# Doubly interpenetrating stereoisomeric three-dimensional frameworks of tripodal neodymium(III) complexes from potentially spacer-controlled enantioselective self-assembly

Cheng-Yong Su,<sup>ab</sup> Bei-Sheng Kang,<sup>b</sup> Qing-Chuan Yang<sup>a</sup> and Thomas C. W. Mak<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong, P. R. China. E-mail: tcwmak@cuhk.edu.hk

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275, P. R. China

Received 15th February 2000, Accepted 18th April 2000 Published on the Web 22nd May 2000

Two three-dimensional frameworks composed of doubly interpenetrating networks of the same topology but different stereoisomeric structures have been constructed from self-assembly of the chiral building block,  $\Delta$ - or  $\Lambda$ -[Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>, with different spacers bipy and bpen (ntb = tris(2-benzimidazolylmethyl)amine, bipy = 4,4'-bipyridyl, bpen = *trans*-1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene). In the crystal structure of [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bipy·2H<sub>2</sub>O the spacer bipy connects [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> cations of the same handedness to generate a chiral network  $\Delta_3$ - $\Delta$ ... (or  $\Lambda_3$ - $\Lambda$ ...){[Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>·3bipy}<sub>∞</sub>, which is interpenetrated further by another identical network. The crystal structure of [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bipor·H<sub>2</sub>O shows a topologically similar but achiral framework in which each spacer bpen connects either cations of the same chirality or a pair of enantiomers, thus generating a three-dimensional racemate  $\Delta_2\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ ... (or  $\Lambda_2\Delta$ - $\Delta$ ...)-{[Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>·3bpen}<sub>∞</sub>. Aggregation of the molecular species is effected by N–H···N hydrogen bonds, and the observed enantioselective self-assembly can be rationalized by  $\pi \cdot \cdot \cdot \pi$  interactions between aromatic rings.

## Introduction

The crystal engineering of supramolecular arrays is currently one of the most important areas of research that has implications for the rational design of functional materials.<sup>1</sup> Two major directions<sup>2</sup> have actively been pursued: the construction of organic networks consolidated by directional non-covalent interactions,3 and that of co-ordination polymers sustained by co-ordinate covalent bonds.<sup>4</sup> More recently, design strategies relying on the incorporation of both types of interactions have become increasingly prominent. This fascinating approach is dominated by supramolecular self-assembly, which is natural in origin and presently generally harnessed in unnatural systems.<sup>5</sup> The self-assembly of chiral supramolecular entities is common in vivo. However, the design of artificial chiral self-assembling systems with co-ordination motifs is regarded as a new endeavor and therefore quite challenging.6 Lehn and coworkers<sup>7</sup> have proposed that supramolecular chirality may be achieved if (i) at least one component is asymmetric, or (ii) the interaction between achiral components is dissymmetrizing, yielding a chiral association. Based on the second principle, various helical arrays<sup>8</sup> and chiral frameworks<sup>9</sup> have been synthesized. On the other hand, self-assembly using chiral coordination motifs that are held together by non-covalent interactions is less explored, although some self-assemblies of diverse chiral molecular squares have been reported.<sup>6,10</sup> We previously reported doubly interpenetrating two- and threedimensional networks with an encapsulated lanthanide(III) cation  $[Ln(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  1 [ntb = tris(2-benzimidazoylmethyl)amine] as the building block and hydrogen-bonded 4,4'bipyridyl as a spacer in three related complexes [Pr(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]-[ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·2bipy·1.5H<sub>2</sub>O 2 and [Ln(ntb)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bipy·nH<sub>2</sub>O (3, Ln = Eu, n = 2; 4, Ln = Tb, n = 1).<sup>11</sup> Here we describe the construction of doubly interpenetrating stereoisomeric threedimensional frameworks in [Nd(ntb)2][ClO4]3.3bipy.2H2O 5 and [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bpen·H<sub>2</sub>O 6 from enantioselective selfassembly of the same  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building block with different spacers bipy and bpen (bipy = 4,4'-bipyridyl, bpen = *trans*-1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene), placing particular emphasis on the stereochemical diversity resulting from the choice of different spacers.

