Kinetic studies of the reaction of hydrogen peroxide with manganesereconstituted horseradish peroxidase

Kishore Kumar Khan, Madhu Sudan Mondal and Samaresh Mitra*

Chemical Physics Group, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005, India DALTON

The kinetics of the transient reaction of hydrogen peroxide with manganese-reconstituted horseradish peroxidase (MnHRP) has been studied using stopped-flow spectrophotometry. The specificity of the reaction seems to be maximal at physiological pH. The bell-shaped pH dependence of the formation of the resulting 'peroxide' compound I has been interpreted in terms of two ionisable groups at the active site of the enzyme; the p K_a of one group was found to be near 4.8 and that of the other near 10.6. Values of the apparent second-order rate constant determined at various temperatures in the range 20–50 °C were used to calculate the thermodynamic parameters of the reaction. The apparent activation energy for the formation of MnHRP compound I was found to be higher than that of the native peroxidase and the value of the minimum energy of activation in water ($E_{H_2O}^2$), indicating that the enthalpy change associated with the binding of MnHRP to H_2O_2 may be positive.

Horseradish peroxidase (E.C. 1.11.1.7, donor-H2O2 oxidoreductase; HRP) is a haem protein which catalyses the oxidation of various substrates by hydrogen peroxide. The mechanism involves electron transfer from the metal centre of the haem group to H₂O₂, resulting in the formation of intermediate peroxide compounds.¹⁻⁷ Horseradish peroxidase forms peroxide compound I (HRP-I) by donating two reducing equivalents to H₂O₂. During the catalytic turnover, HRP-I reacts with organic substrates to give HRP-II. The mechanism of formation of these peroxide compounds has long been a subject of interest.⁸ The existence of the intermediate peroxide compound(s) of the haem proteins (which control the protein functions) is regulated by the central metal atom and the architecture of the protein cavity surrounding the haem prosthetic group. To understand the role of metal ions in the formation of the peroxide compounds, studies have been performed on metal-reconstituted proteins.⁸⁻¹⁰ Manganese protoporphyrin-reconstituted HRP (MnHRP) has been shown to form one peroxide compound on reaction with H₂O₂.⁹ Nick et al.¹⁰ have discussed the formation of the peroxide compound of MnHRP which contains a weak Mn=O bond and a proteinbased non-porphyrin radical. Further, MnHRP has been shown to exhibit lower peroxidase activity in comparison to that of native HRP.9

The present paper reports a kinetic investigation of the reaction of MnHRP with H_2O_2 . To understand the nature of the enzyme's active site experiments were performed at various pH. The results on the formation of MnHRP compound I indicate the involvement of two pH-dependent equilibria of the amino acid residues near the prosthetic group of MnHRP. The corresponding apparent thermodynamic activation parameters have been determined from studies carried out at different temperatures.

Experimental

Protoporphyrin IX dimethyl ester (dimethyl 3,7,12,17tetramethyl-8,13-divinylporphyrin-2,18-dipropanoate) and salt-free lyophilised powder of HRP were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. Hydrogen peroxide used was of reagent grade. Crude HRP was purified by ion-exchange chromatography on CM52 cellulose. Its purity was checked from its Rz $(A_{403}/A_{280} = 3.2)$ value.¹¹

Manganese(III) protoporphyrin IX was synthesised by the reported method 9,12 and purified on a Celite column.¹³ The

horseradish apoperoxidase was prepared by a slight modification of Teale's acid-butanone procedure.¹⁴ An aqueous solution of HRP (0.5 mmol dm^{-3}) in 10 mmol dm ³ sodium dihydrogen phosphate was adjusted to pH 2.3–2.4 by addition of dilute ice-cold hydrochloric acid, at 0 °C. The mixture was immediately mixed with an equal volume of ice-cold butan-2one, shaken for 30 s and allowed to settle for 5 min. The upper butanone layer was siphoned off, and the remaining aqueous phase treated several times with ice-cold butan-2-one until the butanone phase became colourless. The aqueous mixture was dialysed at 4 °C against 10 mmol dm⁻³ NaHCO₃, then water, and finally against 0.01 mol dm⁻³ tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris)–HCl buffer, pH 8.0. The concentration of horseradish apoperoxidase was measured and found to be in the range 0.2–0.3 mmol dm⁻³.

