Structural Study of 3-Oxypropyltin Compounds[†]

Alexander R. Forrester, Simon J. Garden, R. Alan Howie and James L. Wardell* Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen AB9 2UE, UK

The structures of (3-hydroxypropyl)iododiphenyltin **3** and 2,7-diiodo-2,7-diphenyl-1,6-dioxa-2,7-distannacyclodecane **6** have been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Compound **6**, obtained by controlled thermolysis of **3**, has a tricyclic structure, with a central Sn_2O_2 ring arising from transannular, Sn-O [*i.e.* Sn(2)-O(6) and Sn(7)-O(1)] interactions. The terminal rings in centrosymmetric **6** are in a transoid arrangement with respect to the central Sn_2O_2 ring, *i.e.* there is a stepped geometry. The tin atoms have trigonal-bipyramidal geometries being bonded in equatorial sites to two carbons and one oxygen [Sn-O',*i.e.*Sn(2)-O(1) 2.060(7) Å] and in axial sites to iodine [Sn-I 2.776(1) Å] and to oxygen [Sn-O,*i.e.*Sn(2)-O(6) 2.268(7) Å]: I-Sn-O 161.7(2)°. In C₆H₆ and in chlorocarbon solutions, **6** exists as a 4.2:1 mixture of two isomers: the major one has the solid-state structure while the minor one has the terminal rings in an umbrella configuration, *i.e.* the terminal rings are cisoid with respect to the central Sn_2O_2 ring. Two sets of tin couplings to C(3)[C₆] and to C(4)[C_a] of each isomer were observed. Compound **3** exists as a chelate complex, with trigonal-bipyramidal tin: three equatorial C, with I[Sn-I 2.857(1) Å] and O[Sn-O 2.487(8) Å] axial [I-Sn-O 168.3(2)°]. Chelation persists in chlorocarbon solvents.

Alcohols can act both as Lewis bases (electron donors) and as Brönsted acids.¹ Both features have been illustrated with appropriate organotin compounds. The donor ability can be seen in the stannyl-carbohydrate derivative 1: the intramolecular Sn \cdots O(H) co-ordination persists in solution as well as in the solid state.² An example of the Brönsted acidity of alcohols



1 R = Bu, Me or Ph

in organotin chemistry is illustrated by the intramolecular protonolysis of a Bu–Sn bond ³ in SnBu₂(CH₂CH₂CH₂OH)₂ on heating, equation (1).



Further work, as we now report, on $SnPh_2I(CH_2CH_2-CH_2OH)$ 3 has provided additional examples of these properties of the alcohol group in organotin chemistry.

Experimental

Melting points are uncorrected and were measured on a Kofler hot-stage apparatus. Mass spectra were obtained on an AEI M30 instrument; m/z for tin-containing peaks are based on ¹²⁰Sn.

† Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, Issue 1, pp. xx-xxv.

Non-SI units employed: Torr ≈ 133 Pa, eV $\approx 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ J, cal ≈ 4.184 J.

NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker 250 MHz instrument and are reported in Table 1. IR spectra were recorded on a Philips Analytical PU 9800 Fourier-transform spectrometer.

The compound $\text{SnPh}_3(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH})$ was prepared by a published procedure.⁴

(3-Hydroxypropyl)iododiphenyltin 3.---To a solution of SnPh₃(CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) (5.00 g, 0.0122 mol) in CCl₄ (50 cm³) was added slowly a solution of I_2 (3.10 g, 0.0122 mol) in CCl₄ (50 cm^3) . After addition was complete, the reaction mixture was gently heated until the solution became colourless. The solution was cooled, rotatory evaporated to remove CCl₄ and finally evacuated at 1 Torr and 60 °C for 5 h to remove PhI. The resulting solid was recrystallised from light petroleum (b.p. 60-80 °C)-benzene (3:1). Yield 4.33 g (77%), m.p. 119 °C. Alternatively, the oil resulting from the rotary evaporation of CCl₄ was crystallised from benzene-light petroleum (b.p. 60-80 °C). Mass spectrum (20 eV): m/z 401 (Ph₂SnI, 18), 333 (Ph₂SnCH₂CH₂CH₂OH, 58), 324 (PhSnI, 45), 304 (Ph₂Sn-OCH₂, 45), 274 (Ph₂Sn, 100), 247 (SnI, 18), 197 (PhSn, 80), 128 (HI, 85) and 120 (Sn, 75%). IR (KBr): 3412 (OH), 3065, 3042 and 3023 (CH_{aryl}), 2990 and 2948 (CH_{alkyl}), 1480 (C=C), 1431, 1074, 1049, 972, 729, 696, 536 and 451 cm⁻¹ (Sn-C).

