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#### Abstract

The reaction of [ $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ] with $\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CNEt}_{2}\right)$ affords cis-[OsL$\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CNEt}_{2}\right)$ from which trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ is isolated via cerium(IV) oxidation. Reduction of the latter complex by hydrazine hydrate furnishes trans-[OsL $\left.\mathbf{L P O}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ]. The X -ray structures of all the three complexes have been determined and their spectra and magnetic properties are reported. Solution equilibrium data show that the metal oxidation states strongly differentiate the isomeric co-ordination spheres-the more stable geometry is cis for the bivalent and trans for the trivalent metal. The metastable trans-bivalent complex ( $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ ) can still be isolated because isomerisation is slow but the cis-trivalent complex ( $d^{5}$ ) isomerises rapidly and could not be isolated. Variable-temperature isomerisation rates, activation parameters and metal reduction potentials $\left[E_{\frac{1}{2}}\right.$ (cis) $>E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (trans)] of the isomers are reported. There is a progressive decrease in the $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}$ bond length in going from trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ to trans- $\left[\mathrm{Os} \mathrm{L}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ to cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, the net change being a remarkable $0.15 \AA$. This correlates with the $5 \mathrm{~d}_{\pi}-3 \mathrm{~d}_{\pi}$ back-bonding order cis$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]>$ trans $-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \gg$ trans $-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. In cis-[OsL $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \ldots \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ steric repulsion is present but it is more than offset by the strong back-bonding. In trans-[OsL2 $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ the steric factor is absent but the back-bonding is also weaker. In equilibrated solution both the isomers are present but the cis form predominates ( $85 \%$ ). For the very weakly back-bonding trivalent metal, the steric factor becomes strongly controlling and in solutions very little of the cis isomer is present at equilibrium ( $\approx 0.002 \%$ ).


The varied response of co-ordination spheres to changes in the primary valence of the ligated metal centre is of fundamental importance in inorganic redox chemistry. ${ }^{1,2}$ An example is geometrical isomerisation ${ }^{3-9}$ implying selective binding of different oxidation states by isomeric environments. Our interest lies in probing the origin of such selectivity in terms of experimentally determined bond parameters of the isomers. The prerequisite for this purpose is to have systems where all or most of the possible combinations of geometry and valence can be isolated and structurally characterised. Herein we describe the case of the dithiocarbamate-phosphine system 1 in which the $\mathrm{OsS}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ co-ordination sphere can span two geometries (cis and $\operatorname{trans}$ ) and two metal oxidation states ( +2 and +3 ). Of the four possible geometry-valence combinations, all except the cis-trivalent complex have been isolated. Isomer selectivity of oxidation states is scrutinised in the light of X-ray structures. Equilibrium constants, interconversion rates and reduction potentials of isomers are recorded.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterisation of Isomers.-The system 1 will be abbreviated as $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{0,+}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CNEt}_{2}\right)$ where 0 and + charges correspond respectively to the bi- and tri-valent states of the metal. The reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right.$ ] with NaL in boiling ethanol affords cis-[OsL $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ as a yellow crystalline solid. Upon oxidation of this complex in dichloromethane--acetonitrile solution with aqueous $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{4}-$ $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, green trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$is formed which is isolated as the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salt. The cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$ isomer is formed as an intermediate, but it could not be isolated due to fast isomerisation (see below). When trans-[OsL $2^{-}$

[^0]
$\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ is reduced by hydrazine hydrate in acetonitrile solution in the cold (to prevent isomerisation of product) orange-yellow trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ separates. The yield in each of the above steps is $>90 \%$. The complex cis- [OsL $2_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] has been reported earlier as a low-yield product of the reaction of NaL with hydrido(trifluoroacetato)tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) osmium. ${ }^{10}$

Elemental analyses and electronic spectral data of the complexes are listed in Table 1. The $1: 1$ electrolytic $(\Lambda=137$ $\Omega^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) trans-[OsL $\left.\mathbf{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ complex is paramagnetic ( $S=\frac{1}{2} \mu_{\text {eff }}, 1.87$ ) and displays a rhombic EPR spectrum in frozen solution (Fig. 1) in gross accord with the $C_{i}$ symmetry of the $\mathrm{OsS}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ sphere as revealed by X -ray structure determination, see below. Analysis of the spectrum with the help of $g$-tensor theory ${ }^{11,12}$ for low-spin $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ ions $\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}^{5}\right)$ affords the axial $(\Delta)$ and rhombic ( $V$ ) distortion parameters of magnitude 7500 and $1900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ [the spin-orbit coupling constant of osmium(III) is taken ${ }^{13}$ as $3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ]. Two transitions among the three $t_{2}$ Kramers doublets are predicted to lie near $7000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $10000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(v_{2}\right)$. The electronic spectrum of the complex is displayed in Fig. 1. A relatively weak band observed at 1300 nm ( $7460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) is assigned to $v_{1}$. The tail of the strong band at 635 nm probably obscures the $v_{2}$ band.

