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#### Abstract

The dark blue complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (dipica $=$ dipicolylamine, bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine) has been isolated and characterized by single crystal X-ray crystallography. The five-co-ordinate $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ chromophore is located in a general position and involves a planar tridentate co-ordination of the dipica nitrogen atoms with short $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}$ [1.965(4), 1.970(5) $\AA$ ] and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}[1.973(6) \AA$ A distances. Both the nitrate ions are co-ordinated in a plane almost perpendicular ( $90.15^{\circ}$ ) to the $\mathrm{CuN}_{3}$ plane with slightly different $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ distances $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1), 2.153(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(4)$, $2.148(4) \AA$ § and a $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{CuO}(4)$ angle of $78.4^{\circ}$. The value of the trigonal index $\tau$ of $0.33\left[\left(a_{8}-a_{1}\right) / 60\right.$, where $a_{8}=$ $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 1$ and $\left.a_{1}=\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 3\right]$ suggests that the structure is best described as trigonal bipyramidal distorted square based pyramidal (TBDSBP). Two further remote oxygen atoms of the nitrato ligands are semi-co-ordinated in the $\mathrm{CuO}(1) \mathrm{O}(4)$ plane with much longer $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ distances $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 2,2.698(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 6,2.870(4) \AA$ ] so that the complex may be considered alternatively to possess a near seven-co-ordinate $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ chromophore. According to the Structural Pathway of the vibronic coupling model the five-co-ordinate structure is then best described as an extreme see-saw structure which is best understood in terms of a distortion of the regular five-co-ordinate trigonal bipyramidal stereochemistry involving a $-\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ route distortion. With an $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{CuO}(4)$ angle of $78.4^{\circ}$ this structure is the most extreme example known of the uncommon see-saw stereochemistry of the copper(II) ion.


## Introduction

Five-co-ordinate copper(II) complexes have elicited much interest ${ }^{1,2}$ as they display varying co-ordination geometries. More recently a wide range of distorted forms of the cations $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$(bipy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl), ${ }^{3}\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { phen })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}{ }^{4}{ }^{4}[\mathrm{Cu}-$ (phen) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right]^{+5}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { phen })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+6} \quad$ (phen $=1,10$-phenanthroline) have been recognized. In these series the basic five-co-ordinate stereochemistry is clearly intermediate between square based pyramidal and trigonal bipyramidal depending on the anion present and illustrates intermediate forms, each with a static local molecular stereochemistry, in the mechanistic pathway of the Berry Twist ${ }^{7}$ from a regular trigonal bipyramidal (RTBP) to a regular square pyramidal stereochemistry (RSBP). The concept of a structural pathway for these complexes has been developed ${ }^{3}$ recently to describe these structures in terms of a vibrational coupling model. ${ }^{8}$ Thus the structural pathways of the five-co-ordinate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Y}$ series of complexes 1 (Fig. 1) have been reported using eighteen structures. ${ }^{9}$ In the distortion of RTBP to RSBP stereochemistry the modes of vibration of the in-plane $\mathrm{CuN}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ portion of the chromophore involved are $v_{\text {sym }}{ }^{\text {str }}, v_{\text {sym }}{ }^{\text {bend }}, v_{\text {asym }}{ }^{\text {str }}$ and $v_{\text {asym }}$ bend (Fig. 1). These senses of distortion can conveniently be described in terms of the $\pm \mathrm{A}$ and $\pm \mathrm{B}$ routes of Fig. 1. The $\pm \mathrm{A}$ route of distortion solely involves $v_{\text {sym }}$ str and $v_{\text {sym }}$ bend modes of vibration, both of which retain the $C_{2}$ symmetry of the $\mathrm{CuN}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ chromophore. On the other hand, the $\pm \mathrm{B}$ route of distortion is determined by the $v_{\text {asym }}$ str and $v_{\text {asym }}^{\text {band }}$ modes, both of which lower the symmetry of the $\mathrm{CuN}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ chromophore to $C_{1}$. Thus the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{X}$ series of complexes are described with -A route distortion involving also a significant +B route distortion. The pure - A route distortion with $C_{2}$ symmetry is represented by the left horizontal distortion through the RTBP stereochemistry in Fig. 1 and has been used to describe the stereochemistry of the complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ terpy $\left.)(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}\right] \mathbf{2}^{10}$ and
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\right.$ (terpy) $\left.\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] 3^{10}$ where terpy $=2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine, both with $C_{2}$ symmetry (Fig. 2) as a reversed trigonal bipyramidal ${ }^{10}$ (RevTBP), implying that the pure + A route distortion, illustrated by the right horizontal distortion in Fig. 1, is referred to as normal. These complexes have the $a_{3}(\mathrm{XCuX})$ angles of 98.1(3) (2) and $109.0(0)^{\circ}$ (3), which are near enough to the RTBP angle of $120^{\circ}$ to justify them to be described as having RevTBP stereochemistry. On the other hand, the complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] 4^{11}(\mathrm{py}=$ pyridine $)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{hfacac})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right]$ $5^{12}$ (Fig. 2, Hhfacac $=1,1,1,5,5,5$-hexafluoroacetylacetone) are known to possess even lower angles of 91.4(3) and 90.8(2) ${ }^{\circ}$ respectively. As the $a_{3}$ angles are nearly $30^{\circ}$ less than the $120^{\circ}$ of the RTBP stereochemistry, it is inappropriate to describe them as RevTBP and so the term see-saw distorted trigonal bipyrimidal (SSDTBP) has been introduced to describe their geometries; ${ }^{9}$ however, it should be noted that the distinction between these two geometries is only arbitrary.
The present report describes the preparation, crystal structure determination and spectroscopic properties of the complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \quad$ dipica $=$ dipicolylamine, i.e. bis $(2$-pyridylmethyl)amine) with an even lower $a_{3}(\mathrm{OCuO})$ angle of $78.4^{\circ}$ and a slight rhombic distortion away from $C_{2}$ symmetry.

