# Syntheses, characterization, and structural chemistry of biladien-ac-10-one and  $-bc$ -5-one metal complexes with 4N or  $(3N + O)$  co-ordination

Richard G. Khoury,<sup>a</sup> Mathias O. Senge,\*<sup>++,a,b</sup> John E. Colchester<sup>c</sup> and Kevin M. Smith \*.<sup>a</sup>

**<sup>a</sup>***Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA* 

*Germany Institut fur Organische Chemie (WE* 021, *Freie Universitat Berlin, Takustrasse 3, 0-14195 Berlin,* 

*Zeneca Specialities, PO Box 42, Hexagon House, Blackley, Manchester M9 8ZS, UK* 

Transition-metal( $I$ ) complexes have been formed between Cu, Co, Pd, Hg, Cd, Zn and Ni and biladien-bc-5ones or -ac-10-ones. All show spectroscopic and analytical data in accordance with the formation of 1 : 1 complexes. Reaction of *5-* and 10-oxobiladienes with Cu", Co", Pd", Zn", Cd" and Hg" always gave complexes in which the metal is co-ordinated to the four pyrrole nitrogens (4N co-ordination) and led to a cyclic, helical conformation of the tetrapyrrole ligand. Reaction of biladien- $ac$ -10-ones with Ni<sup>n</sup> also gave the 4N-coordinated derivative. However, reaction of biladien-bc-5-ones with Ni" gave two compounds, one being the 4Nco-ordinated complex and other a side product in which the metal is co-ordinated to three pyrrole nitrogens of the conjugated system and to the meso-oxygen atom of the oxobiladiene  $[(3N + O)$  co-ordination]. The latter  $co-$ ordination type was found to occur only when the  $C(19)$  position became substituted by nucleophiles such as **OH** or OMe leading to steric repulsion of the fourth pyrrole ring away from the metal. Single-crystal structure analyses were made for 10-oxobiladien-ac complexes with 4N co-ordination of Cu<sup>II</sup>, Pd<sup>II</sup>, Ni<sup>II</sup> or Co<sup>II</sup> and for 5-oxobiladien-bc complexes with 4N co-rdination of  $Pd^{II}$ , Co<sup>II</sup> or Cu<sup>II</sup>. These showed tetrahedrally distorted co-ordination geometries in the  $MN<sub>4</sub>$  unit. The molecular structures of two nickel complexes of 5-oxobiladien-bc derivatives with square-planar ( $3N + O$ ) co-ordination have also been determined.

Owing to the important roles tetrapyrroles play in nature, much attention has been given to the chemistry and structural characterization of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins.<sup>1,2</sup> While many studies have been performed on the structural chemistry of linear tetrapyrroles and their smaller homologues<sup>3</sup> the situation is quite different for their metal complexes. Although a number of studies have appeared over the years which were concerned with the spectroscopic characterization of tetrapyrrole metal complexes related to naturally occurring bile pigments and related tetrapyrroles, $4.6$  only a few definite structure determinations were reported. The spectroscopic data available pointed to the broad variability in the molecular geometry about the metal centre.

The first structural characterizations of pyrrole metal complexes were reported in the early seventies for dipyrromethene complexes. Depending on the metal, tetrahedral, distortedtetrahedral and square-planar ML, complexes were reported  $(M = metal, L = ligand).<sup>6</sup>$  At the same time the molecular structures **of** the first linear tetrapyrrole metal complexes were characterized by the group of Dunitz during their studies on synthetic corrinoids related to vitamin  $B-12$ .<sup>7</sup> Complexes include nickel(II), palladium(II) and platinum(II) perchlorate salts of A/D-secocorrinoids<sup>7a</sup> and a nickel(II) complex of a C/D-secocorrinoid. *7b* Two zinc(I1) complexes of a biliverdin were described by Fuhrhop and co-workers.<sup>8</sup> Both a monomeric hydrate complex (ML) with an almost planar core and a bis(helical) dimer  $(M_2L_2)$  with distorted tetrahedral geometry about the zinc ion were observed with 1 **formyl-2,3,7,8,12,13,l7,18-octaethylbiliverdin.8b** The structure of a  $M_2L_2$  tetrapyrrole complex, where  $M = Zn<sup>H</sup>$  and  $L =$ biladien-ac, was described by Sheldrick and Engel. $^9$  This complex contained two distorted-tetrahedral zinc ions and two quadridentate biladien-ac ligands. Interestingly, for the zinc $(II)$ complex of a 1,19-dioxo-10-nor-bilin a polymeric structure was predicted on the basis of vapour-pressure osmometry.<sup>10</sup> The nickel(I1) complex of **2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylbilin-1,19**  dione, which showed a cyclic helical geometry with tetrahedral displacement of the pyrrole nitrogens from the  $NiN<sub>4</sub>$  plane, was described in 1977.<sup>11</sup>

DALTOI

Although much progress has been made in the intervening years with regard to tetrapyrrole structural chemistry *2,3* no detailed comparative structural analyses of linear tetrapyrrole metal complexes have so far been available. The only related structure published in recent years (2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18 octaethylbilin- **1,19-dionato)copper(11),** was obtained by Balch et al. **1,2** during studies on oxidative ring opening of metalloporphyrins.

In order to obtain detailed information on the molecular stereochemistry of metallobiladienes we have prepared a number of transition-metal(II) complexes of 5- and 10oxobiladienes. Oxobiladienes have been shown to be useful starting materials for the synthesis of porphyrins and are easily prepared.<sup>13</sup> Besides being readily available we chose oxobiladienes to investigate whether the meso 0x0 function can be involved in co-ordination to the metal centres. We here report the synthesis of oxobiladiene metal complexes with  $M = Cu<sup>H</sup>$ , Co", Ni", Pd", Cd", Zn" or Hg" and present spectroscopic and crystallographic data for the reaction products. Oxobiladienes were originally of interest to us in connection with macrocyclization reactions to accomplish a new synthetic route to oxophlorins; this route would obviously require that we obtain structural details on metallooxobiladienes in order to ascertain whether geometrical factors would enable cyclization reactions to be feasible. However, we subsequently showed that electrochemical<sup>14a</sup> and metal-promoted oxidative cyclization reactions<sup>14b</sup> failed to yield metallooxophlorins or other similar tetrapyrrole products.

### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Syntheses**

For the synthesis of biladien- $ac$ -10-ones and - $bc$ -5-ones we

t **Address for correspondence is the** German one.

employed the respective *5-* and 10-oxobilanes, the syntheses of which have been described earlier.<sup>13</sup> The 1,19-di(benzyloxycarbony1)oxobilanes utilized had substituent patterns, which upon cyclization, would have led to macrocycles of the mesoporphyrin IX, XI or **XI1** type. For preparation of the desired metal complexes the oxobilanes were oxidized with bromine in situ to give the corresponding oxobiladiene dihydrobromides, which were used without further purification for metallation with the respective metal acetates or acetylacetonates in chloroformmethanol. Application of this method to the bilan-lO-one **I** gave the corresponding metal complexes 1 with  $M = Co$ , Ni, Pd or Cu as the single products (Scheme 1). In a similar manner the bilan-5-ones **V** and **VI** could be converted into the corresponding metallobiladien-bc-5-ones 3 and 4 with  $M = Co$ , Pd, Cu or Ni (Schemes **3** and **4).** In the case of Co, Pd and Cu again only a single product was formed during metallation. Metallation with nickel(II) acetate, however, yielded two different products *(5* and *6),* one being the expected metal complex (e.g. **2b)** where the metal was chelated by the four pyrrole nitrogens the other being identified as a complex involving co-ordination of the meso-oxygen to the nickel (e.g. *5).* 

In order to prepare metal complexes with less complex side chains a new synthetic route to biladien-ac-lO-ones was developed by condensation of 2 equivalents of pyrrole **I1** with the bis(formylpyrroly1)ketone **111.** The acid pyrrole was







decarboxylated in trifluoroacetic acid and then condensed with the ketone in methanol (Scheme **2).** Addition of diethyl ether at low temperature caused the product **IV** to precipitate in *85%*  yield. Although the synthesis of the biladien-ac-lO-one **IV** is fairly direct and practical, the strategy followed can only be applied to the synthesis of symmetric oxobiladienes-ac. Metallation of **IV** with metal acetates in dry methanol and in the presence of sodium acetate proceeded smoothly and was completed after about 20 min of stirring. With the exception of the zinc and mercury complexes, the metal derivatives formed crystals and could then be easily isolated. The zinc and mercury derivatives required purification on alumina (grade 111) using  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  as the eluent. In all cases a single product was usually obtained after metallation.

