Nucleophilic (Michael) Additions to $(Ph_2P)_2C=CH_2$ when Chelated to Dimethylplatinum(II), Di(o-tolyl)platinum(II), or lodo(trimethyl)platinum(IV). Crystal Structure of $[PtMe_3I{(PPh_2)CH(PPh_2)CH_2NHCH_2Ph}]^{\dagger}$

Fatma S. M. Hassan, Simon J. Higgins, Grant B. Jacobsen, Bernard L. Shaw,* and Mark Thornton-Pett

School of Chemistry, The University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT

Treatment of [PtMe₂(cod)](cod = cyclo-octa-1,5-diene) with (Ph₂P)₂C=CH₂ (vdpp) gave $[PtMe_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1a). Treatment of $[Pt(C_8H_4Me-o)_2(cod)]$ with vdpp, or better, $[PtCl_2(vdpp-PP')]$ with o-tolyImagnesium bromide gave $[Pt(C_{s}H_{a}Me-o)_{2}(vdpp-PP')]$ (1b). The vinylidene double bond in (1a) or (1b) was highly activated towards nucleophilic attack (Michael addition) by a variety of amines and hydrazines, yielding adducts of the type [PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂R}] with R =NHNH, (2), NHNHMe (3a), NHCH, C=CH (4), NMeCH, C=CH (5), NHCH, CH=CH, (6a), NMeCH₂CH=CH₂ (7), ∟NHCHMePh (8a), □-NHCHMePh (8b), NHCH₂Ph (9), NHCH₂C₆H₄OMe-4 (10), NHCH₂CH₂Me (11), and NHCH₂CH₂NH₂ (12), or of the type [Pt($C_{6}H_{4}Me - o)_{2}$ -{(PPh_),CHCH_R}] with R = NHNHMe (3b) or NHCH_CH=CH, (6b). Some of the additions were reversible and the adducts of (1b) were not isolated pure. The platinum(u) complex (1a)reacted with MeI to give fac-[PtMe₃I(vdpp-PP')] (13) which reacted with PhCH₂NH₂ to give [PtMe,I{(PPh₂),CHCH₂NHCH₂Ph] (14) which isomerised in solution to give a mixture of [PtMe,1{(PPh_)CH(PPh_)CH,NHCH_Ph}] [the title compound, (15a)] and (15b) in which the H and CH₂Ph on N are interchanged. Eventually, (15b) isomerised completely to (15a). N.m.r. and i.r. data are given. Crystals of the compound (15a) are orthorhombic, space group Pca2, with a =2713.1(5), b = 1177.9(2), c = 2139.6(4) pm; final R factor 0.0475 for 3 927 observed reflections.

Although tertiary phosphines are important ligands in coordination and organometallic chemistry and are an essential component in many catalytic systems, relatively little has been reported with functionalised phosphines. This is partly due to the multi-stage synthesis often required, particularly in the case of bidentate ligands. We have reported that, although the vinylidene double bond in unco-ordinated 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethene (vdpp) is not susceptible to nucleophilic attack, complexation to the fragment $M(CO)_4$ (M = Cr, Mo, or W) activates the double bond such that a variety of nucleophiles (amines, hydrazines, acetylide anions, other carbanions) can undergo conjugate or Michael addition to it under mild conditions.^{1,2} One might anticipate that complexation of vdpp to a metal which is more polarising than M(CO)₄ would activate the vinylidene double bond towards nucleophilic addition even more. We now report on conjugate additions to vdpp, when complexed to platinum(II) or platinum(IV). A preliminary account of some of this work has been published.3

Results and Discussion

Treatment of $[PtMe_2(cod)]$ (cod = cyclo-octa-1,5,-diene) with vdpp in dichloromethane gave $[PtMe_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1a), characterized by elemental analysis and i.r. spectroscopy (Table 1) and by ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r., ¹H, and ¹H-{³¹P} n.m.r. spectroscopy (Table 2). Similar treatment of $[Pt(C_6H_4Me$ $o)_2(cod)]^4$ with vdpp in dichloromethane gave $[Pt(C_6H_4Me$ $o)_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1b), although a better yield was obtained by treating $[PtCl_2(vdpp-PP')]$ with a large excess of *o*-tolyl-magnesium bromide (see Experimental section).

