

Preparation and crystal structures of oxoosmium(VI) diolato complexes

Ari Lehtonen,^a Jukka Jokela,^{ab} Peter G. Edwards^b and Reijo Sillanpää^{*,a}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, FIN-20014, Turku, Finland

^b Department of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, PO Box 912, Cardiff, UK CF10 3TB

Received 16th April 1999, Accepted 5th July 1999

Reaction of OsO₄ with one equivalent of PPh₃ in the presence of the tertiary diols, 2,3-dimethyl-2,3-butanediol (H₂pin) and 1,1'-bicyclohexane-1,1'-diol (H₂bicy), afforded the new oxoosmium(VI) complexes [OsO(diol)₂]. The molecular structures of [OsO(pin)₂], [OsO(bicy)₂] and its adduct [OsO(bicy)₂]·H₂bicy·PPh₃O were determined by X-ray crystallography.

Introduction

Metal oxo compounds are well known to play an important role in organic oxidations due to their ability selectively to attach oxygen atoms to carbon-carbon double bonds. Osmium tetroxide is one of the most effective reagents for the stoichiometric and catalytic dihydroxylation of alkenes to give the corresponding vicinal *cis*-diols.¹ Some oxoosmium(VI) diolates *e.g.* the oxo-bridged complex [Os₂O₄(pin)₂] (pin = 2,3-dimethylbutane-2,3-diolate, pinacolate) and dinuclear pyridine complex [Os₂O₄(cot)(py)₄] (cot = cyclooctane-1,2,5,6-tetrolate) have been isolated from reaction mixtures, and are suggested to be major intermediates in these reactions.^{2,3} Oxoosmium(VI) diolato complexes are usually prepared by osmylation of the corresponding alkene in non-aqueous solvents or by adding the diol to a basic aqueous solution of K₂OsO₄·2H₂O.⁴ The reaction of osmium tetroxide with an excess of diol has been also used in the preparation of [OsO(eg)₂] (eg = 1,2-ethanediolate, ethylene glycolate).²

Diolato complexes of osmium(VI) may also be of interest as starting materials for new organometallic compounds. For instance, [OsO(eg)₂] and [OsO(pin)₂] **1** react with dimethylzinc forming [OsOMe₄] and [OsO(pin)Me₂], respectively. In these examples, the constitution of the diolato ligand has been used to control the selectivity of alkylation.^{5,6}

Although simple oxoosmium(VI) diolates are generally well characterised by chemical and spectroscopic methods,^{7,8} only few crystal structures have been reported to date. The dimeric complex [Os₂O₄(pin)₂]⁹ and monomeric complex [OsO(eg)₂]¹⁰ have been structurally fully confirmed. Lattice parameters of **1** are also known, but a full determination of the structure has proved difficult due to molecular disorder.¹¹


We have previously reported the preparation and crystal structures of several diolato complexes of hexavalent metals including [W(diol)₃], [W(diol)₂Cl₂] and [ReO(diol)₂].^{12,13} In this paper we report reactions of OsO₄ with PPh₃ and diols as a new method of preparation of osmium(VI) oxodiolato complexes. The diols used were the ditertiary alcohols H₂pin and H₂bicy = 1,1'-bicyclohexane-1,1'-diol. Complexes **1**, [OsO(bicy)₂]·H₂bicy·Ph₃PO **2** and [OsO(bicy)₂] **3** were isolated. Crystal structures of all three compounds are reported and discussed.

Discussion

Preparation of complexes

One of the common procedures to prepare metal alkoxides and oxoalkoxides is by the reaction between appropriate alcohols

and metal oxides.¹⁴ Polymeric molybdenum and tungsten oxides react with a large excess of 1,2-ethanediol at high temperature.¹⁵ Soluble molecular oxides, such as Re₂O₇, react readily with an excess of ditertiary diol in an inert solvent.¹³ Several compounds Re^V and Re^{VI}, *e.g.* [ReCp*O(diol)]¹⁶ (Cp* = pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) and [ReO(diol)₂]¹³ have been prepared by condensation of a suitable rhenium oxo precursor compound with the diol followed by reduction with a tertiary phosphine in the latter case. We have now employed the same procedure in the preparation of analogous osmium oxodiolato complexes. Thus, addition of two equivalents of a ditertiary diol and one equivalent of PPh₃ to a solution of OsO₄ in dichloromethane leads to the reduction of Os^{VIII} to Os^{VI} and formation of the corresponding [OsO(diol)₂] complexes (Scheme 1). Comparable reductions of osmium tetroxide with



