.99$ p.p.m., ^{*b*} ±0.5 p.p.m.; to high frequency of BF₃·OEt₂. ^{*c*} Measured with {¹H(broad-band noise)} decoupling. ^{*d*} s = Stronger, w = weaker, m = intermediate. ^{*e*} ±0.05 p.p.m.; ¹H resonances assigned to directly bound B atoms by ¹H-{¹¹B(selective)} experiments. ^{*f*} Measured with {¹¹B(broad-band noise)} decoupling. All correlations correspond to ³J paths except those indicated (see footnotes k and l). ^{*g*} Measured from (resolution enhanced) ¹¹B spectrum. ^{*k*} Approximate value due to overlap with $\delta^{(11}B)$ (8,11). ^{*i*} Measured from ¹H spectrum. ^{*j*} Bridging position {designated (μ) in [¹H-¹H]-COSY column}. ^{*k*} ²J(¹H-¹H) coupling path. ^{*i*+J</sub>J(¹H-¹H) coupling path.}



Figure 7. Proposed structure of nido-[7-TeB₁₀H₁₁]⁻ with the atomic numbering scheme



bound boron atoms by ¹H-{¹¹B(selective)</sup>} n.m.r. spectroscopy, and they exhibited the expected general parallel between $\delta(^{11}B)$ and $\delta(^{1}H)$ values (Figure 8) with the bridging proton resonance some 6 p.p.m. above the general correlation. The results of [¹H-¹H]-COSY spectroscopy, carried out in the presence of {¹¹B(broad-band)} decoupling,¹⁸ confirmed the connectivities and assignments deduced from the [¹¹B-¹¹B]-COSY work. These [¹H-¹H] correlations arise principally from the couplings ³J(¹H-B-B-¹H), although there are also ²J(¹H-¹H) coupling paths for the bridging protons, and there is no apparent incidence of a ⁴J(¹H-¹H) coupling in this compound, between ¹H(9,10) (bridge) and ¹H(1).

It is of interest that the ¹¹B n.m.r. shielding pattern of $[TeB_{10}H_{11}]^-$ differs considerably from those of (1)—(3), and that there are greater similarities between the shieldings of (1)—(3) and those²⁹ of the *neutral nido*-7-chalcogenaboranes $TeB_{10}H_{12}$ and $SeB_{10}H_{12}$ (Figure 9). This suggests greater similarities in electronic structure within the neutral species, and indicates that the boron-to-platinum bonding vectors in the platinated species are more similar to those to the bridging hydrogen atoms [at H(8.9) and H(10,11)] in $TeB_{10}H_{12}$, and not similar to those to the bridging H(9,10) atom in $[TeB_{10}H_{11}]^-$.

Experimental

Both the platinum complexes $[Pt(PR_3)_2Cl_2]$ ($R_3 = Et_3$, Bu^n_3 , or Me_2Ph)³² and the telluraborane reagents $M[7-TeB_{10}H_{11}]$ (M = Cs or $NHEt_3$)^{19,29} were prepared according to literature methods.

All preparative experiments were carried out under dry, oxygen-free nitrogen or methane. Subsequent manipulations were carried out in air except for recrystallisations which were done in an inert atmosphere. Analytical and preparative t.l.c. was carried out using silica gel (Merck, Kieselgel 60, PF 254) as



Figure 8. Plot of $\delta({}^{11}B)$ versus $\delta({}^{1}H)$ for directly bound boron and hydrogen atoms of the *nido*-[TeB₁₀H₁₁]⁻ anion. The line drawn has slope $\delta({}^{11}B)$; $\delta({}^{1}H)$ of 15:1, with intercept $\delta({}^{1}H) = ca. + 3.13$ p.p.m.



Figure 9. Stick representations of the ¹¹B chemical shifts and relative intensities of (a) nido-[7-TeB₁₀H₁₁]⁻, (b) closo-[2,2-(PEt₃)₂-1,2-TePtB₁₀H₁₀] (1), and (c) nido-7-TeB₁₀H₁₂ (from ref. 29). Lines drawn link equivalent positions in two cages [(----) adjacent (a) to the Pt atom, (••••) metal (β) to the Pt atom, and (---) antipodal to the Pt atom], and it can be seen that the shielding pattern for [TeB₁₀H₁₁]⁻ is markedly different from that of compound (1); in fact a near-inversion in the ordering of the resonance positions has occurred whereas many basic elements of the shielding patterns for (1) and TeB₁₀H₁₂ are common, the principal differences being at the 7,11-position adjacent to the platinum atom, and at the 9-position antipodal to the platinum atom

the stationary phase and a mixture of dichloromethanecyclohexane [(5:1) or (7:2)] as eluting solvent. Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr discs on Perkin-Elmer 457 and 682 spectrometers.

