

Finite-Difference Methods and the Eigenvalue Problem for Nonselfadjoint Sturm-Liouville Operators*

By Alfred Carasso

Abstract. In this paper we analyze the convergence of a centered finite-difference approximation to the nonselfadjoint Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}[u] &\equiv -[a(x)u']' - b(x)u' + c(x)u = \lambda u, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{L} has smooth coefficients and $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$ on $[0, 1]$. We show that the rate of convergence is $O(\Delta x^2)$ as in the selfadjoint case for a scheme of the same accuracy. We also establish discrete analogs of the *Sturm* oscillation and comparison theorems. As a corollary we obtain the result

$$(2) \quad \limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty; \Delta x \rightarrow 0; (M+1)\Delta x=1} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^M \frac{\|V^p\|_\infty}{\Delta_p} \right\} < \infty$$

where $\Delta x = 1/(M + 1)$ is the mesh size and Δ_p, V^p are the characteristic pairs of L , the $M \times M$ matrix which approximates \mathfrak{L} , and V^p is normalized so that $\|V^p\|_2 = 1$.

1. Introduction. Many authors (e.g. [1], [6], [8], [9]) have studied the convergence of finite-difference methods for selfadjoint Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems. In this report we are concerned with the nonselfadjoint problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}(u) &\equiv -[a(x)u']' - b(x)u' + c(x)u = \lambda u, \quad 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $a(x) \geq a_0 > 0$, $c(x) \geq 0$, and $b(x)$ are all smooth functions. This problem has an infinite sequence of positive [12, p. 37] and distinct [13, p. 212] eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots$$

and a corresponding sequence of smooth eigenfunctions $u^1(x), u^2(x), u^3(x), \dots$ which we assume normalized so that

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^1 |u^p|^2 dx = 1, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Of course, as is well known, the transformation

$$(1.3) \quad u(x) = \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x \frac{b(t)}{a(t)} dt \right) \right] v(x)$$

Received December 27, 1968, revised April 7, 1969.

* Supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. N00014-67-A-1028-0004 at the University of Wisconsin. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

puts (1.1) into the selfadjoint form

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{L}[v] &\equiv -(av')' + (c + \frac{1}{2} b' + \frac{1}{4} (b^2/a)v = \lambda v, \\ v(0) &= v(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, we consider the direct approximation of (1.1) by means of the finite-difference equations

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} - \frac{\{a_{k+1/2}(w_{k+1} - w_k) - a_{k-1/2}(w_k - w_{k-1})\}}{\Delta x^2} - \frac{b_k(w_{k+1} - w_{k-1})}{2\Delta x} \\ + c_k w_k = \Lambda w_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M, \\ w_0 = w_{M+1} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where M is a large positive integer, $\Delta x = 1/(M + 1)$ is the mesh spacing and the notation g_k is used for $g(k \Delta x)$. Equivalently, we may write (1.5) as the finite-dimensional eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.6) \quad LW = \Lambda W$$

where W is the M component vector

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ w_M \end{bmatrix}$$

and L the $M \times M$ tridiagonal matrix

$$(1.7) \quad L = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & 0 \\ \gamma_2 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ 0 & & \cdot & \cdot & \beta_{M-1} \\ & & & \gamma_M & \alpha_M \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= [a_{k+1/2} + a_{k-1/2}] + c_k \Delta x^2 \quad \beta_k = -[a_{k+1/2} + b_k \Delta x/2] \quad \text{and} \\ \gamma_k &= [b_k \Delta x/2 - a_{k-1/2}] \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, M. \end{aligned}$$

We will show that the latter procedure preserves the rate of convergence, namely $O(\Delta x^2)$, which obtains in the selfadjoint case for a scheme of the same accuracy, (see [6]). This is Theorem 1.

The matrix L defined above will be shown to be similar to an oscillation matrix, by means of a diagonal transformation \tilde{D} . Using the basic theorem on oscillation matrices, (see [4], [5]) and the fact that the entries of \tilde{D} alternate in sign, one immediately has a discrete analog of the Sturm Oscillation Theorem [13, p. 212, Theorem

Hence,

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty; i\Delta x = \bar{x}} [\log Q_i] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\bar{x}} \frac{b(t)}{a(t)} dt.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow \infty; i\Delta x = x} [\log P_i] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\bar{x}} \frac{b(t)}{a(t)} dt.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim d_i = \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\bar{x}} \frac{b(t)}{a(t)} dt \right) \right] \leq K_0 < \infty$$

which shows both $\|D\|_2, \|D^{-1}\|_2$ remain bounded as $\Delta x \rightarrow 0, M \rightarrow \infty, (M + 1)\Delta x = 1$.