Structures.-The structures of all the three complexes have been determined. Molecular views and atom labelling schemes are shown in Figs. 2-4. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 2 and 3. The bond parameters ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$


Fig. 1 (a) X-Band EPR spectrum and $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ splittings of trans[ $\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dichloromethane-toluene (1:1) glass ( 77 K ): $g_{1}=2.7645, g_{2}=2.5249, g_{3}=1.4368, \Delta=7530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, V=1930$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{G}=10^{-4} \mathrm{~T}$. (b) Electronic spectrum of trans-[OsL $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dichloromethane at 298 K
lengths, $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ angles etc.) within the diethyldithiocarbamate ligand of the present complexes generally agree with those reported for other diethyldithiocarbamate complexes. ${ }^{14-16}$

In the cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] complex there are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. These are very similar to each other except for the conformation of the $\mathrm{NEt}_{2}$ fragments. In molecule 1 the terminal methyl groups adopt anti configurations


Fig. 3 Perspective view and atom labelling scheme for trans$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ]


Molecule 2

Fig. 2 Perspective view and atom labelling scheme for the two independent molecules of cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

Table 1 Analytical ${ }^{a}$ and electronic spectral data ${ }^{b}$

| Compound cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | Analysis (\%) |  |  | UV/VIS and NIR spectral data, $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | H | N |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 54.50 \\ (54.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.95 \\ (5.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.75 \\ (2.75) \end{gathered}$ | 350 (11880), 260 (sh) (20 700) |
| trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.60 \\ (54.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.95 \\ (5.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.80 \\ (2.75) \end{gathered}$ | 475 (1535), 380 (7410), 260 (sh) (32 105) |
| trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.70 \\ (47.75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.35 \\ (4.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.40 \\ (2.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1340(44), 635(4030), 475 \text { (sh) (800), } \\ & 360(8320), 270(25600) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Calculated values are in parentheses. ${ }^{b}$ In dichloromethane at 298 K .


Fig. 4 Perspective view and atom labelling scheme for the cation of trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$

Table 2 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for cis$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ ]

| Molecule 1 |  | Molecule 2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Os(1)-P(1) | $2.300(2)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.292(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.305(2)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.280(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.475(2)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $2.390(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.402(2)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $2.479(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $2.396(2)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | $2.470(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $2.475(2)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $2.396(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $87.4(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $95.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $93.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $85.2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $96.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | $161.5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $164.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $94.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $163.4(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(5)$ | $93.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $96.1(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $163.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $93.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | $88.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $86.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $95.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $70.7(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(6)$ | $70.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $96.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | $97.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $84.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(5)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $164.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(3)$ | $163.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(7)$ | $86.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $96.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $98.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ | $70.7(1)$ | $\mathrm{S}(7)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{S}(8)$ | $70.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $103.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Os}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $103.4(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

in both the L ligands while in molecule 2 the configuration is syn in one and anti in the other. In both trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ the metal atoms lie on crystallographic inversion centres and the terminal methyl groups adopt syn configuration. The $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ion of the trivalent complex lies on a crystallographic two-fold axis.
In all the three complexes the chelate rings are nearly ideally planar and indeed the non-hydrogen atoms of each OsL fragment ignoring the terminal methyl carbons constitute excellent planes (mean deviation 0.03-0.06 $\AA$ ). The $\mathrm{OsS}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ coordination spheres generally display large angular deviations from idealised octahedral geometry, one common reason for this being the very acute chelate bite angles. In cis[ $\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] an additional distorting factor is the steric repulsion ${ }^{17,18}$ between the two cis-phosphine ligands. This is reflected in the structural data in two ways: considerable obtuseness of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}$ angles ( $\approx 104^{\circ}$ ) and staggering

Table 3 Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for trans$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$

|  | trans- <br> $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | trans- <br> $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ <br>  <br> $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.337(8)$ | $2.449(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $2.427(5)$ | $2.384(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $2.416(8)$ | $2.378(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $1.693(24)$ | $1.727(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1.774(25)$ | $1.739(8)$ |
|  |  | $1.306(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}(1)$ | $85.5(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $93.0(3)$ | $83.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $70.9(2)$ | $93.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ | $108.2(12)$ | $10.5(1)$ |
|  |  |  |

Table 4 Reduction potentials* and equilibrium constants at 303 K

| $E_{1}($ cis $) / \mathrm{V}$ | $E_{1}($ trans $) / \mathrm{V}$ | $K^{\mathrm{II}}$ | $K^{\mathrm{III}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.05 | -0.23 | 6.03 | $7.51 \times 10^{3}$ |

* Conditions: solvent, dichloromethane; supporting electrolyte, $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)$; working electrode, platinum; reference electrode, SCE; solute concentration, $\approx 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3} . E_{\frac{1}{2}}=0.5\left(E_{\mathrm{pa}}+\right.$ $E_{\mathrm{pc}}$ ), where $E_{\mathrm{pa}}$ and $E_{\mathrm{pc}}$ are anodic and cathodic peak potentials, respectively; scan rate, 50 mV s .
(viewed along P-P axis) of the three carbon atoms directly bonded to one $P$ atom with respect to those bonded to the other P atom (Fig. 5).