## **Results and discussion**

The tripodal ligand ntb reacts with hydrated lanthanide(III) nitrates to give 1:1 complexes  $[Ln(ntb)(NO_3)_3]$ ·H<sub>2</sub>O,<sup>12</sup> while with perchlorate salts a 2:1  $[Ln(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  cation<sup>11,13</sup> is formed, which can readily be assembled by the spacer 4,4'-bipyridyl to produce hydrogen-bonded networks.<sup>11</sup> The present pair of complexes were synthesized using the same neodymium(III) ion but different spacers bipy and bpen, and characterized by single crystal X-ray analysis.

In both [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bipy·2H<sub>2</sub>O 5 and [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]-[ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bpen·H<sub>2</sub>O 6 each ntb ligand displays a tripod-like tetradentate co-ordination mode with the Nd surrounded by six benzimidazole arms of two ntb ligands to give a [Nd(ntb)2]<sup>3+</sup> species. Thus the central  $Nd^{III}$  is eight-co-ordinated and encapsulated in a slightly distorted cubic environment.<sup>11,13</sup> The Nd-N bond distances show no remarkable features and closely resemble each other in 5 and 6, and selected bond distances and bond angles are listed in Table 1. Since the  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  cations in 5 and 6 are isostructural, only the molecular structure and atomic numbering scheme for 5 are depicted in Fig. 1. The pair of tripodal ntb ligands wrap around the Nd<sup>3+</sup> ion in such a way that a  $C_3$  axis (pseudo- $C_3$  in 6) passes through the two apical tertiary amino nitrogen atoms and the central metal atom. Viewing down this  $C_3$  axis from either apical nitrogen atom to the Nd<sup>3+</sup> ion in opposite directions, the two ntb ligands have the same right- or left-handed propeller appearance. This type of helical arrangement is intrinsically chiral and results in a pair of enantiomers. The  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$  enantiomers of  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  are defined as shown in Scheme 1. Viewing down the  $C_3$  axis along

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2000, 1857–1862 1857



 $#4 - x + \frac{8}{3}, -x + y + \frac{4}{3}, -z + \frac{4}{3}$ 

|               | (i) Complex <b>5</b>               |                         |   |  |  |
|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|
|               | Nd(1)–N(1)                         | 2.776(3)                | Nd(1)–N(3)                                      | 2.573(2)   |  |
|               | N(3)–Nd(1)–N(1)                    | 63.10(4)                | N(3)#2-Nd(1)-N(1)                               | 116.90(4)  |  |
|               | N(3)#1–Nd(1)–N(3)                  | 101.12(5)               | N(3)#2-Nd(1)-N(3)                               | 175.0(2)   |  |
|               | N(3)#3-Nd(1)-N(3)                  | 82.9(2)                 | N(3)#4–Nd(1)–N(3)                               | 75.1(2)  |  |
|               | Hydrogen bonding                   |                         |   |  |  |
|               | $N(2) \cdots N(4) #3$              | 2.832                   | $N(2)-H(2a)\cdots N(4)#3$                       | 165.3  |  |
| Symmetry tran | sformations used to generate equiv | valent atoms: #1 $-x$ - | $+ y + 2, -x + 2, z; #2 x - y + \frac{2}{3}, -$ | $y + \frac{4}{3}, -z + \frac{4}{3}; \#3 \ y + \frac{2}{3}, \ x - \frac{2}{3}, -z + \frac{4}{3};$ |  |

(ii) Complex 6 2.536(4) Nd(1)-N(1)2.768(3)Nd(1)-N(21)Nd(1)-N(11) 2.544(4)Nd(1)–N(31) 2.550(4) N(1)#1-Nd(1)-N(1) 178.52(15) N(11)-Nd(1)-N(1) 63.74(11) N(21)-Nd(1)-N(1)63.89(11) N(31)-Nd(1)-N(1) 63.98(11) N(11)#1-Nd(1)-N(1) 116.20(11) N(21)#1-Nd(1)-N(1) 114.84(11) N(31)#1-Nd(1)-N(1)117.34(11) N(21)-Nd(1)-N(11)101.88(12) N(21)-Nd(1)-N(31)103.04(12) N(11) - Nd(1) - N(31)101.27(13) N(21)-Nd(1)-N(21)#1 N(21)#1-Nd(1)-N(11) 75.16(12) 79.13(16) N(11)#1-Nd(1)-N(11) 176.27(17)N(31)#1-Nd(1)-N(31) 74.96(16) N(21)-Nd(1)-N(31)#1 176.21(13) N(11)-Nd(1)-N(31)#1 81.74(13) Hydrogen bonding  $N(12) \cdots N(2)#2$ 2.775  $N(12)-H(12a)\cdots N(2)#2$ 169.5  $N(22) \cdots N(3) \# 3$ 2.730  $N(22) - H(22a) \cdots N(3) \# 3$ 170.5  $N(32) \cdots N(4) \# 4$  $N(32)-H(32a) \cdots N(4)#4$ 1679 2.838