#### **10-Oxobiladiene-ac metal complexes**

**Structures.** As a typical example of the metal complexes the palladium structure **lc** is shown in Fig. **1.** Structural data were also obtained for the corresponding complexes of **Cu,** Ni and Co, **Id, lb** and **la.** Selected bond lengths for this and other structures are compiled in Table 1. All metal complexes were of the ML type and  $M_2L_2$  complexes were not formed in contrast



**3 M** = **Co a,Ni b,Pd c orCud Scheme 3** *(i) (a)*  $Br_2$ , thf, HBr; *(b)*  $[M(\text{acac})_2]$ , CHCl<sub>3</sub>, MeOH



**Scheme 2 Scheme 4** *(i) (a)*  $\text{Br}_2$ , thf,  $\text{HBr}$ ; (b)  $\text{[M(accc)}_2\text{], } \text{CHCl}_3$ , MeOH







**Fig. 1** Crystal structure and numbering scheme of complex **lc.**  Ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity

to a zinc $(n)$  biliverdin complex.<sup>9</sup> The general structural characteristics of the biladiene- $ac$  ligand system in the different metal complexes are rather similar and agreed with those found for related systems.<sup>15</sup> The keto character of the meso-oxo function was clearly evidenced by C-0 bond lengths in the order of 1.237-1.254 A. The bis(dipyrrin) character, i.e. separation of the tetrapyrrole system into two dipyrrin halves separated by the meso-carbonyl group at  $C(10)$ , is evidenced by the elongated  $C(9)$ -C(10) and C(10)-C(11) bond lengths. The  $C_a-C_m-C_a$  angles at  $C(10)$  are widened  $(\approx 120^\circ)$  compared to those of meso groups involving conjugated groups of the dipyrromethene units at  $C(5)$  or  $C(15)$  (124-127°). The metal complexes are characterized by a helical conformation of the tetrapyrrole ligand co-ordinated via the four pyrrole nitrogens. The helical conformation is brought about by the steric interactions of the benzyl ester substituents at positions *C(* 1) and  $C(19)$ . These substituents lead to large separations between pyrrole rings I and **IV** as is illustrated in Fig. 2 for the complex **1d** [e.g. a  $C(1) \cdots C(19)$  separation of 3.290 Å, see Table 3]. In contrast, a **1,19-di(ethoxycarbony1)-2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18**  octamethylbiladiene-ac dihydrobromide exhibited a quite different structure. There, the two dipyrrin units were folded away from each other and formed a dihedral angle of  $107^{\circ}$ .<sup>16</sup> **A** number of other biladiene-ac structures are known. However, these free-base structures are related to the naturally occurring bilirubins and show a ridge-tile conformation.<sup>15</sup>

The overall geometries of all metal complexes **1** are rather



**Fig. 2** Side view of the macrocycle skeleton of complex **Id.** Hydrogen atoms and side-chain atoms have been omitted for clarity



**Fig. 3** Crystal structure and numbering scheme of complex **lb,** form **A.** Details as in **Fig.** 1

similar. This is true not only for the monoclinic isostructural series ( $M = Cu$ ,  $Pd$  or  $Co$ ) but also for the respective nickel complex **lb** which crystallized in two different triclinic modifications (Fig. 3). Generally the two propionic methyl ester groups at  $C(3)$  and  $C(17)$  are extended away from the central part of the molecule and the two benzyl ester groups at *C(* 1) and C( 19) are similarly extended in opposite directions. However, in the latter case this leads to the orientation of one benzyl ester group over the face of the tetrapyrrole unit. Owing to the break in conjugation at C(10) the two dipyrrin units are not coplanar. Pyrroles **I1** and **I11** are tilted against each other by 18.8-25.1' (Table 3). Notably the individual dipyrrin units are not fully planar either, and the angles between rings I and I1 or **I11** and **1V** range from 4.7 to 25.7", respectively. With the exception of **lb** (form **B)** the largest bend in the tetrapyrrole unit is invariably found at  $C(10)$ , where the *meso*-carbonyl group is located.

The co-ordination about the metal centres is tetrahedrally distorted in all cases. This is evidenced by the large deviations from planarity for the pyrrole nitrogens and the angles between the two N-M-N planes (Table 3) which increased in the order **lc** < **la** < **lb** < **Id.** This is in agreement with the relative tendency of these metal $(II)$  ions to retain square-planar coordination geometries. The observed co-ordination patterns are a result of the opposing forces of crystal-field stabilization energy and steric interactions, which favour planar and tetrahedrally distorted geometries, respectively. The ideal square-planar metal co-ordination is made impossible here by the helical conformation of the ligand system; due to the substituents at  $C(1)$  and  $C(19)$  a more planar co-ordination about the metal would have been only possible with major

distortions from planarity of the tetrapyrrole skeleton and loss of  $\pi$ -delocalization energy. Nevertheless, some distortion occurs in the two dipyrrin halves of the ligands as evidenced by the interpyrrole ring angles in Table 3. Thus, the pitch of the helices, often characterized by the  $C(1) \cdots C(19)$  distances, is not as good a measure of the distortion in the metal chelate as is the degree of tetrahedral distortion in the  $MN<sub>4</sub>$  unit.

Compared to a bis(dipyrrin) *6b* and a bis(semicorrin) compared  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha$  for which crystal structures are available, the tetrahedral distortion in the  $MN_4$  units is smaller in 1d since the tetrapyrrole system cannot distort as much as two individual dipyrrin units can rotate against each other. This is reflected in the relevant Cu-N bond lengths. The average Cu-N bond length in 1d is 1.963(4) Å, compared to 1.99(4) Å in the bis(dipyrrin) copper(II) complex.<sup>6b</sup> The relationship between Cu-N bond length and tetrahedral distortion has been shown for a number of copper $(II)$  complexes with 4N ligands.<sup>18</sup> This is also reflected in the non-equivalence of the individual Cu-N bond lengths in **Id.** Bonds to pyrrole rings **I1** and **I11** [average 1.952(4)  $\AA$ ] which are less out-of-plane are shorter than those to rings I and IV [average 1.975(4) A]. Similar differences are found in the other metal complexes. Nevertheless, the Cu-N bond lengths found in **Id** are slightly shorter than those found in copper(II) porphyrins with a square-planar metal coordination.<sup>2b,</sup>

Similar trends are observed in the other metal complexes. Complex **lc** shows the smallest degree of tetrahedral distortion and exhibits an average Pd–N bond length of  $2.011(3)$  Å. This is slightly larger than in square-planar  $PdN<sub>4</sub>$  complexes [1.991– 2.004(2)  $\text{\AA}$ <sup>20</sup> and shorter than in a bis(dipyrrin) palladium(II) complex [2.028(10) Å],<sup>6c</sup> which was more distorted. The Pd-N bond lengths are comparable with those found in palladium $(II)$ porphyrins, which show mostly square-planar co-ordination.<sup>2b,19a,21</sup> The Pd-N bond lengths of 2.01 Å were found in the structure of a palladium(I1) secocorrinoid, which was also tetrahedrally distorted. *7a* Complex **la** shows an average Co-N bond length of 1.892(3) A. Comparative data are 1.888(2) and 2.004(3) Å for almost square-planar <sup>22</sup> and pseudo-tetrahedral<sup>23</sup>  $CoN<sub>4</sub>$  complexes, respectively. Cobalt(II) porphyrins generally exhibit slightly longer Co-N bond lengths.<sup>2b,24</sup> Complex 1b exhibits an average Ni-N bond length of 1.892(3) Å, again shorter than Ni-N bond lengths found in porphyrins, $2^{b,25}$  and intermediate between those of other  $NiN<sub>4</sub>$  complexes with square-planar or distorted geometry. *26* These Ni-N bond lengths are directly comparable to those found in a nickel $(II)$  $A/D$  secocorrinoid  $(1.88 \text{ Å})$ .<sup>7a</sup> Notably, the structure of a nickel(I1) **5,15-dimethy1-5,15-dihydroporphyrin** exhibits Ni-N bond lengths of 1.908(5) **A.27** That structure can also be considered as a bis(dipyrrin) complex, albeit with much less possibility for tetrahedral distortion. The nickel complex of **2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylbilin-l,19-dione,** which exhibits a similar conformation to that of **lb,** showed Ni-N bond lengths of 1.886(7)  $\AA$ ,<sup>11</sup> while a nickel(II) bis(dipyrrin) with larger distortion of the NiN<sub>4</sub> co-ordination sphere exhibited Ni-N bond lengths of 1.953(8)  $\AA$ .<sup>6c</sup>

The complexes **la, lc** and **Id** form part of an isostructural series. These molecules pack in the crystal by formation of dimer-like structures which are related to each other by close contacts between the meso-carbonyl oxygen 0(1) and the methylene group at C(3). The observed  $CH_2 \cdots$  O=C contacts are of the order of 2.4-2.5 Å. Additionally  $\pi$  aggregation involving overlap of the pyrroles containing N(22) was found. The separation between neighbouring pyrrole **I1** units is 3.5-3.6 A. The unsolvated modification of **lb** (form A) formed sheet-like structures in the crystal. Notable are close contacts between aromatic protons from the benzyl ester groups with carbonyl oxygens of the benzyl esters in neighbouring molecules. Similar aggregates were found in the solvated modification of **lb** (form B). Here, interactions between aromatic protons and carbonyl oxygens from propionic methyl ester groups and between

methylene groups from propionic ester groups and the mesocarbonyl oxygen atom are found.

The structural trends observed in complexes **1** are retained in **2** and the overall structural characteristics in both series are comparable. A top view of the molecular structure of **2b** and a side view of **2c** are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. **Of** the three complexes studied crystallographically, the palladium complex shows the smallest degree of distortion in the  $MN<sub>4</sub>$ unit and the copper complex the largest. The M-N bond lengths are comparable to those found in **1,** while the degree of distortion **is** slightly larger in **2** (Table 3). This might be due to the slightly higher steric demand of the sp<sup>3</sup>-hybridized methyl group at  $C(1)$  and  $C(19)$  in 2 compared to the sp<sup>2</sup> carbonyl in 1.

With the exception of the *meso*-carbonyl group, no oxygen atoms are present in complexes **2** and crystal packings quite different from those described for **1** were found. All three compounds 2b-2d formed rather similar crystal structures, the last two being isostructural. The closely packed structures have intermolecular contacts involving methyl side chains and the metal centres of the order of **3.7** A.

**Spectroscopy.** The NMR spectra of complexes **lb** and **lc**  indicated a rather symmetric structure in solution. Only one proton signal was observed for the  $meso-H$  and  $\beta$ -pyrrole substituents. Similarly, **lb** exhibited a singlet at *6* 4.85 for the benzyl protons of the  $PhCH<sub>2</sub>O(O)C<sub>-</sub>$ groups at  $C(1)$  and  $C(19)$ indicating a similar conformation for both ester groups, presumably extended away from the metal chelate system. A different situation was encountered in **lc** where the benzyl signals were found as a complex multiplet  $(6, 4.66-4.92)$ . This indicates diastereotopic CH<sub>2</sub> protons, presumably due to the fact that the structurally enforced helicity in the complexes introduced prochirality in the benzylic methylenes.