2,7-Diiodo-2,7-diphenyl-1,6-dioxa-2,7-distannacyclodecane 6.—The procedure was the same as that described for the preparation of 3, except that the final evacuation of the reaction mixture was carried out at 120 °C for 10 h. The solid residue was initially recrystallised from light petroleum (b.p. 60-80 °C)-benzene (3:1) (m.p. 199 °C), and then from CH₂Cl₂. The final product had a m.p. of 209 °C. Mass spectrum (20 eV): m/z 354 (PhISnCH₂O, 40), 324 (PhISn, 100), 247 (SnI, 40), 197 (PhSn, 86), 128 (HI, 26) and 120 (Sn, 15%). IR (KBr): 306 and 3044 (CH_{aryl}), 2965 and 2924 (CH_{alkyl}), 1476 (C=C), 1429, 1065, 1040, 978, 959, 858, 739, 695 (aryl), 613, 534 and 444 cm⁻¹ (Sn–O).

Crystal Structure Determinations.—Data were obtained for compounds 3 and 6 with a Nicolet P3 four-circle diffractometer with graphite monochromator and Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.710$ 69 Å). Intensities were measured at ambient temperature for reflections with 2 θ in the range 0–60°, corresponding to

Table 1	NMR spectra of con	npounds 3, 6 and SnPh	(CH ₂ CH ₂ CH	2OH) at 25 °C, .	J in Hz

	$ \delta(\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}) \\ [J(\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}\mathbf{H}_{\beta})] \\ \{J(\mathbf{SnH})\} $	$ \begin{aligned} &\delta(\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ & \left[J(\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\mathbf{H}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})\right] \\ & \left\{J(\mathbf{SnH})\right\} \end{aligned} $	δ(H _γ) [<i>J</i> (H _γ OH)]	δ(OH)	δ(Ph–Sn)	
Compound (solvent)					<i>o</i> -H	<i>m</i> -, <i>p</i> -H
SnPh ₃ (CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) (CDCl ₃)	1.58 (m) [] {56}	2.04 (m) [6.38] {56}	3.69 [—]	1.58	7.64 (m) J ca. 45	7.45 (m)
SnPh ₂ I(CH ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH) (CDCl ₃)	`1.89 [6.45] {68.4}	2.04 [5.50] {126}	3.71 (br) [3.30]	2.15	7.75 (m) J ca. 55	7.41 (m)
$[SnPhI(CH_2CH_2CH_2O)]_2$ (CD_2Cl_2)	1.8-2.2 (m)	Major isomer	3.79 (m) 3.97 (m)		8.00 (m)	7.54 (m)
		Minor isomer	3.88 (m) 4.36 (m)		7.64 (m)	7.23 (m)

¹³C NMR

					o(Ph)			
Compound (solvent)		$\delta(C_{g}) \\ [J(^{119}Sn^{13}C)] \\ \{J(^{117}Sn^{13}C)\}$	$\delta(C_{\beta}) \\ \left[J(^{119}\text{Sn}^{13}\text{C})\right]$	δ(C _γ) [J(¹¹⁹ Sn ¹³ C)]	o-C [J(¹¹⁹ Sn ¹³ C)]	<i>m</i> -C [<i>J</i> (¹¹⁹ Sn ¹³ C)]	<i>p</i> -C [<i>J</i> (¹¹⁹ Sn ¹³ C)]	<i>ipso</i> -C [$J(^{119}Sn^{13}C)$] { $J(^{117}Sn^{13}C)$ }
SnPh ₃ (CH (CDCl ₃)	² CH ₂ CH ₂ OH)	6.59 [398] {380}	29.23 [21.4]	65.49 [66.4]	136.95 [35]	128.59 [57]	129.00 [12]	138.91 [491] {469}
SnPh ₂ I(CH (CDCl ₃)	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ OH)	17.47 [493] {470}	27.60 [33.0]	63.64 [33.5]	135.68 [46]	128.56 [62.5]	129.34 [13.5]	140.06 [605] {579}
[SnPhI(CH (CD ₂ Cl ₂)	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ O)] ₂ Major isomer	24.94 [631] {603}	27.81 [<i>ca</i> . 11] [37.1]	63.68 [17.5] [59.9]	136.69 [60.5]	129.70 [78.1]	131.53 [15.8]	138.31 [727]
	Minor isomer	25.71 [ca. 625]	28.78 [—]	66.58 [—]	136.43 [—]	128.91 [—]	130.94 [—]	139.65 [—]
[SnPhI(CH (C ₆ H ₆)	H ₂ CH ₂ CH ₂ O)] ₂ Major isomer	24.50 [628] {600}	27.58 [11.2] [36.9]	63.59 [17.5] [61.4] {58.7}				
	Minor isomer	25.51	28.44 [12] [36]	66.13 [18.6] [58.7]				

.....

* Tin-119 NMR: SnPh₃(CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) (CDCl₃) $\delta - 100.0$; SnPh₂I(CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) (CDCl₃) $\delta - 113.1$, [SnPhI(CH₂CH₂CH₂O)] (CD₂Cl₂) $\delta - 168.9$ (major) and - 158.6 (minor).

 $\sin\theta/\lambda \leq 0.704$, using the θ -2 θ scan technique. Scan rates determined from a pre-scan intensity (I_p) were in the range 5.33 $(I_p < 150)$ to 58.6 $(I_p > 2500)^\circ 2\theta \text{ min}^{-1}$. Scan widths, dependent upon 2 θ , were in the range 2.4–2.8 2 θ .