Fig. 1 Top view of the cation  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  ( $\Lambda$  configuration) in complex 5 showing atoms as thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability level. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

a N–M bond, if the arms of the top ntb ligand wrap around the central metal ion in a clockwise propeller-like fashion the chirality symbol  $\Delta$  is assigned; conversely, if the propeller takes an anti-clockwise shape the symbol  $\Lambda$  is assigned.<sup>14</sup> The ntb ligand itself is achiral in solution, so that its chelation to the Nd<sup>III</sup> ion is expected to produce enantiomeric  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  cations in equal numbers. As each ntb ligand possesses three NH groups that are potential hydrogen-bond donors (see Fig. 2), it is possible in principle to self-assemble the  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motifs by employing a linear difunctional hydrogen-bond acceptor such as bipy or bpen as a spacer component, and the stereochemistry





of the resulting supramolecular arrays may profoundly be affected by the spacer used.

The crystal structures show that in both complexes 5 and 6 the  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building block is involved in six donor hydrogen bonds with six neighboring bipy or bpen units. The supramolecular hydrogen-bonding scheme is represented



Fig. 2 Side view of the cation  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  in complex 6. Note that the six NH groups that point in opposite directions are potential hydrogenbond donors.



Fig. 3 The supramolecular hydrogen-bond scheme in complex 5 showing that each  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motif forms six donor hydrogen bonds with six neighboring bipy spacers.

in Fig. 3. There is only one crystallographically unique N-H...N hydrogen bond in 5 (N(2)...N(4)#3, 2.832 Å; N(2)- $H(2a) \cdots N(4) \# 3$ , 165.3°), while in 6 six N-H  $\cdots$  N hydrogen bonds are generated by the symmetry 2 through the central  $Nd^{III}$  from three independent ones ( $N \cdots N$  distances lie in the range 2.775–2.838 Å, see Table 1). Alternate linkage between a single [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> motif with three different adjacent bipy or bpen molecules leads to an open three-dimensional cationic network (Fig. 4(a)) with large voids to be filled by another identical interlocking network (Fig. 4(b)). The resulting threedimensional network of 5 (Fig. 5) is essentially the same as those of 3 and 4, but exists in a space group of higher symmetry, suggesting that crystal symmetry of the framework increases with the ionic radius of the metal center. The network of both 5 and 6 may be assigned to the  $\alpha$ -polonium-related topology as shown in Fig. 6.15 The main difference is that larger voids are formed in 6. As illustrated in Fig. 6, the separation between the neighboring metal atoms within an independent network is ca. 20.6 Å in 5 and 21.5–23.1 Å in 6. The closest distance between metal atoms belonging to two interlocking networks is ca. 13.2 Å in 5 and 13.7 Å in 6. This difference between 5 and 6 is obviously associated with the structural characteristics of the spacers used: the distance between the terminal nitrogen atoms in bipy is 7.0 Å, while that in bpen is 9.4 Å. Obviously, the longer spacer bpen only results in a looser interlocking of the two networks but does not change the network topology.

The most striking feature of the three-dimensional frameworks of complexes **5** and **6** is that their stereochemistry is influenced by the spacer employed. In **5** the spacer bipy promotes aggregation of isomers of the same chirality to give an extended three-dimensional network designated as  $\Delta_3$ - $\Delta$ ... or  $\Lambda_3$ - $\Lambda$ .... Two such networks of the same handedness inter-



**Fig. 4** Three-dimensional frameworks in the crystal structure of complex 6: (a) one independent network viewed parallel to the *b* axis; (b) doubly interlocking networks from the same perspective. For clarity, each 2-benzimidazolylmethyl arm of the ntb ligand is represented by a long rod joining each NH group to the  $Ln^{3+}$  ion. All hydrogen atoms, water molecules and perchlorate ions have been omitted. The independent interpenetrating networks are differentiated by solid and open shading.

penetrate each other to form a chiral framework. On the other hand, the spacer bpen in **6** connects either a pair of enantiomers or the same chiral  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building blocks, thereby generating a three-dimensional racemic compound  ${}^{14}\Delta_2\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ ... (or  $\Lambda_2\Delta$ - $\Lambda$ ...) of the same network topology as that of **5**. Since the ligand ntb is achiral in solution, and co-ordination of ntb to  $Ln^{3+}$  produces a racemic mixture of enantiomeric  $\Delta$  and  $\Lambda$ building blocks, the hydrogen-bonding driven self-assembly process may be considered to be enantioselectively spacer controlled. The potential enantioselective assembly route is illustrated in Scheme 1.

