

# On a Problem of Hasse

By H. Zassenhaus and J. Liang

**Abstract.** A  $p$ -adic method to construct explicitly a generating automorphism of the Hilbert classfield over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-47})$  and to perform Tshirnhausen transformations for generating equations of the real subfield is developed.

I. Let  $f(x)$  be a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , irreducible of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , with  $\theta$  a real root, let

$$k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}), \quad d < 0$$

$$E = \mathbb{Q}(\theta),$$

$K = E(\sqrt{d})$  and  $K$  is normal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and cyclic of degree  $n$  over  $k$ . How to find a generating element of  $G(K/k)$ , where  $G(K/k)$  is the Galois group of  $K$  over  $k$ ?

Here we give a  $p$ -adic method to construct such an automorphism. In the end, we shall give some examples to demonstrate our method.

By a theorem given in [2] there are infinitely many rational prime numbers  $p$  which decompose in  $k$  into the product of two distinct prime ideals which stay indecomposed in  $K$ . Those are the ones with decomposition group equal to  $G(K/k)$  and not dividing the discriminant of  $K$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Among them there is one which does not even divide the characteristic  $b$  of the factor module of  $\mathfrak{D}_K$  over  $\mathfrak{D}_E \cdot \mathfrak{D}_k$ . (We denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_F$  the ring of the algebraic integers of the algebraic number field  $F$ .)

Let  $p = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$  in  $k$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \neq \mathfrak{p}_2$  are prime ideals in  $k$  and let  $\mathfrak{P}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i \mathfrak{D}_K$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  prime ideals in  $\mathfrak{D}_K$ . Since  $k$  is imaginary quadratic the two prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$  are complex conjugate. The same applies to  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2$ .

Then we know there exists an automorphism  $\sigma$ , namely the Frobenius automorphism in  $G$  such that

$$\sigma \xi \equiv \xi^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1} \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \mathfrak{D}_K$$

and in particular,

$$\sigma \theta \equiv \theta^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}.$$

Let  $\sigma_{1,0}(x) \equiv x^p \pmod{f(x)}$  where  $\sigma_{1,0}(x)$  is a polynomial of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree less than  $n$ . It follows that  $\sigma_{1,0}(\theta) = \theta^p \equiv \sigma \theta \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}$ .

Since  $p$  is unramified in  $K$ , we have  $p$  as  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ -adic generator of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ , i.e.  $p \in \mathfrak{P}_1$ , but  $p \notin \mathfrak{P}_1^2$ .

In order to obtain the action of  $\sigma$  on  $\theta$  modulo powers of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ , we proceed as follows: let  $\sigma \theta \equiv \sigma_{1,0}(\theta) + pg_1(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}$  where  $g_1(x)$  is a polynomial of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  of degree less than  $n$ .

How to find  $g_1$ ?

We know that

(\*)  $f(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta) + pg_1(\theta)) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}$

---

Received November 13, 1968.

and by Taylor-Maclaurin

$$(**) \quad f(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta) + pg_1(\theta)) \equiv f(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta)) + pf'(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta))g_1(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}.$$

Since

$$(***) \quad f(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta)) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}$$

we can write  $f(\sigma_{1,0}(x)) \equiv pf_1(x) \pmod{f}$  where  $f_1$  is a polynomial of  $\mathbf{Z}[x]$  of degree less than  $n$ .

From (\*), (\*\*), (\*\*\*), we then obtain

$$g_1(\theta) = -f_1(\theta)/f'(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta)) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}.$$

Continue this process for higher powers of  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  until we reach an exponent  $2^{r+1}$ . The number  $\nu$  is to be determined later and a bound for the number  $\nu$  was given in [1].

In the same manner, we should compute  $\sigma\theta$  modulo powers of  $\mathfrak{P}_2$ . Noting that  $\mathfrak{P}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  are complex conjugates, but that  $\theta$  is real and that  $\sigma\theta \equiv \theta^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}$ , it follows if  $\tau$  is the automorphism of  $K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $\tau: a + ci \rightarrow a - ci$ ,  $a, c$  real, then applying  $\tau$  to the above congruence, we have

$$(\tau\sigma)\theta \equiv \theta^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2}$$

and  $(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1})\theta \equiv \theta^p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2}$  and hence  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} \neq \sigma$ . On the other hand  $G(K/k)$  is normal in  $\text{Aut}(K/\mathbf{Q}) = \langle \tau, G(K/k) \rangle$  and therefore  $(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1})\theta = (\sigma^j)\theta$  where  $1 < j < n$ , so  $\sigma\theta \equiv h^*(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2}$  where  $h^*(\theta) \equiv (\sigma^j)\theta \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}$ .