Unit-cell parameters were, in each case, based upon accurate diffractometer angles obtained for 14 reflections with 2θ in the range 20-25°. For both crystals, the intensities of two standard reflections monitored at intervals of 50 measurements remained constant to within about 2% [1 \times estimated standard deviation (e.s.d.)] and no correction for crystal decomposition was applied. For 3, however, the data were corrected for absorption by an empirical method based upon ψ scans on three reflections. Both structures were solved by application ⁵ of SHELXS 86 and refined⁶ with SHELX 76 minimising $\Sigma w(\Delta F)^2$. In the final stages of refinement, hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions [d(C-H) 1.08 Å] and refined riding on the nonhydrogen atoms to which they are attached with separate group isotropic thermal parameters for each of alkyl, aryl and (in 6) hydroxyl H. The scattering factors for neutral atoms used were those built into the programs except for iodine for which the necessary data were taken from ref. 7. All calculations were performed on the Honeywell DPS/8 computer (CP6 operating system) of the Computing Centre of the University of Aberdeen. Unit-cell parameters, space groups, and values pertinent to the data collection and refinement of the structures are given in Table 2. Non-hydrogen atomic coordinates are given in Tables 3 and 4, and bond lengths and angles in Tables 5 and 6, for compounds 3 and 6, respectively.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H-atom coordinates and thermal parameters.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis.—(3-Hydroxypropyl)triphenyltin 4 reacts readily with I_2 to form thermally labile SnPh₂I(CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) 3, equation (2). It had been previously established that 4 reacts

$$SnPh_{3}(CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}OH) + I_{2} \longrightarrow$$

$$4$$

$$SnPh_{2}I(CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}OH) + PhI \quad (2)$$

with I_2 in chlorocarbon solutions *ca.* 100 times faster than does SnPh₃(CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃) 5, both reactions leading to Ph-Sn bond cleavage.8 The difference in reactivities of Ph-Sn bonds in 4 and 5 was considered to arise from the nucleophilic assistance afforded by the OH group in the reaction of 4: nucleophilic assistance by remote donor groups has been detected in various reactions of other organotin compounds with electrophilic reagents.⁹⁻¹² Compound 3 exists both in the solid state and in chlorocarbon solutions as a chelate complex with Sn-O co-

¹H NMR

Table 2 X-Ray data collection and structure refinement parameters for compounds 3 and 6

Compound	3	6
Formula	C ₁₅ H ₁₇ IOSn	C ₁₈ H ₂ ,I,O,Sn,
M	458.90	761.56
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic
Space group	Pbca (no. 61)	C2/c (no. 15)
a/Å	11.90(1)	12.360(6)
b/Å	16.50(3)	11.838(6)
c/Å	16.55(2)	15.870(5)
β/°	(90)	104.77(3)
$U/Å^3$	3249(7)	2245(2)
Z	8	4
$D_c/Mg m^{-3}$	1.872	2.253
μ/mm^{-1}	3.44	4.96
F(000)	1744	1408
Crystal size/mm	$0.24 \times 0.28 \times 0.8$	$0.6 \times 0.22 \times 0.22$
Transmission,	0.07 (0.33)	
min. (max.)		
Max. $ h (k , l)$	16 (23, 23)	17 (16, 22)
Reflections measured	5301	3575
No. unique	4765	3298
reflections		
R _{int}	0.02	0.04
No. observed	$2279 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$2380 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$
reflections		
No. refined	170	111
parameters		
Weighting scheme	3.26×10^{-4}	1.64×10^{-3}
coefficient, g*		
R	0.064	0.066
R'	0.055	0.075
Max. shift/e.s.d.	0.19	0.07
Min. (max.) $\Delta \rho/e \text{ Å}^{-3}$ -	- 1.1 (1.4) -	-1.0 (5.5)
$g \text{ in } w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + gF^2]$	ŀ	

Table 3 Atomic coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ for non-hydrogen atoms with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses for compound 3

Atom	X/a	Y/b	Z/c
Sn	656(1)	2217(1)	534(1)
Ι	2211(1)	1583(1)	1688(1)
C(1)	1876(9)	2383(9)	- 389(6)
C(2)	1357(11)	2492(17)	-1199(9)
C(3)	405(11)	2988(11)	-1264(9)
0	- 347(7)	2824(7)	-638(5)
C(11)	-523(8)	1253(7)	527(7)
C(12)	-917(10)	942(9)	-197(8)
C(13)	-1701(13)	290(10)	-178(11)
C(14)	-2127(11)	15(10)	506(13)
C(15)	-1723(12)	323(10)	1237(11)
C(16)	-923(10)	929(9)	1241(8)
C(21)	134(9)	3246(8)	1251(7)
C(22)	- 706(11)	3158(10)	1792(8)
C(23)	-1039(13)	3822(13)	2265(10)
C(24)	-502(16)	4532(14)	2188(14)
C(25)	309(17)	4619(13)	1644(18)
C(26)	642(14)	2958(11)	1165(14)

ordination as shown by X-ray crystallography and by NMR spectroscopy: this provides some foundation for the view that the remote OH group is enhancing the reactivity of a Ph–Sn bond in 4 via co-ordination with tin in the transition state of the cleavage step.