Similar results were found with the proton NMR spectra of



**Fig. 4** Crystal structure and numbering scheme **of** complex **2b.**  Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity



**Fig.** *5*  Side view of the molecular structure of complex **2c.** Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity



**Fig. 6** Crystal structure and numbering scheme of complex **3c.** Details as in Fig. <sup>1</sup>



**Fig. 7**  Crystal structure and numbering scheme of complex **4a.** Details **as in** Fig. 1

complexes **2b, 2c** and **2e-2g.** The spectra exhibited singlets for the *meso*-protons and the methyl groups and one  $ABX_3$  system (ethyl groups with diastereotopic  $\text{CH}_2$  protons). Both atropisomers were isolated for the cadmium complex **2f,** which were stable in solution showing no interconversion. One had an  $A_2X_3$  system (ethyl CH<sub>2</sub>) while the other had one ABX<sub>3</sub> system (ethyl groups with diastereotopic  $\text{CH}_2$  protons). At room temperature the metal diethyloctamethylbiladien- $ac$ -10-ones are locked into one conformation indicating a rigid structure for these molecules. The diastereotopicity of the ethyl  $\rm CH_{2}$  protons encountered in the 'H NMR spectrum was maintained at high temperatures (375 K) for the nickel and cadmium complexes **2**  indicating a restriction in their conformational flexibility.

The electronic absorption spectra of the metallobiladien-ac-10-ones are characterized by a main absorption band around 450 nm, along with one or two lower-intensity bands around 550 and 670 nm. The overall spectral type is that expected for a bis(dipyrrin) metal complex, since the 10-keto group effectively separates the tetrapyrrole into two dipyrrin units.<sup>28</sup> Falk and



**Fig. 8** Crystal structure of complex **4c.** Details as in Fig. **<sup>1</sup>**

co-workers **28** have shown that the spectra of bis(dipyrrin) metal complexes depend strongly on the relative geometry of the dipyrrin units. In most cases the angles between the two dipyrrin units in **1** or **2** are rather similar and are in the range 27-36' *(e.g.* 35.9 in **2b,** 32.7" in **2c,** 36.2" in **2d).** The observed differences in the individual UV/VIS spectra have thus to be due to either metal effects or different conformations in solution. The difficulty of predicting the exact solution conformation prevents a detailed interpretation of the spectra.

# **5-Oxobiladiene-bc metal complexes with 4N co-ordination**

**Structures.** Metal complexes were formed with two different biladien-bc-5-ones. As a typical example the structure of the palladium complex **3c** is shown in Fig. *6.* Overall, the structures are similar to those observed for the chelates of biladien-ac-10-ones. However, a closer inspection reveals significant differences in the conformation of the tetrapyrrole ligand. The meso-carbonyl group at C(5) separates the ligand system into a pyrrole and a tripyrrin unit, clearly evidenced by the respective geometrical parameters of the ligand (Table 3). These are similar to those found in a **4,5-dimethoxybilin-hc-l,** 19-dione **<sup>29</sup>** and an etiotripyrrinone aldehyde.<sup>30</sup> Interruption of the conjugation at C(5) allows larger tilts of pyrrole ring I against the rest of the molecule; this is shown by the larger pyrrole tilt angles for ring I uersus 11. Both in **3c** and **3d** the steric hindrance induced by the substituents at  $C(1)$  and  $C(19)$  leads to larger tilt angles between rings IV and III compared to ring II versus III. Although the conformational properties of the biladiene-bc ligands in **1** or **2** are quite different from those of the biladienes*ac* in **3** and **4,** the degree of tetrahedral distortion of the metal co-ordination is about the same with both ligand types. For example, the angles between the planes  $N(21)$ -Cu- $N(22)$  and N(23)-Cu-N(24) are 33.7, 34.2 and 34.2" in **Id, 2d** and **3d,**  respectively while the corresponding Cu-N bond lengths are on average 1.963(4), 1.955(3) and 1.973(3) A. Similar trends are observed in the N-M-N bond angles.

The molecular packing of complex **3c** is characterized by the formation of dimers in the crystal which are held together by an intricate network of aromatic and aliphatic  $C-H\cdots O$ interactions of the type described for complexes **1.** The hydrogen-oxygen contacts are in the order of 2.6 A. Close intermolecular contacts in the structure of **3d** involved aromatic C-H ester carbonyl interactions  $[H(1b) \cdots O(1) 2.6 \text{ Å}]$  and the metal centre and alkyl side chain  $[Cu \cdots C(8a)$  3.716 Å].

Switching of the substituent pattern of the tetrapyrrole ligand from type **3** to type **4** did not lead to major changes in the



~~ ~



structure of the metal complexes. The molecular structures of **4a** and **4c** are shown in Figs. 7 and **8,** respectively. **A** comparison of **3c** and **4c** shows rather similar degrees of tetrahedral distortion and M-N bond lengths. The molecules show some differences in the conformation of the side-chain esters. The relative conformation of the benzyl ester chains in **4a** resembles more the situation found in **lc** or **lb,** while their conformation in **4c** is similar to that found in **3c.** Notable differences are found in a comparison of the structures of **la** and **4a.** The degree of tetrahedral distortion in the latter is much larger (angle between  $MN$ , planes 36.3°) than in the former (27.4°). This is mirrored in longer Co-N bond lengths in **4a** [1.958(3) A] compared to **la**  [1.892(10) A].

Compound **4a** showed molecular packing similar to that described for **3d;** however, no unusually short intermolecular contacts were observed. The molecules of **4c** packed by formation of a network connected by a number of  $C-H \cdots O$ interactions involving both ester oxygen atoms and the carbonyl oxygen at  $C(5)$  (range 2.4–2.55 Å).

**Spectroscopy.** Proton NMR spectra of the nickel and palladium complexes **3** and **4** indicated solution structures rather similar to those found in the solid state. Different signals were observed for all proton groups, including those of the benzene rings or the methyl ester groups. **As** was observed for some biladien-ac-10-one complexes **1,** the benzyl protons in **3b, 4b, 3c** and **4c** were found to be diastereotopic due to prochirality introduced by the enforced helical conformations in these compounds. Signals for these protons were usually found as multiplets around **6** 4.4-4.9. The *500* MHz NMR spectra showed the multiplets to consist of four sets of doublets with  $J = 12.5$  Hz in line with two different AX systems. Differences between the spectra of the nickel and palladium complexes are found for the *meso-*proton signals. While for the palladium complexes both *meso*-proton signals were found close together around **6** 7.2-7.4, a larger separation was found for the nickel complexes **3b** and **4b.** Here one meso-H signal was observed around **6** 7.4 and the other around *6* 6.4.

The 5-keto group effectively separates the tetrapyrrole system into a pyrrole and a tripyrrin system. Thus, electronic absorption spectra characteristic for the latter fragment were expected and found. No significant differences were found between spectra of complexes **3** and **4.** However, two different types of spectra were found for different metal complexes in either series. The complexes of Cu and Pd exhibited spectra with two main bands centred around 400 and 760 nm. The longwavelength band is shifted bathochromically by about 200 nm when compared to those of non-metallated tripyrrin systems.<sup>3</sup> In contrast, the spectra of the complexes of Ni and Co exhibit a main absorption band around 350 nm and six overlapping bands of similar intensity in the region 400-750 nm, indicating substantial differences in their structural characteristics when compared with the complexes of Cu and Pd.

### **5-Oxobiladiene-bc metal complexes with (3N** + **0) coordination**

Two molecules with quite different structures (Table 2) were obtained from the metallation of the ligand system in **3** and **4** 



**Fig. 9** Crystal structure and numbering scheme of complex **5.** Details as in Fig. 1



**Fig. 10** Crystal structure and numbering scheme of complex **6.** Details as in Fig. 1

with nickel(II) acetate. Not only do the nickel complexes **5** (Fig. 9) and *6* (Fig. 10) show coordination to three pyrrole nitrogens and the *meso-oxygen* atom, but nucleophilic attack had also occurred in the ligand system at C( 19). In **5** a hydroxyl group and in *6* a methoxyl group have been added leading to an sp3-hybridized carbon centre in pyrrole **IV.** Similar substitution reactions at terminal  $C_a$  positions have been described in the literature. **29** Introduction of these groups is possibly responsible for the observed metal co-ordination pattern. The presence of two substituents at C(19) increases the steric demand in its vicinity to such a degree that **MN,** co-ordination with the large benzyl ester group at **C(1)** is no longer possible. The only way co-ordinatively to saturate the metal atom lies in involvement of the meso-carbonyl oxygen which also requires rotation of the pyrrole I unit away from the rest of the remaining tripyrrin part. This is evidenced by the large tilt angles (50.9' in *5* and 57.4" in *6)* between pyrroles I and **11. A** similar co-ordination of the nickel centre to three pyrrole nitrogens and one oxygen atom was proposed for a **19-ethoxy-2,2,7,8,12,13,18,19-octamethyl-2,3,17,18-tetrahydrobilatriene-3,17-diene** by Gossauer and Inhoffen.31 However, in that case the 0x0 function involved in