Treatment of $[PtMe_2(vdpp-PP')](1a)$ with hydrazine gave the required Michael addition product [PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂-NHNH₂] (2). Similar treatment of $[PtMe_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1a) with a large excess (ca. 50 fold) of methylhydrazine in benzene gave $[PtMe_2{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NHNHMe}]$ (3a) in a few minutes. This formulation is based on (i) microanalytical data (Table 1); (ii) the i.r. spectrum, which showed a sharp band at 3 300m cm⁻¹ assigned to v(N-H) (Table 1); (*iii*) the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectrum, which showed a singlet with satellites at $\delta(\dot{P}) =$ -23.6 p.p.m., ${}^{1}J(PtP) = 1.485$ Hz (Table 2); and (iv) the ${}^{1}H$ -{³¹P} n.m.r. and ¹H n.m.r. spectra, which showed a CHCH₂ moiety, with both types of proton coupled to phosphorus and to each other, a singlet due to NMe protons, and a broad singlet due to NH protons (data in Table 2). The ${}^{1}H{}^{{31}}P$ n.m.r. pattern of the Me₂Pt protons showed a singlet at $\delta(H) = 0.93$ p.p.m., flanked by satellites $\int^2 J(PtH) = 73 \text{ Hz}$ and in the ¹H n.m.r. pattern these methyls showed a second order triplet pattern with $|{}^{3}J(PMe)(cis) + {}^{3}J(PMe)(trans)| = 8$ Hz (data in Table 2). The adduct of methylhydrazine and the o-tolyl complex [Pt(C₆H₄Me-o)₂(vdpp-PP')], viz. (3b), was made similarly but not isolated pure, showing some tendency to revert back to (1b) and was characterized only by n.m.r. (see Table 2).

A wide range of other adducts of $[PtMe_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1a) or of $[Pt(C_6H_4Me-o)_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1b) with hydrazines or amines were prepared and characterized (see Experimental section and Tables 1 and 2 for details). Thus the adducts shown in parentheses were prepared from the following: $H_2NCH_2C\equiv CH$ [(4)]; NHMeCH₂C=CH [(5)]; $H_2NCH_2CH=CH_2$ [(6a) and (6b) (identified by n.m.r. and not isolated)]; HNMeCH₂CH= CH₂ [(7)]; L-H₂NCHMePh [(8a)] and D-H₂NCHMePh [(8b)]; H_2NCH_2Ph [(9)]; $H_2NCH_2C_6H_4OMe-p$ [(10)]; $H_2NCH_2CH_2Me$ [(11)]; and $H_2NCH_2CH_2NH_2$ [(12)]. The NH of olefinic and acetylenic amines added readily to the

^{† {[1-}Benzylamino-2,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)]ethane-N(Pt),P(Pt)}iodotrimethylplatinum(iv).

Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, Issue 1, pp. xvii-xx.

Table 1. Infrared and analytical data

Complex	C	Н	N	I	I.r. data ^b (cm ^{-1})
(1a)	54.1 (54.1)	4.5 (4.5)			$1.608 \le v(C=C)$
(1b)	62.2 (62.0)	4.7 (4.7)			1 00011 ((e=e)
(2)	51.2 (51.5)	5.0 (5.0)	4.2 (4.3)		3 300m
(3a)	52.5 (52.2)	5.3 (5.2)	4.2 (4.2)		3 300m
(4)	55.4 (55.0)	4.9 (4.9)	2.4 (2.0)		
(5)	55.3 (55.7)	4.9 (5.1)	2.3 (2.0)		
(6a)	54.7 (54.9)	5.1 (5.0)	2.2(2.1)		3 250m
(7)	55.2 (55.5)	5.6 (5.4)	2.2 (2.0)		- 200111
$(8a)^{\circ} \cdot 0.25CH_2Cl_2$	56.9 (56.9)	5.1 (5.0)	1.9 (2.0)		3 300w
(8b) ^d	58.9 (58.3)	5.3 (5.3)	2.0 (1.9)		
(9)•0.2CH ₂ Cl ₂	57.7 (57.3)	5.3 (5.3)	2.0 (1.9)		3 300m
(10)	56.7 (57.0)	5.1 (5.0)	2.0 (1.9)		
(11)-0.3CH ₂ Cl ₂	53.8 (53.7)	5.6 (5.6)	2.1(2.0)		
(12)	52.9 (52.9)	5.4 (5.4)	3.9 (4.0)		
(13)	45.9 (45.7)	4.0 (4.0)	× /	16.6 (16.7)	
(14)	50.6 (50.5)	4.8 (4.8)	2.1 (2.0)	13.8 (14.0)	3 400m
$(15a) \cdot 0.3 PhCH_2 NH_2$	52.6 (52.9)	5.1 (5.0)	2.9 (2.9)	12.7 (12.9)	3 400w

^{*a*} Calculated values in parentheses. The presence of solvent or free amine was confirmed by ¹H n.m.r. spectroscopy. ^{*b*} Nujol mulls. Bands are due to v(NH) except where otherwise stated. ^{*c*} $[\alpha]^{26} = -9.3^{\circ}$ in chloroform. ^{*d*} $[\alpha]^{26} = +9.3^{\circ}$ in chloroform.



double bond of the vdpp complex (1a). Thus $H_2NCH_2C\equiv CH$ and NHMeCH₂C=CH gave the adducts (4) and (5), respectively, NH₂CH₂CH=CH₂ gave (6a) and (6b), and HNMeCH₂-CH=CH₂ gave (7). There was no evidence of further interaction of C=C or C=C bonds with the platinum.