The $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}$ lengths in the trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and trans$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$follow the order $\mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{II}}>\mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{III}} \ldots$ the average value in the former being longer by $\approx 0.05 \AA$. This is consistent with the predominantly $\sigma$-donor character of $L^{-}$which senses $\mathrm{Os}^{\text {III }}$ as a smaller ion than $\mathrm{Os}^{\text {II }}$. In contrast the $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}$ lengths follow the opposite order, $\mathrm{Os}^{\text {II }}<\mathrm{Os}^{\text {III. }}$. The Os-P length in trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ is shorter by $\approx 0.11 \AA$ than in trans$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$. This remarkable change in the Os-P length is attributed to a large augmentation of $5 \mathrm{~d}_{\pi}-3 \mathrm{~d}_{n}$ backbonding ${ }^{19}$ in the OsP $_{2}$ fragment upon metal reduction ( $\mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{III}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ).

In cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ the $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{S}$ distances trans to $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ are significantly longer (trans effect) than the others but the average value lies close to that in the bivalent trans isomer. The more significant observation is that the average $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}$ distance in the complex is shorter than that in the trans$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ by $\approx 0.05 \AA$. This is logically attributed to the back-bonding order cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]>$ trans $-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] arising from the fact that three and two metal $\mathrm{d}_{n}$ orbitals are available for $\pi$-acceptance in the cis and trans isomers respectively.

Geometry-Valence Selectivity.-In dichloromethane solution trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ isomerises to the cis form with concomitant spectral changes (Fig. 6) until equilibrium is reached. Equilibrium constant data are listed in Table 4 for the equilibria (1) and (2). The constant $K^{\mathrm{II}}$ was determined spectro-

$$
\text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \stackrel{K^{\mathrm{\prime} \mathrm{\prime}}}{\rightleftharpoons} \text { cis- }\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\mathrm{II}}=\left[\text { cis }-\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] /\left[\text { trans }-\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { cis }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \stackrel{K^{\mathrm{UI}}}{\rightleftharpoons} \operatorname{trans}-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\mathrm{III}}=\left[\text { trans }-\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}\right] /\left[\text {cis }-\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

photometrically. For the trivalent state the equilibrium (2) lies far in favour of the trans form. The value of $K^{\text {III }}$ was

Table 5 Rate constants and activation parameters in dichloromethane for [ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

| $\operatorname{trans}-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | $\longrightarrow$ cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $T / \mathrm{K}$ | $10^{4} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{II}} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $\Delta H^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| 298 | 6.7 | 72.7 | -63.5 |
| 303 | 10.6 |  |  |
| 308 | 17.8 |  |  |


| $T / \mathrm{K}$ | $10^{2} k^{111} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $\Delta H^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 293 | 3.8 | 55.8 | -82.5 |
| 298 | 6.1 |  |  |
| 303 | 8.5 |  |  |

Table 6 Experimental data for the crystallographic analyses

| Compound | cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ | trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsP}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsP}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsP}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| M | 1011.3 | 1011.3 | 1156.2 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.24 \times 0.36 \times 0.18$ | $0.24 \times 0.18 \times 0.30$ | $0.20 \times 0.12 \times 0.24$ |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | P2 $1 / a$ | C2/c |
| $a / \AA$ | 11.827(4) | 11.650(4) | 24.823(11) |
| $b / \AA$ | 19.287(6) | 15.7461(10) | 11.240(4) |
| $c / \AA$ | 20.892(6) | $11.8095(8)$ | 18.156(6) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 94.45(3) | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 104.22(3) | 94.239(16) | 108.74(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.95(3) | 90 | 90 |
| $U / \AA^{3}$ | 4497(2) | 2160.4(7) | 4797(3) |
| $Z$ | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {c }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ | 1.494 | 1.555 | 1.601 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right.$ | 31.27 | 32.47 | 29.84 |
| $F(000)$ | 2040 | 1020 | 2316 |
| $2 \theta$ range ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2-50 | 2-55 | 2-52 |
| Total no. of reflections | 16745 | 4144 | 5324 |
| No. of unique reflections | 15755 | 3770 | 4709 |
| No. of observed reflections $[I>3 \sigma(I)]$ | 10635 | 1457 | 3139 |
| Transmission factor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.875 | 0.893 | 0.926 |
| $g$ in $w^{\prime}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)+g F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right]$ | 0.0006 | 0.0005 | 0.0003 |
| Data-to-parameter ratio | 16.8 | 9.0 | 11.0 |
| Final $R$ | 0.0383 | 0.0639 | 0.0385 |
| Final $R^{\text {b }}$ | 0.4070 | 0.0740 | 0.0445 |
| Goodness of fit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.89 | 0.95 | 1.43 |
| Largest difference peak e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.79 | 0.82 | 0.76 |