LEMMA 2. For Δx sufficiently small, the eigenvalues of L are strictly positive and they remain bounded away from zero as $M \rightarrow \infty, \Delta x \rightarrow 0, (M + 1)\Delta x = 1$.

Proof. For Δx sufficiently small, $\gamma_k, \beta_k < 0$. Hence if $L = (l_{ij})$ and $\Omega_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |l_{ij}|$, then

$$\Omega_i = (a_{i+1/2} + a_{i-1/2})/\Delta x^2$$

and $l_{ii} = (a_{i+1/2} + a_{i-1/2})/\Delta x^2 + c_i \geq \Omega_i$ since $c_i \geq 0$.

By Gershgorin's theorem, [7], the eigenvalues of L lie in the union of the discs $|z - l_{ii}| \leq \Omega_i$ in the complex plane. Hence if Λ is an eigenvalue of L , then $\Lambda \geq 0$ since Λ is real.

Now let l_h be the finite-difference operator corresponding to $-L$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} [l_h v]_k \equiv & - \left[\frac{(a_{k+1/2} + a_{k-1/2}) + c_k \Delta x^2}{\Delta x^2} \right] v_k + \left[\frac{a_{k+1/2} + b_k \Delta x / 2}{\Delta x^2} \right] v_{k+1} \\ & + \left[\frac{a_{k-1/2} - b_k \Delta x / 2}{\Delta x^2} \right] v_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for sufficiently small Δx , l_h is of positive type [3, p. 181] and so satisfies the discrete maximum principle [16, p. 23, Lemma 2.3]. Consequently [16, p. 108, Theorem 7.1] if $w(k\Delta x), k = 0, 1, \dots, M + 1$ is an arbitrary real-valued mesh function, there exists positive constants K and δ such that if $0 < \Delta x < \delta$,

$$(2.2) \quad \|w\|_\infty \equiv \text{Max}_k |w_k| \leq \text{Max} \{ |w_0|, |w_{M+1}| \} + K \|(l_h w)\|_\infty.$$

Now let $V = \{v_k\}_{k=1}^M$ be an eigenvector of L corresponding to Λ . We may assume V to be real. Defining $v_0 = v_{M+1} = 0, LV = \Lambda V$ is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad [l_h v]_k = -\Lambda v_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, M.$$

Hence, using (2.2) and the fact that $\Lambda \geq 0$,

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq K \|(l_h v)\|_\infty = \Lambda K \|v\|_\infty$$

i.e. $\Lambda \geq 1/K > 0$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY. Let Γ be the $M \times M$ matrix given by

$$(3.6) \quad U^p = \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j DX^j$$

so that

$$LU^p = \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j LDX^j = \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j \Lambda_j DX^j$$

then

$$\tau = (\lambda_p - L)U^p = \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j (\lambda_p - \Lambda_j) DX^j$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_j^2 |\lambda_p - \Lambda_j|^2 = \|D^{-1}\tau\|_2^2 \leq \|D^{-1}\|_2^2 \|\tau\|_2^2 \leq K_1(p)\Delta x^4$$

where K_1 is a constant.

Now, the eigenvalues of L are distinct and converge to the corresponding distinct eigenvalues of \mathfrak{L} . It follows that

$$(3.8) \quad \inf_{j \neq p} \{|\lambda_p - \Lambda_j|\} \geq \omega_0 > 0$$

for all sufficiently small Δx . Hence, on using (3.7),

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{j \neq p} \sigma_j^2 \leq K_1 \Delta x^4.$$

From (3.9), (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \sigma_p^2 = \|D^{-1}U^p\|_2^2 + O(\Delta x^4) \geq \omega_1 > 0$$

for all sufficiently small Δx .