Manganese(III) protoporphyrin IX reconstituted horseradish peroxidase was prepared according to the procedure of Yonetani and Asakura.^{9,15,16} The apoperoxidase (0.2 mmol dm⁻³) in 0.01 mol dm⁻³ Tris–HCl, pH 8.0 was mixed with a 1.1-fold excess of manganese(III) protoporphyrin IX, shaken thoroughly and allowed to stand for 10 min. The mixture was adjusted to pH 7.0 and loaded onto a DEAE-cellulose column preequilibrated with 10 mmol dm⁻³ NaH₂PO₄ buffer, pH 7.0. Manganese(III) protoporphyrin IX remained firmly bound to the column and Mn^{III}HRP was eluted, concentrated using an ultrafiltration cell, lyophilised and stored at -37 °C.

The UV/VIS absorption spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu UV-2100 spectrophotometer using a cell with 1 cm path length. The kinetic measurements were performed on a microprocessor-controlled stop-flow machine (HITECH-500) by observing the increase in absorbance at 412.5 nm due to the formation of the peroxide. The concentration of H_2O_2 was measured from the absorbance at 203 nm ($\varepsilon = 72.4 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$)^{12,17} and was varied in the range 2.5–35 mmol dm⁻³. The buffers used were acetate (pH < 5.9), phosphate (6.0–8.0), Tris (8.0–9.0) and carbonate (9.3–10.6). The buffer concentration was constant at 50 mmol dm⁻³ and ionic strength was adjusted with potassium nitrate to 0.2 mol dm⁻³. The concentration of Mn^{III}HRP was kept constant at 2.5 µmol dm⁻³. Under these conditions the reaction followed a pseudo-first-order rate law.

Results

Manganese protoporphyrin IX-reconstituted HRP (MnHRP) was observed to form MnHRP compound I on quantitative

addition of hydrogen peroxide. Fig. 1 (inset) shows the optical spectra of native and hydrogen peroxide-treated MnHRP, which match with the spectra reported by others.^{9,10} In order to understand the mechanism of the formation of MnHRP compound I, we have performed a stopped-flow investigation of the transient reaction of MnHRP with H_2O_2 . Fig. 1 shows a typical stopped-flow trace showing the monophasic increase in absorbance at 413 nm due to the formation of MnHRP compound I. The corresponding pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_{obs}) was calculated from the single exponential fit of the experimental data, *e.g.* k_{obs} 43 s⁻¹ at pH 6.8 and 25 mmol dm⁻³ H₂O₂.

Yonetani and Asakura⁹ have studied the oxidation of ferrocytochrome c by H_2O_2 catalysed by MnHRP, and proposed the existence of a H_2O_2 -bound MnHRP complex. Considering a similar kind of mechanism, the transient reaction of MnHRP with H_2O_2 can be expressed as in equation (1). This leads

$$MnHRP + H_2O_2 \xrightarrow[k_1]{k_1} [MnHRP-H_2O_2] \xrightarrow{k_2} MnHRP-I \quad (1)$$

to a dependence of k_{obs} on $[H_2O_2]$,^{18,19} equation (2), where

$$\frac{1}{k_{\rm obs}} = \frac{K_{\rm D}}{k_2} \frac{1}{[{\rm H}_2 {\rm O}_2]} + \frac{1}{k_2}$$
(2)

 $K_{\rm D}$ (= k_{-1}/k_1) is the dissociation constant of the enzymesubstrate complex and k_2 the rate of formation of the product from this complex, *i.e.* the turnover number of the reaction.