When the vdpp complex (1a) was treated with an excess of 1,2-diaminoethane, only one of the NH₂ groups added and the adduct (12) was obtained in good yield. Of particular interest are the adducts (8a) and (8b) of the optically active amines L-and D-(methylbenzyl)amine. The ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectra were identical and showed that the two P nuclei were no longer chemically identical with $\delta(P) = -23.9$ and -24.7 p.pm., ¹J(PtP) = 1 480 Hz in each case, but ¹J(PP) ca. 0 Hz; geminal coupling constants are often very small. The specific rotations of (8a) and (8b) were -9.3 and +9.3°, respectively.

Although [Pt($C_6H_4Me-o)_2(vdpp-PP')$] (1b) reacted readily with an excess of methylhydrazine to give the adduct (3b), to the extent of 100% in solution as shown by the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectrum, this adduct could not be isolated free from (1b) (¹H-{³¹P} n.m.r. evidence). Similarly, ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. monitoring suggested that allylamine reacted with (1b) to give (6b) to the extent of 100% but this could not be isolated pure. Clearly therefore the additions are reversible and the adducts of (1b) are



less stable than those of (1a), requiring an excess of the nucleophile in solution to drive the reaction to completion. In an attempt to induce the ethynyl group of (4) to react further with the platinum centre, a solution of (4) was heated in boiling toluene for 18 h but the only reaction we could detect was the partial re-formation of the vdpp complex (1a) by reversal of the Michael addition.

We anticipated that, on co-ordination to platinum(IV), the vinylidene double bond of vdpp would be activated towards Michael addition even more than on co-ordination to platinum(II) because of the additional polarising effect of the platinum(IV) centre. We have therefore investigated the activating effect of a PtMe₃I moiety co-ordinated to vdpp. Treatment of (1a) with methyl iodide in dichloromethane or toluene gave the oxidative addition product (13) in high yield (further details are given in the Experimental section and characterising data are in the Tables).

On treating $[PtMe_3I(vdpp-PP')]$ (13) with benzylamine in deuteriochloroform, the adduct [PtMe₃I{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂- $NHCH_2Ph$ was formed in < 5 min at room temperature. This complex was isolated and fully characterised (data in Tables). In particular only one stereoisomer was formed, to which we assign the structure (14) with the CH hydrogen and the iodide ligand on opposite sides of the PtP₂ plane, because of the subsequent reactions described below. In time, in CDCl₃ solution, the benzylamine adduct (14) changed to two new species, as shown by ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ n.m.r. spectroscopy. Both these species showed AX patterns, with satellites due to coupling with platinum-195. Their presence became apparent after 30 min and after 16 h the signals due to the adduct (14) had almost disappeared and the two new patterns were well resolved. The major product had $\delta(P) = 15.8 \text{ p.p.m.}, J(PtP) = 1155 \text{ Hz and}$ $\delta(\mathbf{P}) = -16.2 \text{ p.p.m.}, J(\mathbf{PtP}) = 15 \text{ Hz}, \text{ while the minor product}$

Table 2. ${}^{31}P{-}{}^{1}H{}^{a}$ and selected ${}^{1}H{}^{b}$ and ${}^{1}H{-}{}^{31}P{}^{b}$ n.m.r. spectroscopic data