Thus

$$(3.11) \quad |\lambda_p - \Lambda_p| \leq K_2(p)\Delta x^2.$$

Since $V^p = \beta DX^p$ for some β and $\|X^p\|_2 = 1$ we have

$$|\beta| = \|D^{-1}V^p\|_2.$$

On taking square roots in (3.10), we have

$$\sigma_p = \|D^{-1}U^p\|_2 + O(\Delta x^4)$$

and we may assume that σ_p and β have the same sign; hence using (3.1),

$$(3.12) \quad (\sigma_p - \beta) = O(\Delta x^4).$$

Writing $U^p - V^p = \sum_{j \neq p} \sigma_j DX^j + (\sigma_p - \beta)DX^p$ we have

$$(3.13) \quad \|D^{-1}(U^p - V^p)\|_2^2 = \sum_{j \neq p} \sigma_j^2 + (\sigma_p - \beta)^2 = O(\Delta x^4)$$

i.e.

$$(3.14) \quad \|U^p - V^p\|_2^2 \leq \|D\|_2^2 \|D^{-1}(U^p - V^p)\|_2^2 \leq K_3(p)\Delta x^4. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Notice that the above inequality also implies uniform convergence at the rate of $O(\Delta x)^{3/2}$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

LEMMA 3. Let $0 < \Lambda_1 < \dots < \Lambda_M$ be the eigenvalues of L . Then there exists a positive integer j_0 , independent of M , such that for $j_0 \leq j \leq M$ we have

$$(4.1) \quad K_1 j^2 \pi^2 \leq \Lambda_j \leq K_2 j^2 \pi^2, \quad K_1, K_2 \text{ positive constants.}$$

Proof. In the selfadjoint case this result may be found in Bückner [1]. In the present more general case we will need to estimate the off-diagonal elements of the matrix \hat{L} in Lemma 1.

With the notation of (1.8) let

$$(4.2) \quad q_k^2 = \gamma_{k+1} \beta_k = \left(a_{k+1/2} - \frac{b_{k+1} \Delta x}{2} \right) \left(a_{k+1/2} + \frac{b_k \Delta x}{2} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, M - 1.$$

Since $b(x) \in C^1[0, 1]$, we have by the mean-value theorem,

$$(4.3) \quad q_k^2 = (a_{k+1/2})^2 [1 - 2\mu_k \Delta x^2 + O(\Delta x^3)]$$

where $2\mu_k = [b_k^2 + 2a_{k+1/2} b'(\xi_k)] / 4a_{k+1/2}$ for some ξ_k such that $k \Delta x < \xi_k < (k + 1) \Delta x$. Hence on taking square roots

$$(4.4) \quad q_k = a_{k+1/2} [1 - \mu_k \Delta x^2 + O(\Delta x^3)], \quad k = 1, \dots, M - 1.$$

We now proceed to estimate the quadratic form $\langle X, \hat{L}X \rangle$ where X is any complex M vector of norm 1. Defining $x_0 = x_{M+1} = 0$, and using (4.3), we may write

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle X, \hat{L}X \rangle &= \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{|x_k - x_{k+1}|^2}{\Delta x^2} + \Delta x \sum_{k=1}^M c_k |x_k|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \mu_k a_{k+1/2} x_k \bar{x}_{k+1} + O(\Delta x) \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M x_k \bar{x}_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $0 < a_0 \leq a(x) \leq a_1$ on $[0, 1]$ and let

$$\|c\|_\infty = \text{Max}_k |c_k|, \quad \|\mu\|_\infty = \text{Max}_k |\mu_k|.$$

We have

$$(4.6) \quad \langle X, \hat{L}X \rangle \leq a_1 \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{|x_{k+1} - x_k|^2}{\Delta x^2} + \|c\|_\infty + 2a_1 \|\mu\|_\infty + |O(\Delta x)|$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \langle X, \hat{L}X \rangle \geq a_0 \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{|x_{k+1} - x_k|^2}{\Delta x^2} - 2a_1 \|\mu\|_\infty - |O(\Delta x)|.$$

Let H be the tridiagonal $M \times M$ matrix defined by

$$(4.8) \quad H = \frac{1}{\Delta x^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & & -1 & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \cdot & \\ -1 & & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot & -1 \\ 0 & & & -1 & & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$(4.9) \quad \langle X, HX \rangle = \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{|x_{k+1} - x_k|^2}{\Delta x^2}$$

and that the eigenvalues $\theta_j, j = 1, \dots, M$, of H , arranged in increasing order, are given by

$$(4.10) \quad \theta_j = \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \sin^2 \frac{j\pi\Delta x}{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

