Desulfurization of Thiophenes with Ruthenium Clusters

Alejandro J. Arce,*,[#] Pilar Arrojo,[#] Antony J. Deeming^{*,b} and Ysaura De Sanctis[#]

^a Centro de Quimica, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Cientificas (IVIC), Apartado 21827, Caracas 1020-A, Venezuela

^b Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK

The cluster $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ reacts with 2-methylthiophene in refluxing tetrahydrofuran to give products of oxidative addition by C–H cleavage, $[Ru_3(\mu-H)(\mu-C_4H_2MeS)(CO)_{10}]$ (*exo* and *endo*), as well as two compounds derived by S–C bond cleavage, $[Ru_2(\mu-C_4H_3Me)(CO)_6]$ and $[Ru_4(\mu_3-S)(\mu-C_4H_3Me)(CO)_{11}]$ (X-ray structure determined), this being the first example of the fragmentation of a thiophene to leave both the inorganic and the organic fragments, S and C₄H₃Me, co-ordinated in the same organometallic compound.

The homogeneous desulfurization of thiophene with transitionmetal compounds has provided an important model for the catalytic heterogeneous dehydrosulfurization of thiophenic components of crude oil and understandably the co-ordination and organometallic chemistry of thiophene has been studied with enthusiasm.¹ The cluster $[Fe_3(CO)_{12}]$ reacts with thiophene with loss of the sulfur atom to give the ferrole compound $[Fe_2(\mu-C_4H_4)(CO)_6]^2$ while $[Fe_2(\mu-C_4H_3MeS)(CO)_6]$ as well as the sulfur-free species $[Fe_2(\mu-C_4H_3Me)(CO)_6]$ are formed from 2-methylthiophene.^{3,4} Insertion of an iron atom into the less-hindered C-S bond occurs to give the ring-opened thiophene derivative. In contrast, we have shown that thiophene does not ring open with $[Os_3(CO)_{12}]$ or $[Os_3(CO)_{10}(MeCN)_2]$ but gives exclusively C-H bond cleavage to yield the thienyl isomers $[Os_3(\mu-H)(\mu-C_4H_3S)(CO)_{10}]$ (exo and endo isomers in rapid equilibrium), which decarbonylate to the cluster [Os₃- $(\mu-H)_2(\mu_3-C_4H_2S)(CO)_9$] which contains a triply bridging 'benzyne'-type ligand.⁵⁻⁸

To see which type of behaviour $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ would adopt it was treated with thiophene and 2-methylthiophene (Scheme 1). Thiophene itself reacts to give the sulfur-free species $[Ru_2(\mu-C_4H_4)(CO)_6]$ 1 (20%), clearly derived by C-S bond cleavage as in the iron case, as well as a tetranuclear cluster $[Ru_4(\mu_3-S)(\mu-S)]$



 C_4H_4)(CO)₁₁] 2 (7%) which contains separated S and C_4H_4 ligands. Most of the material could not be isolated. Better yields were obtained from 2-methylthiophene and, in this case, products of both C-H and C-S bond cleavage were obtained; no evidence for C-H bond cleavage was found for thiophene itself.[†] Scheme 1 shows the four products obtained; the exo and endo isomers containing the thienyl ligand, 3a and 3b, were obtained as an inseparable mixture. The ¹H NMR spectrum ‡ of this mixture at room temperature (27 °C) showed broad resonances for each of the four distinct H atoms, but at -27 °C the rate of exo-endo interconversion had been reduced sufficiently for the spectrum to contain four strong and four weak resonances for the exo and endo isomers respectively [defined in terms of the position of the S atom with respect to the $Ru(CO)_4$ group]. We believe that the *exo* isomer is the major one as found in the corresponding osmium case.^{5,6}

The tetranuclear compound 5 was believed to have the structure shown because of the correspondence of its spectra ‡