${ }^{a}$ Maximum transmission factor is normalized to $1.0 .{ }^{b} R^{\prime}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$; goodness of fit $=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|^{2}\right) /\left(N_{\mathrm{o}}-N_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $N_{\mathrm{o}}, N_{\mathrm{p}}=$ numbers of observations and parameters.


Fig. 5 The $\mathrm{PC}_{3}$ fragments of cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ viewed along the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ axis
estimated electrochemically. The bivalent state displays a good degree of preference for the cis form ( $85 \%$ at equilibrium). In contrast, the trivalent state very strongly disfavours the cis geometry ( $\approx 0.002 \%$ at equilibrium).

These findings are consistent with the structural results. The cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ isomer has the strong advantage of maximised $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}$ back-bonding and the disadvantage of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \cdots \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ repulsion. In trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ the latter is absent but the back-bonding is also weaker. The two opposing factors combine to afford an equilibrium situation in which both isomers are measurably present but the cis form predominates. This result underlines the great importance of
back-bonding in $\mathrm{Os}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ chemistry. For trivalent osmium back-bonding (if present) is too weak significantly to influence isomer stability. Consequently the steric factor becomes fully controlling and the cis isomer is greatly destabilised leading to a negligible equilibrium concentration. We thus have the inequality $K^{\text {III }} \gg K^{\text {II }}$. The cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$isomer can be observed in cyclic voltammetric experiments (see below) but it has eluded isolation due to fast and virtually complete isomerisation to the trans form.

The rates of isomerisations (3) and (4) have been determined

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{trans}-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \xrightarrow{k^{\prime \prime}} \text { cis }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c i s-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \xrightarrow{k^{\mathrm{ml}}} \text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

using spectrophotometric and voltammetric ${ }^{20}$ methods respectively. Both reactions are first order in nature. Rate-constant and activation parameters are listed in Table 5. The inequality $k^{1 \mathrm{II}} \gg k^{\mathrm{II}}$ is not unexpected since trivalent and bivalent complexes have $\mathrm{d}^{5}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ configurations respectively. ${ }^{21}$ The isomerisations have relatively large negative entropies of activation suggesting twist mechanisms. ${ }^{22}$

Reduction Potentials.-The stereoretentive redox processes, equations (5) and (6), interconverting trivalent and bivalent