Of interest, the two SnCH₂ protons in 4, while chemically equivalent are magnetically inequivalent, as shown by their complex absorptions in the ¹H NMR spectrum.¹³

The thermal instability of 3 was initially realised during attempts to remove, by heating under vacuum, the iodobenzene formed as a co-product in the synthesis of 3 [equation (2)]. Subsequently, the procedure detailed in the Experimental

Atom	X/a	Y/b	Z/c
Sn	543(1)	6373(1)	5181(1)
Ι	-486(1)	8370(1)	5466(1)
C(1)	1814(8)	6183(9)	6366(6)
C(2)	1964(9)	6987(9)	7030(7)
CÌÌ	2849(10)	6886(12)	7775(7)
C(4)	3564(11)	5964(12)	7861(7)
C(5)	3432(11)	5193(12)	7212(8)
C(6)	2517(9)	5270(10)	6458(7)
Ô	811(6)	4596(6)	4738(4)
C(7)	882(12)	6689(11)	3949(9)
C(8)	1541(22)	5671(16)	3766(14)
C(9)	1249(11)	4577(11)	3966(8)

Table 5 Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) for compound 3

I–Sn	2.857(1)	C(1)–Sn	2.123(10)
O–Sn	2.487(8)	C(11)-Sn	2.122(11)
C(21)-Sn	2.16(1)	C(2)-C(1)	1.49(2)
C(3)-C(2)	1.40(2)	O-C(3)	1.40(2)
C(12)-C(11)	1.39(2)	C(16)-C(11)	1.38(2)
C(13)-C(12)	1.43(2)	C(14)-C(13)	1.32(2)
C(15)-C(14)	1.40(2)	C(16)-C(15)	1.38(2)
C(22)–C(21)	1.35(2)	C(26)-C(21)	1.33(2)
C(23)-C(22)	1.41(2)	C(24)-C(23)	1.34(2)
C(25)-C(24)	1.33(3)	C(26)-C(25)	1.41(2)
C(1)-Sn-I	94.9(3)	O-Sn-I	168.3(2)
O-Sn-C(1)	73.5(4)	C(11)-Sn-I	99.0(3)
C(11)-Sn-C(1)	123.0(5)	C(11)-Sn-O	88.9(4)
C(21)-Sn-I	96.1(3)	C(21)-Sn-C(1)	119.4(5)
C(21)-Sn-O	88.5(4)	C(21)-Sn-C(11)	113.6(4)
C(2)-C(1)-Sn	112(1)	C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	118(2)
O-C(3)-C(2)	110(1)	C(3)-O-Sn	110.4(7)
C(12)-C(11)-Sn	120(1)	C(16)-C(11)-Sn	121.0(9)
C(16)-C(11)-C(12)	119(1)	C(13)-C(12)-C(11)	119(1)
C(14)-C(13)-C(12)	122(1)	C(15)-C(14)-C(13)	119(1)
C(16)-C(15)-C(14)	120(2)	C(15)-C(16)-C(11)	121(1)
C(22)-C(21)-Sn	120(1)	C(26)-C(21)-Sn	120(1)
C(26)-C(21)-C(22)	120(1)	C(23)-C(22)-C(21)	120(2)
C(24)-C(23)-C(22)	120(2)	C(25)-C(24)-C(23)	120(2)
C(26)-C(25)-C(24)	120(2)	C(25)-C(26)-C(21)	120(2)

section was established as an efficient route to 6. The formation of 6, a dimer in the solid state and in solution, formally arises from protonolysis of a Ph-Sn bond of 3 by the internal OH group [equation (3)].

$$2SnPh_{2}I(CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}OH) \xrightarrow{heat} 3$$

$$2PhH + [SnPhI(CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}O)]_{2} \quad (3)$$

$$6$$

As reported in the Introduction, intramolecular protonolysis of an alkyl-tin bond occurs readily in SnBu₂(CH₂CH₂CH₂-OH)₂ to provide **2**. As Ph–Sn bonds are generally more reactive than alkyl-tin bonds, cleavage of a Ph–Sn bond in (3-hydroxypropyl)phenyltin compounds should be anticipated. Although the strength of a Ph–Sn bond is less than that of an I–Sn bond (*e.g.* bond dissociation energies for Me₃Sn–I and Me₃Sn–Ph are estimated to be 80 and 57 kcal mol⁻¹),¹⁴ it is still striking that Ph–Sn protonolysis occurs rather than reaction at the iodo group in **3** [*i.e.* to give Ph₂SnCH₂CH₂CH₂O].

Compound 2 has a high melting point (220–224 °C) and was reported ^{3,15} as being insoluble in organic solvents; it therefore appears to be aggregated in the solid state. However no structure determination was attempted. Compound 2 can also be prepared ^{3,15} from SnH_2Bu_2 and CH_2 =CHCH₂OH.