Complex	${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ n.m.r.	¹ H and ¹ H - $\{^{31}$ P $\}$ n.m.r.	Complex	${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ n.m.r.	¹ H and ¹ H- $\{^{31}P\}$ n.m.r.
(1a) (1b)	- 7.2 (1 475) - 13.1 (1 450)	5.79 [2 H, C=CH ₂ , <i>J</i> (PH) 5.9] ^c 6.05 [2 H, C=CH ₂ , <i>J</i> (PH) 5.3]	(9)	-24.6 (1 482)	4.45 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8] 3.43 [NHCH ₂ Ph]
. ,		2.34, 2.59 [MeC_6H_4 , $J(PtH)$ 3.3] ^{c,d}	(1.0)		2.48 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8]
(2)	-24.3 (1 477)	$4.71 [CHCH_2, J(HH) 8]$	(10)	- 24.1 (1 482)	4.42 [$CHCH_2$, $J(HH)$ 8] 3.80 [OMe]
		2.94 [NH] 2.48 [CHCH ₂] (HH) 8] ^c			$3.36 [NHCH_2]$
(3a)	-23.6 (1 485)	5.03 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 7]			2.46 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8] ^c
		2.85 [NH]	(11)	-24.4 (1 482)	4.44 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 7.1]
		$2.00 [CHCH_2, J(HH) 7]$			2.41 [CHC H_2 , br] 2.18 [NHC H_2 , br]
(3 h)		$1.58 [NHMe]^{\circ}$ 4.79 [CHCH, $I(HH)$ 8]			$2.18 [NHCH_2CH_2, J(HH)] 3.4, J(HNH) 6.6]$
(30)	24.1 (1 420)	2.68 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8]			$1.26 [CH_2CH_2CH_3]$
		2.48 [NH]			0.77 [CH ₂ CH ₃ , J(HH) 7.4]
		2.26 $[MeC_6H_4, J(PtH) 3]$	(12)	-24.1 (1 482)	4.46 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 7.9]
(4)	22.9 (1.400)	1.89 [NH <i>Me</i>]			2.44 [CHC H_2 , $J(HH)$ [.9] 2.55 [NHC H CH NH, $J(HH)$ 56]
(4)	= 23.8 (1.490) = 23.6 (1.482)	4 38 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8]			2.28 [NHCH ₂ CH ₂ NH ₂ , J (HH) 5.6]
(0)		$3.11 [NMeCH_2C]$			1.26 [NH]
		2.52 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8]	(13)	- 36.5 (893)	$6.36 [P_2C=CH_2]$
		2.11 [C≡CH, J(HH) 2]			$1.69 [cis-PtMe_2, J(PtH) 63]$
(6a)	-24.5 (1 477)	5.65, 4.95, 4.93 $[H^1, H^2, H^3]$ respectively,	(14)	27.2 (030)	0.72 [Pt <i>Me</i> (<i>trans</i> -1), J (PtH) 7.7]
		$1.5. \text{ CH}_{2}\text{C}H^{1}=\text{C}H^{2}H^{3}. \text{ H}^{3} \text{ trans } \text{H}^{1}$	(14)	- 37.3 (930)	$3.70 [NHCH_3]$
		2.86 [NHC H_2 C, J (HH ¹) 6.0]			$3.20 \left[P_2 CHCH_2, J(HH) 7.4, J(PH) 10\right]$
		2.41 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 8.1]	(15a) ^e	15.8 [J(PtP)	$4.37 [dd, H^5, J(H^5H^6) 12.5, J(H^5H^4) 4.3]$
	34.9 (1.415)	1.50 [NH]		1155, J(PP) 15	4.29 [dd, H^9 , $J(HH)^7$ 14, $J(PtH^9)$ 9.4]
(OD) (7)	-24.8(1415) -226(1487)	5.63 5.08 5.05 $[H^1 H^2 H^3$ respectively		-10.2 [J(PTP) - 15]	3.91 [df , m , NH] 3.19 [dd H ⁸ l (HH) ^f 14 l (PtH ⁸) 8.8]
(7)	22.0 (1 107)	$J(H^{1}H^{2})$ 10.3, $J(H^{1}H^{3})$ 17.0, $J(H^{2}H^{3})$		10]	$2.95 \text{ [m, H}^4, J(\text{H}^4\text{H}^5) 4.1 \text{ or } 4.3, J(\text{H}^4\text{H}^6)$
		1.7, $CH_2CH^1 = CH^2H^3$, H^3 trans H^1]			4.3 or 4.1, $J(P^1H^4)$ 13, $J(P^2H^4)$ ca. 0]
		4.38 [C <i>H</i> CH ₂ , <i>J</i> (HH) 6.4]			2.37 [m, H^6 , $J(P^1H^6)$ 12, $J(P^2H^6)$ ca. 0]
		$2.71 [N(Me)CH_2, J(HH^2) 6.4]$ 2.10 [CHCH ((HH)) 6.4]			$1.4/[d, PtMe^2, J(PtH)/2, J(P^2H) 0.8]^{"}$ $1.32[d, PtMe^2, J(PtH) 50, J(P^1H) 7.6]^{"}$
		$2.02 [N(Me)CH_2]$			$0.79 [d, PtMe^3, J(PtH) 76, J(P^1H) 7.3]^g$
(8a) ^{<i>h</i>}	23.9, -24.7	4.35 [CHCH ₂ , J(HH) 7.9]			
	[J(PtP) 1 480,	3.27 [NHC <i>H</i> MePh, <i>J</i> (HH) 6.6]	(1 5b)	16.5 [J(PtP)	
	$J(\mathbf{PP}) \ ca. \ 0$	2.29 [CHC H_2 , J(HH) /.9] 1.06 [NHCH M_2 Pb - J(HH) 6.6]		1 133, J(PP) 51	
(8h) ^{<i>h</i>}	-23.924.7	Identical to (8a)		= 23.8 [J(F(F))] 15. $J(PP)$ 517	
(00)	[J(PtP) 1 480,		(16)	- 38.8 (928)	
	$J(PP) \ ca. \ 0]$		(17)	-45.0 (908)	
			(18)	-39.5(941)	
			(19)	- 38.7 (928)	

"Measured at 40.3 MHz in CDCl₃ solution, unless otherwise indicated. Chemical shifts in p.p.m., J in Hz.^b Measured at 400 MHz in CDCl₃ solution, unless otherwise indicated. The PtMe₂ moiety, common to many of the complexes, is not included. Typically, $\delta = 0.92$ p.p.m., J(PtH) 73 Hz, $|J(P_AH) + J(P_AH)| = 8$ Hz. In the ¹H n.m.r. spectra, additional coupling to phosphorus occurs in all P₂CHCH₂ units as outlined in the text for (3a). ^c Measured at 99.5 MHz in CDCl₃. ^d Two resonances due to syn and anti rotameric forms in solution. ^e For proton and phosphorus numbering scheme, see Figure. Multiplicities refer to the ¹H-{³¹P} n.m.r. spectrum. ^f $J(H^8H^9)$ or $J(H^9NH)$. ^g Tentative assignments. ^h Measured at 161.9 MHz in CDCl₃.