Table 7 Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Os}(1)$ | 2 265(1) | $2763(1)$ | $8622(1)$ | $\mathrm{Os}(2)$ | $2382(1)$ | $7211(1)$ | 6040(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 391(2) | 2 421(1) | $8778(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2001(2)$ | $7550(1)$ | $7028(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2998(2)$ | $1738(1)$ | 8 666(1) | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 4 414(2) | $7510(1)$ | 6 278(1) |
| S(1) | $2051(2)$ | 4010 (1) | $8798(1)$ | S(5) | $2337(2)$ | $5995(1)$ | 6 206(1) |
| S(2) | $3175(2)$ | 3 241(1) | $9769(1)$ | S(6) | 282(2) | $6532(1)$ | $5714(1)$ |
| S(3) | $1641(2)$ | 2 616(1) | $7429(1)$ | S(7) | $2298(2)$ | 7 065(1) | 4843 (1) |
| S(4) | 3 970(2) | $3275(1)$ | $8190(1)$ | S(8) | $2080(2)$ | 8 288(1) | 5 593(1) |
| N(1) | $3106(9)$ | $4612(4)$ | $10066(4)$ | N(3) | 158(6) | $5130(3)$ | $5857(3)$ |
| N(2) | $3295(8)$ | 3240 (5) | $6846(4)$ | N(4) | $1774(7)$ | 8 209(4) | $4265(3)$ |
| C(1) | 2811 (8) | 4047 (4) | $9612(4)$ | C(47) | 812(7) | $5790(4)$ | $5923(3)$ |
| C(2) | 3 608(19) | 4 568(9) | $10777(8)$ | C(48) | $-1148(8)$ | $4982(5)$ | $5600(4)$ |
| C(3) | $4747(25)$ | 4 761(12) | $10893(12)$ | C(49) | $-1810(9)$ | $4861(7)$ | $6118(6)$ |
| C(4) | $2783(12)$ | 5 284(5) | $9896(6)$ | C(50) | 694(9) | $4527(4)$ | $6030(5)$ |
| C(5) | $1563(15)$ | $5332(8)$ | 9 950(9) | C(51) | $1020(10)$ | 4 460(5) | $6760(5)$ |
| C(6) | $3034(7)$ | $3065(4)$ | 7 404(4) | C(52) | $2012(6)$ | 7 901(4) | $4818(4)$ |
| C(7) | 4475 (11) | 3 668(9) | $6869(7)$ | C(53) | $1573(11)$ | 8 926(6) | 4 296(6) |
| C(8) | 4 499(15) | 4 446(11) | $6881(10)$ | C(54) | 290(14) | 8 955(9) | 4 192(9) |
| C(9) | $2455(12)$ | 3 024(7) | $6196(5)$ | C(55) | $1723(9)$ | $7852(6)$ | $3617(4)$ |
| C(10) | 2 663(18) | $2396(9)$ | 5 829(8) | C(56) | $2883(12)$ | $8047(8)$ | 3 435(6) |
| C(11) | -563(7) | $1544(4)$ | 8 406(4) | C(57) | 769(7) | 8 039(4) | 6959(4) |
| C(12) | -1579(9) | $1244(5)$ | $8596(5)$ | C(58) | 610(9) | $8394(5)$ | $7521(5)$ |
| C(13) | $-2330(10)$ | 611(6) | $8246(6)$ | C(59) | -292(11) | $8746(6)$ | $7497(6)$ |
| C(14) | -2 077(9) | 272(6) | $7715(5)$ | C(60) | -1069(11) | $8769(6)$ | $6901(6)$ |
| C(15) | $-1099(9)$ | 563(5) | $7517(5)$ | C(61) | -931(9) | 8 433(5) | $6314(5)$ |
| C(16) | -341(7) | 1 197(4) | $7863(4)$ | C(62) | -24(8) | $8068(5)$ | $6355(4)$ |
| C(17) | -716(7) | $2960(4)$ | 8 428(4) | C(63) | $1477(7)$ | $6815(4)$ | 7 485(4) |
| C(18) | $-579(8)$ | 3 357(4) | $7917(4)$ | C(64) | 2 241(10) | $6429(5)$ | $7799(5)$ |
| C(19) | $-1420(9)$ | 3749 (5) | $7667(5)$ | C(65) | $1864(11)$ | $5833(6)$ | $8109(6)$ |
| C(20) | - $2382(10)$ | $3749(6)$ | $7906(5)$ | C(66) | 705(11) | 5 659(7) | $8091(6)$ |
| C(21) | - 2 564(11) | 3 340(6) | 8 401(6) | C(67) | -95(11) | $6020(6)$ | $7780(6)$ |
| C(22) | $-1729(8)$ | $2948(5)$ | $8659(5)$ | C(68) | 265(9) | $6612(5)$ | 7456 (5) |
| C(23) | 278(7) | 2 477(4) | $9637(4)$ | C(69) | $3130(7)$ | $8195(4)$ | $7681(4)$ |
| C(24) | 356(8) | $3146(5)$ | $9984(5)$ | C(70) | 3 659(8) | $8059(5)$ | 8321 (5) |
| C(25) | 363(9) | $3219(6)$ | 10 652(5) | C(71) | 4 494(9) | $8597(5)$ | $8777(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 302(9) | 2 625(5) | $10983(5)$ | C(72) | 4 813(9) | $9261(5)$ | 8 596(5) |
| C(27) | 240(8) | $1979(5)$ | 10 660(5) | C(73) | 4 292(8) | 9 399(5) | $7970(5)$ |
| C(28) | 222(8) | $1894(5)$ | 9 993(4) | C(74) | 3 467(8) | 8870 (5) | $7517(5)$ |
| C(29) | $3103(7)$ | $1280(4)$ | $7884(4)$ | C(75) | 5 169(7) | $6886(4)$ | $5902(4)$ |
| C(30) | $4123(10)$ | $1479(6)$ | $7667(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(76)$ | 6 405(9) | $7009(5)$ | $6089(5)$ |
| C(31) | 4 189(12) | $1132(6)$ | 7 051(6) | C(77) | $7016(11)$ | $6610(6)$ | $5763(6)$ |
| C(32) | 3 281(11) | 635(6) | $6676(7)$ | C(78) | $6388(10)$ | $6078(6)$ | 5 259(5) |
| C(33) | 2 263(10) | 433(6) | $6868(6)$ | C(79) | $5155(9)$ | $5933(5)$ | $5075(5)$ |
| C(34) | 2 182(9) | 771(5) | $7463(5)$ | C(80) | 4550 (8) | $6336(5)$ | 5 398(4) |
| C(35) | 2389 (7) | $1004(4)$ | $9084(4)$ | C(81) | $5067(7)$ | $8327(4)$ | $5976(4)$ |
| C(36) | $2188(10)$ | 282(6) | $8845(6)$ | C(82) | 5 405(9) | $8280(6)$ | $5400(5)$ |
| C(37) | $1866(11)$ | -239(7) | 9240 (6) | C(83) | $5809(12)$ | $8897(7)$ | $5138(7)$ |
| C(38) | $1708(10)$ | $-19(6)$ | $9856(6)$ | C(84) | $5898(12)$ | $9551(7)$ | $5476(7)$ |
| C(39) | $2005(10)$ | 674(6) | $10111(6)$ | C(85) | $5570(10)$ | $9610(6)$ | 6 034(6) |
| C(40) | 2 339(9) | $1181(5)$ | $9714(5)$ | C(86) | $5118(9)$ | 8 992(5) | $6300(5)$ |
| C(41) | 4 579(7) | $1838(4)$ | $9154(4)$ | C(87) | $5285(8)$ | $7563(4)$ | $7131(4)$ |
| C(42) | 5 050(8) | $1233(5)$ | $9230(5)$ | C(88) | 5 109(10) | 6 947(6) | 7 446(6) |
| C(43) | 6 207(9) | $1282(5)$ | $9617(5)$ | C(89) | $5761(12)$ | 6 926(7) | $8105(7)$ |
| C(44) | 6 899(10) | $1931(6)$ | $9928(5)$ | C(90) | 6 627(13) | $7513(7)$ | 8430 (7) |
| C(45) | 6 466(9) | $2523(5)$ | $9851(5)$ | C(91) | $6842(12)$ | $8093(7)$ | 8 141(7) |
| C(46) | $5302(8)$ | 2 492(5) | $9458(4)$ | C(92) | 6 184(9) | $8125(6)$ | $7495(5)$ |