Inserting (4.9) into (4.6), (4.7) and using the maximum principle for the eigenvalues of real symmetric matrices shows that

$$(4.11) \quad a_0\theta_j - 2\|\mu\|_\infty - |O(\Delta x)| \leq \Lambda_j \leq a_1\theta_j + \|c\|_\infty + 2a_1\|\mu\|_\infty + |O(\Delta x)|.$$

Using (4.10) and an elementary calculation, the proof follows from (4.11).

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$W^j = \begin{bmatrix} w_1^j \\ \vdots \\ w_M^j \end{bmatrix}$$

be an eigenvector of L corresponding to Λ_j . Then W^j satisfies the difference equations:

$$(4.12) \quad -\left[2 + \frac{(c_k - \Lambda_j)\Delta x^2}{\omega_k}\right]w_k^j + \left[\frac{a_{k+1/2} + b_k\Delta x/2}{\omega_k}\right]w_{k+1}^j + \left[\frac{a_{k-1/2} - b_k\Delta x/2}{\omega_k}\right]w_{k-1}^j = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, M$$

where $w_0^j = w_{M+1}^j = 0$ and $\omega_k = \frac{1}{2}(a_{k+1/2} + a_{k-1/2})$.

Let

$$\tilde{\alpha}_k = -\left[2 + \frac{(c_k - \Lambda_j)\Delta x^2}{\omega_k}\right], \quad \tilde{\beta}_k = \left[\frac{a_{k+1/2} + \frac{1}{2}b_k\Delta x}{\omega_k}\right],$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_k = \left[\frac{a_{k-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}b_k\Delta x}{\omega_k}\right],$$

and let A be the tridiagonal $M \times M$ matrix

$$(4.13) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\alpha}_1 & \tilde{\beta}_1 & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ \tilde{\gamma}_2 & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot \\ 0 & & & \tilde{\gamma}_M & \tilde{\beta}_{M-1} \\ & & & & \tilde{\alpha}_M \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(4.22) \quad \beta_j^2 = \Lambda_j/K_2.$$

Let $y(x) = \sin \beta_j x$. Then $y_k = y(k \Delta x)$ satisfies the difference equations:

$$(4.23) \quad -[2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2]y_k + y_{k+1} + y_{k-1} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

where

$$(4.24) \quad \mu_j = \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \sin^2 \frac{\beta_j \Delta x}{2}.$$

The distance between successive zeros of $y(x)$ is $\pi/\beta_j = (K_2 \pi^2/\Lambda_j)^{1/2} \geq 1/j$ for j large enough by Lemma 3.

Let $v(x)$ be the piecewise-linear function corresponding to "graph" of vector $V = P^{-1}W^j$. Define the auxiliary function $z(x)$ by

$$z(x) = y(x)/v(x) \quad \text{whenever } v(x) \neq 0.$$

We proceed to estimate the distance between successive nodes of $v(x)$ by investigating the difference equation satisfied by $z(x)$.

We may assume that $\delta_{\text{Max}}(V) > 3 \Delta x$; for if $\delta_{\text{Max}}(V) \leq 3 \Delta x$, then in particular, $\delta_{\text{Max}}(V) \leq 3/(M+1) < 3/j \leq 3\pi(K_2/\Lambda_j)^{1/2}$ for all sufficiently large j . If $\delta_{\text{Max}} > 3 \Delta x$, then there exists a set N of consecutive mesh points, containing at least three members on which $v(x)$ is strictly positive (or strictly negative). Let N' be N minus the two end points of N . Since $z_k = y_k/v_k$ for $k \in N'$,

$$(4.25) \quad [l_h z]_k \equiv - \left[\frac{(2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2) \sigma_k}{2 + (c_k - \Lambda_j) \Delta x^2 / \omega_k} (v_{k+1} + v_{k-1}) \right] z_k \\ + v_{k+1} z_{k+1} + v_{k-1} z_{k-1} = 0, \quad k \in N'.$$

We now show that for all sufficiently large j , the difference operator l_h (or $-l_h$ if v is strictly negative) occurring in (4.25) is of positive type, and hence satisfies the discrete maximum principle:

It is sufficient to show that if j is sufficiently large,

$$(4.26) \quad \frac{[2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2] \sigma_k}{2 + (c_k - \Lambda_j) \Delta x^2 / \omega_k} \geq 1, \quad \text{if } k \in N'.$$

From (4.24) we have $\mu_j \leq \Lambda_j/K_2 \leq \Lambda_j/2a_1$ if K_2 is chosen so that $K_2 \geq 2a_1$, where a_1 is an upper bound for $a(x)$ on $[0, 1]$. Hence,

$$(4.27) \quad (2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2) \sigma_k = 2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2 + O(\Delta x^2)$$

since $\mu_j \Delta x^2 \leq 4$ and $\sigma_k = 1 + O(\Delta x^2)$. Now,

$$2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2 + O(\Delta x^2) \geq 2 - \Lambda_j \Delta x^2 / K_2 + O(\Delta x^2) \\ \geq 2 - \Lambda_j \Delta x^2 / 2\omega_k + O(\Delta x^2) \\ = 2 + \frac{(c_k - \Lambda_j) \Delta x^2}{\omega_k} + \frac{(\Lambda_j - 2c_k) \Delta x^2}{2\omega_k} + O(\Delta x^2)$$

i.e.

$$(4.28) \quad (2 - \mu_j \Delta x^2) \sigma_k \geq 2 + (c_k - \Lambda_j) \Delta x^2 / \omega_k$$

if j is sufficiently large, since we assume $c(x)$ is bounded.

Furthermore, $2 + (c_k - \Lambda_j) \Delta x^2 / \omega_k$ is positive for $k \in N'$ since v_k, v_{k+1}, v_{k-1} have the same sign, on using (4.21). Thus (4.26) is satisfied.

Suppose now that $z(x)$ has two zeros in the interval spanned by N . At any mesh point lying between the two zeros we must have $z(x) = 0$ by the maximum principle. Since $z(x) = 0$ if and only if $y(x) = 0$, this means that the distance between successive zeros of $y(x)$ is $\leq \Delta x = 1/(M + 1)$. However, as already noted, this distance is $\geq 1/j$ and $j \leq M$.

Thus $y(x)$ has at most one zero in the interval spanned by N . Hence the maximum distance between successive nodes of $v(x)$ must be less than or equal to $\pi/\beta_j + 2\Delta x$. Since $\Lambda_j = O(1/\Delta x^2)$, we have

$$(4.29) \quad \delta_{\max}(V) \leq K(\Lambda_j)^{-1/2}.$$

A similar estimate is valid for the eigenvector W^j of L since $W^j = PV$ and P is a positive diagonal matrix. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1. *Let the eigenvectors $\{V^p\}$ of L be normalized so that $\|V^p\|_2 = 1$. Then there exists a constant K and an integer p_0 , both independent of M such that if $p_0 \leq p \leq M$*

$$(4.30) \quad \|V^p\|_\infty = \text{Max}_{k=1 \dots M} |v_k^p| \leq Kp^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let W^p be the normalized eigenvector of $\hat{L} = D^{-1}LD$ corresponding to Λ_p . Since $W^p = D^{-1}V^p / \|D^{-1}V^p\|_2$ and D^{-1} is a positive diagonal matrix, the distance between successive nodes of W^p satisfies an estimate similar to (4.29). Since W^p is normalized we have

$$(4.31) \quad \langle W^p, \hat{L}W^p \rangle = \Lambda_p.$$

Hence, using inequality (4.7) in the proof of Lemma 3, we get,

$$(4.32) \quad \Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{|w_{k+1}^p - w_k^p|^2}{\Delta x^2} \leq \frac{2\Lambda_p}{a_0}$$

for all sufficiently large p .