‡ Selected spectroscopic data for clusters 1-5 (IR/cm⁻¹ for cyclohexane solutions; ¹H NMR at 300 MHz, J in Hz): 1, v(CO) 2085m, 2054vs, 2010(sh), 2014vs, 1998m and 1986m; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 7.17 (m) and 6.17 (m) (AA'BB'); 2, v(CO) 2097w, 2076s, 2049vs, 2039w, 2033m, 2010s, 2003m, 1989w, 1938vw and 1902vw; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 9.17 (m) and 6.17 (m) (AA'BB'); 3, v(CO) (endo + exo) 2089w, 2061vs, 2036s, 2010(sh), 1999s, 1978m and 1975w; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 246 K) δ(**3a**, exo, 67% 7.08 (m, H^x), 4.78 (d, H^y), 2.10 (d, CH₃) and -17.04 (s, RuH) $[J(\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}) = 1.0, J(\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{y}}) = 2.7];$ (**3b**, endo, 33%) 6.93 (d, H^x), 5.31 (d, H^{y}), 2.01 (d, CH₃) and -15.37 (s, RuH) [J(H^{*}Me) = 1.0, J(H^{*}H^y) 2.7]; (298 K) (exo + endo) δ 7.06 (s, br, H^x), 4.90 (s, br, H^y), 2.07 (s, br, CH₃) and -16.8 (s, br, RuH); 4, v(CO) 2082m, 2050s, 2011vs, 1994m and 1982m; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 7.07 (dd, H^x), 6.50 (dd, H^y), 6.23 (m, H^z) and 2.47 (d, CH₃) $[J(H^xH^y) = 6.2, J(H^yH^z) = 2.3, J(H^xH^z) = 2.4,$ $J(H^2Me) = 0.5$; 5, v(CO) 2094w, 2076s, 2047vs, 2030m, 2011s, 1999m, 1987w, 1933vw and 1901vw; ¹H NMR (CD₃COCD₃) & 7.17 (dd, H*), 6.26 (m, H^y), 6.47 (dd, H^z) and 2.35 (d, CH₃) $[J(H^{x}H^{y}) = 5.8,$ $J(H^{y}H^{z}) = 2.3, J(H^{x}H^{z}) = 2.4, J(H^{z}Me) = 0.5].$

[†] The cluster $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ (0.200 g, 0.313 mmol) and 2-methylthiophene (0.101 g, 1.03 mmol) (distilled from sodium-benzophenone) were refluxed in tetrahydrofuran (50 cm³) for 24 h under N₂. The yellow-brown solution was taken to dryness under reduced pressure and the residue separated by TLC on silica with light petroleum (b.p. 40–60 °C) as eluent to give three bands which yielded yellow compound 4 (0.030 g, 15%), orange 3 (0.034 g, 17%) and yellow 5 (0.088 g, 44%). A similar reaction with carefully purified thiophene gave 1 (20%) and 2 (8%) as the only isolable compounds.



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of the cluster $[Ru_4(\mu_3-S)(\mu-C_4H_3Me)-(CO)_{1,1}]$ 5. Selected bond lengths (Å): Ru(1)-Ru(2) 2.727(1), Ru(1)-Ru(3) 2.840(1), Ru(2)-Ru(3) 2.815(1), Ru(3)-Ru(4) 2.745(1), Ru(1)-S 2.348(2), Ru(2)-S 2.345(1), Ru(3)-S, 2.332(1), Ru(3)-C(1) 2.066(6), Ru(3)-C(4) 2.070(5), Ru(4)-C(1) 2.251(5), Ru(4)-C(2) 2.226(6), Ru(4)-C(3) 2.18(5), Ru(4)-C(4) 2.292(5), Ru(3)-C(3) 1.935(5), Ru(1)-C(31) 2.572(5) and Ru(2)-C(32) 2.551(5)