Table 8 A tomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for $\operatorname{trans}-\left[\mathrm{Os}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Os | 0 | 0 | 0 | C(10) | -2769(24) | 1198(16) | $-3288(21)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 332(7) | 950(5) | $-1461(7)$ | C(11) | $-1910(22)$ | 1200(16) | $-2469(22)$ |
| S(1) | 1947(5) | 339(3) | 734(5) | C(12) | 1649(18) | 809(13) | -2181(17) |
| S(2) | -68(7) | 1119(5) | 1393(7) | C(13) | 2311(16) | 86(21) | -2058(15) |
| N(1) | 2041(16) | 1639(14) | 2239(16) | C(14) | 3281(17) | -26(29) | $-2646(16)$ |
| C(1) | 1457(20) | 1100(14) | 1589(19) | C(15) | 3637(24) | 636(16) | $-3333(22)$ |
| C(2) | 3318(20) | 1586(15) | 2345(20) | C(16) | $3031(23)$ | 1387(17) | -3442(21) |
| C(3) | 3799(31) | 1154(22) | 3303(27) | C(17) | 2035(20) | 1477(15) | -2869(18) |
| C(4) | 1484(23) | 2296(16) | 2914(21) | C(18) | 492(19) | 2090(13) | $-1009(18)$ |
| C(5) | 1042(30) | 1962(21) | 3976(26) | C(19) | -416(19) | 2673(13) | $-1096(17)$ |
| C(6) | -773(19) | 1001(14) | -2661(18) | C(20) | -262(24) | 3476(17) | -611(22) |
| C(7) | $-566(24)$ | 808(16) | $-3757(21)$ | C(21) | 781(21) | 3726(16) | - 101(20) |
| C(8) | $-1432(27)$ | 823(19) | -4628(26) | C(22) | 1664(22) | 3152(15) | $9(21)$ |
| C(9) | -2542(29) | 1047(20) | -4379(28) | C(23) | $1526(21)$ | 2351(15) | -466(19) |

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{e} \rightleftharpoons \text { trans }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]  \tag{5}\\
\text { cis }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}+\mathrm{e} \rightleftharpoons \text { cis }-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

states can be observed by cyclic voltammetry (Fig. 7). The $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the trans couple is significantly lower than that of the cis couple (Table 4). This is expected since the redox electron is a $5 \mathrm{~d}_{\pi}$ electron which is stabilised better in the cis than in the trans isomer (back-bonding).

The trans couple can be observed using fresh solutions of either the bivalent or the trivalent trans isomer. No isomerisation (bivalent trans $\longrightarrow$ cis) is observed on the cyclic voltammetric time-scale in the temperature range $273-298 \mathrm{~K}$. The bivalent cis complex gives rise to the cis couple alone at


Fig. 6 Time evolution of the electronic spectrum of trans-[OsL $2_{2}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ isomerising to the cis form in dichloromethane at 303 K . The arrows indicate increase and decrease in band intensities as the reaction proceeds

273 K . In warmer solutions, $c i s-\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$produced in the anodic scan isomerises sufficiently rapidly to the trans form to make the trans couple observable on scan reversal (Fig. 7). Coulometrically oxidised [at 0.3 V vs. saturated calomel electrode (SCE)] solutions of cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ are found to contain only trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$due to rapid isomerisation following stereoretentive oxidation.