### **Table 2** Selected bond lengths (A) and bond angles (") of compounds *5* and *6*



~ ~~~

**Table 3** Selected structural parameters of the metal complexes studied

| Compound         |                                     |                   |                               |  | planes   | Angles (°) between pyrrole |         | Distances/A         |                       |  |  |  |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------|----------------------------|---------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|                  | $MN_{4}$<br>distortion <sup>4</sup> | $\Delta 4N^b/\AA$ | $\Delta(3N + O)^c/\text{\AA}$ | $\Delta$ 23 <sup><math>d</math></sup> /Å | $1 - II$ | $II$ -III                  | $II-IV$ | $C(1) \cdots C(19)$ | $C(1A) \cdots C(19A)$ |  |  |  |
| 1d               | 33.7                                | 0.400             |                               | 0.571                                    | 10.6     | 24.9                       | 15.7    | 3.290               | 3.771                 |  |  |  |
| 1a               | 27.4                                | 0.319             |                               | 0.524                                    | 13.7     | 23.9                       | 15.8    | 3.065               | 3.769                 |  |  |  |
| 1c               | 21.9                                | 0.267             |                               | 0.479                                    | 11.5     | 25.1                       | 13.8    | 3.229               | 3.599                 |  |  |  |
| $1b$ (form A)    | 28.6                                | 0.272             | --                            | 0.518                                    | 4.7      | 18.8                       | 16.0    | 2.941               | 3.771                 |  |  |  |
| $1b$ (form $B$ ) | 30.3                                | 0.355             |                               | 0.618                                    | 11.1     | 23.4                       | 16.6    | 3.096               | 3.636                 |  |  |  |
| 2 <sub>b</sub>   | 31.0                                | 0.292             | ----                          | 0.665                                    | 13.2     | 23.3                       | 23.0    | 3.265               | 3.914                 |  |  |  |
| 2c               | 23.6                                | 0.292             | $\overline{\phantom{a}}$      | 0.591                                    | 14.8     | 26.6                       | 14.8    | 3.370               | 3.629                 |  |  |  |
| 2d               | 34.2                                | 0.412             |                               | 0.673                                    | 13.4     | 25.7                       | 13.4    | 3.392               | 3.792                 |  |  |  |
| 3c               | 19.5                                | 0.234             |                               | 0.353                                    | 28.7     | 2.7                        | 9.7     | 3.088               | 3.493                 |  |  |  |
| 3d               | 34.2                                | 0.410             |                               | 0.520                                    | 21.5     | 7.2                        | 13.6    | 3.265               | 3.743                 |  |  |  |
| 4а               | 36.3                                | 0.429             | <b>Services</b>               | 0.609                                    | 7.5      | 19.3                       | 17.7    | 3.314               | 3.694                 |  |  |  |
| 4c               | 18.6                                | 0.228             |                               | 0.357                                    | 13.3     | 3.8                        | 14.0    | 3.146               | 3.361                 |  |  |  |
| 5                | 2.3                                 | 0.176             | 0.015                         | 0.181                                    | 50.9     | 9.5                        | 4.7     | 5.732               | 5.348                 |  |  |  |
| 6                | 4.1                                 | 0.082             | 0.043                         | 0.237                                    | 57.4     | 1.1                        | 12.1    | 6.796               | 6.522                 |  |  |  |

6 4.1 0.082 0.043 0.237 57.4 1.1 12.1 6.796 6.522<br>
<sup>4</sup> Angle between the two MN<sub>2</sub> planes in the MN<sub>4</sub> unit; in complexes **5** and 6 the angle between the NiNO and NiN<sub>2</sub> plane. <sup>5</sup> Deviations of the four<br>
pyrrole nitrogen

the co-ordination was a  $\beta$ -pyrrole and co-ordination to the oxygen required rotation of a pyrrole unit by 180".

In contrast to the metal complexes with 4N co-ordination the  $NiN<sub>3</sub>O$  unit exhibits a square-planar co-ordination geometry with only minute deviations from planarity (Table 3). The Ni-N bond distances in *5* [1.845(6) A] and **6** [1.837(3) A] are shorter than in the other nickel complexes with tetrahedral distortion described here. The Ni-N and Ni-0 bond lengths agree well with those found in other  $NiN<sub>3</sub>O$  complexes with square-planar co-ordination geometry. **32** Owing to the co-ordination to the nickel atom the  $C_m$ -O bond lengths are elongated [1.301(11) Å in **5** and 1.318(4) Å in **6**].

With regard to the molecular packing in the crystal the most prominent feature of complexes **5** and **6** is the presence of the pyrrole hydrogen at  $N(2)$  in the pyrrole unit not involved in coordination. While one possible reason for the observation of the  $(3N + O)$  co-ordination is the presence of the hydroxyl or methoxyl substituent at  $C(19)$ , analysis of the molecular packing reveals that the NH group in ring I is involved in hydrogen bonding which also prevents the utilization of this pyrrole unit for co-ordination. Thus, it is possible that the nucleophile is added after the  $(3N + O)$  co-ordination to the metal ion. Two different types of hydrogen bonds are formed in both nickel complexes. In the structure of *5* the molecules form parallel layers in which neighbouring tetrapyrrole units are connected by weak interactions  $[H(18a) \cdots O(4)$  2.543,  $H(17e) \cdots O(5)$  2.562 Å]. The propionic methyl ester groups of the molecules in neighbouring layers point towards each other. Thus bilayer-like structures are formed in the crystal. Notable is the intramolecular hydrogen bond between the NH in ring I and the hydroxyl group at  $C(19)$   $[N(21)\cdots O(8)$  3.078,  $H(21a) \cdots$  O(8) 2.279 Å]. This intramolecular hydrogen bond fixes pyrrole I in an orientation where the NH vector points to the same face as the *meso*-carbonyl group at  $C(5)$  $\Gamma(N(21) \cdots O(3)$  2.821 Å, torsion angle N(21)-C(4)-C(5)-O(3)  $40.3^{\circ}$ ]. Quite a different situation was encountered in the crystal structure of **6.** Here dimeric structures are formed in the crystal which are held together by two intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the pyrrole NH unit and ester carbonyl oxygens of the benzyl ester group at  $C(1) \left[N(21) \cdots O(1)\right]$  2.784,  $H(21a) \cdots$  O(1) 2.120 Å]. In contrast to the packing observed for *5* this requires that the pyrrole **I** unit is rotated away from the main metal co-ordination area and the N-H vector pointed in a direction opposite to that of the  $C(19)-C(10)$  vector  $[N(21) \cdots O(5)$  3.452 Å, torsion angle N(21)–C(4)–C(5)–O(5)  $-125.6^{\circ}$ ]. Additional stabilization of the molecular packing in *6* is provided by weak interactions between C-H and oxygen functionalities  $[H(3a) \cdots O(3) 2.553, H(17e) \cdots O(6) 2.446 \text{ Å}]$ and close contacts involving the nickel centre are observed  $[Ni \cdots H(13d) 2.879 \text{ Å}]$ . Thus, two different types of hydrogen bonds give rise to two quite different orientations of the pyrrole unit part of the biladiene-bc with  $(3N + O)$  co-ordination. The two nickel complexes exhibited rather similar spectroscopic characteristics in solution, indicating they have similar solution structures.

# **Conclusion**

This study has shown that both biladien-ac-10-one and biladien-bc-5-one typically form  $1:1$  metal complexes with a variety of transition metals. Normally, the metal centres are coordinated in a distorted tetrahedral fashion by the four pyrrole units and the tetrapyrrole ligand exhibits a helical conformation with significant deviations from planarity. The degree of tetrahedral distortion was largest for copper, nickel and cobalt complexes, while palladium complexes showed a smaller degree of distortion. The biladiene-ac and *-bc* ligand systems led to very similar degrees of tetrahedral distortion of the coordination for individual metals. Tetrahedral distortion of the  $MN_4$  units was due to the substituents at C(1) and C(19) which made square-planar co-ordination of the metals sterically impossible. Different types of conformational distortion of the tetrapyrrolic Iigand were observed for 5- and 10-0x0 biladienes. In addition two nickel complexes of biladien-bc-5-one with co-

ordination of the metal to the *meso*-carbonyl oxygen and three pyrrole nitrogens were described. These showed square-planar NiN,O co-ordination with rotation of the unco-ordinated pyrrole unit away from the residual tripyrrin unit. This coordination type was only observed when additional substituents were present at C(19) which led to increased steric bulk and prevented coordination of pyrrole I to the nickel atom and made the pyrrole NH available for inter- and intra-molecular hydrogen bonding.

# **Experimental**

# **General**

Melting points were measured on a Reichert-Thermovar apparatus and are uncorrected. Neutral alumina (Merck, usually Brockmann Grade III, *i.e.* deactivated with  $6\%$  water) was used for column chromatography. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed using Merck 60 F254 silica gel (precoated sheets, 0.2 mm thickness). Reactions were monitored by TLC and spectrophotometry. Elemental analyses were performed at Midwest Microlab, Indiana, USA. Electronic absorption spectra were measured in dichloromethane solution using a Hewlett-Packard 8450A or Zeiss Speccord P10 spectrophotometer, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Bruker **AM** 500 or AM 270 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained with electron ionization using a Finnigan 3200 spectrometer [direct insertion probe,  $70 \text{ eV}$  *(ca.* 1.12  $\times$  10<sup>-17</sup> J), 50 mA, source temperature from 200 to 400 **"C]** at the Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of California, San Francisco.

### **Syntheses**

The oxobilanes used as starting material were prepared according to literature procedures.<sup>13</sup> Unless otherwise stated, they were oxidized with bromine in tetrahydrofuran solution and crystallized as the hydrobromide salt of the corresponding oxobiladienes. The unco-ordinated oxobiladiene dihydrobromides were not further characterized but used immediately for metallation. Metallation was carried out by dissolving the oxobiladienes in chloroform and addition of the respective metal salts in the form of dichlorides or acetates dissolved in methanol. The solution was refluxed overnight, then poured into water and the products extracted with chloroform. The organic phase was washed repeatedly with water to remove excess of salts, and then dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . Compounds were purified by column chromatography (alumina grade 111, elution with chloroform). Except for metallations with nickel(1r) acetate (see text), only one major product fraction was obtained. Metal complexes of 8,12 diethyl-1,2,3,7,13,17,18,19-octamethylbiladien-ac-10-one dihydrobromide IV were prepared by adding biladiene (150 mmol), metal diacetate (0.2 mmol) and sodium acetate (0.1 1 mmol) to dry methanol (50 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was stirred for 15 min under argon. The metallic purple crystals were filtered off, washed with cold methanol and dried under vacuum. All samples were recrystallized from methylene chloride-hexane.