Fig. 1 Structure of compound 3

Table 6 Interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°) for compound 6*

I-Sn O-Sn C(2)-C(1) C(3)-C(2) C(5)-C(4) C(9)-O	2.776(1) 2.268(7) 1.40(1) 1.40(2) 1.35(2) 1.46(1)	C(1)-Sn C(7)-Sn C(6)-C(1) C(4)-C(3) C(6)-C(5) C(8)-C(7)	2.13(1) 2.13(1) 1.37(2) 1.39(2) 1.43(2) 1.52(2)
C(9)-C(8)	1.40(2)	SnO'	2.060(7)
C(1)-Sn-I	102.2(3)	O-Sn-I	161.7(2)
O-Sn-C(1)	92.4(3)	C(7)-Sn-I	101.8(3)
C(7)-Sn-C(1)	123.6(5)	C(7)SnO	78.5(4)
C(2)-C(1)-Sn	121.4(8)	C(6)-C(1)-Sn	118.7(7)
C(6)-C(1)-C(2)	120(1)	C(3)-C(2)-C(1)	120(1)
C(4)-C(3)-C(2)	120(1)	C(5)-C(4)-C(3)	120(1)
C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	121(1)	C(5)-C(6)-C(1)	119(1)
C(9)–O–Sn	112.9(7)	C(8)-C(7)-Sn	105.9(9)
C(9)-C(8)-C(7)	121(2)	C(8)-C(9)-O	110(1)
I-Sn-O'	92.9(2)	C(7)-Sn-O'	120.5(4)
C(1)SnO'	108.3(3)	Sn-O-Sn'	108.2(3)
O-Sn-O'	71.8(3)	C(9)-O-Sn'	121.7(7)

* Prime indicates atoms subject to the symmetry operation -x, 1 - y, 1 - z.

 Table 7 Comparison of selected bond angles and lengths in compounds 1 and 3

Bond length/		
or angle	1	3
Sn-O/Å	2.681(2)	2.487(8)
Sn–I/Å	2.764(2)	2.857(1)
I−Sn−O/°	158.3(4)	168.3(2)
$O-Sn-C_{chelate}^{\circ}$	74.3	73.5(4)

Crystal Structure of Compound 3.—The single-crystal data for 3 are consistent with the atomic arrangement in Fig. 1. Compound 3 in the solid state exists as a chelate complex with a distorted trigonal-bipyramidal tin co-ordination; iodine and oxygen occupy axial sites with I–Sn–O 168.3(2)°. The sum of the C–Sn–C bond angles is 356.0(5)° and the bite angle of the chelate group is 73.5(4)°. The shape of the SnCH₂CH₂CH₂CH ring is that of an envelope with C(2) as the flap, C(2) being 0.46 Å out of the best plane of the other ring atoms. The axial Sn–I



Fig. 2 One layer of packed molecules of 3 shown in (001) projection with $-\frac{1}{4} < Z < \frac{1}{4}$. The atoms are identified as in Fig. 1, with the addition of hydroxyl H (smaller circles). The chains of molecules linked by $I \cdots H-O$ interactions running parallel to *a* are clearly seen



Fig. 3 Structure of the centrosymmetric molecule of 6

bond length is 2.857(1) Å, which is somewhat greater than values found for tetrahedral four-co-ordinate tin iodides [2.69(3)-2.729(3) Å].¹⁶ The Sn–O bond length in **3** is 2.487(8) Å, compared to the sum of the covalent bond radii of Sn and O of 2.06 Å. A normal Sn–O covalent bond length is taken¹⁷ to be *ca*. 2.0 Å, although a value¹⁸ of 1.940(1) Å has been determined for (Me₃Sn)₂O. Intramolecular Sn···O distances in the range of 2.263(6)–3.071(2) Å have been confidently reported to indicate Sn–O bonding.¹⁹

Comparison of selected bond lengths and bond angles within the two hydroxy co-ordinated complexes, 1 and 3, is of interest (Table 7). The four-membered chelate ring in 1 is much more strained than is the five-membered ring in 3; the approach by the OH group to Sn in the polycyclic compound 1 is more hindered than in 3. The more ideal approach of oxygen to tin in 3 is reflected by a shorter Sn–O bond length and an I–Sn–O bond angle closer to 180° .

A packing diagram of the molecules of 3 is shown in Fig. 2.