The formation of MnHRP compound I was monitored at various concentrations of H_2O_2 and the corresponding values of k_{obs} were determined. A plot of k_{obs} vs. $[H_2O_2]$ at pH 6.8 is shown in Fig. 2. It is consistent with equation (3) which is

$$k_{\rm obs} = k_{\rm app} [H_2 O_2] \tag{3}$$

obtained from (2) under the condition that $K_D \ge [H_2O_2]_0$. The k_{app} (= k_2/K_D) value for the formation of MnHRP



Fig. 1 Typical stopped-flow trace for the reaction of MnHRP (2.5 μ mol dm⁻³) with H₂O₂ (12.5 mmol dm⁻³) at pH 6.8 showing the increase in absorbance at 412.5 nm. The line drawn through the experimental trace is a computer fit using a single exponential function. The residual ($A_{obs} - A_{cale}$) plot shows the accuracy of the computer generated fit. Inset: the optical spectra of MnHRP (*a*) and MnHRP compound I (*b*) in 50 mmol dm⁻³ phosphate buffer (pH 7.0); 10 mmol dm⁻³ H₂O₂ was added to 5 μ mol dm⁻³ MnHRP to obtain compound I

compound I was found to be $1.7 \times 10^3 \text{ dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH 6.8.

To examine the influence of hydrogen ions on MnHRP compound I, we have studied the kinetics of the transient reaction of MnHRP with H_2O_2 at various pH. The corresponding values of k_{app} were determined with the help of equation (3). Fig. 3 shows a typical bell-shaped pH dependence of k_{app} which indicates that at least two ionisable groups affect the rate of formation of MnHRP compound I by H_2O_2 .

Determination of thermodynamic activation parameters

The temperature dependence of the transient reaction can be used to calculate the apparent enthalpy (ΔH^{\dagger}) , free energy (ΔG^{\dagger}) , and entropy (ΔS^{\dagger}) of activation using equations (4)–(6)

$$\Delta H_{\rm app}^{\ddagger} = E_{\rm app}^{\ddagger} + RT \tag{4}$$

$$k_{\rm app} = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G_{\rm app}^{\ddagger}}{RT}\right) \tag{5}$$

$$k_{\rm app} = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta S_{\rm app}^{\ddagger}}{R}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{-\Delta H_{\rm app}^{\ddagger}}{RT}\right) \tag{6}$$

where $k_{\rm B}$ and h are the Boltzmann and Planck's constants,



Fig. 2 Plot of the observed pseudo-first-order rate constant (k_{obs}) as a function of hydrogen peroxide concentration at pH 6.8 and 25 °C. The concentration of MnHRP was kept constant at 2.5 µmol dm⁻³. The slope of the line corresponds to k_{app} the second-order rate constant, and the intercept was found to be zero



Fig. 3 Plot of k_{app} as a function of pH at 25 °C. The solid line through the experimental points is given by equation (7)



Fig. 4 Arrhenius plot for the formation of MnHRP compound I from MnHRP and H_2O_2 at 50 mmol dm⁻³ phosphate, pH 7.0

respectively. The formation of the peroxide compound was monitored at various temperatures in the range 20–50 °C and the Arrhenius plot for k_{app} is shown in Fig. 4. The activation energy for the formation of MnHRP compound I was determined from the slope as $E_{app}^{\ddagger} = 20.41 \pm 0.69$ kJ mol⁻¹. The data from these experiments were used to calculate the apparent thermodynamic activation parameters [see equations (4)–(6)]: $\Delta G_{app}^{\ddagger} = 54.44 \pm 1.85$ kJ mol⁻¹, $\Delta S_{app}^{\ddagger} =$ -105.86 ± 3.6 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹, and $\Delta H_{app}^{\ddagger} = 22.89 \pm 0.78$ kJ mol at 25 °C.