to those of the selenophene derivative, $[Ru_4(\mu_3-Se)(\mu-C_4H_4) (CO)_{11}$ ⁹ An X-ray structure determination has confirmed that the compounds are related (Fig. 1).* The electron-precise cluster contains a sulfur-capped triangle of ruthenium atoms [Ru(1) to Ru(3)] and the bridging C_4H_3Me ligand links atom Ru(3) of this triangle to a spiked ruthenium atom [Ru(4)]. Three of the metal atoms have three CO ligands, while Ru(3) has two CO ligands which are semibridging to Ru(1) and Ru(2). Atom Ru(3) has substituted the S atom of the 2methylthiophene ring and remains bonded to both of the fragments, S and C_4H_3Me , of the heterocycle. This is the first example of a desulfurization of a thiophene ring in which the components remain co-ordinated within the same complex. Based on analogies with selenophene, tellurophene and phenylphosphole chemistry,^{5,6,11,12} we suggest that the sulfur-extrusion reaction occurs via ring-opened compounds, analogous to $[Os_3(\mu-C_4H_4Se)(CO)_{10}]$ 6 formed from selenophene and compounds 7 and 8 from phenylphosphole. Compounds 6-8 have the general formula $[Os_3(C_4H_4X)(CO)_x]$, where X = Se or PPh and x = 8-10, and the corresponding compounds $[Ru_3(C_4H_3MeS)(CO)_x]$ (x = 8-10) are likely to be involved as

* Crystal data. $C_{16}H_6O_{11}Ru_4S$ 5, M = 810.56, yellow crystal, dimensions $0.35 \times 0.42 \times 50$ mm, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 8.432(2), b = 14.365(4), c = 18.728(4) Å, $\beta = 102.64(2)^\circ$, U = 2213.2(8) Å³, Z = 4, $\lambda = 0.710$ 73 Å, $\mu(Mo-K\alpha) = 27.72$ cm⁻¹, F(000) = 1528. Direct methods (SHELXTL-PLUS),¹⁰ 5089 unique absorption-corrected data having $5 \le 20 \le 55^\circ$ and with $I_o \ge 2\sigma(I_o)$ used in the refinement, 289 parameters in the model (all non-H atoms anisotropic), final R = 0.035 and $R' = 0.033 = [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w|-F_o|^2]^{\pm}$ with $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.0001F_o^2]$. Hydrogen atoms were located in difference maps but were finally included in calculated positions (C-H 0.96 Å, $U_{iso} = 0.08$ Å²). Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, Issue 1, pp. xx-xxv.



intermediates, prior to cleavage of the second S–C bond and formation of 4 and 5.

Acknowledgements

We thank the SERC for a grant towards the diffractometer, and the British Council, the Royal Society and the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Cientificas y Tecnológicas for grants to support this collaboration.

References

- 1 T. B. Rauschfuss, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1991, 39, 259.
- 2 H. D. Kaesz, R. B. King, T. A. Manuel, L. D. Nichols and F. G. A. Stone, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 4750.
- 3 P. Hüberer and F. Weiss, J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, 129, 105.
- 4 A. E. Ogilvy, M. Dragaryac, T. B. Rauschfuss and S. R. Wilson, Organometallics, 1988, 7, 1171.
- 5 A. J. Arce, A. J. Deeming, Y. De Sanctis, R. Machado, J. Manzur and C. Rivas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 1568.
- 6 A. J. Arce, J. Manzur, M. Marquez, Y. De Sanctis and A. J. Deeming, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 412, 177.
- 7 A. J. Deeming, A. J. Arce, Y. De Sanctis, M. Day and K. I. Hardcastle, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 1408.
- 8 A. J. Arce, Y. De Sanctis, A. J. Deeming, M. Day and K. I. Hardcastle, Organometallics, 1990, 9, 6.
- 9 A. J. Arce, R. Machado, C. Rivas, Y. De Sanctis and A. J. Deeming, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 419, 63.
- 10 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-PLUS, Package for crystal structure determination, University of Göttingen, 1986.
- 11 A. J. Arce, Y. De Sanctis, J. Manzur, A. J. Deeming and N. I. Powell, J. Organomet. Chem., 1991, 408, C18.
- 12 A. J. Deeming, N. I. Powell, A. J. Arce, Y. De Sanctis and J. Manzur, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 3381.

Received 27th May 1992; Communication 2/02748H