Solution Structure of Compound 3.—The chelation in 3 is maintained in solution, as gleaned from the coupling constants ${}^{1}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{}^{13}\text{C})$ 493 and ${}^{2}J({}^{119}\text{Sn}{}^{1}\text{H})$ 68.4 Hz: these are slightly greater than the corresponding values of 450 and 65 Hz normally taken as indicative of five-co-ordinate tin.^{20,21}

Crystal Structure of Compound 6.—The single-crystal data for 6 are consistent with the atomic arrangements shown in Fig. 3. The packing of the molecules is shown in Fig. 4. Compound 6 has a centre of symmetry and is in essence a tricyclic compound, which can be considered as either (i) a dimer of the fivemembered heterocycle, 2-iodo-2-phenyl-1-oxa-2-stannacyclopentane, with Sn-O inter-monomer associations or (ii) a tenmembered Sn₂O₂C₆ heterocycle, 2,7-diiodo-2,7-diphenyl-1,6dioxa-2,7-distannacyclodecane, with transannular interactions, Sn(2)-O(6) and Sn(7)-O(1) (crystallographically Sn-O and Sn'-O', respectively, where primed atoms are those in the equivalent position -x, 1 - y, 1 - z relative to those given in Table 4). The fact that the shortest Sn-O bonds are Sn-O' and Sn'-O[i.e. Sn(2)-O(1) and Sn(7)-O(6)] suggests that the latter designation may be the more apt. The formation of 6 most probably arises by dimerisation of initially formed $IPhSnCH_2CH_2CH_2O$ moieties.

A feature of the structure of **6** is the central Sn_2O_2 planar (by crystallographic symmetry) ring—a unit also found in several other organotin compounds.²² The two terminal five-membered rings are in a *trans* arrangement about the Sn_2O_2 ring, *i.e.* there is a step-like geometry. The best description of these five-membered rings is as half-chairs: the plane best fitting any four



Fig. 4 The cell content of 6 viewed down a. The heights of the centroids of the molecules are given in parentheses in units of a/100

 Table 8
 Tin-oxygen bond lengths and Sn-O-Sn and O-Sn-O angles in compounds 6-8

of the five atoms of these rings is defined by Sn and atoms C(7)-C(9) with O 0.363 Å out of the plane.

The tin atoms in 6 have distorted trigonal-bipyramidal arrangements, being bonded to 2 C (both equatorial), 2 O [Sn-O' 2.060(7) (equatorial); Sn-O 2.268(7) Å (axial)] and I (axial), with I-Sn-O 161.7(2)°. The equatorial Sn-O' bond length is close to a normal Sn-O covalent bond, while the axial Sn-O bond is short for a Sn-O co-ordinate linkage. The transannular Sn-O and Sn'-O' interactions are clearly very strong.

The solid state structure of 6 makes an interesting comparison with those of other compounds containing ten-membered distannaheterocycles, viz. compounds 7,23 823 (Table 8) and 9.24 Both 7 and 8 contain trigonal-bipyramidal tin coordination. Compounds 7-9 (like 6) were obtained from reactions which formally should provide their monomeric fivemembered hetero-cyclic counterparts, R2SnOCH2CH2O 10, R₂SnOCH₂CH₂S 11 and R₂SnCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂ 12. There are apparent similarities between 6-8, in that all three compounds have tricyclic structures. However in 7 and 8 the weaker Sn-O bonds (the axial bonds) in the Sn_2O_2 central rings are those which are also in the outer ten-membered heterocycles (i.e. these weaker bonds are the 'inter-monomer' Sn-O contacts). It appears that compounds 7 and 8 could properly be considered as dimers of the 1,3,2-dioxa- and 1,3,2-oxathia-stannolanes 10 $(R = Bu^{t})$ and 11 $(R = Bu^{t})$, respectively. In contrast, for 6 the Sn-O bonds in the outer ten-membered heterocycle are the stronger ones: the transannular interactions, Sn(1)-O(7) and Sn(6)-O(2), being axial bonds are weaker.

In general, the propensity for aggregation, for a given R group, decreases in the sequence $10 > 11 > R_2 SnSCH_2CH_2S$ 13. The degree of association of these heterocycles in the solid state (and in solution) also depends on R: *e.g.* 10 (R = Bu) exists²⁵ as an infinite ribbon polymer with six-co-ordinate tin, in contrast to dimeric 7. In addition to the more simple 1,3,2-dioxastannolanes 10, there are also some diorganostannyl carbohydrate derivatives whose associations depend markedly on the bulk or hindrance of the sugar unit.^{26,27}

Unlike dimeric 8, compound 11 (R = Bu) exists in the solid state as a linear polymer (strong Sn–O and weak Sn ··· S intermonomer associations).²⁸ Solid 13 (R = Bu) is monomeric,²³ 13 (R = Bu) consists of weak (Sn ··· S) symmetrically associated monomers, which provide six-co-ordinate tin,²⁹ and 13 (R = Me) exists as a linear five-co-ordinate polymer.^{30,31}

As well as compound 9, monomeric 12 (R = Ph) can also be isolated ²⁴ from the reaction between BrMg(CH₂)₄MgBr and SnPh₂Cl₂.

It would be of interest to investigate the structures of analogues of 6 to see the effects of substituents on the degree of aggregation and also on the Sn–O associations.