Some General Comments on the $\mathrm{OsS}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ Systems.-In our study of isomer preference of oxidation states we chose osmium with the hope that isomerisation rates will be slow enough to permit isolation and structural characterisation of metastable isomers. This has been realised in the case of trans$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. The present work constitute the concluding part of our general programme on $\mathrm{OsS}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ speciesdithiocarbonates, ${ }^{8} 2$ and trithiocarbonates, ${ }^{9} 3$ having been described earlier. The $\mathrm{OsS}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ co-ordination spheres of the three systems have served as excellent models for probing isomer-


Fig. 7 Variable-temperature cyclic voltammograms (scan rate 50 mV $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) of $\approx 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ solutions of (a) cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right][$ first cycle $(-\cdots)$, second cycle $(--)]$ and (b) trans-[OsL $\left.{ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dichloromethane

Table 9 Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ for trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Os | 2500 | 2500 | 0 | C(12) | 1368(3) | 1511(6) | - 1762(4) |
| S(1) | 2276(1) | 1937(2) | 1133(1) | C(13) | 865(4) | 1995(8) | -2220(5) |
| S(2) | 2035(1) | 4143(2) | 361(1) | C(14) | 704(4) | 1888(9) | -3018(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 1603(1) | 1500(2) | -687(1) | C(15) | 1036(4) | 1279(8) | - 3364(5) |
| P (2) | 0 | 4394(3) | 2500 | C(16) | 1542(4) | 802(8) | -2909(5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1615(3) | 3587(6) | 1521(3) | C(17) | 1702(3) | 911(7) | -2114(4) |
| C(1) | 1924(3) | 3273(6) | 1091(4) | C(18) | 1577(3) | - 102(6) | -522(4) |
| C(2) | 1519(4) | 2745(8) | 2094(5) | C(19) | 1108(3) | -736(7) | - 1014(5) |
| C(3) | 1950(5) | 2837(11) | 2871(6) | C(20) | 1049(4) | - 1947(8) | -890(5) |
| C(4) | 1357(4) | 4775(8) | 1461(5) | C(21) | 1443(4) | -2517(9) | -301(5) |
| C(5) | 1733(5) | 5653(10) | 1943(8) | C(22) | 1898(4) | -1909(8) | 197(5) |
| C(6) | 997(3) | 2052(7) | -413(4) | C(23) | 1968(3) | -699(6) | 93(4) |
| C (7) | 781(3) | 3192(7) | -616(4) | F(1) | 659(3) | 4379(7) | 2944(5) |
| C(8) | 347(3) | 3603(8) | -364(5) | F(2) | 0 | 2934(20) | 2500 |
| C(9) | 128(4) | 2933(9) | 85(5) | F(3) | 0 | 5803(16) | 2500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 340(4) | 1796(10) | 307(5) | F(4) | 96(5) | 4254(10) | 1705(7) |
| C(11) | 776(3) | 1357(8) | 54(5) |  |  |  |  |

valence selectivity in terms of bond parameters. To our knowledge 1-3 are the only osmium species where the remarkable changes in back-bonding abilities in going from the bivalent to the trivalent states and from the cis to the trans geometry of the bivalent state have been demonstrated through structure determination of relevant isomers.

The isomer preferences of $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are very similar and corresponding bond parameters generally lie close to one another. For the bivalent state, the average Os-P length of 1 is slightly shorter (by $\approx 0.02 \AA$ ) than those of the corresponding isomers ${ }^{8,9}$ of 2 and 3 ; also the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{P}$ angle is more obtuse (by $\approx 2.9^{\circ}$ ) in cis-1. These are indicative that backbonding may be somewhat stronger in the bivalent diethyldithiocarbamate complexes. Among the three concerned sulfur ligands, diethyldithiocarbamate has more negative charge on the sulfur atoms [larger contribution of canonical form $\left.=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{S}^{-}\right) \mathrm{S}^{-}\right] .{ }^{23}$ A higher degree of $\sigma$ transfer of negative charge to the metal is expected to strengthen back donation via $\sigma-\pi$ synergism.

## Experimental

Materials.-Osmium tetraoxide obtained from AroraMatthey Calcutta, India was treated with HBr to afford $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{OsBr}_{6}\right]^{24}$ which was converted ${ }^{25}$ to $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$. Sodium diethyldithiocarbamate ( NaL ) was purchased from Aldrich. The preparation of tetraethylammonium perchlorate and the purification of solvents for electrochemical and spectroscopic work were as done before. ${ }^{8}$ All other chemicals and solvents were of reagent grade and used without further purification.