isolated and characterised fully. The microanalytical data (Table 1) and the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ n.m.r. data (Table 2) suggested that a rearrangement of (14) had occurred in which a phosphorus donor atom had been displaced by the secondary amine function. In order to determine the stereochemistry of the new complex its crystal structure was determined by X-ray diffraction and shown to be (15a), see below and also the Figure. The intermediate and minor isomer discussed above is assigned structure (15b) and differs only from (15a) in that pyramidal inversion at nitrogen has occurred. The ¹H and ¹H- $\{^{31}P\}$ n.m.r. spectra (Table 2) are fully consistent with the structure showed $\delta(P) = 16.5$ p.p.m., J(PtP) = 1133 Hz and $\delta(P) = -23.8$ p.p.m., J(PtP) = 15 Hz. Clearly, from the and are quite complex, with all the CH protons rendered inequivalent by the chelate ring and all three Pt-Me groups magnitude of the coupling to ¹⁹⁵Pt, the second phosphorus was inequivalent. The assignments shown in Table 2 were con-

no longer co-ordinated to platinum in either product. After 72 h both (14) and the minor product had disappeared and the only phosphorus-containing product was the major isomer. This was



Figure. ORTEP drawings of the two crystallographically distinct molecules of $[PtMe_3I{(PPh_2)CH(PPh_2)CH_2NHCH_2Ph}]$ (15a). The two molecules differ by a slight rotation of the unco-ordinated PPh₂ group [*cf.* dihedral angle C(132)-C(131)-P(2)-C(211) = -66.4° in molecule 1 and C(332)-C(331)-P(4)-C(421) = -53.6° in molecule 2]

firmed by selective ${}^1H\{}^1H\{}$ and ${}^1H\{}^{31}P\{}^1H\{}$ decoupling experiments.

The isomerisation of (14) to (15a) is presumably promoted by the relief of steric strain on expansion from a four- to a fivemembered ring and by the co-ordination of the hard *N*-donor to the Pt^{IV} centre in place of the softer *P*-donor: the dissociation of phosphines from fac-[PtMe₃X(PR₃)₂] has been proposed



Table 3. Selected interatomic distances (pm) and angles (°) for comple
(15a) with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses

Molecule 1		Molecule 2	
I(1) - Pt(1)	285.3(6)	I(2) - Pt(2)	282.5(6)
P(1) - Pt(1)	240.6(9)	P(3) - Pt(2)	235.9(9)
C(1) - Pt(1)	213(3)	C(4) - Pt(2)	211(3)
C(2) - Pt(1)	201(3)	C(5) - Pt(2)	211(3)
C(3) - Pt(1)	207(3)	C(6)–Pt(2)	215(3)
N(1)-Pt(1)	225(2)	N(2)-Pt(2)	222(2)
C(131)-P(1)	186(3)	C(331)-P(3)	185(3)
C(131)-P(2)	184(3)	C(331)-P(4)	190(3)
C(132)-C(131)	158(3)	C(332)–C(331)	153(3)
N(1)-C(132)	147(3)	N(2)-C(332)	151(3)
C(133)–N(1)	152(3)	C(333)–N(2)	153(3)
P(1)-Pt(1)-I(1)	91.7(3)	P(3)-Pt(2)-I(2)	92.3(3)
N(1)-Pt(1)-I(1)	83.0(5)	N(2)-Pt(2)-I(2)	83.0(5)
N(1)-Pt(1)-C(2)	178.6(8)	N(2)-Pt(2)-C(5)	178.0(8)
N(1)-C(132)-C(131)	110(2)	N(2)-C(332)-C(331)	105(2)
C(132)-N(1)-Pt(1)	112(1)	C(332)-N(2)-Pt(2)	113(1)

as the first step in the reductive elimination of ethane and exchange of PMe_2Ph for $AsMe_2Ph$ has been observed on mixing solutions of *fac*-[PtMe₃X(AsMe₂Ph)₂] (X = halide) and PMe_2Ph .⁵

Crystal Structure of $[PtMe_3I{(PPh_2)CH(PPh_2)CH_2NH-CH_2Ph}]$ (15a).—The complex was crystallised from dichloromethane-ethanol. There are two crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric part of the unit cell and these are shown in the Figure. The large values of the e.s.d.s, particularly for the Pt-C and Pt-N distances, preclude any detailed comparison but there appear to be no greatly significant differences in bond lengths and angles between the two molecules (see Table 3). They differ most in the twist of the unco-ordinated PPh₂ group (see caption to Figure). The stereochemistry of the chelate ring confirms the assignment of structure (14) to the initial adduct, *i.e.* stereospecific addition of the amine such that the iodine and CH proton are on opposite sides of the PtP₂ plane. This presumably occurs because of the steric effect of the large iodide ligand.