Discussion

The value of k_{app} for the reaction of H_2O_2 with MnHRP obtained here is 1.7×10^3 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹, similar to the corresponding value (8.4 × 10³ dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹) for manganese mesoporphyrin IX (7,12-diethyl-3,8,13,17-tetramethylporphyrin-2,18-dipropanoate) reconstituted HRP.⁹ Thus, the changes in substitution of the porphyrin ring in MnHRP does not seem to have a significant effect on the formation of MnHRP compound I. The k_{app} for the formation of peroxide compounds of manganese-reconstituted cytochrome c peroxidase (MnCcP) was, however, reported to be 7.5 × 10⁵ dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ respectively.^{8,20} Comparison of the k_{app} values for the native and manganese-reconstituted proteins indicates a large decrease in the apparent formation rate due to metal substitution in both HRP and CcP.

The apparent second-order rate constant (k_{app}) is related to $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ (i.e. $k_{\text{app}} = k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$), where k_{cat} and K_{M} are the turnover number and Michaelis constant respectively.¹⁹ This ratio denotes the specificity of a reaction and its magnitude is related to the properties of the free enzyme and free substrate. Thus, the bell-shaped pH dependence of k_{app} indicates that the specificity of H_2O_2 towards MnHRP is maximal near physiological pH. This dependence for the formation of MnHRP compound I is in contrast to that for the formation of native HRP compound I, which is basically independent of pH above pH 5.²¹ This indicates that there are two ionisable groups on the enzyme which affect the rate of reaction of hydrogen peroxide with MnHRP, and the rate of reaction is maximal when the group with the acid dissociation constant K_1 is deprotonated and that with the acid dissociation constant K_2 is protonated. The simplest mechanism consistent with the pH dependence of k_{app} is given by Scheme 1 where E, HE and H₂E are deprotonated, protonated and doubly protonated species of the free enzyme. The charges on the different species have been omitted for simplicity. Equation (7) can be derived from Scheme 1,²² where

$$H_{2}E$$

$$K_{1} \downarrow H'$$

$$HE + H_{2}O_{2} \xrightarrow{K'_{2}} Product$$

$$K_{2} \downarrow H'$$

$$E$$
Scheme 1
$$k_{app} = \frac{k'_{2}}{([H^{+}]/K_{1}) + K_{2}[H^{+}]^{-1} + 1}$$
(7)

 k'_2 is the pH-independent second-order rate constant and K_1 and K_2 are the equilibrium constants for amino acid residues of the proteins near the prosthetic group (see Fig. 3). The fitted values of pK_1 and pK_2 were 4.82 ± 0.06 and 10.56 ± 0.52 respectively and the value of k'_2 , which is independent of pH, was 1.78×10^3 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹.

The pH dependence of the formation of various compound I, viz. those of horseradish peroxidase,²³ lactoperoxidase,²⁴ human myeloperoxidase,²⁵ lignin peroxidase,²⁶ bromoperoxidase,²⁷ turnip peroxidase ²⁸ and intestinal peroxidase,²⁹ has indicated that peroxidases possess a distal ionisable group, the protonation state of which controls the rate of formation of compound I. The pK value of this group always seems to be in the range 3.0–5.3. The observation of one pK_a in this range suggests that the distal group which influences the formation of compound I in other peroxidases, more specifically in HRP, might also be responsible for influencing the formation of MnHRP compound I. In case of HRP, His-42 has been proposed to be the distal group.³⁰

The reaction of HRP-II with H_2O_2 has also been reported to show a bell-shaped pH dependence, which was explained by a similar kind of mechanism to that described above, involving the ionisation of two groups having pK_1 4.2 ± 0.1 and pK_2 9.1 ± 0.3.²² The oxidation of *p*-cresol by HRP compound II has been shown to be influenced by two groups having pK_a 5.4 and 8.6.³¹ These observations suggest that the groups influencing the reactions of H_2O_2 with MnHRP and with HRP-II may be the same. The small change in *pK* may be due to some change in Lewis acid character of the central metal and/or to the change in hydrophobicity of the activesite protein cavity.

