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#### Abstract

Addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ to the chelates [ $\mathrm{PtX} \mathrm{X}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)$ ] $\left(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}\right.$, or I; dppm $\left.=\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ gives the complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{X}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right](2 \mathrm{a})-(2 \mathrm{c})$ which have been fully characterised. The $X$-ray crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right](2 \mathrm{a})$ has been determined in confirmation of its chemical identity (orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $R=0.053$ for 2499 observed reflections $[I / \sigma(I) \geqslant 2.0]$. Addition of HCl to complex (2a) gives $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\left(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ (3). Treatment of (2a) with phosphines or pyridine leads to a ring-expansion reaction to give [ $\left.\mathrm{PtL}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}\left[\mathrm{L}=\right.$ pyridine (4a), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~b})$, or $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{c})\right]$. With dppm, (2a) gives the bis chelate [ $\left.\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5). The mechanism of these ligand-promoted ring expansions is discussed. The products have been fully characterised by a combination of elemental analysis, i.r., ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy.


The reactivity of $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right](1)$ is often very different from other [ $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}$ (diphosphine) $]$ complexes because of the ring strain inherent in the four-membered chelate. ${ }^{1}$ Hence (1) readily ring opens at the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bond to give binuclear complexes containing bridging dppm ligands ${ }^{1}$ and recently we have shown that $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ cleavage in (1) readily occurs in the presence of hydroxide. ${ }^{2,3}$ In this paper we report a further manifestation of the tendency of (1) to relieve the ring strain: a four- to fivemembered ring expansion which occurs in complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{X}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right](\mathbf{2 a})-(2 \mathrm{c})$.

## Results and Discussion

McCrindle et al. ${ }^{4,5}$ have reported the preparation of complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ by the addition of an excess of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ to the corresponding $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. In a similar manner, we have made the corresponding dppm complexes (2a)-(2c) and characterised them by elemental analysis, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy (see Tables $1-3$ ), and the crystal structure of (2a). The complex $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right](3)$ was sometimes observed to contaminate the product (2a) but (3) was readily removed by recrystallisation; a convenient synthesis of (3) is by addition of 1 equivalent of HCl to (2a).

X-Ray Crystal Structure of Complex (2a).-The structure of complex (2a) (Figure, Tables 4 and 5) was undertaken primarily to confirm its chemical composition. The four-membered ring is clearly strained, with a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ angle of only $73.4(1)^{\circ}$; repulsion between P and C is presumably responsible for the small $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}$ angle $\left[84.2(4)^{\circ}\right]$. Both Cl atoms lie in the $\mathrm{PtP}_{2}$ plane, perhaps as a result of van der Waals attraction to the phenyl group. No structures have been reported for other complexes containing terminal $\mathrm{PtCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ groups to show whether this orientation is normal.

Reactivity of Complexes (2a)-(2c).-The complexes (2a)(2c) are stable in the solid state but in solution they decompose slowly to a mixture of (1a)-(1c) and (3a)-(3c). The stability in solution is observed to be (2c) $<\mathbf{( 2 b}$ ) $<(\mathbf{2 a})$. If $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions of the di-iodo complex (2c) are irradiated ( $\lambda>320$

Table 1. Elemental analyses (\%)

| Complex | C | H |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (2a) | $47.80(47.80)$ | $3.85(3.85)$ |
| (2b) | $42.20(42.25)$ | $3.30(3.40)$ |
| (2c) | $38.05(37.65)$ | $3.05(3.05)$ |
| (4a) | $49.30(50.70)$ | $4.20(4.10)$ |
| (4b) | $57.60(57.45)$ | $4.30(4.40)$ |
| (4c) $\cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $52.05(52.25)$ | $4.05(4.20)$ |
| $(\mathbf{5}) \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $54.10(54.00)$ | $4.35(4.30)$ |

* N 1.45 (1.85\%).
nm ) decomposition to (1a) occurs rapidly (less than 10 min ) and ethylene is detected by gas chromatography as a major product; the fate of the iodine ligands has not been determined.

When $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions of (2a) are treated with neutral ligands, L , a ring expansion occurs to give the cationic products (4a)-(4c) containing a novel phosphine-phosphorus ylide ligand. Their structures are assigned from elemental analysis, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and particularly ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy (see Tables $1-3$ ). For example the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum of (4b) is an AMX pattern with associated ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ satellites; the magnitudes of $J(\mathrm{PP})$ and $J(\mathrm{PtP})$ enable unambiguous assignments of the signals.

The bis(chloromethyl) complex (2a) reacts rapidly with 1 equivalent of dppm to give the bis chelate (5a). The structure is based on the highly symmetrical ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum, and the observation of two ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. signals in the ratio $1: 1$, assigned to the $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ and the $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}$ protons (see Tables $1-3)$.