[ **1,19-Di@enzyloxycarbonyl)-8,12-diethyl-3,17-di(2 methoxycarbonylethyl)-2,7,13,18-tetramethylbiladien-ac- 10 onato]cobalt(II) 1a. Black crystals, yield 86%, m.p. 183-186 °C** (Found: C, 66.4; H, 5.60; N, 6.20.  $C_{51}H_{52}CoN_4O_9$  requires C, 66.3; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 462 (41 800), 560 (sh, 15 900) and 660 (sh, 7200) *mjz* 923.9  $(M^{+})$ .

**Complex 15 (M = Ni).** Black crystals, yield  $95\%$ , m.p. 191– 192 °C (Found: C, 66.2; H, 5.50; N, 5.95. C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>52</sub>N<sub>4</sub>NiO<sub>9</sub> requires C, 66.3; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%);  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/nm$  ( $\epsilon/dm^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  454 (45 500), 558 (13 400) and 680 (sh);  $\delta_H(CDCl_3)$ 1.14 (6 H, t, J 7 Hz, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.04 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.24 (6



Table **4** Summary of crystal data, data collection and refinement for the metal complexes Table 4 Summary of crystal data, data collection and refinement for the metal complexes

| $\frac{{\rm C}_{52} {\rm H}_{56} {\rm N}_4 {\rm NiO}_{10}}{955.7}$ | Parallelepiped<br>Green   | $\frac{0.33 \times 0.18 \times 0.17}{P1}$ |  | Triclinic        |           |                        |                                     |          |          | $\begin{array}{l} 13.055(2)\\ 15.090(4)\\ 15.177(3)\\ 118.26(2)\\ 110.20(2)\\ 14.22(2)\\ 94.22(2)\\ 2368(1) \end{array}$ |       |   |                           | 1.339<br>1.115<br>1.115<br>108.5<br>108.5<br>1.115<br>1.115<br>1.106<br>1.008<br>5780 P > 4o(F)]<br>1.30<br>0.129<br>1.30<br>1.30<br>1.30 |        |        |                         |                                  |                |                 |      |   |                        |  |   |
|--|---|---|--|------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|--|-------|---|---------------------------|---|--------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------|---|------------------------|--|---|
| $C_{51}H_{54}N_4N_1O_{10}$ 941.7                                   | Parallelepiped<br>Dark brown<br>0.88 × 0.28 × 0.24<br>$P_{2,j}$ /c<br>Monoclinic<br>Monoclinic<br>25.190(6)<br>8.481(2)<br>8.481(2) |   |  |                  |           |                        |                                     |          | 94.78(2) | 4601(2)  |       | 1.359<br>0.483<br>50                            |                           | $\frac{+h}{1984}$<br>1984<br>1984<br>8345<br>835 [F > 4o(F)]<br>595<br>90.006<br>0.51   |        |        |                         |                                  |                |                 |      | 0.052   | 0.055                  |  |   |
| $C_{51}H_{52}N_4O_9Pd$<br>971.4                                    | <sup>2</sup> arallelepiped<br><b>Black</b>  | $\frac{0.8 \times 0.65 \times 0.34}{P1}$  |  | <b>Triclinic</b> |           |                        | 11.713(4)<br>13.404(4)<br>15.266(5) | 87.60(3) |          | $72.84(2)$<br>87.53(3)<br>2287(1)  |       | 1.411<br>0.468<br>55                            |                           | $\pm h, \pm k, +l$<br>1008<br>1008<br>10 521<br>19 521<br>7987 [F > 5 $\sigma(F)$ ]<br>586  |        |        |                         |                                  |                | 0.038           |      | 1.33 [near C(19)]<br>0.057                    | 0.052                  | $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{C}}$                 |   |
| $\mathbb{C}_{51}\text{H}_{52}\text{CoN}_4\text{O}_9\ 923.9$        | Parallelepiped<br>Black   |   | $0.80 \times 0.42 \times 0.16$<br>P2 <sub>1</sub> /c<br>Monoclinic |                  |           |                        | 13.736(4)<br>16.364(8)<br>20.046(8) |          | 93.76(3) | 4496(3)  |       | 1.365<br>0.445<br>55                            |                           | $\pm h, +k, +l$<br>1940<br>1940<br>0.0005<br>10.324<br>5862 [F > 5σ(F)]<br>5862<br>0.54   |        |        |                         |                                  |                |                 |      |   | 0.051<br>0.052<br>1.34 |  |   |
| $C_{s_1}H_{s_2}N_4O_9Pd_7CH_2Cl_2$<br>1056.3                       | Parallelepiped  | <b>Black</b>                              | $\frac{0.8 \times 0.16 \times 0.15}{P}$                            | Triclinic        | 3.598(7)  | 13.818(6)              | 14.846(7)                           | 76.48(4) |          | 66.47(4)<br>75.53(4)<br>2448(2)  |       | 1.433<br>0.559                                  |                           | $\pm h, \pm k, +l$<br>1092  |        | 0.0003 | 11241                   | 8810 $[F > 4\sigma(F)]$<br>598   |                | 0.001           |      |   |                        | $2.12$ (solvent)<br>0.052<br>0.051<br>1.36 | $\mathcal{L}^b$ (all data) $R1 = \sum   F_o - F_o   \sum  F_o $ ; $wR2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] \sum w(F_o^2)^2]$ ; $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F_o^2) + (aP)^2 + bP$ .               |
| $\frac{\mathrm{C_{s_1}H_{s_2}CuN_4O_9}}{928.5}$                    | Parallelepiped  | Brown                                     | $\frac{1.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2}{P1}$                             | Triclinic        |           | 10.451(3)<br>14.529(6) | 16.916(6)                           | 11.13(3) |          | $\begin{array}{c} 103.92(3) \\ 97.51(3) \\ 2257(1) \end{array}$  | 1.366 | 0.547   |                           | $\pm h, \pm k, +l$<br>974<br>0.0007<br>10 356<br>1126 [F > 4o(F)]<br>586  |        |        |                         |                                  |                | 0.003<br>0.055  |      |   |                        | 0.054                                      |   |
| $C_{3,1}H_{36}CuN_4O$<br>544.2                                     | arallelepiped   | Black $0.5 \times 0.16 \times 0.15$       | C2/c   | Monoclinic       | 21.044(9) |                        | 10.730(4)<br>12.049(4)              |          | 93.28(3) | 2716(2)  |       | 1.331<br>0.835                                  |                           | $\begin{array}{l}\n\pm h, +k, +l \\ 1148 \\ (0.042, 5.1168) \\ 2390\n\end{array}$   |        |        |                         | 1946 [ $F > 4\sigma(F)$ ]<br>169 |                | 0.002           | 0.31 | $R1 = 0.058^{b}$                              |                        | $wR2 = 0.107^b$<br>1.038                   |   |
| Formula  | Crystal   | Crystal size/mm<br>Colour                 | Space group  | Crystal system   |           | $b/\AA$                |                                     |          |          |  |       | $D_{\rm e}/{\rm g}\,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ W/mm $^{-1}$ | $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ /° | Octants collected   | F(000) | g(a,b) | Independent reflections | Observed reflections             | No. parameters | $\Delta/\sigma$ |      | $\rho_{\rm max} / e \, \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $R^a$ |                        |  | $R = \Sigma  F_{\rm o}  -  F_{\rm o}  / \Sigma  F_{\rm o} ; R' = [\Sigma ( F_{\rm o}  -  F_{\rm o} )^2 / \Sigma w F_{\rm o}^2]$ <sup>+</sup> ; $w^{-1} = \sigma^2 (F) + gF^2$ . |

Table 4 (continued) **Table 4** *(continued)* 

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.38-2.62 (4 H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.76-2.96 (4 H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.96–3.14 (4 H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 3.62 [6  $(10 \text{ H}, \text{m}, 2 \text{ C}_6 \text{H}_5)$  and 7.44 (2 H, s, 2 *meso*-H):  $m/z$  922.8 ( $M^+$ ). H, **S,** 2 C(O)OCH,], 4.85 [4 H, **S,** 2 C(O)OCH,Ph], 7.10-7.28

**Complex 1c (M = Pd).** Black crystals, yield  $91\%$ ; m.p. 187-189 °C (Found: C, 62.9; H, 5.40; N, 5.80.  $C_{51}H_{52}N_4O_9Pd$ requires C, 63.05; H, 5.40; N, 5.75%);  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup>) cm<sup>-1</sup> (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 450 (39 700), 592 (13 500) and 680 (sh):  $\delta_H(CDCI_3)$  1.20 (6 H, t, J 6 Hz, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.11 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.30 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.46–2.64 (4 H, m, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.82-2.92 (4 H, m, 2  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 2.98-3.21 (4 H, m, 2  $CH_2CH_2CO_2$ ), 3.82 [6 H, s, 2 C(O)OCH<sub>3</sub>], 4.66–4.92 [4 H, m,  $2 C(O)OH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ], 7.18-7.38 (10 H, m,  $2 C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>$ ) and 7.48 (2 H, s, 2 meso-H): m/z 969.9 *(M').* 

**Complex 1d (M = Cu).** Metallic blue crystals, yield  $88\%$ ; m.p. 193-195 °C (Found: C, 65.7; H, 6.85; N, 6.20.  $C_{51}H_{52}CuN_4O_9$  requires C, 65.95; H, 6.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{max}/nm$  $(\epsilon/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}) (\text{CH}_2 \text{Cl}_2) 456 (38 \text{ 100}), 500 (\text{sh}), 582 (17 \text{ 400})$ and 666 (7000): *m/z* 927.4 *(M').* 

**Compound IV. 3,4,5-trimethylpyrrole-2-carboxylic** acid **I1**  (300 mg, 1.95 mmol) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (7 cm3) for 2 min and **bis(3-ethyl-5-formyl-4-methylpyrrol-2-yl)**  ketone **I11** (240 mg, **0.80** mmol), dissolved in methanol (35 cm3), added. The reaction mixture was placed in an ice-bath and 30%  $Br_2$ -acetic acid (8.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added followed by diethyl ether  $(200 \text{ cm}^3)$  after 10 min. The solution was placed in a refrigerator for 2 h. The purple crystals were filtered off, washed with ether, and dried under vacuum to yield compound **IV** (439 mg, *85%),* m.p. 105-108°C (Found: C, 57.75; H, 6.30; N, 8.55.  $C_{31}H_{40}Br_2N_4O$  requires C, 57.75; H, 6.60; N, 8.70%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/nm$  $(\varepsilon/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 454 (46 400) and 522 (21 200):  $\delta_H(CDCl_3)$  1.39 (6 H, t, J 6.5, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.91 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.24 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.35 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.48 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.64 (4 H, q, J 6.5 Hz, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.48 (2 H, s, meso-H), 12.45  $(2 H, br s, 2 NH)$  and 13.94 (2 H, br s, 2 NH):  $m/z$  482.3 ( $M<sup>+</sup>$  -2 HBr, 100), 460.1 (30) and 391.3 (15%).