Scheme 1

tertiary phosphines in the presence of proton donors are known, *e.g.* in the OsO₄-PR₃-HCl system [OsO₂Cl₂(PR₃)₂] is produced.¹⁷ Following removal of the volatile materials *in vacuo*, the pinacolato complex **1** was readily recrystallised from hexane as long black needles. Attempts to purify complex **3**, similarly by recrystallisation, led to precipitation of the adduct **2** as black, shiny prisms. Treatment of **2** with NaH allowed the isolation of monomeric complex **3** as black needles.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of complex **1** shows two singlets at δ 1.33 and 1.43 which are assigned to the axial and equatorial methyl group hydrogens of the pinacolato ligand respectively. The magnetic inequivalence of these methyl groups indicates the chelate ring to be non-labile on the NMR timescale since exchange of these sites would require dissociation followed by recoordination of the diolato group. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1** consists of singlets at δ 24.18 and 24.89 assigned to the magnetically inequivalent methyl carbons and a singlet at δ 93.80 for the ring carbons of the pinacolato chelate. For compound **3** the ¹H NMR spectrum shows several multiplets due to the cyclohexyl rings between δ 1.10 and 1.90. The ¹³C NMR spectrum shows five singlets between δ 21.77 and 32.73 for the cyclohexyl ring carbons and a singlet at δ 94.29 assigned to the oxygen-bonded carbon atoms.




Fig. 1 (a) An ORTEP¹⁸ view of complex **1** in the disordered position with 30% thermal ellipsoids showing the atom labelling scheme. Hydrogen atoms are not included and one half of all atoms and symmetry-related oxygens are labelled. Symmetry operations: I $1 - y, 1 - x, z$; II $1 - x, 1 - y, z$; III y, x, z . (b) An ORTEP view with 30% thermal ellipsoids showing the atom labelling system. Hydrogen atoms are not shown.

Structural studies

The molecular structures of the bis-diolato-(*O,O'*)oxo-osmium(vi) compounds **1**, **2** and **3** are presented in Fig. 1, 2 and 3; relevant bond distances and angles are collected in Table 1. Compound **1**, [OsO(pin)₂], is isostructural with [ReO(pin)₂] and exhibits similar disorder. In **1** the osmium(vi) cation is bonded to one oxo ligand and to two deprotonated pinacolato ligands forming a distorted square pyramid, the oxo ligand being in the axial site.

The disordered [OsO(pin)₂] molecule can reside on its position in the crystal either having the orientation **A** or **B** (Fig. 1) in a ratio 50:50. These orientations are related by rotation of 180° around the average Os=O axis. The overall results of this disorder are shown in Fig. 1(a) where the molecule having orientation **A** is described by those atoms connected by solid bond symbols and those atoms connected by unfilled bonds describe orientation **B**. The carbon atoms C2b and C3 are at the same position in both orientations. The actual molecule with *C*_s symmetry is shown in Fig. 1(b).

In both orientations the opposing diolato chelates are manifested by adopting λδ conformations simultaneously; the terminal oxygen O(1) also resides in two positions. The overall effect is that the sum of these two superimposed orientations results in two mutually perpendicular mirror planes. The atom labelling in Fig. 1(a) reflects this disorder. The λ conformation of the chelate ring is displayed by atoms O2a, C1a, C1b¹ and O2b¹ [torsion angle = -43(2)°], and the δ conformation by the atoms O2b, C1b, C1a¹ and O2a¹ [torsion angle = 43(2)°]. The atoms of the transoid diolato ligand connected by the solid (filled in) bonds (Fig. 1a) also define a δ conformation. One of two [OsO(pin)₂] molecules with a λδ conformation pair is shown in Fig. 1(b).

The square pyramidal co-ordination sphere of the osmium(vi) atom in complex **1** is comparable to those of the related oxodiolates [OsO(eg)₂]¹⁰ and [Os₂O₄(pin)₂].⁹ In [OsO(eg)₂],




Fig. 2 (a) An ORTEP view of the osmium(vi) unit in complex **2** with 30% thermal ellipsoids showing the atom labelling system of the heavy atoms. (b) An ORTEP view of the triphenylphosphine oxide-diol unit with 30% thermal ellipsoids showing the atom labelling system of the heavy atoms and HO hydrogens.

however, the molecule has *C*₂ symmetry indicating that the ligand conformations around Os^{VI} are either λλ or δδ.