## Experimental

## Materials

All reagents for syntheses were used as received from Aldrich Chemicals or Fluka. 2,2'-Dipicolylamine [bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine] was a gift from Reilly Industries.

## (Dipicolylamine)dinitratocopper(II), $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

This compound was prepared by the addition of a methanolic solution $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(0.199 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a solution of


Fig. 1 Full structural pathways of the $\mathrm{CuN}_{4} \mathrm{X}$ chromophore involving the $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ route distortions. The bond distances have been rounded off to the nearest $0.05 \AA$ and the bond angles to the nearest $5^{\circ}$.


RTBP - [Cu(bipy) $\left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{Y}, 1$


RevTBP - [Cu(terpy) $\left(\mathrm{NCS}_{2}\right]_{2} 2$


RevTBP - [Cu(terpy) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ], 3


Fig. 2 Molecular structures 1-5
dipica ( $0.199 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 10 mL ) with stirring, and then allowing the solution to evaporate slowly at room temperature. The dark blue crystals of the nitrate, which were deposited after a few days, were suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{CuN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 37.26; $\mathrm{H}, 3.39$; N, 18.11. Found: C, $36.84 ;$ H, $3.41 ;$ N, $18.08 \%$.

## Physical measurements

Elemental analyses were performed at CDRI, Lucknow, India The diffuse-reflectance spectrum was measured on a Hitachi U-3400 double-beam UV/VIS-NIR spectrophotometer and the EPR spectrum on a Varian E 112 X-band spectrometer calibrated with diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (dpph).

## Crystal structure determination

A dark blue crystal of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ was mounted within thin-wall capillaries. Intensity measurements were performed on a Siemens P 4 -four circle diffractometer equipped with a conventional molybdenum X-ray source, graphite monochromator and scintillation counter. The lattice parameters of the monoclinic cell were derived from 30 carefully centered orientation reflections taken from a rotation photograph. Intensity data were collected by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique. In both cases two octants of data (in addition to the $h=-1$ shell) were collected implying the restriction of $C$-centering. The data reduction involved Lorentz and polarization corrections, ${ }^{13}$ as well as an empirical absorption correction using

Table 1 Crystallographic data for $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] 1$

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{CuN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M$ | 386.81 |
| Space group | $C 2 / c($ monoclinic, no. 15) |
| $a / \AA$ | $14.853(4)$ |
| $b / \AA$ | $8.050(1)$ |
| $c / \AA$ | $25.395(4)$ |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $103.18(2)$ |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | $2956(1)$ |
| $Z$ | 8 |
| $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 20 |
| $\lambda\left(\mathrm{Mo}^{\circ}-\mathrm{K} \alpha\right) / \AA$ | 0.71073 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}$ |  |
| $\mu / \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.738 |
| Independent reflections | 7.6 |
| Reflections with $I>2.00 \sigma(I)$ | $3195\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0345\right)$ |
| $R$ | 2278 |
| $R_{\text {w }}$ | 0.0573 |
|  | 0.0613 |



Fig. 3 An ORTEP drawing of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ showing the atom numbering and thermal motion ellipsoids ( $50 \%$ probability level) for non-hydrogen atoms.
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Fig. 4 The angular notation $\left(a_{n}\right)$ used to illustrate the $[\mathrm{Cu}$ (dipica)$\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ] structure.
$3 \psi$-scan reflections. Initial structural models ( $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{N}$, and some C) were obtained by direct methods (SHELXTL program package). ${ }^{14}$ The remaining C atoms were obtained from Fourier-difference maps following some least-squares cycles. After isotropic refinement of these models H atoms were added on idealized positions. One common isotropic thermal parameter per group was refined for the riding H atoms. Details of the data collections, structure solutions and refinements are given in Table 1 and important bond lengths and angles in Table 2.