**(8,12-Diethyl-l,2,3,7,13,17,18,19-octamethylbiladien-ac-l0 onato)cobalt(II) 2a.** Metallic green crystals, 75 mg, *85%,* m.p.  $>$  300 °C (Found: C, 68.15; H, 6.70; N, 10.15. C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>CoN<sub>4</sub>O 0.5H<sub>2</sub>O requires C, 67.85; H, 6.80; N, 10.2%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/nm$  ( $\varepsilon/dm^3$ mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 474 (34 900), 636 (10 000) and 660 (8300): m/z 539.2 *(M',* 100) and 524.2 (12%).

**Complex 2b (M = Ni). Metallic purple crystals, 80 mg,**  $72\%$ **,**  $m.p. > 300 °C$  (Found: C, 66.7; H, 6.70; N, 9.70.  $C_{31}H_{36}N_4NiO$ H<sub>2</sub>O requires C, 66.8; H, 6.85; N, 10.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$ mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 448 (53 100):  $\delta_H(CDCl_3)$  1.15 (6 H, t, J (6 H, s, 2 CH,), 2.28 (6 H, s, 2 CH,), 2.87 (4 H, q, J7.5 Hz, 2  $CH_2CH_3$ ) and 6.99 (2 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>):  $m/z$  538.2 ( $M^+$ , 100) and 269.1 (10%). 7.5, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.67 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.76 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13

**Complex 2c (** $M = Pd$ **). Metallic purple crystals, 75 mg,** *85%,* m.p. > 300 "C (Found: C, 63.75; H, 6.35; N, 9.30.  $C_{31}H_{36}N_4$ OPd requires C, 63.45; H, 6.20; N, 9.55%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/n$ m  $(\varepsilon/dm^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 430 (49 600) and 530 (16 500): G,(CDCl,) 1.39(6H, t, *J7,2CH2CH,),* 1.81 (6H,s,2CH3), 1.92  $(4 H, m, J 7 Hz, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)$  and 6.90 (2 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>):  $m/z$  586.2 (6 H, **S,** 2 CH,), 2.19 (6 H, **S,** 2 CH,), 2.21 (6 H, **S,** 2 CH,), 2.92  $(M^+, 100\%)$ .

**Complex 2d (M = Cu). Metallic green crystals,**  $110 \text{ mg}, 92\%$ **,** m.p. > 300 °C (Found: C, 68.3; H, 6.80; N, 10.2. C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>CuN<sub>4</sub>O requires C, 68.4; H, 6.65; N, 10.3%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon / \text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 408 (33 300) and 476 (35 600):  $m/z$  543.2 ( $M^+$ , 100) and 317.1 (33%).

**Complex 2e (M = Zn).** Dark blue powder, 45 mg,  $40\%$ , m.p.  $> 300$  °C (Found: C, 68.2; H, 6.80; N, 10.25. C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OZn requires C, 68.2; H, 6.65; N, 10.25%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 454 (38 300):  $\delta_H(CDCl_3)$  1.22 (6 H, t, J 7, 2  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 1.49 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.74 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.04 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.11 (4 H, m, J 7 Hz, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.13 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>) and 6.86 (2 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>):  $m/z$  544.2 ( $M^+$ , 100%).

**Complex 2f (M = Cd).** Metallic purple crystals, 80 mg,  $87\%$ , m.p. 217-220°C (Found: C, 61.7; H, 5.95; N, 9.10.  $C_{31}H_{36}CdN_4O<sub>0.5H_2O</sub>$  requires C, 61.85; H, 6.20; N, 9.30%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 406 (24 700) and 664 (9800): G,(CDCl,) 1.55 (6 H, **s,** 2 CH,), 1.85 (6 H, s, 2 CH,), 1.94 (6 H, t, J 7, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.15 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.25 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.86 (4 H, q,  $J7$  Hz,  $2$  CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) and 6.88 (2 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>):  $m/z$  594.1  $(M^+, 100\%)$ .

**Complex 2g (M = Hg).** Red powder, 84 mg, 40%, m.p. 198-200 °C.  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 454 (42 500) and H, s, 2 CH,), 2.16 (6 H, **s,** 2 CH,), 2.21 (6 H, s, 2 CH,), 2.30 (6 H, s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.66 (4 H, m, J 7 Hz, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) and 6.94 (2 H, s, 2 CH,): *m/z* 682.1 *(M',* 100%). 550 (21 600):  $\delta_H(CDC1_3)$  1.13 (6 H, t, J 7.5, 2 CH, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.09 (6

[ **1,19-Di(benzyloxycarbonyl)-3,8diethyl-13,17-di(2 methoxycarbonylethyl)-2,7,12,18-tetramethylbiladien-bc-5-onato]cobalt(II) 3a. Black crystals, yield 75%, m.p. 193 °C (Found:** C, 66.5; H, 5.75; N, 6.25.  $C_{51}H_{52}CoN_4O_9$  requires C, 66.3; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) (10 700), 492 (12 200), 540 (sh), 590 (sh), 618 (14 100) and 682  $(11\ 100)$ :  $m/z$  924.2  $(M^+, 100\%)$ .

**Complex 3b (** $M = Ni$ **). Brown crystals, yield 60%, m.p.** 139 °C (Found: C, 66.55; H, 6.10; N, 5.75.  $C_{51}H_{52}N_4NiO_9$ requires C, 66.3; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/ \text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon / \text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm-') (CH,Cl,) (8500), 428 (9300), 488 **(10** 600), 592 (12 000), 616 (12 100) and 682 (9900):  $\delta_H(CDCl_3)$  1.08 (3 H, t, J 7.5, 2.33 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.52 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.77 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.85-3.05 (6 H, m,  $CH_2CH_2CO_2$  and 2  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 3.20 (2 H, t, J 7.5 Hz, CH,CH,CO,), 3.65 [3 H, **s,** C(O)OCH,], 3.70 [3 H, s,  $C(O)OCH<sub>3</sub>$ ], 4.45-4.75 [4 H, m, 2  $C(O)OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ], 6.35 (1 H, s, meso-H), 6.68-7.15 (10 H, m, 2  $C_6H_5$ ) and 7.35 (1 H, s, meso-H):  $m/z$  923.4 ( $M^+$ , 100%).  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 1.30 (3 H, t, J 7.5,  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 2.29 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>),

**Complex 3c (M = Pd).** Black crystals, yield  $82\%$ , m.p. 198 °C (Found: C, 63.1; H, 5.45; N, 5.80.  $C_{51}H_{52}N_4O_9Pd$  requires C, 63.05; H, 5.40; N, 5.75%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 392 (32 000), 450 (sh), 610 (9500) and 760 (11 900):  $\delta_H(CDC1_3)$ 1.21 (3 H, t, J 7, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26 (3 H, t, J 7, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.05 (3 H, s, CH,), 2.19 (3 H, **s,** CH,), 2.37 (3 H, s, CH,), 2.39  $(3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.58 (2 H, t, J 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.60 (2 H,$ 2.88 (4 H, q, J 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.05 (4 H, m, 2 C(O)OCH<sub>3</sub>], 4.82-4.99 [4 H, m, 2 C(O)OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph], 7.10-7.32 (10 H, m, 2  $C_6H_5$ ), 7.35 (1 H, s, meso-H) and 7.44 (1 H, s, meso-H): m/z 971.8 (M<sup>+</sup>, 100%). t, J 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.74 (4 H, q, J 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>),  $CH_2CH_2CO_2$ ), 3.62 [3 H, s,  $C(O)OCH_3$ ], 3.64 [3 H, s,

**Complex 3d (M = Cu).** Brown crystals, yield  $87\%$ , m.p. 116– 118 °C (Found: C, 65.9; H, 5.70; N, 6.00.  $C_{51}H_{52}CuN_4O_9$ requires C, 65.95; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 391 (40 400), 460 (sh), 700 (sh) and 768 (26 400): m/z 927.9 *(M',* 100%).

[ **1,19-Di(benzyloxycarbonyl)-8,13diethyl-3,17-di(2 methoxycarbonylethyl)-2,7,12,18-tetramethylbiladien-bc-5-onato]cobalt(n) 4a.** Black crystals, yield 86%; m.p. 187 "C (Found: C, 66.35; H, 5.65; N, 6.00.  $C_{51}H_{52}CoN_4O_9$  requires C, 66.3; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 400 (10 200), 428 **(I** 1 500), 492 (12 *500),* 588 (1 3 400), 622 **(1** 3 200) and 686 (11 700):  $m/z$  924.1 ( $M^+$ , 100%).