The intrinsic reason that causes this stereochemical diversity may be found from the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  aromatic interactions between the spacers and the benzimidazole rings of the ntb ligands, because the same building blocks and the similar lattice architecture shared by complexes **5** and **6** can provide insights into the observed stereochemical difference. In general, the spacers bipy and bpen are both linear difunctional and composed of two pyridyl rings. However, the single bond between the pyridyl rings in bipy can rotate freely, while rigidity of the ethylene double bond in bpen favors the coplanar conformation. The crystal structure analysis shows that the two six-membered



**Fig. 5** Three-dimensional doubly interlocking frameworks in the crystal structure of complex **5**. Representation of the supramolecular structure is simplified in the same manner as in Fig. 4.



Fig. 6 Twofold interpenetration of  $\alpha$ -polonium-related nets in complex 6. The balls represent Nd atoms, and each rod represents a pair of 2-benzimidazolylmethyl arms and a bpen spacer that are connected by hydrogen bonds.

rings of bipy in 5 make a dihedral angle of ca. 31°, which seems to be a favorable conformation of bipy for connecting a pair of neighboring  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motifs of the same chirality. As shown in Fig. 7(a), each bipy forms two face-to-face aromatic interactions with two adjacent benzimidazole rings of one  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motif, the centroid-to-centroid distance (d) and corresponding interplanar angle ( $\tau$ ) being 4.65 Å and 21°, respectively, plus two edge-to-face interactions with two adjacent benzimidazole rings of another [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> motif at d = 5.35 Å and  $\tau = 44.5^{\circ}$ .<sup>16</sup> Thus two  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motifs separated by one bipy exhibit the same chirality. Each  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$ motif in the *a*,*b* plane is positioned at the center of a hexagon composed of six surrounding  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motifs. As shown in Fig. 7(b), adjacent motifs are separated by a bipy ligand, and the six bipy ligands surrounding a central motif are involved in twelve face-to-face and twelve edge-to-face aromatic interactions between the central and the neighboring motifs, all having the same chirality. This is also true in the europium complex 3 with essentially the same molecular structure but different crystal symmetry, in which the two six-membered rings of bipy make a dihedral angle of ca. 30°, and the corresponding d and  $\tau$  values are 4.69, 4.70 Å and 20.5, 21.4° for face-to-face interactions, and 5.42, 5.41 Å and 44.3, 42.4° for edge-to-face interactions, respectively. On the other hand, the bpen ligand in 6takes two kinds of conformation: in one its six-membered rings are nearly coplanar and in the other they make a dihedral angle of 36.2°. The latter "torsional" one separates two [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> motifs of the same handedness, as in the case of bipy in 5, but the former "coplanar" one serves as a bridge between a pair of enantiomeric [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> motifs. This relationship can be



**Fig. 7** Projection along [001] showing a layer of  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building blocks separated by bipy spacers in complex **5**: (a) weak face-to-face and edge-to-face  $\pi \cdots \pi$  aromatic interactions between bipy and neighboring  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motifs; (b) hexagonal array of  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building blocks showing the same handedness. The hydrogen atoms, water molecule and perchlorate ions have been omitted for clarity.

depicted in Fig. 8(a), in which the torsional bpen forms two face-to-face aromatic interactions with two adjacent benzimidazole rings of one  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motif at d = 4.58 Å and  $\tau = 17.4^{\circ}$ , and two edge-to-face interactions with two adjacent benzimidazole rings of another  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motif at d = 5.06 Å and  $\tau = 48^{\circ}$ . On the contrary, the coplanar bpen is nearly parallel to two benzimidazole rings of a pair of neighboring enantiomeric [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> motifs and perpendicular to two adjacent benzimidazole rings of them (Fig. 8(a)), thus showing two face-to-face  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions (d lie in the range 3.77–4.37 Å and  $\tau$  in the range 7.3–8.6°) and two edge-to-face interactions (d, 4.62–5.03 Å;  $\tau$ , 56–76.4°). A racemic layer matching the (200) plane may be best described as composed of rows of [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> enantiomers in an alternate arrangement along the c axis (Fig. 8(b)). Within each row running parallel to the baxis adjacent motifs of the same chirality are separated by a torsional bpen ligand, and between adjacent rows the enantiomeric motifs are separated by coplanar bpen ligands.