The apparent activation energy for the formation of compound I of native HRP has been reported to be 14.64 \pm 4.18 kJ mol⁻¹.³² That (20.41 \pm 0.69 kJ mol⁻¹) for the formation of MnHRP compound I, is higher. This is not unexpected since MnHRP is not really a pure enzymatic species and the expected energy of activation of MnHRP should be more than that of native HRP. The apparent activation energy involves a contribution from the H₂O₂ binding step as well as one from the subsequent formation of compound I.^{33,34} Since k_{app} for the formation of MnHRP compound I involves k_2 and K_D [see equation (3)], E_{app}^{\ddagger} can be expressed as in equation (8)

$$E_{\rm app}^{\ddagger} = E_{k_2}^{\ddagger} + \Delta H_0 \tag{8}$$

where $E_{k_2}^{\dagger}$ is the activation energy for the formation of MnHRP compound I from MnHRP-H₂O₂ and ΔH_0 is the enthalpy change associated with the binding of H₂O₂ to MnHRP. A lower value of E_{app}^{\dagger} compared to $E_{H_2O}^{\dagger}$ has been observed for electron-transfer reactions between certain metal complexes which associate in solution prior to a redox step because the values of ΔH_0 were negative.³⁵ The low value of E_{app}^{\dagger} for the formation of compound I from various peroxidases and catalases in water has been explained on a similar basis.^{34,36} It has not been possible to determine the individual values of $E_{k_2}^{\dagger}$ and ΔH_0 for the reaction of MnHRP with H₂O₂. However, the observation that the activation energy for the formation of MnHRP compound I is higher than $E_{\rm H_{2O}}^{+}$ (20.41 ± 0.69 as compared to 16.28 ± 0.17 kJ mol⁻¹) indicates that the enthalpy change associated with the binding of MnHRP with H₂O₂ may be positive.

We have determined apparent thermodynamic activation parameters for the formation of MnHRP compound I: $\Delta H_{app}^{\ddagger}$ is positive, however, $\Delta S_{app}^{\ddagger}$ is negative. The positive value of $\Delta H_{app}^{\ddagger}$ indicates that the formation of MnHRP compound I is endothermic. Since $\Delta H_{app}^{\ddagger} \ll T\Delta S_{app}^{\ddagger}$, it is suggested that the free-energy change, $\Delta G_{app}^{\ddagger}$, is dominated by $\Delta S_{app}^{\ddagger}$. The negative sign of the entropy can be explained on the basis that the reaction between MnHRP and H_2O_2 (which is basically a neutral substrate) results in electron redistribution as a result of which the activated complex will be more polar than the reactants. There is, therefore, an increase in restriction of movement of electrons (an increase in electrostriction) and a corresponding negative activation entropy.³⁷

Job et al. 34 have compared the enthalpies and entropies of formation of compound I from several haem-containing proteins and a haem complex. They observed that the rate constants for the reaction of H_2O_2 with the non-enzymatic species (metal porphyrin and different types of haemoglobin and myoglobin, which do not act as an enzyme) are of the order of 10^4 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ or less, and 10^7 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the peroxidases and catalases. The activation enthalpies for the non-enzymatic species are found to be in excess of that for the ionisation of hydrogen peroxide (34.43 kJ mol⁻¹), and the activation entropies scattered around a value of zero. Such values have been explained on the basis that the non-enzymatic species are incapable of reacting with H_2O_2 . The activation enthalpies for the peroxidases and catalases are less than that for a diffusion-controlled reaction and much less than that of the enthalpy of ionisation of hydrogen peroxide. Hence, it appears that the non-enzymatic species react with the strong nucleophile HO_2^{-} . Our observation that the rate constant for the reaction of MnHRP with H_2O_2 is of the order of 10^3 dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹ places MnHRP in the category of the non-enzymatic species. However, the activation enthalpy for the present reaction (22.89 kJ mol⁻¹) is much less than that of the enthalpy of ionisation of hydrogen peroxide. This may indicate that it is hydrogen peroxide which is reacting with MnHRP.

References

- 1 N. K. King and M. E. Winfield, J. Biol. Chem., 1963, 238, 1520.
- 2 J. B. Fox, R. A. Nicholas, S. A. Ackerman and C. E. Swift, *Biochemistry*, 1974, **13**, 5178.
- 3 M. J. Davies, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1991, 1077, 86.
- 4 D. J. Kelman, J. A. DeGray and R. P. Mason, J. Biol. Chem., 1994, 269, 7458.