**Complex 4c (M = Pd). Black crystals, yield**  $77\frac{\%}{\%}$ **; m.p. 212 °C** (Found: C, 63.0; H, 5.40; N, 5.75.  $C_{51}H_{52}N_4O_9Pd$  requires C, 63.05; H, 5.40; N, 5.75%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  394 (31 800), 460 (sh), 612 (9200) and 768 (11 600):  $\delta_H(CDCI_3)$  1.22 (3 H, t, *J* 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.24 (3 H, t, *J* 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.02 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.18 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.34 (3 H, s, CH,), 2.37 (3 H, **s,** CH,), 2.49-2.60 (2 H, m,  $CH_2CH_2CO_2$ ), 2.63-2.82 (6 H, m,  $CH_2CH_2CO_2 + 2$  $CH_2CH_3$ ), 2.92–3.12 (2 H, m,  $CH_2CH_2CO_2$ ), 3.31–3.5 (2 H, m,  $C(O)OCH<sub>3</sub>$ ], 4.74-4.99 [4 H, m, 2  $C(O)OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ], 7.20 (1 H, s, meso-H), 7.21-7.36 (10 H, m, 2 C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), and 7.34 (1 H, s, *meso-*H):  $m/z$  971.1  $(M^+, 100\%)$ .  $CH_2CH_2CO_2$ ), 3.67 [3 H, s,  $C(O)OCH_3$ ], 3.69 [3 H, s,

**Complex 4b (** $M = Ni$ **). Black crystals, yield 64%; m.p. 126-**128 °C (Found: C, 66.4; H, 5.75; N, 6.10.  $C_{51}H_{52}N_4NiO_9$ requires C, 66.3; H, 5.65; N, 6.05%).  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}(\epsilon/\text{dm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1})$ 12 300), 610 (12 900) and 688 (10 600):  $\delta_H(CDCI_3)$  1.34 (3 H, 2.32 (3 H, **s,** CH,), 2.37 (3 H, **s,** CH,), 2.54(3 H, **s,** CH,),2.72  $(3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.57-2.69 (2 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.83-3.04$  $(6$  H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> + 2 CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.13-3.39 (2 H, m,  $C(O)OCH<sub>3</sub>$ ], 4.46–4.79 [4 H, m, 2  $C(O)OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ], 6.43 (1 H, s, meso-H),  $6.67-7.21$  (10 H, m,  $2C_6H_5$ ) and  $7.38$  (1 H, s, meso-H): m/z 923.8 ( $M^+$ , 100%).  $(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)$  404 (10 100), 428 (10 900), 498 (11 600), 500 (sh, t, *J* 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.37 (3 H, t, *J* 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), CH,CH,C02), 3.66 [3 H, **S,** C(O)OCH,], 3.69 [3 H, **S,** 

**1,19-Di(benzyloxycarbonyl)-3,8-diethyl-19-hydroxy-l3,17 di(2-met hoxycarbonylethyl)-2,7,12,18-tetramethylbiladien-bc-5 onato]nickel(II) 5.** Side product from metallation of compound **V** with nickel( $I$ ) acetate, dark brown crystals, yield  $22\%$ ; m.p. 128 °C (Found: C, 65.35; H, 5.85; N, 5.60.  $C_{51}H_{54}N_4NiO_{10}$ requires C, 65.05; H, 5.80; N, 5.95%):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\epsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm-') (CH,Cl,) 357 **(18** 200), 428 *(SSOO),* 485 (sh), 565 (sh), 606 (11 800) and 686 (7000): G,(CDCl,) 1.30 (3 H, t, *J*  H, s, CH,), 2.34 (3 H, s, CH,), 2.51 (3 H, **s,** CH,), 2.69 (3 H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.55-2.67 (2 H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>), 2.82-3.10 (6 H, m,  $CH_2CH_2CO_2 + 2 CH_2CH_3$ ), 3.08-3.35 (2 H, m, CH,CH,CO,), 3.64 [3 H, **s,** C(O)OCH,], 3.68 [3 H, **s,**   $C(O)OCH<sub>3</sub>$ ], 4.45-4.78 [4 H, m, 2  $C(O)OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph$ ], 6.40 (1 H, s, meso-H), 6.63-7.18 (10 H, m, 2  $C_6H_5$ ), 7.36 (1 H, s, meso-H) and 9.04 (1 H, s, NH):  $m/z$  941.9 *(M<sup>+</sup>*, 100%). 7.5, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.38 (3 H, t, *J* 7.5 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.29 (3

[ **1,19-Di(benzyloxycarbonyl)-8,13diethyl-l9-methoxy-3,17 di(2-methoxycarbonylethyl)-2,7,12,18-tetramethylbiladien-b~- 5-onato]nickel(II) 6.** Side product from metallation of compound VI with nickel(II) acetate, metallic green crystals, yield 18%; m.p. 121-124 °C (Found: C, 65.6; H, 6.05; N, 5.95.  $C_{52}H_{56}N_4NiO_{10}$  requires C, 65.35; H, 5.90; N, 5.85%):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}/\text{nm}$  ( $\varepsilon/\text{dm}^3$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 352 (9500), 426 (9900), 484 (sh), 566 (sh), 604 **(14** 400) and 689 (8100): *m/z* 955.1  $(M^+, 100\%)$ .

### **Crystallography**

X-Ray-quality crystals were grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a concentrated solution of the complexes in dichloromethane. The crystals were removed from the solution and covered with a layer of hydrocarbon oil (Paraton  $N^{\circledast}$ ). A suitable single crystal was selected, attached to a glass fibre, and immediately placed in the low-temperature nitrogen stream as described by Hope.<sup>33</sup> Data sets for compounds 1d, 1c, 1b (forms A and B), **la, 2d, 3d, 3c, 4c, 4a** and **5** were collected at 130 K with the use of a Siemens R3m/V diffractometer (Mo-K<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> radiation,  $\lambda =$ 0.710 69 A) equipped with a graphite monochromator and a

locally modified Enraf-Nonius Universal low-temperature device. The data set for compound *6* was collected at 126 K using a Siemens P4 diffractometer with an attached Siemens rotating anode (Cu-K<sub>α</sub> radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ ) and equipped with a locally modified Siemens low-temperature device. The data sets for **2b** and *2c* were collected at 130 K using a Syntex P2<sub>1</sub> (graphite-monochromated Cu-K<sub>α</sub> radiation,  $\lambda = 1.541$  78 **A).** Crystallographic programs used for the structure solutions and refinements were those of SHELXTL PLUS<sup>34</sup> installed on a Micro Vax station 3200. Scattering factors were used as supplied with SHELXTL PLUS. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz-polarization, and absorption effects; **<sup>35</sup>** extinction was disregarded. All data collections showed only statistical variation in intensity.

The crystal structures of complexes **lc, lb** (forms A and B), **2b-2d, 3d, 3c, 4c, 5** and **6** were solved by Patterson syntheses followed by subsequent structure expansion, while those of **la, Id** and **4a** were solved with direct methods. If necessary, missing side-chain atoms were located on a difference map in several Fourier-difference cycles. Most refinements were carried out by full-matrix least squares on  $|F|$ . The function minimized was  $\sum w (F_n - F_c)^2$ . The structures of 2c and 2d were refined against *IF2/* using the program XL **36** for refinement and XABS2 for absorption corrections. **37** Hydrogen atoms were included at calculated positions and refined by using a riding model (C-H 0.96, N-H 0.9 Å). If not otherwise stated, all nonhydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The structure of **lb,** form B, showed unusually high thermal parameters for the pyrrole nitrogen atoms and refined badly. Data for it are listed in the supplementary material only. The limited crystal quality and a low-intensity data set obtained for **la** allowed refinement of only the Co and N atoms with anisotropic thermal parameters. In the structure of **3c** the solvate molecule of  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  was not refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. The refinement of **6** gave relatively high estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) for some side-chain atoms and the ethyl group at  $C(13)$  was connected to the macrocycle by an unreasonably long distance (1.831 Å). All attempts to find a better model for this side chain failed. No hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement for it. Details of the crystal data, data collection and refinement are given in Table 4.

Atomic coordinates, thermal parameters and bond lengths and angles have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Instructions for Authors, *J.* Chem. *SOC.,* Dalton *Trans.* 1996, Issue **1.** Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number 186/188.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by grants from the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (M.O.S., Se543/2-1 and 2-2), the National Institutes of Health (K.M.S., HL-22252) and the National Science Foundation (K.M.S., CHE-93-05577). Mass spectrometric analyses were performed by the University of California at San Francisco Mass Spectrometry Facility (A. L. Burlingame, Director) supported by the Biomedical Research Technology Program of the National Center for Research Resources, NIH NCRR BRTP 01614.