Experimental

The general methods used were the same as in other recent papers from this laboratory.⁶

Preparation of $[PtMe_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1a).—1,1-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethene (vdpp) (0.4 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to a solution of $[PtMe_2(cod)]$ (0.33 g, 1.0 mmol) in toluene (20 cm³). The mixture was then stirred for 40 min at 20 °C, after which the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue triturated with hexane, then diethyl ether (10 cm³), to give the required product as white microcrystals. Yield 0.54 g, 87%.

Preparation of $[Pt(C_6H_4Me-o)_2(vdpp-PP')]$ (1b).—(*i*) A mixture of $[Pt(C_6H_4Me-o)_2(cod)]$ (0.10 g, 0.21 mmol) and vdpp (0.084 g, 0.21 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 cm³) was heated under reflux for 18 h and then evaporated to dryness. This gave the required product as yellow microcrystals, which were triturated with methanol, filtered off, *etc.* Yield 0.061 g, 38%.

(*ii*) [PtCl₂(vdpp-PP')] (0.22 g, 0.33 mmol) and then benzene (5 cm³) were added to a solution of *o*-tolylmagnesium bromide, prepared from magnesium (0.185 g, 7.6 mmol) and *o*-bromotoluene (1.3 g, 7.6 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (thf) (10 cm³). The resultant mixture was stirred for 2 h and then

methanol (5 cm^3) was added at 0 °C. The solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue washed with methanol. This gave the required product. Yield 0.125 g, 49%.

Preparation of the Michael Addition Products.—A similar general method was used for all addition products.

[PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂NHNH₂}] (2). Hydrazine hydrate (0.5 cm^3) was added to a solution of (1a) (0.050 g, 0.08 mmol) in toluene (1 cm^3) . The resultant mixture was then heated under reflux for 30 min, after which it was evaporated under reduced pressure and ethanol added. The required product separated as a white microcrystalline solid. Yield 0.04 g, 77%.

[PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂NHNHMe}] (**3a**). Methylhydrazine (0.1 cm³) was added to a solution of (**1a**) (0.030 g, 0.048 mmol) in benzene (1 cm³). The resulting mixture was put aside for 10 min, during which the required product separated out as white needles. These were filtered off, washed with diethyl ether, and dried. Yield 0.029 g, 77%.

 $[PtMe_2{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NHCH_2C=CH}]$ (4). This was prepared similarly, except that a reaction time of 1 h was used and the product was isolated as pale yellow microcrystals by evaporation of the reaction mixture and recrystallisation of the residue from dichloromethane–n-hexane. Yield 74%.

 $[PtMe_{2}{(PPh_{2})_{2}CHCH_{2}NMeCH_{2}C=CH}]$ (5). This was prepared in a similar manner to (4) and formed pale yellow microcrystals from dichloromethane-n-hexane. Yield 68%.

[PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂NHCH₂CH=CH₂}] (**6a**). This was prepared by dissolving [PtMe₂(vdpp-*PP'*)] (0.030 g, 0.048 mmol) in neat allylamine (0.5 cm³). The required product separated out as white needles and was filtered off *etc.* after 30 min. Yield 60%.

 $[PtMe_2{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NMeCH_2CH=CH_2}]$ (7). This was prepared in a similar manner and scale to (3a) using toluene (1 cm³) as solvent. It separated out as white needles. Yield 90%.

 $[PtMe_2{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NHCHMePh-L}]$ (8a). This was prepared in a similar manner to (7) and separated from the reaction mixture as white microcrystals. Yield 84%.

 $[PtMe_2{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NHCHMePh-D}]$ (8b). This was prepared in a similar manner to (8a). Yield 61%.

 $[PtMe_{2}{(PPh_{2})_{2}CHCH_{2}NHCH_{2}Ph}]$ (9). This was prepared in a similar manner to (7). White needles were formed. Yield 51%. $[PtMe_{2}{(PPh_{2})_{2}CHCH_{2}NHCH_{2}C_{6}H_{4}OMe-4}]$ (10). This

Table 4. Atomic co-ordinates $(\times 10^4)$ for compound (15a)