Reaction of cis-[Pt(PEt₃)₂Cl₂] with [NHEt₃][7-TeB₁₀-H₁₁].—A solution of [NHEt₃][7-TeB₁₀H₁₁] (0.347 g, 0.99 mmol) in thf (20 cm³) was added to a suspension of cis-[Pt(PEt₃)₂Cl₂] (0.50 g, 0.99 mmol) in thf (20 cm³). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h and then heated at reflux for 5 min. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure (rotary film evaporator, 25 °C). Preparative t.l.c. (CH₂Cl₂-cyclohexane, 5:1) produced three bands. The major component was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ and recrystallised as dark red crystals of closo-[2,2-(PEt₃)₂-1,2-TePtB₁₀H₁₀] (1) (0.237 g, 35%) (Found: C, 21.2; H, 5.8; B, 16.1. C₁₂H₄₀B₁₀P₂PtTe requires C, 21.3; H, 5.9; B, 16.0%); i.r.: v_{max}. 2 950m, 2 925s, 2 865m, 2 545vs (BH), 2 525w (BH), 2 515vs (BH), 2 500w (BH), 2 475w (BH), 1 725m,br, 1 485w, 1 448m, 1 420m, 1 415w, 1 375m, 1 370m, 1 248m, 1 070w, 1 050w, 1 035m, 1 030s, 1 010s, 1 000m, 970w, 935w, 925m, 905w, 878m, 858m, 820m, 758vs,

| Atom | x | у | Z | Atom | x | у | Z |
|------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Pt | 0.0 * | 0.173 11(1) | 0.0* | C(10) | 0.294 8(10) | 0.060 8(5) | 0.201 1(6) |
| Те | -0.04307(5) | 0.172 43(3) | 0.175 86(3) | C(11) | 0.303 3(7) | $0.077\ 2(5)$ | -0.0234(6) |
| P(1) | -0.0676(2) | 0.070 76(9) | -0.1075(1) | C(12) | 0.453 6(8) | 0.077 0(5) | -0.0052(7) |
| P(2) | 0.232 5(2) | 0.155 43(9) | 0.033 6(1) | B(3) | -0.2100(8) | 0.179 6(4) | 0.021 8(6) |
| C(1) | -0.0190(8) | -0.0262(4) | -0.0605(5) | B (4) | -0.2342(8) | 0.246 1(5) | 0.124 1(6) |
| C(2) | -0.0809(13) | -0.0491(6) | 0.015 4(7) | B(5) | -0.0745(10) | 0.305 7(5) | 0.175 2(6) |
| C(3) | -0.0018(7) | 0.076 2(5) | -0.2121(5) | B(6) | 0.052 3(7) | 0.275 7(4) | 0.105 2(5) |
| C(4) | $-0.028\ 8(11)$ | 0.153 7(6) | -0.262 8(6) | $\mathbf{B}(7)$ | -0.1804(8) | 0.247 0(4) | -0.069.8(6) |
| C(5) | -0.2487(8) | 0.060 7(4) | -0.1648(5) | B (8) | -0.2912(8) | 0.269 5(5) | 0.001 2(6) |
| C(6) | -0.2949(10) | -0.0053(5) | -0.2350(8) | B (9) | -0.2174(9) | 0.340 4(5) | 0.088.6(7) |
| C(7) | 0.313 2(6) | 0.241 6(4) | 0.0039(5) | N(10) | -0.0516(8) | 0.357 9(5) | 0.078 0(6) |
| C(8) | 0.284 7(8) | 0.257 6(4) | -0.1031(6) | B(11) | -0.0287(8) | 0.302 1(5) | -0.0215(6) |
| C(9) | 0.318 2(7) | 0.139 4(5) | 0.161 8(5) | B(12) | -0.1895(9) | 0.341 8(4) | -0.0282(6) |
| * The Pt x | and z co-ordinates | were fixed to define | e the origin. | | | | |