Two possible mechanisms which may explain the ligandpromoted $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ migration from Pt to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ in the above reactions are shown in Schemes 1 and 2. In mechanism A an ylide complex is formed [step (i)] followed by migration of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ with concomitant migration of the ligand to Pt

[^0]Table 2. Phosophorus-31 n.m.r. data*

| Complex | $\delta\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathrm{PtP}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | $J\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | $\delta\left(P_{c}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ | $J\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}\right)$ | $J\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2a) | -33.8 | 1636 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2b) | $-34.6$ | 1631 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2c) | -35.1 | 1643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (4a) | 9.8 | 2280 | 45.4 | 56 | 66 |  |  |  |  |
| (4b) | 16.4 | 1884 | 44.1 | 50 | 61 | 22.3 | 2600 | 15 | 5 |
| (4c) | 15.8 | 1730 | 45.9 | 46 | 66 | -5.6 | 2540 | 18 | 5 |
| (5) | 15.5 | 2350 | 45.6 | 42 | 54 |  |  |  |  |

* Spectra measured at 36.4 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Chemical shift ( $\delta$ ) to high frequency of $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in p.p.m. $( \pm 0.1)$ and coupling constants $(J)$ in $\mathrm{Hz}( \pm 3)$.

Table 3. Proton n.m.r. data* $(J / H z)$

| Complex | $\delta\left(\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$ | $J(\mathrm{PtH})$ | $J(\mathrm{PH})$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{PtCH}_{2} \mathrm{X}\right)$ | $J(\mathrm{PtH})$ | $J(\mathrm{PH})$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{PtCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right)$ | $J(\mathrm{PtH})$ | $J(\mathrm{PH})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (2a) | 4.4 | 29.7 | 9.5 | 4.3 | 48.6 | 7.2 |  |  |  |
| (2b) | 4.2 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 3.9 | 40.0 | 4.3 |  |  |  |
| (2c) | 4.2 | 36.0 | 9.0 | 3.2 | 36.0 | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| (4a) | 3.7 | 21.0 | 9.4 | 5.2 | 73.0 | 9.0 | 2.8 | 91.4 | $10,2.7$ |
| (4b) | 4.4 | 21.0 | 12.0 | 3.7 | 46.0 | $9.8,2.0$ | 3.0 | 72.0 | $10,10,3.4$ |
| (5) | 4.5 | 22.0 | 11.0 | 3.6 | 68.0 |  |  |  |  |

* Spectra measured at 80 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) to high frequency of $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ in p.p.m. ( $\pm 0.05$ ), and coupling constants ( $J$ ) in $\mathrm{Hz}( \pm 0.1)$.
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Figure. View of complex (2a) showing the atomic numbering
The ring expansions described above are peculiar to dppm chelates since analogous dppe chelates behave differently. ${ }^{5}$ The driving force for the reaction is most probably relief of ring strain. The final result is the insertion of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ into the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bond to give a new class of ligand.

## Experimental

Diazomethane was prepared from $N$-methyl- $N$-nitrosourea and potassium hydroxide, following published procedures. ${ }^{8}$ The starting material (1a) was prepared as previously reported. ${ }^{9}$

Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{X}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right](\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$, or I$)$.The complex $\left[\mathrm{PtX}_{2}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)\right][\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{a}), \mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{1 b})$, or I (1c)] ( 1.5 mmol ) was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ in a beaker in air. A solution containing a large excess ( $\approx 50$ equiv.) of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was slowly added to the suspension at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with vigorous stirring. After 8 h the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the yellow solid residue was washed with diethyl ether $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and water $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, to give a white solid. The yields were $77(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}), 65(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$, and $84 \%(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$.

Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)(\mathrm{py})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ (4a).-Complex ( 2 a ) $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and pyridine $\left(0.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. The yellow solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, then


Scheme 1. Mechanism A; $L=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, or pyridine


Scheme 2. Mechanism $\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, or pyridine