The co-ordination spheres of the isostructural d² [OsO(pin)₂] and d¹ [ReO(pin)₂] complexes are similar, although small structural differences are observed. In the osmium compound the O1–Os–O2 (a and b) angles are 111.6(7) and 109.5(9)° whereas the relevant O1–Re–O2 angles are 114.6(8) and 100.7(1)°.¹³

The asymmetric unit of complex **2** contains an [OsO(bicy)₂], a H₂bicy diol and a triphenylphosphine oxide molecule. The square pyramidal co-ordination sphere of the osmium(vi) atom (Fig. 2(a)) is similar to that of **1** and to that in the related [ReO(bicy)₂] complex and of the d² complex anion [TcO(cat)₂]⁻ (cat = catecolate).¹⁹ The diolato ligands around the Os^{VI} adopt λδ conformations with a pseudo mirror plane in a molecule. The remaining part of the structure of **2** consists of a H₂bicy-triphenylphosphine oxide adduct associated by two hydrogen bonds as shown in Fig. 2(b). It is of interest that triphenylphosphine oxide has been shown to be a good crystallisation aid for many organic compounds;²⁰ the behaviour observed in compound **2** may be related to this property. The strength of the two hydrogen bonds appears to be moderate as the contacts between the hydrogen bonded oxygens are 2.802(5) and 2.886(6) Å respectively.

The structure of complex **3** is composed of three distorted square pyramidal osmium(vi) units similar to that in **2**. Fig. 3(a) shows the labelling system for the second molecule and the

Table 1 Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] in complexes **1**, **2**, **3** and [ReO(bicy)₂]

	1 ^a	2	3 ^b	3 ^c	[ReO(bicy) ₂] ^d
Os–O(1)	1.692(10)	1.672(3)	1.667(6)	1.672(6)	1.690(4)
Os–O(2)	1.891(10)	1.882(3)	1.889(5)	1.893(5)	1.900(3)
Os–O(3)	1.891(10)	1.899(3)	1.903(5)	1.909(5)	1.892(3)
Os–O(4)		1.902(3)	1.897(6)	1.898(6)	1.907(3)
Os–O(5)		1.892(3)	1.882(6)	1.904(5)	1.886(3)
O(1)–Os–O(2)	111.6(7)	109.39(15)	111.5(3)	109.2(3)	107.4(2)
O(1)–Os–O(3)	109.5(9)	110.56(16)	108.0(3)	111.4(3)	111.7(2)
O(1)–Os–O(4)		111.86(15)	114.8(3)	111.5(3)	105.3(2)
O(1)–Os–O(5)		108.51(17)	106.4(3)	108.4(3)	112.5(2)
O(2)–Os–O(3)	79.2(6)	82.14(14)	82.8(2)	82.2(2)	80.9(1)
O(2)–Os–O(4)	138.3(8)	138.75(14)	133.7(2)	139.3(2)	147.1(1)
O(2)–Os–O(5)	92.7(17)	85.56(13)	85.6(2)	85.0(2)	84.7(1)
O(3)–Os–O(4)	80.4(16)	82.95(14)	83.3(2)	83.5(2)	98.2(1)
O(3)–Os–O(5)		140.93(14)	145.6(3)	140.2(2)	135.8(1)
O(4)–Os–O(5)		82.32(13)	81.6(2)	82.2(2)	80.8(1)

^a O2a = O2, O2bⁱ = O3, O2bⁱⁱ = O4 and O2aⁱⁱ = O5. ^b For the first [OsO(bicy)] unit. ^c For the second [OsO(bicy)] unit, in which O(7) corresponds to O(1), O(8) to O(2), and so on. ^d See ref. 13.




Fig. 3 (a) An ORTEP view of the second osmium(VI) unit in complex **3** with 30% thermal ellipsoids showing the heavy atom labelling system. (b) An ORTEP view of the disordered third unit 30% thermal ellipsoids showing partly the heavy atom labelling system. Symmetry operation: $I = -x, 1 - y, 2 - z$.

labelling system for the first molecule is the same as for **2** in Fig. 2(a). The third molecule is disordered and appears inverted around the centre of symmetry, the osmium atoms being split between two symmetry related sites of equal occupancy. The

centrosymmetrically disordered structure is represented in Fig. 3(b). The orientations of the osmium centres are related by inverting Os3 and O11 over the centre of symmetry. This means that the diol must be rotated around the C49–C55 bond to get two different orientations for the diol. The diolato ligands related to one osmium orientation are described by those atoms connected by unfilled and solid bond symbols and the diol conformations are λ and δ . The reason for this disorder might be that the space for this molecule is large enough to allow two orientations.