Table 5. Positional parameters and their estimated standard deviations

720vs, 662w, and 620m cm⁻¹. Proton and ¹¹B n.m.r. spectra are summarised in Tables 2 and 3. Additional n.m.r. data: ¹⁹⁵Pt (CD₂Cl₂, 298 K) \equiv 21.378 710 MHz (δ – 995 p.p.m. relative to \equiv 21.4 MHz); for B(7,11), ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹¹B) was (+)233 Hz; and couplings "J(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹H) (Hz) were as follows; H(12), ³J 56; H(7,11), ²J – 24 [sign opposite to ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt-¹¹B) established by ¹H-{¹¹B(selective)} spectroscopy]; H(9), ⁴J + 33; H(3,6), no ¹⁹⁵Pt satellite structure apparent therefore $\leq ca.$ 15; H(4,5), ³J \leq + 20; H(8,10), ³J + 36; δ (³¹P) (CD₂Cl₂ solution, 298 K) + 8.6 p.p.m. with ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt-³¹P) 2 903 Hz.

X-Ray Analysis of $[(Et_3P)_2PtTeB_{10}H_{10}]$ (1).—Crystal data. $C_{12}H_{40}B_{10}P_2PtTe$, M = 677.20, monoclinic, a = 10.387(2), b = 17.227(4), c = 14.447(3) Å, $\beta = 106.83(2)^\circ$, U = 2.474(2)Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.82$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1.288, $\lambda(Mo-K_a) = 0.710$ 73 Å, $\mu(Mo-K_a) = 70.1$ cm⁻¹, space group Cc or C2/c from systematic absences (*hkl*, h + k = 2n + 1; *h0l*, l = 2n + 1). Space group Cc was chosen and confirmed by the analysis.

Structure determination. Dark red diamond-shaped crystals were grown from dichloromethane. A crystal of dimensions $0.24 \times 0.27 \times 0.45$ mm was used for data collection. Accurate cell dimensions and crystal orientation matrix were determined on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer by a least-squares treatment of the setting angles of 25 reflections in the range $11 < \theta < 15^{\circ}$. The intensities of reflections with indices h 0 to 13, k 0 to 22, l - 18 to 18 were measured with data collected in the range $2 < 2\theta < 54^{\circ}$ by the ω -2 θ scan method; ω scan width $(0.70 + 0.35 \tan \theta)$ using graphite-monochromatised Mo- K_{π} radiation. The intensities of three reflections measured every 2 h showed no evidence of crystal decay. A total of 3 036 reflections were measured of which 2836 were unique; the 2601 with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were labelled observed and used in structure solution and refinement. Data were corrected for Lorentz, polarisation and absorption effects (max. and min. transmission factors 0.341 and 0.158). The space group Cc was chosen on geometrical grounds (with Z = 4, C2/c would have the required symmetry of the molecule), and confirmed by analysis of the Patterson function and the successful refinement. The coordinates of the platinum and tellurium atoms were determined from analysis of the three-dimensional Patterson function and those of the remaining non-hydrogen atoms were found via the heavy-atom method. Refinement was by full-matrix leastsquares calculations, initially with isotropic and then with anisotropic thermal parameters. At an intermediate stage in the refinement, difference maps showed maxima in positions consistent with the expected locations of most of the hydrogen atoms; in the final rounds of calculations the hydrogen atoms were positioned on geometrical grounds (C-H 0.95 Å, B-H 1.08 Å) and included (as riding atoms) in the structure factor

calculations. The final cycle of refinement included 234 variable parameters, and a correction was refined for extinction (2.4×10^{-7}) . R = 0.020, R' = 0.027, goodness-of-fit 1.10, $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.040 (F_o)^2]$. Maximum shift/error was less than 0.005. The electron density in the final difference map was ± 1.0 e Å⁻³ adjacent to Pt; no chemically significant features. Scattering factors and anomalous dispersion corrections were taken from International Tables.³³ All calculations were performed on a PDP11/73 computer using the SDP-Plus suite of programs.³⁴ Atomic co-ordinates and details of molecular geometry are given in Tables 5 and 1 respectively. Figures 1 and 2 are views of the molecule prepared using ORTEP II.³⁵

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises thermal parameters, H-atom co-ordinates, and remaining bond lengths and angles.