- 5 P. R. M. Oritz and C. E. Catalano, J. Biol. Chem., 1985, 260, 9265.
- 6 C. E. Catalano, Y. S. Choe and P. R. M. Oritz, J. Biol. Chem., 1989, 264, 10534.
- 7 J. F. Gibson, D. J. E. Ingram and P. Nicholls, *Nature (London)*, 1958, **181**, 1398.
- 8 H. B. Dunford and J. S. Stillman, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1976, 19, 187.
- 9 T. Yonetani and T. Asakura, J. Biol. Chem., 1969, 244, 4580.
- 10 J. Nick, G. B. Ray, K. M. Fish, T. G. Spiro and J. T. Groves, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 1838.
- 11 L. M. Shannon, E. Kay and J. Y. Lew, J. Biol. Chem., 1966, 241, 2166.
- 12 W. S. Caughey, W. Y. Fujimoto, A. J. Bearden and T. H. Moss, Biochemistry, 1956, 5, 1255.
- 13 M. S. Mondal, S. Mazumdar and S. Mitra, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 5362.
- 14 F. W. J. Teale, Biochim. Biophy. Acta, 1959, 35, 543.
- 15 S. Modi, A. K. Saxena, D. V. Behere and S. Mitra, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1990, **1038**, 164.
- 16 A. Saxena, S. Modi, D. V. Behere and S. Mitra, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1990, **1041**, 83.
- 17 I. Morishima and S. Ogawa, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 1978, 83, 947.
- 18 M. S. Mondal and S. Mitra, *Biochemistry*, 1994, 33, 10 305.
- 19 A. Fersht, in *Enzyme Structure and Mechanism*, 2nd edn., Freeman, San Francisco, 1985, p. 105.
- 20 T. Yonetani, J. Biol. Chem., 1967, 242, 1974.
- 21 D. Dolman, G. A. Newell, M. D. Thurlow, and H. B. Dunford, *Can. J. Biochem.*, 1975, **53**, 495.
- 22 T. Araiso and H. B. Dunford, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 1980, 94, 1177.
- 23 R. J. Maguire, H. B. Dunford and M. Morrison, Can. J. Biochem., 1971, 49, 1165.
- 24 B. G. J. N. Bolscher and R. Wever, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1984, **788**, 1.
- 25 J. K. Glenn and M. H. Gold, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1985, 242, 329.
- 26 L. A. Manthey and L. P. Hager, J. Biol. Chem., 1971, 260, 9664.
- 27 D. Job, J. Ricard and H. B. Dunford, Can. J. Biochem., 1978, 56, 702.
- 28 S. Kimura and I. Yamazaki, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1979, 242, 329.
- 29 T. L. Poulos, Adv. Inorg. Biochem, 1987, 7, 1.
- 30 S. A. Adediran and A. M. Lambeir, Eur. J. Biochem., 1989, 186, 571.
- 31 J. E. Critchlow and H. B. Dunford, J. Biol. Chem., 1972, 247, 3714.
- 32 W. D. Hewson and H. B. Dunford, Can. J. Chem., 1975, 53, 1928.
- 33 H. K. Baek and H. E. V. Wart, Biochemistry, 1989, 28, 5714.
- 34 D. Job, P. Jones and H. B. Dunford, J. Phys. Chem., 1993, 97, 9262. 35 N. Sutin, in Inorganic Biochemistry, ed. G. L. Eichborn, Elsevier,
- Amsterdam, 1973, vol. 2, p. 611.
- 36 P. Jones and H. B. Dunford, J. Theor. Biol., 1977, 69, 457.
- 37 K. J. Laider and P. S. Bunting, in *The Chemical Kinetics of Enzyme* Action, 2nd edn., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1973, p. 214.

Received 20th July 1995; Paper 5/04783H