In complex **3**, as well as in **1** and **2**, the diolato ligands around all osmium(VI) atoms adopt $\lambda\delta$ conformations. The bond parameters of the co-ordination spheres of the three [OsO(bicy)₂] units, one from **2** and the two from **3**, are compared in Table 1. This comparison shows that all units have similar, but not identical, bond parameters indicating some flexibility in the square pyramidal co-ordination sphere of the Os^{VI}. The bond lengths in [ReO(bicy)₂] (Table 1) are also quite similar to the corresponding distances in **3** with the exception of the M–O bonds where Re–O1 is clearly longer than Os–O1.

Conclusion

Oxoosmium(VI) bis(diolato) complexes can be prepared from OsO₄ and tertiary diol in the presence of PPh₃. They form distorted square pyramidal structures with the oxo ligand at the top of the pyramid, and at least a pseudo mirror plane meaning that the ligand conformations are $\lambda\delta$ or $\delta\lambda$.

Experimental

All reactions were carried out in a dry nitrogen atmosphere using Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried by standard procedures. 1,1'-Bicyclohexane-1,1'-diol was prepared by the literature method.²¹ Pinacol, osmium tetroxide and triphenylphosphine were obtained commercially. The NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL GSX-400 spectrometer. Microanalyses were obtained from the Microanalysis Group of the University of Turku with a Perkin-Elmer CHNS-Analyzer 2400.

Preparations

[OsO(pin)₂] 1. The compounds OsO₄ (150 mg, 0.60 mmol) and H₂pin (150 mg, 1.30 mmol) were dissolved in 20 ml of dichloromethane. Triphenylphosphine (160 mg, 0.60 mmol) was added whereupon the solution turned black and the reaction mixture was stirred for two hours. Solvent was then evaporated and black residue extracted with hot hexane (20 ml).

Table 2 Crystal data and experimental details for complexes **1** to **3**

	1	2	3
Formula	C ₁₂ H ₂₄ O ₅ Os	C ₅₄ H ₇₇ O ₈ OsP	C ₂₄ H ₄₀ O ₅ Os
Formula weight	438.52	1075.33	598.76
Crystal system	Tetragonal	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group (no.)	P4 ₂ , nm (102)	P $\bar{1}$ (2)	P $\bar{1}$ (2)
a/Å	10.251(3)	15.055(5)	16.421(8)
b/Å	10.251(3)	15.899(8)	17.103(5)
c/Å	7.283(2)	12.677(5)	12.299(5)
a/°		107.08(4)	107.61(3)
β /°		96.18(3)	96.28(4)
γ /°		112.90(3)	67.01(3)
U/Å ³	765.3(3)	2585(2)	3031(2)
Z	2	2	5
μ (Mo-K α)/cm ⁻¹	83.40	25.48	52.90
T/K	294(2)	294(2)	294(2)
Measured reflections	446	9491	11092
Independent reflections	399	9113	10686
R(int)		2.34	3.57
R1 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	0.024	0.036	0.043
wR2 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$]	0.055	0.070	0.088

This solution was kept at -20 °C for four days until 150 mg (60%) of large black needles were separated (Found: C, 33.10; H, 5.50. C₁₂H₂₄O₅Os requires C, 32.90; H, 5.50%). NMR (CDCl₃, standard SiMe₄): δ_{H} 1.33 (CH₃) and 1.43 (CH₃); δ_{C} 24.18 (CH₃), 24.89 (CH₃) and 93.80 (CO).

[OsO(bicy)₂]-H₂bicy-Ph₃PO 2. This complex was prepared similarly from 100 mg (0.40 mmol) of OsO₄, 180 mg (0.90 mmol) of H₂bicy and 110 mg of triphenylphosphine (0.40 mmol). From the hexane solution 260 mg of [OsO(bicy)₂]-H₂bicy-Ph₃PO (60% based on osmium) were precipitated as black, shiny prisms (Found: C, 60.80; H, 7.40. C₅₄H₇₇O₈OsP requires C, 60.30; H, 7.20%).