Reaction of cis-[Pt(PBuⁿ₃)₂Cl₂] with Cs[7-TeB₁₀H₁₁].—A solution of Cs[7-Te $B_{10}H_{11}$] (0.142 g, 0.37 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.0377 g, 0.37 mmol) in thf (20 cm³) was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. To this was added a solution of cis- $[Pt(PBu_{3})_{2}Cl_{2}]$ (0.250 g, 0.37 mmol) in thf (10 cm³). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 7 d and then heated at reflux for 24 h. After concentration of the solution under reduced pressure (rotary film evaporator, 25 °C), preparative t.l.c. $(CH_2Cl_2$ -cyclohexane, 7:2) produced five bands. The major component was isolated after recrystallisation from CH₂Cl₂ as dark red crystals of closo-[2,2-(PBuⁿ₃)₂-1,2- $TePtB_{10}H_{10}$] (2) (0.88 g, 28%) (Found: C, 33.8; H, 7.6. $C_{24}H_{64}B_{10}PtTe$ requires C, 34.1; H, 7.6%); i.r.: v_{max} . 2 955s, 2 925s, 2 870m, 2 550s (BH), 2 500s (BH), 2 482w (BH), 1 460m, 1 420m, 1 380m, 1 345w, 1 295w, 1 270vw, 1 230w, 1 210m, 1 190w, 1 090m, 1 050w, 1 012m, 1 002m, 968, 935w, 915m, 902m, 770w, 721m, 460m, 400m, and 390w cm⁻¹. Proton and ¹¹B n.m.r. spectra are summarised in Tables 2 and 3. Additional n.m.r. data (2% in CD₂Cl₂, 297 K): δ(¹H) (P-butyl) centred at +1.91, +1.55, +1.45 and (1:2:1 triplet at 400 MHz) +0.97 p.p.m.; ${}^{n}J({}^{195}Pt-{}^{1}H)$ couplings as follows: H(7,11), ${}^{2}J$ ca. -15 Hz; and H(8,10), ${}^{3}J$ ca. 25 Hz; ${}^{1}J[{}^{195}Pt-{}^{11}B(7,11)]$ was ca. 240 Hz.

Reaction of cis-[Pt(PMe₂Ph)₂Cl₂] with Cs[7-TeB₁₀H₁₁].— To a solution of cis-[Pt(PMe₂Ph)₂Cl₂] (0.182 g, 0.34 mmol) in thf (35 cm³) was added Cs[7-TeB₁₀H₁₁] (0.127 g, 0.34 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 24 h and then heated at reflux for 24 h. After concentration under reduced pressure (rotary film evaporator, 25 °C) the solution was subjected to preparative t.l.c. (CH₂Cl₂–cyclohexane, 7:2) and gave three bands. The major band was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. On recrystallisation, dark red *crystals* of *closo*-[2,2-(PMe₂Ph)₂- Table 6. Experimental details for the two-dimensional n.m.r. experiments on compound (1)

| | [¹¹ B- ¹¹ B]-COSY | [¹ H- ¹ H]-COSY |
|--|--|--|
| Data size (t_2, t_1) /words | 256, 64 | 512, 128 |
| Transform size (F_2, F_1) /words | 512, 256 | 512, 256 |
| t_2 Sweepwidth (=2 × t_1 sweepwidth)/Hz | 6 410.3 | 2 958.6 |
| Digital resolution $(F_2, F_1)/Hz$ per point | 25, 25 | 11.6, 11.6 |
| Recycling time/s | 0.07 | 1.1 |
| Mixing pulse/° | 45 | 45 |
| Window | sine-bell squared (unshifted)* | sine-bell squared (unshifted)* |
| Other details | continuous { ¹ H(broad-band noise)} decoupling | gated { ¹¹ B(broad-band noise)} decoupling |

* *i.e.* Centred on the centre point of the acquired free induction decay data array (line 2) prior to zero filling to give the transform size (line 3).