CCDC reference number 186/1849.
See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/a9/a908185b/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Table 2 Selected bond distances and angles for $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { dipica })\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{a}$

| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.965(4)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $2.148(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.970(5)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $2.698(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.973(6)$ | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 6$ | $2.870(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $2.153(4)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 3\left(a_{1}\right)$ | $145.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1\left(a_{6}\right)$ | $94.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 3\left(a_{2}\right)$ | $136.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2\left(a_{7}\right)$ | $97.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1\left(a_{3}\right)$ | $78.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1\left(a_{8}\right)$ | $164.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1\left(a_{4}\right)$ | $82.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2\left(a_{9}\right)$ | $97.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 2\left(a_{5}\right)$ | $82.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1\left(a_{10}\right)$ | $94.6(2)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Distances in $\AA$, angles in ${ }^{\circ}$, and standard deviation in last significant digit in parentheses.

## Results and discussion <br> Structure of [Cu(dipica) $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$

An ORTEP ${ }^{15}$ plot of the local molecular structure of the complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ is illustrated in Fig. 3 along with the atom numbering scheme. The reduced angle notation $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is shown in Fig. 4, corresponding to that used for the RTBP stereochemistry (Fig. 1). The structure of the complex molecule involves a five-co-ordinate $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ chromophore with a trigonal bipyramidal co-ordination environment. The tridentate dipica ligand bonds in a planar conformation with its two pyridine nitrogen atoms occupying the axial positions. The oxygen atoms O 1 and O 4 of the nitrato ligands are co-ordinated in a plane at right angles $\left(90.15^{\circ}\right)$ to the dipica plane, at almost the same $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}$ distance $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1), 2.153(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(4), 2.148(4) \AA$ A $]$ as expected. It is interesting that the mutually trans out-ofplane $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}$ distances [1.965(4), 1.970(5) $\AA$ ] and the single in-plane $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ distance [1.973(6) $\AA$ ] are almost equal and short. Generally the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {het }}$ distance is shorter than the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ distance, as observed in six-co-ordinate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { dipica })_{2}\right]$ $\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2},{ }^{16} \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{bba}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right][\mathrm{bba}=$ bis(benzimidazol-2-ylmethyl)amine $,{ }^{17}\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{bba})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{2},{ }^{18}\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{tpbd})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]_{2}[\mathrm{tpbd}=$ $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetrakis(2-pyridylmethyl)benzene-1,4-diamine], ${ }^{19}$ $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { dipica }) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]^{20}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\text { dipica })_{2}\right]^{2+21}$ complexes on account of the difference in hybridization of the nitrogen atoms. In the present complex the observed short $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ distance probably results from the small bite angles [ $a_{4}, 82.4(3) ; a_{5}$, $\left.82.5(3)^{\circ}\right]$ of the dipica ligand. A similar observation has been made for the five-co-ordinate square pyramidal $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { dipica })_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]_{2}$ complex ${ }^{16}$ in which the axial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{py}}$ distance ( $2.044 \AA$ ) is longer than the equatorial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {amine }}$ distance ( $1.995 \AA$ ) . Further, the in-plane $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ angles (145.3, $136.3,78.4^{\circ}$ ), sum $360^{\circ}$, deviate from the value of $120^{\circ}$ expected for the RTBP geometry. The out-of-plane $a_{4}$ and $a_{5}$ angles are less and the out-of-plane $a_{6}, a_{7}, a_{9}$ and $a_{10}$ angles greater than the expected RTBP angles of $90^{\circ}$. The $a_{8}$ angle is $164.8(2)^{\circ}$, which is clearly less than $180^{\circ}$ due to the small bite angles ( $a_{4}, a_{5}$ ) of the dipica ligand. The angle between the $\mathrm{CuN}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ planes of $90.15^{\circ}$ is very close to $90^{\circ}$, with the remaining atoms of the nitrato groups lying close to the $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane. These distortions and the value of the trigonal index $\tau^{22}$ [ $\left.=\left(a_{8}-a_{1}\right) / 60\right]$ of 0.33 suggest that the structure is best described as trigonal bipyramidal distorted square based pyramidal ${ }^{4}$ (TBDSBP). While both the nitrate anions are co-ordinated in our complex, only one is co-ordinated in the benzimidazole (bzim) analog $\left[\mathrm{CuL}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right] \mathrm{NO}_{3}[\mathrm{~L}=$ bis(benz-imidazolylmethyl)-n-butylamine] and its homologs, ${ }^{23}$ obviously because of the bulkiness of the bzim moiety which prevents the other nitrate anion from co-ordination. If the remote $\mathrm{O}(2)$ and $\mathrm{O}(6)$ oxygen atoms of the nitrato groups at longer distances $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(2), 2.698(4) ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(6), 2.870(4) \AA]$ are considered to be involved in semi-co-ordination, then the structure would correspond alternatively to a seven-co-ordinate $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ chromophore. While the two short $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ distances hardly show significant difference, the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ and
$\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(6)$ distances are clearly different and hence lower the symmetry of the $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{\prime}$ chromophore from $C_{2}$ to $C_{1}$. This lowering is supported by the asymmetry in the values of the $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{CuO}(1)\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N}(3) \mathrm{CuO}(4)\left(a_{2}\right)$ angles.