Again, let  $\sigma_{2,0}(x) \equiv h^*(x) \pmod{f(x)}$  we then have

$$\sigma\theta \equiv \sigma_{2,0}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2}.$$

Proceed from here as before to obtain actions of  $\sigma$  modulo powers of  $\mathfrak{P}_2$  until  $\mathfrak{P}_2^{2^{r+1}}$ . We then have the following congruence conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\theta &\equiv \sigma_{1,0}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}, \\ \sigma\theta &\equiv \sigma_{1,1}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}, \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma\theta &\equiv \sigma_{1,\nu+1}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^{2^{r+1}}}; \\ \sigma\theta &\equiv \sigma_{2,0}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2}, \\ &\equiv \sigma_{2,1}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2^2}, \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\equiv \sigma_{2,\nu+1}(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2^{2^{r+1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Before we go any further, we would like to make the following remark:

By applying the Euclidean algorithm, one can easily obtain the inverse  $\hat{h}_0 = h_0(\theta)$  of  $f'(\sigma_{1,0}(\theta))$  modulo  $\mathfrak{P}_1$ . In order to find  $\hat{h}_1$  as solution to  $f'(\sigma_{11}(\theta))\hat{h}_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}$ , we proceed as follows:

Since  $\hat{h}_1 \equiv \hat{h}_0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}$ , we may write the polynomial equation  $\hat{h}_1 = \hat{h}_0 + pQ_2$ ,  $Q_2 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ , so that  $f'(\sigma_{11}(\theta)) \cdot (\hat{h}_0 + p\hat{Q}_2) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}$ ,  $\hat{Q}_2 = Q_2(\theta)$ ; let  $f'(\sigma_{11}(\theta))\hat{h}_0 \equiv 1 + pR_2(\theta) \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}$ ,  $R_2 \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ .

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\equiv f'(\sigma_{11}(\theta))\hat{h}_0 + pf(\sigma_{11}(\theta))\hat{Q}_2 \\ &\equiv f'(\sigma_{11}(\theta))\hat{h}_0 + pf(\sigma_{10}(\theta))\hat{Q}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2} \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$-pR_2 \equiv pf'(\sigma_{10}(\theta))\hat{Q}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}$$

or

$$-R_2 \equiv f'(\sigma_{10}(\theta))\hat{Q}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}$$

or

$$-R_2\hat{h}_0 \equiv \hat{Q}_2 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1};$$

continuing in the same manner, we should obtain  $\hat{h}_2, \dots, \hat{h}_{\nu+1}$ .

Now, we are going to apply the Chinese remainder theorem to obtain our final result.

Choose the element  $e_0$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  subject to the congruences

$$e_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1}, \quad e_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2}$$

and let  $e_1 = 3e_0^2 - 2e_0^3$ ; this implies that

$$e_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^2}, \quad e_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2^2}.$$

Continuing with the construction we arrive at  $e_{\nu+1}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_k$  such that

$$e_{\nu+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_1^{2^{\nu+1}}}, \quad e_{\nu+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_2^{2^{\nu+1}}}.$$

For  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \nu + 1$  we proceed as follows: set

$$\Sigma_j(\theta) = e_j\sigma_{1j}(\theta) + (1 - e_j)\sigma_{2j}(\theta).$$

We may write  $\Sigma_j(\theta)$  as follows:

$$\Sigma_j(\theta) = (\alpha_{j0} + \beta_{j0}\omega) + (\alpha_{j1} + \beta_{j1}\omega)\theta + \dots + (\alpha_{j,n-1} + \beta_{j,n-1}\omega)\theta^{n-1},$$

where  $\alpha_{ji}, \beta_{ji} \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_k = [1, \omega]$ .

In view of the fact that  $\mathfrak{D}_K/\mathfrak{D}_E \cdot \mathfrak{D}_k$  has characteristic  $b$  we write

$$\Sigma_j(\theta) = \{(\alpha_{j0}b + \beta_{j0}b\omega) + (\alpha_{j1}b + \beta_{j1}b\omega)\theta + \dots + (\alpha_{j,n-1}b + \beta_{j,n-1}b\omega)\theta^{n-1}\}/b$$

and choose  $\alpha'_{ji}$  and  $\beta'_{ji}$  such that

$$\alpha'_{ji} \equiv \alpha_{ji}b \pmod{p^{2^j}}, \quad \beta'_{ji} \equiv \beta_{ji}b \pmod{p^{2^j}}$$

and  $-p^{2^j}/2 < \alpha'_{ji}, \beta'_{ji} \leq p^{2^j}/2$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