Y R ² R ¹ Sn-X X'-SnR ¹ R ² Y					
68	Sn-X/Å	Sn–X′/Å	Sn-Y/Å	X-Sn-X′/°	Sn-X-Sn′/°
$6 \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{I}$	2.268(7)	2.060(7)		71.8(3)	108.2(3)
$X = O, Y = CH_2$ 7 R ¹ = R ² = Ru	2 086(8)	2 244(7)	2 ()47(7)	67 3(3)	1123(3)
X = Y = O	2.090(7)	2.253(7)	2.049(7)	67.7(4)	112.3(3)
$8 \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}$	2.079(5)	2.291(5)	. ,	66.9(2)	112.8(2)
$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{S}$	2.084(5)	2.297(5)		67.0(2)	113.2(2)





Solution Structures of Compound 6.—The NMR spectra (¹H, ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{119}Sn$) of compound 6 in solution (see Table 1) indicate the presence of two species. The similarity between their spectra (especially the ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectra) suggest that these two species are isomers. Thus, dissolution of the single solid-state species in solvents leads to the immediate formation of a pair of isomers. The isomers are present in a *ca.* 4.2:1 mole ratio in both chlorocarbon and benzene solutions at ambient temperature. The major isomer is taken to have the solid-state structure * (*i.e. trans*-6) with the minor one having a *cis* arrangement of the terminal rings (Table 9).

A model of the minor isomer shows that the two phenyl rings face each other in a partially opened butterfly-wing fashion (an umbrella like arrangement). Of interest, the phenyl protons in the *cis* isomer appear in the ¹H NMR spectrum at higher field compared to the major isomer (the *trans* isomer) (*e.g.* the *ortho* protons in *trans*-6 in CD₂Cl₂ solution appear as a multiplet centred at δ 8.0 whereas those in *cis*-6 are centred at δ 7.6; the *meta* and *para* protons shift from δ 7.6 to 7.2). A similar shift to higher field has been found for the aryl protons (*i*) in [2,2]paracyclophane (δ 6.5), compared to those, for example,³² in 1,4-dimethylbenzene (δ 7.0),³² and (*ii*) in *syn*-sesqui- and sester-benzobicyclo[2.2.2]octenes, molecules with stacked benzene rings.³³

The isomerisation process for the conversion of *trans*-6 into cis-6 (and *vice versa*) involves an inversion of configuration at one tin centre in dimeric 6. In *trans*-6, the solid-state structure, the tin centres could be classified as (R),(S) [or (S),(R)] (*i.e. trans*-6 is a *meso* compound), whereas in cis-6 the tin centres are either (R),(R) or (S),(S). Thus, isomerisation does not simply involve the separation of the two monomers of a dimeric 6 unit and their recombination.

No NMR evidence was found for monomeric or high-aggregated species in solution.

From the ¹³C NMR spectrum, it can be established that there are two tin atoms in each of the two isomers of **6** from the two sets of $J(^{119}Sn^{13}C)$ values for each C_{β} and C_{γ} (see Table 9 and



Fig. 5 Carbon-13 NMR spectrum of compound 6: C_{α} , C_{β} and C_{γ} correspond to the major isomer and $C_{\alpha'}$, $C_{\beta'}$ and $C_{\gamma'}$ to the minor isomer: signal a, ¹¹⁹Sn coupling to C_{α} ; b, ¹¹⁷Sn coupling (2*J*) to C_{β} ; c, ^{117,119}Sn coupling (³*J*) to C_{β} ; d, ^{117,119}Sn coupling (²*J*) to C_{β} ; g, ^{117,119}Sn coupling (³*J*) to C_{γ} ; f, ^{117,119}Sn coupling (²*J*) to $C_{\gamma'}$; i, ^{117,119}Sn coupling (³*J*) to $C_{\gamma'}$; j, ^{117,119}Sn coupling (²*J*) to $C_{\gamma'}$; * = impurity

Fig. 5), *i.e.* these carbon atoms view each tin atom as a different entity.

Davies *et al.*²⁴ reported for compound **9** that $\delta(C_{\beta})$ also exhibits two pairs of satellites due to coupling in the two tin nuclei: ${}^{2}J({}^{119}\mathrm{Sn}{}^{13}\mathrm{C})$ 19 and ${}^{3}J({}^{119}\mathrm{Sn}{}^{13}\mathrm{C})$ 38 Hz.

Compound 7 also maintains its dimeric structure in solution,²³ however some dissociation of dimeric 8 occurs in solution. Dissociation of aggregated 10 (R = Bu)²² and 11 (R = Bu)²⁸ (both into dimers) and 13 (R = Bu) (into monomers)²⁹ also occurs in solution.

Acknowledgements

We thank the SERC for a maintenance grant (to S. J. G.) and Professor D. J. Cardin (Trinity College, Dublin) for the solidstate ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **6**.

References

1 C. H. Rochester, in *The Chemistry of the Hydroxyl Group*, ed. S. Patai, Wiley, Chichester, 1971, p. 369.

^{* &}lt;sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 22.5 (C₇), 27.6 (C₈) and 63.7 (C₇).