 $1,2-\text{TePtB}_{10}H_{10}$] (3) (0.118 g, 49%) were isolated (Found: C, 26.2; H, 4.4. C₁₆H₃₂B₁₀P₂PtTe requires C, 26.8; H, 4.5%); i.r.: v_{max.} 3 038w, 2 990w, 2 900w, 2 540vs (BH), 2 525vs (BH), 2 495vs (BH), 2 472w (BH), 1 580w, 1 562w, 1 482m, 1 470m, 1 430s, 1 420m, 1 410m, 1 315w, 1 308w, 1 292m, 1 280s, 1 268vw, 1 190w, 1 175w, 1 155w, 1 095s, 1 068w, 1 008s, 1 000w, 942s, 912vs, 905vw, 872w, 862w, 840m, 832vw, 818w, 765w, 745s, 738s, 712s, 690s, and 680m cm⁻¹. Proton and ¹¹B n.m.r. spectra are summarised in Tables 2 and 3. Additional data were as follows (CD₂Cl₂, 297 K): Ξ (¹⁹⁵Pt) 21.381 190 MHz (δ - 879 p.p.m. relative to Ξ 21.4 MHz) and couplings $^{n}J(^{195}Pt-^{1}H)$ (Hz) were as follows: H(12), ${}^{3}J ca. 55$; H(7,11), ${}^{2}J ca. -15$; H(9), ${}^{4}J ca.$ 25; H(8,10), ³J ca. 25; $\delta(^{31}P) = -14.5$ p.p.m.; ¹J(¹⁹⁵Pt-³¹P) $2\,979 \pm 6$ Hz; $\delta(^{1}\text{H})$ (P-methyl) +1.86 [N = $(^{2}J + {}^{4}J)$ $({}^{31}P{-}^{1}H) = 10$ Hz; ${}^{3}J({}^{195}Pt{-}^{1}H)$ 23 Hz] and +1.61 [N = 9.5 Hz; ${}^{3}J({}^{195}Pt-{}^{1}H)$ 27.5 Hz]; $\delta({}^{1}H)$ (P-aromatic protons) + 7.41—7.43 and +7.56—7.62 p.p.m.; selective ${}^{1}H{-}{{}^{11}B}$ using $v[{}^{11}B(3,6)]$ broadens P-methyl resonances: ${}^{1}J[{}^{195}Pt{-}$ ¹¹B(7,11)] was ca. +240 Hz.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy.-N.m.r. spectroscopy was performed at 9.4 T using commercially available instrumentation. The techniques of ${}^{1}H{-}{{11}B}, {}^{23,24,36-38}$ ${}^{1}H{-}{{31}P}, {}^{39}$ [${}^{11}B{-}^{11}B$]-COSY, 17,38,40,41 and [${}^{1}H{-}^{1}H$]- $COSY^{18,38,40}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy as used in this work were essentially as described elsewhere.^{17,18,23,24,36–41} In the ¹H- ${^{11}B}$ experiments use was made of the technique ${^{24,42}}$ in which a ${}^{1}H_{-{}^{1}}$ B(off-resonance)} spectrum was subtracted from a ${}^{1}H_{-{}^{1}}$ {¹¹B(on-resonance)} spectrum in order to remove proton resonances not coupled to the ¹¹B nucleus of interest. In the $[^{11}B^{-11}B]$ -COSY and $[^{1}H^{-1}H]$ -COSY experiments $\{^{1}H^{-1}B^{-1$ respectively were applied continuously, typical experimental parameters for the COSY work being summarised in Table 6. Other n.m.r. spectroscopy was straightforward, relaxation times $T_1(^{11}B)$ being measured by the π -delay- $\pi/2$ -acquire inversionrecovery method, and chemical shifts δ being quoted positive to high frequency (low field) of $\equiv 100$ for ¹H, $\equiv 40.480$ 730 (nominally 85% H₃PO₄) for ³¹P, $\equiv 32.083$ 971 (nominally BF₃·OEt₂ in CDCl₃ for ¹¹B),²⁰ and $\equiv 21.4$ MHz⁴³ for ¹⁹⁵Pt (\equiv being defined as in ref. 44). For the location of the ¹⁹⁵Pt resonances, a succession of ${}^{1}H-{}^{195}Pt(high power, selective)}$ experiments using the P-alkyl ¹H signals were first of all rapidly

carried out in order to locate the approximate resonance position before acquisition of the final data by direct ¹⁹⁵Pt-{¹H(broad-band noise)} spectroscopy.

Acknowledgements

A generous loan of platinum salts from Johnson Matthey plc is gratefully acknowledged. G. F. would like to thank the N.S.E.R.C. Canada for Grants in Aid of Research. X. L. R. F. and J. D. K. would like to thank the S.E.R.C. for facilities and Professor N. N. Greenwood for his interest in this work. Faridoon would like to thank the Department of Education of the Republic of Ireland for a Senior Studentship.