From the above discussion we can see that the clustering of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions plays an important role in stabilizing the supramolecular assembly of  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building blocks with



**Fig. 8** Projection along [100] showing a layer of  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  building blocks separated by bpen spacers in complex **6**: (a) weak face-to-face and edge-to-face  $\pi \cdots \pi$  aromatic interactions between bpen and the neighboring  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  motifs; (b)  $[Nd(ntb)_2]^{3+}$  enantiomers arranged in alternate rows to form a racemic array. The hydrogen atoms, water molecule and perchlorate ions have been omitted for clarity.

bipy or bpen.<sup>17</sup> Especially in complex **6** the stabilization energy is strong enough to compensate for the destruction of the conformation energy of bpen. Most importantly, this stabilization makes it practicable to control the stereoselectivity of selfassembly by hydrogen bonding. The crystal structure analysis results demonstrate that the stereochemical structure of the three-dimensional frameworks is closely related to the conformation of the spacers. That is, if the spacers can take either the torsional or coplanar conformation a racemic framework can be obtained, but, if all the spacers take the torsional conformation only a chiral framework will be constructed. This is evident if we take complexes 3 and 4 into account. Although they crystallize in different space groups, similar chiral frameworks are assembled because the same torsional bipy spacers are used, suggesting that framework stereochemistry is only related to the conformation of the spacer while the ionic radius of the metal center only influences the crystal symmetry of the framework. In other words, stereochemical recognition between the spacers and the building blocks can determine the chirality of the resulting frameworks. From Figs. 4 and 5 we can see that the networks may be considered to be constructed from the hydrogen-bonding self-assembly of layers of [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> building blocks with bipy or bpen spacers. In addition, a torsional spacer excludes either inversion or mirror symmetry so that it connects the same chiral building blocks, whereas a coplanar spacer is compatible with inversion symmetry and a pair of enantiomers can be bridged, as illustrated in Scheme 1. This enantioselective assembly necessitates that the layers assembled by bipy in **5** have the same chirality, and a chiral network is formed accordingly. Since each layer is interpenetrated by the bipy spacers of another network, both interlocking networks must also have the same chirality. On the other hand, in **6** the coplanar bpen joins a pair of enantiomeric building blocks while the torsional bpen connects building blocks of the same chirality, so the racemic layers assembled by both coplanar and torsional bpen result in a racemic network which is interlocked by an identical one.

The network of complex **6** represents another type of crystalline racemate that is different from the known examples  $^{9d,e}$  in which equivalent networks of opposite handedness interpenetrate each other. On the other hand, spontaneous resolution has been found in the assembly of metallohelical compexes  $^{17b,18}$  involving both hydrogen bonding and coordinate bonding. However, to our knowledge most of the reported chiral networks  $^{9a-c,15}$  are co-ordination polymers, and chirality is often achieved based on the second principle proposed by Lehn and co-workers.<sup>7</sup> Since achiral starting materials were used to obtain a racemic mixture of chiral building blocks, the spontaneous resolution of **5** may be considered as a new strategy for construction of chiral networks assisted by enantioselectively spacer-controlled self-assembly of hydrogenbonding interactions.

In summary, we have demonstrated that both chiral and achiral three-dimensional networks with doubly interpenetrated  $\alpha$ -polonium-related topology can be constructed from spontaneous self-assembly of chiral co-ordination motifs utilizing hydrogen-bonding interactions. The weak aromatic  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions contribute greatly to the stabilization of this supramolecular assembly and lead to enantioselective recognition between the chiral building blocks and the spacers used. This enantioselective self-assembly process controlled by suitable spacers as presented here may contribute to a better understanding of enantioselective separation and the synthesis of porous chiral solids.