Physical Measurements.-The UV/VIS/NIR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 330 spectrophotometer and infrared ( $4000-300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) spectra on a Perkin Elmer 783 spectrophotometer. The magnetic susceptibility was measured on a PAR 155 vibrating-sample magnetometer fitted with a Walker Scientific L75FBAL magnet. X-Band EPR spectra were recorded on a Varian E-109C spectrometer fitted with a quartz Dewar flask for measurements at 77 K (liquid nitrogen) and calibrated with respect to diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (dpph) ( $g=$ 2.0037). Electrochemical measurements were done by using a PAR model 370-4 electrochemistry system as described elsewhere. ${ }^{8}$ All experiments were performed under a dinitrogen atmosphere and reported potentials are uncorrected for the junction contribution. Haake model-F3K and model-D8G digital cryostats and circulators connected to appropriate jacketed cells were used for low-temperature measurements. Solution electrical conductivity was measured by using a Philips PR 9500 bridge. Microanalytical data (C, H and N) were obtained with the use of a Perkin Elmer model 240C elemental analyser.

Preparations.-cis-Bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II), cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. To a warm solution of $\left[\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right](200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $\left(30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added hydrated sodium diethyldithiocarbamate ( NaL ) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was refluxed for 1 h . Upon cooling a yellow microcrystalline solid separated which was collected by filtration, washed thoroughly with water and ethanol, and dried in vacuo over $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, yield $92 \%$.
trans-Bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium (III) hexafluorophosphate, trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. To a solution of pure cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.098 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane-acetonitrile $\left(2: 3,25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added ammonium cerium(Iv) sulfate ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in water $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The mixture was stirred magnetically for 15 min during which time the colour of the solution became deep green. The reaction mixture was then filtered, the filtrate concentrated to $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ under reduced pressure and a saturated

aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ added. The deep green solid thus obtained was collected by filtration, washed with water, and dried in vacuo over $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, yield $95 \%$.
trans-Bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium (II), trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. The complex trans-[OsL $2^{-}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetonitrile ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and stirred magnetically at 273 K under a stream of nitrogen. Hydrazine hydrate ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise. The green solution immediately changed to orange-yellow and a solid separated out. Stirring was continued for 10 min . The orange-yellow solid thus obtained was collected by filtration. The mass was washed with water and acetonitrile and dried in vacuo over $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$, yield $95 \%$.

Determination of Equilibrium Constants and Rates.-The constant $K^{\text {ll }}$ was determined ( 303 K ) by monitoring the residual intensity of the 475 nm spectral band of equilibrated $(10 \mathrm{~h})$ solutions of trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. The band is characteristic of the trans isomer (Fig. 6). The constant $K^{\text {III }}$ was estimated from the values ${ }^{8,9}$ of $K^{\mathrm{II}}, E_{\frac{1}{2}}($ cis $)$ and $E_{\frac{1}{2}}($ trans $)$ using equation (7) which is readily derived with the help of

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\mathrm{II}} K^{\mathrm{III}}=\exp \left\{F / R T\left[E_{\frac{1}{2}}(c i s)-E_{\frac{1}{2}}(\text { trans })\right]\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

a thermodynamic cycle interlinking the equilibria (1), (2), (5) and (6).

The rate constant $k^{I I}$ was determined by following the decrease in the intensity of the spectral band at 475 nm (characteristic of trans form) with time (Fig. 6). The rate constant $k^{\mathrm{III}}$ was estimated from electrochemical data using a reported method. ${ }^{20}$

X-Ray Structure Determinations.--Single crystals were grown (at 298 K for cis- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, and at 273 K for trans- $\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ ) by slow diffusion of hexane into dichloromethane solutions of the compounds. Selected crystal data and data collection parameters are given in Table 6. Data were collected on a Nicolet R3m/V diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) and were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors. Two standard reflections after each 98 reflections measured for all three cases showed no significant variations. An empirical absorption correction was done on each set of data on the basis of azimuthal scans. ${ }^{26}$

All three structures were solved by using the heavy-atom method, the position of the metal atoms being determined from Patterson maps and the remaining non-hydrogen atoms by successive Fourier difference syntheses. Non-hydrogen atoms except for the phenyl carbon atoms in the cases of cis$\left[\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and trans-[ $\left.\mathrm{OsL}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included at their calculated positions with a fixed isotropic thermal parameter of $0.08 \AA^{2}$ in the final cycle of refinement. Final residuals and the maximum residual electron densities in the final $\Delta F$ map in the three cases are as given in Table 6. Atomic coordinates for the nonhydrogen atoms are collected in Tables 7-9. All computations regarding data reduction, structure solution and refinement were carried out on a MicroVAX II computer using the SHELXTL-PLUS ${ }^{27}$ program package.

Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises H -atom coordinates, thermal parameters and remaining bond lengths and angles.
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