Table 4. Atom co-ordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) for complex (2a)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pt | $1427.0(2)$ | $5413.8(3)$ | $6150.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $-281(2)$ | $5512(3)$ | $6965(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $203(5)$ | $5465(8)$ | $6199(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $1989(2)$ | $5080(3)$ | $4615(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1243(5)$ | $5418(7)$ | $5142(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2783.8(14)$ | $5345.6(23)$ | $6209.8(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $1745.2(15)$ | $5424.7(25)$ | $7238.4(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2814(5)$ | $5185(7)$ | $7104(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $3375(5)$ | $4448(7)$ | $5845(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(112)$ | $3823(6)$ | $4603(8)$ | $5292(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(113)$ | $4240(6)$ | $3927(8)$ | $5005(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(114)$ | $4181(7)$ | $3069(8)$ | $5238(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(115)$ | $3721(7)$ | $2917(8)$ | $5776(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(116)$ | $3321(5)$ | $3593(7)$ | $6080(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(121)$ | $3361(5)$ | $6341(7)$ | $6057(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(122)$ | $4082(6)$ | $6495(8)$ | $6330(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(123)$ | $4495(9)$ | $7251(10)$ | $6193(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(124)$ | $4216(7)$ | $7862(7)$ | $5766(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(125)$ | $3504(8)$ | $7720(9)$ | $5474(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(126)$ | $3075(7)$ | $6949(7)$ | $5601(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(211)$ | $1379(6)$ | $4542(9)$ | $7764(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(212)$ | $1321(7)$ | $3687(8)$ | $7502(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(213)$ | $1033(6)$ | $2987(8)$ | $7858(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(214)$ | $788(7)$ | $3113(8)$ | $8477(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(215)$ | $845(8)$ | $3932(9)$ | $8745(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(216)$ | $1130(7)$ | $4639(10)$ | $8389(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(221)$ | $1701(6)$ | $6462(8)$ | $7698(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(222)$ | $1218(6)$ | $7133(7)$ | $7478(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(223)$ | $1189(8)$ | $7951(9)$ | $7814(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(224)$ | $1638(9)$ | $8077(11)$ | $8361(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(225)$ | $2101(8)$ | $7397(11)$ | $8589(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(226)$ | $2144(7)$ | $6607(8)$ | $8261(5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.296(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.843(9)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.286(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.859(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.069(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $1.765(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.082(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $1.733(9)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $73.4(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $100.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $95.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $84.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $101.6(2)$ |  |  |

evaporated to low volume and $n$-hexane $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ slowly added. The off-white precipitate was filtered off and washed with n -hexane; yield $83 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%)$.

The complexes (4b), (4c), and (5) were made similarly; (4b) was obtained in $84 \%$ yield after recrystallisation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{c})$ in $64 \%$ yield by precipitation from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and (5) in $86 \%$ yield.

Crystal Structure Determination of Complex (2a).-Crystal data. $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }_{7} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}, M=616.5$, orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=16.881(4), b=14.924(4), \quad c=20.425(4) \AA, \quad U=$ $5146(2) \AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.59 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=$ $0.71069 \AA, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=60.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, T=293 \mathrm{~K}, \quad F(000)=$ 2400.

Crystals were obtained from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as straw-yellow needles. Data were collected with a Syntex $P 2_{1}$ four-circle diffractometer. Maximum $2 \theta$ was 50 , with scan range $\pm 1.0$ (2 2 ) around the $K_{\alpha_{1}}-K_{\alpha_{2}}$ angles, scan speed $2-29^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, depending on the intensity of a 2-s pre-scan; backgrounds were measured at each end of the scan for 0.25 of the scan time. The $h k l$ ranges were $0-20,0-17,0-24$. Three standard reflections were monitored every 200 reflections, and showed a slight decrease
during data collection. The data were rescaled to correct for this. Unit-cell dimensions and standard deviations were obtained by least-squares fit to 15 reflections ( $23<2 \theta<25$ ). Reflections were processed using profile analysis to give 5004 unique reflections; 2499 were considered observed $[\mathrm{I} / \sigma(I) \geqslant 2.0]$ and used in refinement; they were corrected for Lorentz, polarisation and absorption effects, the last by the Gaussian method. Maximum and minimum transmission factors were 0.66 and 0.43 . Crystal dimensions were $0.09 \times 0.10 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}$. Systematic absences: $0 k l, k \neq 2 n ; \mathrm{h} 0 l, l \neq 2 n ; h k 0, h \neq 2 n$, indicating space group Pbca uniquely. The Pt atom was located by the Patterson interpretation section of SHELXTL. ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~A}$ first Fourier synthesis showed the dppm ligand and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ groups. Anisotropic thermal parameters were used for all non-H atoms. Hydrogen atoms were given fixed isotropic thermal parameters, $U=0.07 \AA^{2}$, and were inserted at calculated positions and not refined. Final refinement was on $F$ by cascaded least-squares methods refining 289 parameters. Largest positive and negative peaks on a final difference Fourier synthesis were of height 1.1 and $-1.0 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$, in the neighbourhood of the Pt .

A weighting scheme of the form $w=1 /\left[\left(\sigma^{2}(F)\right]\right.$ was used and shown to be satisfactory by a weight analysis. Final $R=$ $0.053, R^{\prime}=0.036$. Maximum shift/error in final cycle 0.2 . Computing with SHELXTL on a Data General DG30. Scattering factors in the analytical form and anomalous dispersion factors taken from ref. 11. Final atomic co-ordinates are given in Table 4 and selected bond lengths and angles in Table 5.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H -atom co-ordinates, thermal parameters, and remaining bond lengths and angles.
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