## Experimental

Hydrated neodymium(III) perchlorate was prepared by dissolving neodymium oxide (99.99%) in 30% perchloric acid. The ligand tris(2-benzimidazolylmethyl)amine was synthesized following a slight modification of the method of Phillips by Oki et al.<sup>19</sup> The complexes were prepared in a similar way: hydrated neodymium(III) perchlorate was mixed with 2 equivalents of ntb in methanol, to which 3 equivalents of bipy (or bpen) dissolved in acetonitrile were added. The mixture was allowed to stand for several days to deposit a crystalline product. [Nd-(ntb)<sub>2</sub>][ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>3</sub>·3bipy·2H<sub>2</sub>O 5. Calc. for C<sub>78</sub>H<sub>70</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>N<sub>20</sub>NdO<sub>14</sub>: C, 53.17; H, 4.00; N, 15.90. Found: C, 53.64; H, 4.18; N, 15.41%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3417br, 3047, 2848, 1599, 1557, 1458, 1416, 1346, 1270, 1220, 1094s, 1024, 813, 750 and 624. [Nd(ntb)2]- $[ClO_4]_3$ ·3bpen·H<sub>2</sub>O 6. Calc. for  $C_{84}H_{74}Cl_3N_{20}NdO_{13}$ : C, 55.37; H, 4.09; N, 15.37. Found: C, 55.21; H, 4.24; N, 15.03%. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3401br, 3050, 2904, 1602, 1553, 1462, 1420, 1343, 1266, 1217, 1091s, 1028, 825, 748 and 622.

Intensities were collected on a Siemens SMART CCD area detector three-circle diffractometer for complex **5** and a Rigaku RAXIS IIC image-plate diffractometer for **6** with graphite monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). Absorption corrections were applied using ABSCOR <sup>20</sup> for **6** but none for **5**. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares using the SHELX 93 or 97 program package.<sup>21</sup> Information on crystal data and data collection parameters is given in Table 2. In **5** the [Nd(ntb)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> cation has exact  $D_3$  symmetry with a  $C_3$  axis passing through the apical nitrogen and the central Nd<sup>III</sup>. The perchlorate anion is located on a  $C_2$  axis and orientationally twofold disordered. In **6** two independent bpen ligands are located at inversion centers. In

Table 2Data collection and processing parameters of complexes 5and 6

|  | 5   | 6   |
|--|---|---|
| Empirical formula                          | C <sub>78</sub> H <sub>70</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> N <sub>20</sub> NdO <sub>14</sub> | C <sub>84</sub> H <sub>74</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> N <sub>20</sub> NdO <sub>13</sub> |
| Crystal system                             | Rhombohedral  | Monoclinic  |
| Space group                                | R32 (no. 155)   | <i>C</i> 2/ <i>c</i> (no. 15)   |
| aĺÅ  | 16.403(2)   | 22.147(4)   |
| b/Å  | 16.403(2)   | 16.082(3)   |
| c/Å  | 27.497(5)   | 26.748(5)   |
| βl°  |   | 113.66(3)   |
| <i>V</i> /Å <sup>3</sup>                   | 6407(2)   | 8726(3)   |
| Ζ  | 3   | 4   |
| Formula weight                             | 1762.13   | 1822.22   |
| $\mu/\text{mm}^{-1}$                       | 0.774   | 0.759   |
| <i>T</i> /K                                | 293(2)  | 293(2)  |
| Reflections measured                       | 7875  | 13150   |
| Independent reflections                    | $2064 (R_{int} = 0.0703)$   | $7554 (R_{int} = 0.0729)$   |
| Observed reflections<br>$(I > 2\sigma(I))$ | 2048  | 6895  |
| Final R1, wR2 indices                      |   |   |
| (obs.)                                     | 0.0478, 0.1230  | 0.0836, 0.2338  |
| (all)                                      | 0.0523, 0.1466  | 0.0887, 0.2404  |

the third bpen ligand that occupies a site of symmetry 2 the ethylene carbon atoms C45 and C46 exhibit disorder over two sites and were refined isotropically with half occupancy. One perchlorate anion is axially disordered about one Cl–O bond, while the other is located on an inversion center and hence orientationally twofold disordered. The ethylene carbon atom C55 and the water oxygen atom that exhibit large thermal displacement parameters were also refined isotropically. All other non-hydrogen atoms were subjected to anisotropic refinement, while the hydrogen atoms were included in structure factor calculations with isotropic thermal parameters.

