66[G].—KENNETH HOFFMAN & RAY KUNZE, *Linear Algebra*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1961, ix + 332 p., 24 cm. Price $7.50.

This book was written to provide a text for the undergraduate course in linear algebra at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. It covers vector spaces, linear equations and transformations, polynomials, determinants, invariant direct-sum decompositions, the rational and Jordan canonical forms for matrices, inner product spaces, and bilinear forms. The treatment is imbued with the modern axiomatic and abstract spirit but many concrete illustrative examples and exercises are given so that a serious, persevering student can master it. When he has done so, he is well equipped to study not only applied mathematics and theoretical physics but also mathematical analysis.

We heartily recommend the book either as a text or for private study. The authors are evidently teachers of experience and good judgment who know and like their subject. Their aim is high, and only the best students will master the course, but all the students will be the better for the part of it they learn. In these difficult days for students it is well to have texts so well planned and written as this one.

F. D. MURNAGHAN

Applied Mathematics Laboratory
David Taylor Model Basin
Washington 7, D. C.

67[G].—G. TEMPLE, *Cartesian Tensors*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1961, vii + 92 p., 19 cm. Price $2.75.

This introduction to vector and tensor algebra is well planned and should prove of value to the better than average student. The amount of material covered in less than 100 pages is surprising; in addition to the usual topics, there are chapters on isotropic tensors, spinors, and orthogonal curvilinear tensors. The influence of Weyl is evident in the treatment of isotropic tensors and of Brauer and Weyl in the treatment of spinors. We heartily recommend the book, which is addressed to first year students "pursuing an Honours course in Mathematics or Physics" in England. We found only two points where our instruction of the subject would differ slightly.

First, in the reduction of a symmetric tensor to diagonal form the author discusses the ratio $f(u) = \frac{S_{\alpha\beta}u_\alpha u_\beta}{u_\alpha u_\alpha}$ and states that this must assume its lower bound when $\partial f/\partial u_\alpha = 0$. This assumes, tacitly, that the lower bound is not assumed on the boundary of the region $-1 \leqq u_\alpha \leqq 1$. The author's statement that one must consider separately "the cases in which the matrices of $S - \lambda_i u$ are of ranks 3, 2 or 1" does not apply to Schur's induction method, which is indifferent to possible equalities of the characteristic numbers of S.

Second, in the treatment of spinors I would emphasize more the two-valued nature of the correspondence between rotations, R, and unimodular 2-dimensional unitary matrices, U. Thus, while to each U there corresponds only one R, to each R there correspond the two matrices $\pm U$. For me, the displacement, in modern physics, of vectors from their front rank position, in favor of spinors, is due to the fact that the 2-dimensional unimodular unitary group is not a proper representa-