The five-co-ordinate structure of our complex is closely comparable to the very rare five-co-ordinate copper(II) complexes like $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ terpy $\left.)(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}\right] \mathbf{2}^{10}{ }^{10}\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ terpy $\left.) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right] \mathbf{3}^{10}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { py })_{3^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NO}\right)_{2}\right] 4,{ }^{11}$ all of which involve a crystallographic twofold axis and show an extreme see-saw stereochemistry ( - A route distortion), but differs in two respects. First the copper(II) ions in these complexes lie on a crystallographic twofold axis of symmetry and secondly their $a_{3}$ angles [2, 98.1; 3, 109.0; 4, $\left.91.4^{\circ}\right]$ are significantly higher than that $\left(78.4^{\circ}\right)$ of our complex. These complexes can be considered to undergo a pure - A route distortion (Fig. 1) and so are appropriately described as having a RevTBP stereochemistry as their $a_{3}$ angles are within $29^{\circ}$ of $120^{\circ}$ of a RTBP stereochemistry. On the other hand, the $a_{3}$ angles of the present complex, $\mathbf{4}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{hfacac})_{2}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)\right] 5^{12}$ are significantly less than $120^{\circ}$, with that of the present complex being more than $40^{\circ}$ less; so it is inappropriate to describe the stereochemistry of these three complexes as RevTBP. Moreover, the in-plane $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ distances of our complex and $\mathbf{4}$ of $c a .2 .15 \AA$ are considerably longer than that of $2.10 \AA$ normally associated with the inplane $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{N}$ distances of the RTBP stereochemistry. On these grounds the basic stereochemistry of the present complex and of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ is significantly different from those of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ and hence deserves a separate description as SEE-SAW RTB (SSRTB). ${ }^{9}$

The stereochemistries of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ differ from that of our complex in having a crystallographic twofold axis of symmetry and hence described as having a pure -A route distortion, which involves the pure $v_{\text {sym }}{ }^{\text {str }}$ and $v_{\text {sym }}{ }^{\text {bend }}$ modes of vibration. The distorted $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ chromophore of the present complex with slight contraction along the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ distance (opposite to $a_{3}$ ), the almost equal $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ distances and the nonequivalence of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ angles without a twofold axis of symmetry is considered to involve the $-\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ route distortion with the obvious domination of -A over +B , involving all the four modes of vibration $v_{\text {sym }}$ str,$v_{\text {sym }}$ bend, $v_{\text {asym }}^{\text {str }}$ and $v_{\text {asym }}{ }^{\text {bend }}$, namely the molecular structures IX and IX' of Fig. 1. Alternatively, the precise co-ordination geometry displayed may be interpreted ${ }^{5}$ as an effect of vibronic coupling of a linear combination of the nuclear modes of vibrations $v_{\text {sym }}$, a symmetric $C_{2}$ mode, and $v_{\text {asym }}$, an asymmetric non- $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ mode, of the $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ chromophore.

## Electronic properties

The polycrystalline EPR spectrum of the complex is clearly axial, suggesting a $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ ground state for $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$. The axial $g$ values of 2.097 and 2.207 correspond to crystal $g$ values and not the local molecular $g$ values because of misalignment of the local molecular axes. However, in view of the short $\mathrm{N}(1) \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ distances in the complex, a $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$ ground state is the more likely, as already established for the related complex 4 by single crystal EPR measurements. The polycrystalline electronic spectrum of the complex displays only one ligand field band around 15300 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. It is difficult to assign this band as the ground state could not be confirmed.

## Conclusion

The present report describes the preparation, crystal structure determination and spectroscopic properties of the 1:1 copper(II) nitrate complex of dipicolylamine. The crystal structure of the complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\right.$ dipica $\left.)\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right]$ with low symmetry $\mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ chromophore involves a five-co-ordinate see-saw stereochemistry with an extreme $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{CuO}(4)\left(a_{3}\right)$ angle of $78.4^{\circ}$ and a slight rhombic distortion away from $C_{2}$ symmetry. We have invoked the involvement of vibronic coupling ( $-\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$
route distortion) to account for the observed structure. The ability of the dipica ligand to co-ordinate to copper(II) in a planar conformation is also demonstrated.
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