#### **References**

- 1 H. Fischer and H. Orth, *Die Chemie des Pyrrols,* Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1934; K. M. Smith (Editor), *Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins,* Elsevier; Amsterdam, 1978; D. Dolphin (Editor), *The Porphyrins,* Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- 2 *(a)* J. L. Hoard, *Science,* 1970, **174,** 1295; **(6)** W. R. Scheidt and **Y.-J.** Lee, *Struct. Bonding (Berlin),* 1987,64, 1.
- 3 H. Falk, *The Chemistry of Linear Oligopyrroles and Bile Pigments,*  Springer, Wien, 1989.
- 4 J. Subramanian and J.-H. Fuhrhop, in *The Porphyrins,* ed. D. Dolphin, Academic Press, New York, 1978, vol. 11, p. 255.
- 5 W. S. Sheldrick, *Zsr.* J. *Chem.,* 1983,23, 155.
- 6 *(a)* A. W. Johnson and I. T. Kay, J. *Chem. Soc.,* 1961, 2418; *(b)*  M. Elder and B. R. Penfold, J. *Chem. Soc. A,* 1969, 2556; *(c)*  F. A. Cotton, B. G. De Boer and J. R. Pipal, *Znorg. Chem.,* 1970,9, 783; *(c)* F. C. March, D. A. Couch, K. Emerson, J. E. Fergusson and W. T. Robinson, *J. Chem. SOC. A,* 1971,440.
- 7 *(a)* M. Currie and J. D. Dunitz, *Helu. Chim. Acta,* 1971,54, 98; *(b)*  M. Dobler and J. D. Dunitz, *Helv. Chim. Acta,* 1971,54, 90.
- 8 *(a)* J.-H. Fuhrhop, A. Salek, J. Subramanian, C. Mengersen and S. Besecke, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.,* 1975, 1131; *(b)* G. Struckmeier, U. Thewalt and J.-H. Fuhrhop, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1976,98,278.
- 9 W. S. Sheldrick and J. Engel, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1980, 5; *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B.,* 1981,37, 250,
- 10 H. Falk and H. Flodl, *Monatsh. Chem.,* 1988,119, 1 155.
- 11 J. V. Bonfiglio, R. Bonnett, M. B. Hursthouse and K. M. A. Malik, J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* 1977,83; J. V. Bonfiglio, R. Bonnett, D. G. Buckley, D. Hamzetash, M. B. Hursthouse, K. M. A. Malik, A. F. McDonagh and J. Trotter, *Tetrahedron,* 1983,39, 1865.
- 12 A. L. Balch, M. Mazzanti, B. C. No11 and M. M. Olmstead, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1993,115, 12206.
- 13 P. S. Slezy and A. H. Jackson, in *The Porphyrins,* ed., D. Dolphin, Academic Press, New York, 1978, vol. I, p. 265; A. H. Jackson, G. W. Kenner, G. McGillivray and G. S. Sach, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965,87,676; A. H. Jackson, G. W. Kenner and G. S. Sach, J. *Chem. SOC. C,* 1967, 2045; A. H. Jackson, G. W. Kenner, G. McGillivray and K. M. Smith, J. *Chem. Soc. C,* 1968,294.
- <sup>14</sup>*(a)* D. Jeyakumar, K. M. Snow and K. M. Smith, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,*  1988, 110, 8562; K. L. Swanson, K. M. Snow, D. Jeyakumar and K. M. Smith, *Tetrahedron,* 1991, 47, 685; *(b)* K. M. Smith and 0. M. Minnetian, J. *Org. Chem.,* 1985, *50,* 2073; J. *Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.,* 1986,277.
- 15 *R.* Bonnett, J. E. Davies, M. B. Hursthouse and G. M. Sheldrick, *Proc.* R. **SOC.** *London, Ser. B,* 1978, 202,249; W. Becker and W. S. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect B,* 1978,34, 1298; W. S. Sheldrick and W. Becker, *2. Naturforsch., Teil B,* 1979, *34,* 1542; G. Le Bas, A. Allegret, Y. Mauguen, C. De Rango and M. Bailly, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B,* 1980, *36,* 3007; A. Mugnoli, P. Manitto and D. Monti, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C,* 1983,39, 1287.
- 16 G. Struckmeier, U. Thewalt and J. Engel, J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* 1976,963.
- 17 H. Fritschi, U. Leutenegger, K. Siegmann, **A.** Pfaltz, W. Keller and C. Kratky, *Helu. Chim.* Acta, 1988,71, 1541.
- 18 C. H. Wei, *Znorg. Chem.,* 1972, 11, 2315; D. Losman, L. M. Engelhardt and M. Green, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 1973, 9, 791; C. E. Baxter, 0. R. Rodig, R. K. Schlatzer and E. Sinn, *Znorg. Chem.,*  1979,18,19 18; L. L. Borer and E. Sinn, *Znorg. Chim. Acta,* 1988,142, 197; J. A. Castro, J. Romero, J. A. Garcia-Vazquez, A. Castiñeiras, M. L. Duran and A. Sousa, *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* 1992, 615, 155; M. Ray, R. Mukherjee, J. F. Richardson, M. S. Mashuta and R. M. Buchanan, J. *Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.,* 1994,965.
- 19 *(a)* E. B. Fleischer, C. K. Miller and L. E. Webb, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,*  1964,86,2342; *(b)* R. Pak and W. R. Scheidt, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C,* 1991,47,431.
- 20 M. McPartlin, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 1973, 9, 1207; H. Endres, M. Mkgnamisi-Bklombe, W. A. Little and C. R. Wolfe, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B,* 1979, 35, 169; T. J. Kistenmacher and R. Destro, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 2104; R. G. Pritchard, G. S. Heaton and 1. M. El-Nahhal, Acta *Crystallogr., Sect. C,* 1989,45, 815. 21 A. J. Golder, L. R. Milgrom, K. B. NolanandD. C. Povey, J. *Chem.*
- *SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1987, 1788; B. F. Abrahams, B. F. Hoskins

and R. Robson, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1991, 113, 3606; **A.** M. Stolzenberg, L. J. Schussel, J. S. Summers, B. M. Foxman and J. L. Petersen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, 31, 1678.

- 22 J. Magull and A. Simon, *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* 1992,615,81.
- 23 M. K. Ehlert, S. J. Rettig, A. Storr, R. C. Thompson and J. Trotter, *Can.* J. *Chem.,* 1993,71, 1425.
- 24 P. Madura and W. R. Scheidt, *Znorg. Chem.,* 1976, 15, 3182; E. D. Stevens, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1981,103, 5087, K. M. Kadish, C. Araullo-McAdams, B. C. Han and M. M. Franzen, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1990,112, 8364; W. R. Scheidt and I. Turowska-Tyrk, *Inorg. Chem.,* 1994,33,13 14.
- 25 E. F. Meyer, jun., *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B,* 1972, *28,* 2162; D. L. Cullen and E. F. Meyer, jun., J. *Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 1974, **96,**   $2095$ ; T. D. Brennan, W. R. Scheidt and J. A. Shelnutt, J. Am. *Chem. Soc.,* 1988,110,3919.
- 26 M. Mulqi, F. S. Stephens and R. S. Vagg, *Znorg. Chim.* Acta, 1981, 52, 73; L. A. Nuvan, J. L. Briansó, X. Solans, M. Font-Altaba and M. de Matheus, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C,* 1983, 39, 211; W. M. Davis, M. M. Roberts, A. Zask, K. Nakanishi, T. Nozoe and S. J. Lippard, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* 1985,107,3864; N. W. Alcock, W.-K. Lin, A. Jircitano, J. D. Mokren, P. W. R. Corfield, G. Johnson, G. Novotnak, C. Cairns and D. H. Busch, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, 26, 440; M. Basato, B. Corain, G. Favero, G. Valle and J. Eilmes, *Znorg. Chim. Acta,* 1989, 159, 59; W. Bonrath, K. P. Porschke, R. Mynott and C. Kriiger, *2. Naturforsch., Teil B,* 1990, 45, 1647; M. Doring, H. Gorls and E. Uhlig, *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem.,* 1992,613, 55; **S.-S.** Chen, R. S. Woosley, E. G. Vassian and R. K. Murmann, *Znorg. Chim. Acta,* 1992, 191,91.
- 27 P. N. Dwyer, J. W. Buchler and W. R. Scheidt, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,*  1974, 96, 2789.
- 28 H. Falk, 0. Hofer and H. Lehner, *Monatsh. Chem.,* 1974,105, 169; H. Falk and 0. Hofer, *Monatsh. Chem.,* 1975,106,97.
- 29 D. L. Cullen, N. van Opdenbosch, E. F. Meyer, jun., K. M. Smith and F. Eivazi, J. *Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1982, 307.
- 30 D. L. Cullen, E. F. Meyer, jun., F. Eivazi and K. M. Smith, J. *Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1978,259.
- 31 A. Gossauer and H. H. Inhoffen, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.,* 1970,738,18.
- 32 J. F. McConnell, M. J. Lacey, C. G. Macdonald and J. S. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B,* 1973, 29, 2477; K. R. Morgan, G. J. Gainsford and N. F. Curtis, *Aust.* J. *Chem.,* 1983, *36,* 1341; Y. N. Belokon, A. G. Bulychev, S. V. Vitt, Y. T. Struchkov, A. S. Batsanov, T. V. Timofeeva, V. **A.** Tsyryapkin, M. G. Rhyzhov, L. A. Lysova, V. I. Bakhmutov and V. M. Belikov, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,*  1985, 107, 4252; Y. N. Belokon, V. I. Maleyev, S. V. Vitt, M. G. Ryzhov, Y. D. Kondrashov, S. N. Golubev, Y. P. Vauchskii, **A.** I. Kazika, M. I. Novikova, P. A. Krasutskii, A. G. Yurchenko, I. L. Dubchak, V. E. Shklover, Y. T. Struchkov, V. I. Bakhmutov and V. M. Belikov, J. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.,* 1985, 17; Y. N. Belokon, A. G. Bulychev, M. G. Ryzhov, S. V. Vitt, A. S. Batsanov, Y. T. Struchkov, V. I. Bakhmutov and V. M. Belikov, J. *Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1986, 1865.
- 33 H. Hope, *ACSSymp. Ser.,* 1987,357,257; *Prog. Znorg. Chem.,* 1994, **41,** 1.
- 34 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL PLUS, Program for Crystal Structure Determinations, Universitat Gottingen, 1989.
- 35 H. Hope and B. Moezzi, Program XABS, University of California, Davis, 1987.
- 36 SHELXTL PLUS, Version 5.03, Siemens Analytical Instruments, Madison, WI, 1994.
- 37 S. R. Parkin, B. Moezzi and H. Hope, J. *Appl. Crystallogr.,* 1995,28, 53.

*Received 16th April* **1996;** *Paper* **6/02633H**