- 2 P. J. Cox, S. M. S. V. Doidge-Harrison, R. A. Howie, I. W. Nowell, O. J. Taylor and J. L. Wardell, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 1, 1989, 2017.
- 3 B. R. Laliberte, W. Davidson and M. C. Henry, J. Organomet. Chem., 1966, 5, 526.
- 4 G. J. M. van der Kerk, J. G. Noltes and J. G. A. Luijten, J. Appl. Chem., 1957, 7, 360.
- 5 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1990, 46, 467.
- 6 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX 76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, 1976.
- 7 International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4.
- 8 J. McM. Wigzell, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Aberdeen, 1981.
- 9 H. G. Kuivila, J. E. Dixon, P. L. Maxfield, N. M. Scarpa, T. M. Topka, K. H. Tsai and K. R. Wursthorn, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 86, 89; H. G. Kuivila, J. J. Karoland and K. Swami, Organometallics, 1983, 2, 909.
- 10 J. L. Wardell and J. McM. Wigzell, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 205, C24.
- 11 B. Jousseaume and P. Villeneuve, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 513.
- 12 J. C. Podesta, A. B. Chopa and L. C. Koll, J. Chem. Res., 1986, (S) 309; A. B. Chopa, L. C. Koll, M. C. Savini, J. C. Podesta and W. P. Neumann, Organometallics, 1985, 4, 1036.
- 13 J. P. Kintzinger, in Modern NMR Techniques and their Application in Chemistry, eds. A. I. Popov and K. Hallenga, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991, p. 380.
- 14 R. C. Poller, Chemistry of Organotin Compounds, Logos Press, London, 1970.
- 15 M. Massol, J. Barrau, J. Satge and B. Bouyssieres, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 80, 47.
- N. W. Alcock and J. F. Sawyer, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1977, 1090; V. Cody and E. R. Cory, J. Organomet. Chem., 1969, 19, 359; L. H. Zakharov, B. I. Betrov, V. A. Lobedev, E. A. Kuz'min and N. V. Belov, Kristallografiya, 1978, 23, 1049; H. A. Skinner and L. E. Sutton, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1944, 90, 164.
- 17 K. C. Molloy, T. G. Purcell, K. Quill and I. W. Nowell, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 267, 237.
- 18 L. V. Vilkov and N. A. Tarasenko, J. Struct. Chem., 1969, 10, 979.
- 19 H. Weichmann, C. Mugge, A. Grand and J. B. Roberts, J. Organomet. Chem., 1982. 238, 343; H. Hartung, D. Petrick, C. Schmo¹ and H. Weichmann, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1987, 550, 140;

M. Ochiai, S. Iwaki, U. Ukita, Y. Matsuura, M. Shiro and V. Nagao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 4606; P. Harston, R. A. Howie, G. P. McQuillan, J. L. Wardell, E. Zanetti, S. M. S. V. Doidge-Harrison, N. S. Stewart and P. J. Cox, Polyhedron, 1991, 10, 1085; K. Swami. J. P. Hutchinson, H. G. Kuivila and J. A. Zubieta, Organometallics, 1984, 3, 1687; P. G. Harrison, K. Lambert, T. J. King and B. Majee, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1983, 363; K. C. Molloy, T. G. Purcell, M. F. Makon and E. Minshell, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 1987, 1. 507; J. F. Vollano, R. O. Day, D. N. Ray, V. Chandrasekhar and R. R. Holmes, Inorg. Chem., 1984, 23, 3153; R. J. Swisher, J. F. Vollano, V. Chandrasekhar, R. O. Day and R. R. Holmes, Inorg. Chem., 1984, 23, 3147; B. Jousseaume, P. Villeneuve, M. Drager, S. Roller and J. M. Chezeau, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 349, C1.

- 20 The Chemistry of Tin, ed. P. G. Harrison, Blackie and Sons, Glasgow, 1989, p. 78.
- 21 A. G. Davies and P. J. Smith, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, eds. G. Wilkinson, F. G. A. Stone and E. W. Abel, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, vol. 2, ch. 11.
- 22 Ref. 14, p. 41.
- 23 P. A. Bates, M. B. Hursthouse, A. G. Davies and S. D. Slater, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1989, **363**, 45.
- 24 A. G. Davies, M.-W. Tse, J. D. Kennedy, W. McFarlane, G. S. Pyne, M. F. C. Ladd and D. C. Povey, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1981, 369.
- 25 A. G. Davies, A. J. Price, H. M. Dawes and M. B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1986, 297.
- 26 C. W. Holzapfel, J. M. Kockemoer, C. M. Marais, G. J. Kruger and J. A. Pretorius, S. Afr. J. Chem., 1982, 35, 81.
- 27 S. David, C. Pascard and M. Cesario, Nouv. J. Chem., 1979, 3, 63.
- 28 P. A. Bates, M. B. Hursthouse, A. G. Davies and S. D. Slater, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1987, **325**, 129.
- 29 A. G. Davies, S. D. Slater, D. C. Povey and G. W. Smith, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 352, 283.
- 30 M. Drager, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1981, 477, 154.
- 31 A. S. Secco and J. Trotter, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1983, 39, 451.
- 32 The Aldrich Library of NMR Spectra. Aldrich Chemical Company, Milwaukie, WI, 1974, vol. 4.
- 33 W. Grimme, H. T. Kammerling, J. Lex, R. Gleiter, J. Heinze and M. Dietrich, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 205.

Received 18th March 1992; Paper 2/01439D