Finally, we set

$$\Sigma'_j(\theta) = \{(\alpha'_{j0} + \beta'_{j0}\omega) + (\alpha'_{j1} + \beta'_{j1}\omega)\theta + \dots + (\alpha'_{j,n} + \beta'_{j,n}\omega)\theta^{n-1}\}/b.$$

The number  $\nu$  should be chosen as the least nonnegative integer for which we have

$$(1a) \quad f(\Sigma_{\nu}'(\theta)) = 0.$$

In order to have this condition satisfied, it is necessary to have

$$(1b) \quad \Sigma_{\nu}'(x) \equiv \Sigma_{\nu+1}'(x) \pmod{p^{2\nu+1}}$$

though this congruence may not be sufficient. Therefore it will become necessary to test (1a) even if (1b) is established already.

From our construction one can see that  $\Sigma_{\nu}'(\theta)$  is the action of the automorphism  $\sigma$  applied to  $\theta$ .

**II.** The following questions were brought up by Professor H. Hasse. Given three equations:

$$f_H = x^5 + 10x^3 - 235x^2 + 2610x - 9353 = 0, \quad \theta_H \text{ the real root};$$

$$f_W = x^5 - x^3 - 2x^2 - 2x - 1 = 0, \quad \theta_W \text{ the real root};$$

$$f_F = x^5 - x^4 + x^3 + x^2 - 2x + 1 = 0, \quad \theta_F \text{ the real root};$$

$$k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-47}), \quad E = \mathbf{Q}(\theta_H), \quad K = E(\sqrt{-47}), \quad \omega = (1 + \sqrt{-47})/2,$$

$K$  cyclic of degree 5 over  $k$ ,

$G(K/k)$  Galois group of  $K$  over  $k$ .

Questions:

(1) How to find a generating element  $\sigma \in G(K/k)$ ?

(2) Do  $\theta_H, \theta_W, \theta_F$  generate the same field? And if so, how to express them in terms of each other.

Our method given in Section I has been programmed in ALGOL for the IBM 7094 in order to solve the above question (1).

For the polynomials  $f_W$  and  $f_F$ , we have  $d = -47, b = 47, p = 2$ , and

$$(2) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 = (2, (1 + \sqrt{-47})/2) (2, (-1 + \sqrt{-47})/2) \text{ in } k.$$

$\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2$  stay prime in  $K$ .

We obtained  $\sigma\theta_W$  and  $\sigma\theta_F$  at  $\nu = 3$ . They are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\theta_W &= \{(54 - 14\omega) + (58 - 22\omega)\theta_W \\ &\quad + (55 - 16\omega)\theta_W^2 + (30 - 13\omega)\theta_W^3 + (-56 + 18\omega)\theta_W^4\}/47 \\ \sigma\theta_F &= \{(68 + 5\omega) + (-72 + 3\omega)\theta_F + (-21 - 5\omega)\theta_F^2 \\ &\quad + (22 + 3\omega)\theta_F^3 + (-44 - 6\omega)\theta_F^4\}/47. \end{aligned}$$

The procedure used to solve the second question is even simpler: in order to express  $\theta_H$ , say, in terms of  $\theta_W$ , we only have to begin with finding a polynomial  $g_0(\theta_W)$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  of degree less than 5 such that

$$\theta_H \equiv g_0(\theta_W) \pmod{2}.$$

In our cases we have

$$\theta_H \equiv \theta_W^2 + \theta_W \pmod{2},$$

$$\theta_W \equiv \theta_F^4 + 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Proceed from here by the same method given in Section I until we arrive at a polynomial  $g_\mu(x)$  such that  $\theta_H = g_\mu(\theta_W) \pmod{2^{2^\mu}}$  and  $f_H(g_\mu(\theta_W)) = 0$ . Again, a bound for  $\mu$  was given in [1].

We obtain the following results from our ALGOL program:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_H &= 5\theta_W^2 - 5\theta_W - 2, \\ \theta_W &= -\theta_F^4 - 2\theta_F + 1.\end{aligned}$$

The Ohio State University  
Department of Mathematics  
Columbus, Ohio 43210

1. H. ZASSENHAUS, "On Hensel factorization," *J. Number Theory*, v. 1, 1969. (To appear.)
2. N. TSCHEBOTAREFF, "Die Bestimmung der Dichtigkeit einer Menge von Primidealen, welche zu einer gegebenen Substitutionsklasse gehören," *Math. Ann.*, v. 95, 1925, p. 191.
3. H. HASSE, "Über den Klassenkörper zum quadratischen Zahlkörper mit der Diskriminante  $-47$ ," *Acta Arith.*, v. 9, 1964, pp. 419-434. MR 30 #3082.