References

- 1 J. D. Kennedy, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1986, 34, 211.
- 2 M. P. Garcia, M. Green, F. G. A. Stone, R. G. Somerville, A. J. Welch, C. E. Briant, D. N. Cox, and D. M. P. Mingos, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 2343 and refs. therein.
- 3 R. E. King, D. C. Busby, and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 279, 103 and refs. therein.
- 4 M. Green, J. L. Spencer, F. G. A. Stone, and A. J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 179.
- 5 L. F. Warren and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1157.
- 6 W. E. Carroll, M. Green, F. G. A. Stone, and A. J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1975, 2263.
- 7 E. A. Chernyshev, L. K. Knyazeva, Z. V. Belyakova, A. V. Kisin, N. I. Kirillova, A. I. Gusev, and N. V. Alekseev, *Zh. Obshch. Khim.*, 1983, 53, 1289.
- 8 W. R. Hertler, F. Klanberg, and E. L. Muetterties, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1967, 6, 1696.
- 9 G. Ferguson, M. Parvez, J. A. MacCurtain, O. Ni Dhubhghaill, T. R. Spalding, and D. Reed, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 699.
- 10 K. Wade, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 1976, 18, 1.
- 11 D. M. P. Mingos, M. I. Forsyth, and A. J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1978, 1363.
- 12 See, for example, N. N. Greenwood and A. Earnshaw, in 'The Chemistry of the Elements,' Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984, ch. 6.
- 13 A. R. Kane, L. J. Guggenberger, and E. L. Muetterties, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 2571.
- 14 D. M. Giolando, T. B. Rauchfuss, and A. L. Rheingold, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 1636.
- 15 H. J. Gysling and H. R. Luss, Organometallics, 1984, 3, 596.
- 16 A. J. Stone, Inorg. Chem., 1981, 20, 563.
- 17 T. L. Venable, W. C. Hutton, and R. N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 29.
- 18 X. L. R. Fontaine and J. D. Kennedy, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 779.
- 19 J. L. Little, G. D. Friesen, and L. J. Todd, Inorg. Chem., 1977, 16, 869.
- 20 See, for example, J. D. Kennedy, in 'Boron,' ch. 8, in 'Multinuclear NMR,' ed. J. Mason, Plenum, London and New York, 1987, pp. 221-258 and refs. therein.
- 21 See, for example, I. Macpherson, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Leeds, 1987; I. Macpherson, personal communication, 1987.
- 22 R. J. Astheimer and L. G. Sneddon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 1928 and refs. therein.
- 23 J. D. Kennedy and B. Wrackmeyer, J. Magn. Reson., 1980, 38, 529.
- 24 S. K. Boocock, N. N. Greenwood, M. J. Hails, J. D. Kennedy, and W. S. McDonald, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1981, 1415.
- 25 J. D. Kennedy and W. McFarlane, unpublished work.
- 26 (a) G. K. Barker, M. Green, F. G. A. Stone, and A. J. Welch, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1980, 1186; (b) M. J. Calhorda, D. M. P. Mingos, and A. J. Welch, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982, 228, 309.
- 27 S. K. Boocock, N. N. Greenwood, and J. D. Kennedy, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 305.
- 28 T. B. Marder, R. T. Baker, J. A. Long, J. A. Doi, and M. F. Hawthorne, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 2988.
- 29 W. F. Wright, A. R. Garber, and L. J. Todd, J. Magn. Reson., 1978, 30, 595.
- 30 (a) D. Reed, G. Ferguson, B. L. Ruhl, O. Ni Dhubhghaill, and T. R. Spalding, *Polyhedron*, 1988, 7, 17; (b) G. Ferguson, M. J. Hampden-Smith, O. N. Dhubhghaill, and T. R. Spalding, *ibid.*, p. 187.

- 31 E. W. Corcoran and L. G. Sneddon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 7446.
- 32 G. B. Kauffman and D. O. Cowan, Inorg. Synth., 1960, 6, 211.
- 33 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4.
- 34 B. Frenz and Associates Inc., College Station Texas 77840 and Enraf-Nonius, Delft, Holland, 1983.
- 35 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP II, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, 1976.
- 36 N. N. Greenwood, M. J. Hails, J. D. Kennedy, and W. S. McDonald, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 953.
- 37 X. L. R. Fontaine and J. D. Kennedy, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 1573.
- 38 M. Bown, X. L. R. Fontaine, and J. D. Kennedy, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, 1467.

- 39 S. K. Boocock, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, W. S. McDonald, and J. Staves, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1981, 2573.
- 40 X. L. R. Fontaine, H. Fowkes, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 1431, 2417.
- 41 M. Bown, X. L. R. Fontaine, N. N. Greenwood, J. D. Kennedy, and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 1169.
- 42 J. D. Kennedy and J. Staves, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1979, 34, 808.
- 43 R. J. Goodfellow, in 'Group VIII transition metals,' ch. 20 in 'Multinuclear N.M.R.,' ed. J. Mason, Plenum, London, and New York, 1987, pp. 521-562.
- 44 W. McFarlane, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 1968, 306, 185.

Received 25th November 1987; Paper 7/2098