* Co-ordinate

Atom	r	v	7	Atom	x	v	z
Dt(1)	5 402 4(2)	4 102 0(7)	771*	C(216)	5 703	3 860	1 8 2 8
P(1) P(2)	3493.4(3) 30273(3)	4 192.9(7)	$= 772^{-1}$	C(210)	4 569(5)	5 415(14)	1 649(8)
F((2))	5037.3(3) 5330(1)	2158(1)	-79(1)	C(221)	4 238	6 238	1 860
I(1)	3330(1)	2 130(1) 2 024(1)	3.452(1)	C(222)	3 776	5 916	2 084
$\mathbf{D}(1)$	2.881(1)	2 724(1) 5 246(5)	105(4)	C(223)	3 644	4 771	2 007
P(2)	4 943(2) 5 184(2)	5 811(5)	-103(4)	C(227)	3 075	3 949	1 887
P(2)	$\frac{3}{2}\frac{104(2)}{74(2)}$	120(4)	3 416(4)	C(225)	4 437	4 271	1 663
P(3)	2 + 7 + (2) 2 + 6 - 6 + (2)	-120(4)	1 946(3)	C(220)	1836(4)	336(12)	3 308(7)
C(1)	2020(2)	= 713(3)	-1.296(12)	C(312)	1 686	1 393	3 531
C(1)	5 016(9)	3294(21) 3834(20)	-1.462(12)	C(312)	1 205	1 766	3 4 2 6
C(2)	5 673(0)	5612(20)	-1.203(12)	C(314)	875	1 081	3 097
C(3)	3 580(9)	1.602(20)	-1295(12) 4636(12)	C(315)	1 025	24	2 874
C(4)	2 537(9)	1002(20) 1174(20)	4802(13)	C(316)	1 506	_ 349	2 980
C(5)	$\frac{2}{3}\frac{3}{234(9)}$	-705(21)	4552(13)	C(321)	2 381(6)	-1.631(9)	3 595(8)
C(0)	4 864(6)	= 703(21) 6 730(9)	-305(7)	C(322)	2 072	-1.826	4 105
C(112)	5 079	7 638	14	C(323)	1 993	-2931	4 317
C(112)	4 994	8 748	-186	C(324)	2 224	-3.841	4 019
C(113)	4 694	8 9 50	-705	C(325)	2 533	- 3 646	3 510
C(115)	4 480	8 042	-1.023	C(326)	2 612	-2541	3 298
C(116)	4 565	6 9 3 2	-823	C(331)	2 842(9)	21(16)	2 690(14)
C(121)	4 318(4)	4 775(12)	48(8)	C(332)	3 375(8)	-326(19)	2 830(11)
C(122)	3 986	5 485	355	N(2)	3 559(6)	544(13)	3 290(8)
C(123)	3 495	5 1 5 5	424	C(333)	4087(9)	207(20)	3462(14)
C(124)	3 337	4114	186	C(334)	4 426(6)	268(16)	2 898(7)
C(125)	3 670	3 403	-120	C(335)	4 558	- 685	2 549
C(126)	4 161	3 7 3 4	-189	C(336)	4 827	- 557	1 998
C(131)	5 303(8)	5 104(15)	633(12)	C(337)	4 963	524	1 795
C(132)	5 848(7)	5 407(18)	438(10)	C(338)	4 831	1 477	2 1 4 4
N(1)	6 036(6)	4 551(14)	1(9)	C(339)	4 563	1 349	2 696
C(133)	6 569(9)	4 765(20)	-189(13)	C(411)	2 083(4)	76(10)	1 696(7)
C(134)	6 891(6)	4 767(17)	412(8)	C(412)	1 999	1 224	1 818
C(135)	7 027	5 812	666	C(413)	1 561	1 734	1 625
C(136)	7 276	5 854	1 236	C(414)	1 206	1 097	1 309
C(137)	7 389	4 851	1 552	C(415)	1 290	-50	1 187
C(138)	7 253	3 807	1 298	C(416)	1 728	- 561	1 380
C(139)	7 004	3 764	728	C(421)	3 100(6)	-332(16)	1 380(8)
C(211)	5 616(5)	5 023(11)	1 887(7)	C(422)	3 226	812	1 329
C(212)	5 860	5 646	2 347	C(423)	3 610	1 143	937
C(213)	6 192	5 107	2 747	C(424)	3 869	330	595
C(214)	6 278	3 944	2 688	C(425)	3 743	-815	645
C(215)	6 0 3 4	3 321	2 228	C(426)	3 358	-1 146	1 038
fixed.							

was prepared in a similar manner to (7) except that ethanol (5 cm^3) was added to complete precipitation of the product. White prisms were formed. Yield 56%.

[PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂NHCH₂CH₂Me}] (11). This was prepared as for (7) except that n-hexane (3 cm³) was added to complete the precipitation of the product, white microcrystals. Yield 51%.

[PtMe₂{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂NHCH₂CH₂NH₂}] (12). The diamine (H₂NCH₂CH₂NH₂) (0.05 g, 0.82 mmol) was added to a solution of (1a) (0.10 g, 0.16 mmol) in toluene (1 cm³). The mixture was put aside for 30 min at room temperature, after which the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue triturated with n-hexane and filtered off. The product was obtained as white microcrystals. Yield 0.065 g, 56%.

[PtMe₃I(vdpp-*PP'*)] (13). Methyl iodide (0.05 cm³) was added to a solution of (1a) (0.020 g, 0.32 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 cm³). After 30 min the resultant solution was evaporated to a small volume and diethyl ether added, to give the required product as a pale yellow solid. Yield 0.17 g, 71%.

[PtMe₃I{(PPh₂)₂CHCH₂NHCH₂Ph}] (14). Benzylamine (0.1 cm³) was added to a solution of (13) (0.05 g, 0.065 mmol) in CDCl₃ (0.5 cm³). When the ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectrum revealed complete conversion to (14) (< 5 min), the colourless solution was evaporated *in vacuo* and ethanol added. This gave the required product as white needles. Yield 0.025 g, 43%.