Let r, s be any two positive integers with $1 \leq s < r \leq M$. Then,

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} |w_r^p - w_s^p| &= \left| \Delta x \sum_{k=s}^{r-1} \frac{w_{k+1}^p - w_k^p}{\Delta x} \right| \\ &\leq [(r - s)\Delta x]^{1/2} \left(\Delta x \sum_{k=0}^M \frac{|w_{k+1}^p - w_k^p|^2}{\Delta x^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq [(r - s)\Delta x]^{1/2} (2\Lambda_p/a_0)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

on using Schwarz's inequality and (4.32). Now choose r so that $|w_r^p| = \|W^p\|_\infty > 0$ and let s be the integer nearest r with the property that $w_s^p w_r^p \leq 0$. (s need not necessarily be less than r .) We then have for sufficiently large p , by Theorem 2,

$$(4.34) \quad |(r - s)\Delta x| < 2\delta_{\max}(W^p) \leq K'(\Lambda_p)^{-1/2}.$$

Hence using (4.33), (4.34)

$$\begin{aligned} \|W^p\|_\infty &\leq |w_r^p - w_s^p| \leq [(r-s)\Delta x]^{1/2} (2\Lambda_p/a_0)^{1/2} \\ &\leq K''(\Lambda_p)^{1/4} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large p and the proof follows from Lemma 3.

Remark. The estimate (4.30) was obtained by Bückner [1] in the selfadjoint case using an elementary device. It would be interesting to know whether or not the discrete eigenvectors display this growth as $M \rightarrow \infty$. In the case of the analytic problem (1.1) it is known (see [15, p. 334]) that the normalized eigenfunctions are uniformly bounded in the supremum norm.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\{V^p\}_{p=1}^M$ be the eigenvectors of L normalized so that $\|V^p\|_2 = 1$, $p = 1, \dots, M$. Then,*

$$\limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty; \Delta x \rightarrow 0; (M+1)\Delta x = 1} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^M \frac{\|V^p\|_\infty}{\Lambda_p} \right\} < \infty.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemmas 2, 3, and Corollary 1.

Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48823

1. H. BÜCKNER, "Über Konvergenzsätze, die sich bei der Anwendung eines Differenzenverfahrens auf ein Sturm-Liouvillesches Eigenwertproblem ergeben," *Math. Z.*, v. 51, 1948, pp. 423-465. MR 11, 58.

2. A. CARASSO, *An Analysis of Numerical Methods for Parabolic Problems Over Long Times*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1968.

3. G. E. FORSYTHE & W. R. WASOW, *Finite-Difference Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 1960. MR 23 #B3156.

4. F. R. GANTMACHER, *Matrix Theory*, Vol. II, Chelsea, New York, 1964.

5. F. R. GANTMACHER & M. G. KREIN, *Oscillating Matrices and Kernels and Small Oscillations of Mechanical Systems*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1960; English transl., U.S.A.E.C. 4481, 1961.

6. J. GARY, "Computing eigenvalues of ordinary differential equations by finite differences," *Math. Comp.*, v. 19, 1965, pp. 365-379. MR 31 #4163.

7. A. S. HOUSEHOLDER, *The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis*, Blaisdell, New York, 1964. MR 30 #5475.

8. H. B. KELLER, "On the accuracy of finite difference approximations to the eigenvalues of differential and integral operators," *Numer. Math.*, v. 7, 1965, pp. 412-419. MR 32 #6706.

9. S. V. PARTER, "Extreme eigenvalues of Toeplitz forms and applications to elliptic difference equations," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 99, 1961, pp. 153-192. MR 22 #11245.

10. S. V. PARTER, "On the extreme eigenvalues of Toeplitz matrices," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 100, 1961, pp. 263-276. MR 25 #2421.

11. S. V. PARTER, "On the eigenvalues of certain generalizations of Toeplitz matrices," *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, v. 11, 1962, pp. 244-257. MR 26 #605.

12. M. H. PROTTER & H. F. WEINBERGER, *Maximum Principles in Differential Equations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1967. MR 36 #2935.

13. E. A. CODDINGTON & N. LEVINSON, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955. MR 16, 1022.

14. E. L. INCE, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Dover, New York, 1944. MR 6, 65.

15. R. COURANT & D. HILBERT, *Methoden der Mathematischen Physik*, Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1953. MR 16, 426.

16. D. GRENSPAN, *Introductory Numerical Analysis of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Harper & Row, New York, 1965. MR 31 #4193.

17. F. W. SINDEN, "An oscillation theorem for algebraic eigenvalue problems and its applications," *Mitt. Inst. Angew. Math. Zürich*, v. 4, 1954, 57 pp. MR 16, 666.

18. R. S. VARGA, *Matrix Iterative Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962. MR 28 #1725.