CCDC reference number 186/1946.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b0/b001236j/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

#### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by Hong Kong Research Grants Council Earmarked Grant Ref. No. CUHK 303/96P, National Natural Science Foundation of China, Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, and a Wei Lun Visiting Scholarship tenable at The Chinese University of Hong Kong (awarded to C.-Y. S.).

#### References

1 R. Robson, B. F. Abramhams, S. R. Batten, R. W. Gable, B. F. Hoskins and J. Liu, *Supramolecular Architecture*, American

Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1992; G. R. Desiraju, *Crystal Engineering. The Design of Organic Solids*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989.

- 2 M. J. Zaworotko, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 1211.
- 3 G. R. Desiragu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 2311; R. E. Melendez, C. V. K. Sharma, M. J. Zaworotko, C. Bauer and R. D. Rogers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 2213.
- 4 O. M. Yaghi, G. Li and H. Li, *Nature (London)*, 1995, **378**, 703;
  G. B. Gardner, D. Venkataraman, J. S. Moore and S. Lee, *Nature (London)*, 1995, **374**, 792.
- 5 D. Philp and J. F. Stoddart, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, **35**, 1154; P. J. Stang, Chem. Eur. J., 1998, **4**, 19.
- 6 B. Olenyuk, J. A. Whiteford and P. J. Stang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 8221; K. Yamanari, I. Fukuda, T. Kawamoto, Y. Kushi, A. Fuyuhiro, N. Kubota, T. Fuhuo and R. Arakawa, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, 37, 5611.
- 7 M. Suárez, N. Branda, J.-M. Lehn, A. De Cian and J. Fischer, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **81**, 1.
- 8 T. Gulik-Krzywicki, C. Fouquey and J.-M. Lehn, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 1993, 90, 163; T. W. Bell and H. Jousselin, Nature (London), 1994, 367, 441; G. Baum, E. C. Constable, D. Fenske and T. Kulke, Chem. Commun, 1997, 2043; C. Piguet, G. Bernardinelli and G. Hopfgartner, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2005 and references therein.
- 9 (a) O. M. Yaghi, C. E. Davis, G. Li and H. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, **119**, 2861; (b) L. Carlucci, G. Ciani, P. Macchi and D. Proserpio, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1837; (c) C. J. Kepert and M. J. Rosseinsky, Chem. Commun., 1998, 31; (d) B. F. Abrahams, S. R. Batten, H. Hamit, B. F. Hoskins and R. Robson, Chem. Commun., 1996, 1313; (e) B. F. Abrahams, J. Coleiro, B. F. Hoskins and R. Robson, Chem. Commun., 1996, 603.
- 10 P. J. Stang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1998, **4**, 19; A.-K. Duhme, S. C. Davies and D. L. Hughes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 5380.
- 11 C.-Y. Su, B.-S. Kang, H.-Q. Liu, Q.-G. Wang and T. C. W. Mak, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1551.
- 12 C.-Y. Su, B.-S. Kang, X.-Q. Mu, J. Sun, Y.-X. Tong and Z.-N. Chen, *Aust. J. Chem.* 1998, **51**, 565.
- 13 C.-Y. Su, B.-S. Kang, H.-Q. Liu, Q.-G. Wang, Z.-N. Chen, Z.-L. Lu, Y.-X. Tong and T. C. W. Mak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 1374.
- 14 Nomenclature of Inorganic Chemistry, Recommendations 1990, ed. G. J. Leigh, Blackwell, Oxford, 1990; U. Knof and A. von Zelewsky, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1999, 38, 302; IUPAC Tentative Rules for the Nomenclature of Organic Chemistry, Section E, Fundamental Stereochemistry, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 2849, 2857.
- 15 S. R. Batten and R. Robson, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 1998, **37**, 1461 and references therein.
- 16 C. A. Hunter, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1994, 101 and references therein.
- 17 (a) G. R. Desiraju and A. Gavezzotti, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 621; (b) T. Kawamoto, B. S. Hammes, B. Haggerty, G. P. A. Yap, A. L. Rheingold and A. S. Borovik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 285.
- 18 R. Krämer, J.-M. Lehn, A. De Cian and J. Fischer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1993, 32, 703.
- 19 A. R. Oki, P. K. Bommarreddy, H. M. Zhang and N. Hosmane, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1995, 231, 109.
- 20 T. Higashi, ABSCOR, An Empirical Absorption Correction Based on Fourier Coefficient Fitting, Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, 1995.
- 21 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX 93, SHELX 97, University of Göttingen, 1993, 1997.