[PtMe₃I{(PPh₂)CH(PPh₂)CH₂NHCH₂Ph}] (15a). Benzylamine (0.05 g, 0.47 mmol) was added to a solution of (13) (0.10 g, 0.13 mmol) in CDCl₃ (0.5 cm³). After 72 h, ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectroscopy indicated only (15a) to be present. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was triturated with ethanol. This gave the required product as white needles which were filtered off *etc.* Yield 0.070 g, 55%.

The following four adducts were shown to be formed in solution by ${}^{31}P{\{}^{1}H{}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy (data in Table 2), on adding the appropriate amine to [PtMe₃I(vdpp-*PP'*], but were not isolated.

Three adducts of the type $[PtMe_3I{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NHR}]$ with $R = CH_2C_6H_4OMe-4$ (16), $CH_2C\equiv CH$ (17), $CH_2CH=$ CH_2 (19), and also $[PtMe_3I{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NMeCH_2CH=}$ CH_2] (18).

Two adducts of the type $[Pt(C_6H_4Me-o)_2\{(Ph_2P)_2CHCH_2-NHR\}]$ with R = NHMe (**3b**) or $CH_2CH=CH_2$ (**6b**) were shown to be formed in solution by ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectroscopy (data in Table 2) but were not isolated in a pure state because, on attempted isolation, some reversion to (**1b**) occurred. The reaction conditions were the same as for (**3a**) or (**6a**), respectively.

Demonstration of the Reverse of Michael Addition with (4).— A solution of $[PtMe_2{(PPh_2)_2CHCH_2NHCH_2C=CH}]$ (4) (0.018 g) in toluene (3 cm³) was heated under reflux for 18 h. ³¹P-{¹H} N.m.r. spectroscopy showed an *ca.* 40% reversion to (1a).

X-Ray Crystallography.—A sample of compound (15a) suitable for single-crystal diffraction analysis was crystallised from a dichloromethane–ethanol mixture.

Crystal data for compound (15a). $C_{36}H_{40}INP_2Pt$ M = 856.65, orthorhombic, a = 2.713.1(5), b = 1.177.9(2), c = 2.139.6(4) pm, U = 6.838 nm³, Z = 8, space group $Pca2_1$, $D_c = 1.66$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 48.86$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 3.392.

Data collection. Scans running from 0.9° below $K_{\alpha 1}$ to 0.9° above $K_{\alpha 2}$, scan speeds 2.0—29.3° min⁻¹, 4.0 < 20 < 45.0°. 5 136 Data collected, 3 927 observed $[I > 2.0\sigma(I)]$, T = 290 K. Structure refinement. Number of parameters = 248, weighting parameter g = 0.0005, R = 0.0475, R' = 0.0495.

All crystallographic measurements were made on a Nicolet P3/F diffractometer operating in the $\omega/2\theta$ scan mode using graphite-monochromatised Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 71.069$ pm) following a standard procedure described in detail elsewhere.⁷ The data set was corrected for absorption empirically once the structure had been solved.8 The compound was solved by direct methods using the SHELX 86 program⁹ and refined by full-matrix least squares using the SHELX 76 program.¹⁰ The solution gave two molecules in the asymmetric unit which differed only by the slight rotation of the unco-ordinated PPh₂ group (for comparison see the Figure). Only the Pt, I, and P atoms were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters, all other atoms were assigned individual isotropic thermal parameters. All phenyl groups were treated as rigid bodies and were refined with idealised hexagonal symmetry (C-C = 139.5 pm). No hydrogen atoms were located. The weighting scheme w = $[\sigma^2(F_o) + g(F_o)^2]^{-1}$ was used at the end of refinement with the parameter g included in refinement so as to give a flat analysis of variance with increasing $\sin\theta$ and $[F/F_{max.}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Refinement of the structure which had been inverted through a centre of symmetry led to slightly higher R values. Final atomic co-ordinates are given in Table 4.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises thermal parameters and remaining bond lengths and angles.

Acknowledgements

We thank the S.E.R.C. for support and Johnson Matthey plc for the generous loan of platinum salts.

References

- 1 G. R. Cooper, D. M. McEwan, and B. L. Shaw, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1983, **76**, L165.
- 2 G. R. Cooper, F. S. M. Hassan, B. L. Shaw, and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 614.
- 3 X. L. R. Fontaine, F. S. M. Hassan, S. J. Higgins, G. B. Jacobsen, B. L. Shaw, and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1635.
- 4 C. R. Kistner, J. H. Hutchinson, J. R. Doyle, and J. C. Storlie, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1963, **2**, 1255.
- 5 M. P. Brown, R. J. Puddephatt, and C. E. E. Upton, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1974, 2457.
- 6 S. W. Carr, B. L. Shaw, and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 2131.
- 7 A. Modinos and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1974, 2065.
- 8 N. Walker and D. Stuart, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1983, 39, 158.
- 9 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX 86, Program for X-Ray Structure Determination, University of Göttingen, 1986.
- 10 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX 76, Program for X-Ray Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, 1976.

Received 14th January 1988; Paper 8/00165K