[OsO(bicy)₂] 3. Sodium hydride (100 mg) was added to a black solution of complex **2** (200 mg in 10 ml of diethyl ether) and the mixture allowed to stand overnight. It was filtered, concentrated to 5 ml and kept at -20 °C. After 2 d, 80 mg (72%) of black needles were separated (Found: C, 48.25; H, 6.70. C₂₄H₄₀O₅Os requires C, 48.15; H, 6.75%). NMR (CDCl₃, standard SiMe₄): δ_{H} 1.10–1.90, several multiplets (CH₂); δ_{C} 21.77 (CH₂), 21.90 (CH₂), 25.73 (CH₂), 31.75 (CH₂), 32.73 (CH₂) and 94.29 (CO).

Crystallography

Crystal data for compounds **1–3** and other experimental details are summarised in Table 2. The unit cell parameters were determined by least-squares refinements from 20 carefully centred reflections measured at ambient temperature on a Rigaku AFC5S diffractometer using Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). The data obtained were corrected for Lorentz-polarisation effects. The intensity variations of three check reflections showed no decay for **1**, 1.8% decay for **2** and 2.2% decay for **3** during the data collections. These effects were corrected using linear correction factors. Absorption (ψ -scan)²² corrections were also applied and a secondary extinction was taken into account for **1**. The coefficient was 0.0081(8).

The structures were solved by direct methods and subsequent

Fourier syntheses. Data reduction and subsequent calculations were performed with TEXSAN for Windows.²³ The structures were refined on F^2 with SHELXL 97.²⁴ The heavy atoms refined anisotropically, and the hydrogen atoms included in calculated positions with fixed thermal parameters. In compound **1** O1 was refined isotropically and H atoms were not included. In the refinements of **3** the H atoms of the disordered part were not included. Compound **3** did not refine in a space group $P1$. Figures were drawn with ORTEP 3 for Windows.¹⁸

CCDC reference number 186/1551.

See <http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1999/2785/> for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Neste Foundation for financial support (to J. J.).

References

- M. Schröder, *Chem. Rev.*, 1980, **80**, 187; H. C. Kolb, M. S. Van Nieuwzhe and K. B. Sharpless, *Chem. Rev.*, 1994, **94**, 2487; E. J. Corey, S. Dsarshar, M. D. Azimoara, R. C. Newold and M. C. Noe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 35.
- R. J. Collin, J. Jones and W. P. Griffith, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1974, 1094.
- B. A. Cartwright, W. P. Griffith, M. Schröder and A. C. Skapski, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1981, **53**, L129.
- (a) R. Criegee, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1936, **522**, 75; (b) R. Criegee, B. Marchand and H. Wannowias, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1942, **550**, 99.
- W. A. Herrmann, S. J. Eder, P. Kiprof, K. Rypdal and P. Watzlowik, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1990, **29**, 1445.
- K. Rypdal, W. A. Herrmann, S. J. Eder, R. W. Albach, P. Watzlowik, H. Bock and B. Solouki, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 1331.
- L. G. Marzilli, B. E. Hanson, T. J. Kistenmacher, L. A. Epps and R. C. Stewart, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 1661.
- D. V. McGrath, G. D. Brabson, K. B. Sharpless and L. Andrews, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 4164.
- F. L. Phillips and A. C. Skapski, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1975, 2586.
- F. L. Phillips and A. C. Skapski, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1975, **31**, 1814.
- L. O. Atovmyan and Y. A. Sokolova, *Zh. Strukt. Khim.*, 1979, **20**, 754.
- A. Lehtonen and R. Sillanpää, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1995, 2701 and refs. therein.
- P. G. Edwards, J. Jokela, A. Lehtonen and R. Sillanpää, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 3287.
- M. H. Chisholm and I. P. Rothwell, in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, ed. G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, New York, 1987, vol. 2, pp. 335–364.
- F. A. Schröder and J. Scherle, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, 1973, **28**, 46.
- K. P. Gable, *Organometallics*, 1994, **13**, 2486.
- J. E. Armstrong and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 1545.
- L. J. Farrugia, *J. App. Crystallogr.*, 1997, **30**, 565.
- A. Davison, B. DePamphilis, A. G. Jones, K. J. Franklin and C. J. L. Lock, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1987, **128**, 161.
- M. C. Etter and P. W. Baures, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 639.
- E. J. Corey, R. L. Danheiser and S. Chandrasekaran, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1976, **41**, 260.
- A. C. T. North, D. C. Phillips and F. S. Mathews, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 1968, **24**, 351.
- TEXSAN for Windows, Structure Analysis Software, Molecular Structure Corporation, Houston, TX, 1997.
- G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL 97, University of Göttingen, 1997.

Paper 9/03042E