

Error Bounds for Polynomial Spline Interpolation*

By Martin H. Schultz

Abstract. New upper and lower bounds for the L^2 and L^∞ norms of derivatives of the error in polynomial spline interpolation are derived. These results improve corresponding results of Ahlberg, Nilson, and Walsh, cf. [1], and Schultz and Varga, cf. [5].

1. Introduction. In this paper, we derive new bounds for the L^2 and L^∞ norms of derivatives of the error in polynomial spline interpolation. These bounds improve and generalize the known error bounds, cf. [1] and [5], in the following important ways: (1) these bounds can be *explicitly calculated* and are not merely asymptotic error bounds such as those given in [1] and [5]; (2) explicit *lower* bounds are given for the error for a class of functions; (3) the degree of regularity required of the function, f , being interpolated is extended, i.e., in [1] and [5] we demand that the m th or $2m$ th derivative of f be in L^2 , if we are interpolating by splines of degree $2m - 1$, while here we demand only that some p th derivative of f , where $m \leq p \leq 2m$, be in L^2 ; and (4) bounds are given for high-order derivatives of the interpolation errors.

2. Notations. Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and for each positive integer, m , let $K^m[a, b]$ denote the collection of all real-valued functions $u(x)$ defined on $[a, b]$ such that $u \in C^{m-1}[a, b]$ and such that $D^{m-1}u$ is absolutely continuous, with $D^m u \in L^2[a, b]$, where $Du \equiv du/dx$ denotes the derivative of u . For each nonnegative integer, M , let $\mathcal{P}_M(a, b)$ denote the set of all partitions, Δ , of $[a, b]$ of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta: a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_M < x_{M+1} = b.$$

Moreover, let $\mathcal{P}(a, b) \equiv \bigcup_{M=0}^\infty \mathcal{P}_M(a, b)$.

If $\Delta \in \mathcal{P}_M(a, b)$, m is a positive integer and z is an integer such that $m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$, we define the *spline space*, $S(2m - 1, \Delta, z)$, to be the set of all real-valued functions $s(x) \in C^z[a, b]$ such that on each subinterval (x_i, x_{i+1}) , $0 \leq i \leq M$, $s(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $2m - 1$. We remark that our definition is identical with the definition of deficient splines of [1]. For generalizations of this concept of spline subspace, the reader is referred to [5]. In particular, it is easy to verify that all the results of this paper remain essentially unchanged if one allows the number z to depend on the partition points, x_i , $1 \leq i \leq M$, in such a way that $m - 1 \leq z(x_i) \leq 2m - 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M$. The details are left to the reader.

Following [1] we define the interpolation mapping $g_m: C^{m-1}[a, b] \rightarrow S(2m - 1, \Delta, z)$ by $g_m(f) \equiv s$, where

$$(2.2) \quad D^k s(x_i) \equiv D^k f(x_i), \quad \begin{matrix} 0 \leq k \leq 2m - 2 - z, & 1 \leq i \leq M, \\ 0 \leq k \leq m - 1, & i = 0 \text{ and } M + 1. \end{matrix}$$

Received February 4, 1969, revised February 2, 1970.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 6520, 6580.

Key Words and Phrases. Spline, interpolation, approximation.

* This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, GP-11326.

We remark that the preceding interpolation mapping corresponds to the Type I interpolation of [1]. It is easy to modify the results of this paper for the cases in which the interpolation mapping corresponds to Types II, III, and IV interpolation of [1]. The details are left to the reader.

3. Basic L^2 -Error Bounds. In this section, we obtain *explicit upper and lower* bounds for the quantities $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$, $1 \leq m, m \leq p \leq 2m, m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m$, defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \Lambda(m, p, z, j) \equiv \text{Sup} \{ \|D^i(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a, b]} / \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a, b]} \mid f \in K^p[a, b], \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a, b]} \neq 0 \}.$$

First, we recall some basic results from [1] and [5] and introduce some additional notation.

THEOREM 3.1. *The interpolation mapping given by (2.2) is well defined for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(a, b)$, $1 \leq m$, and $m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$.*

THEOREM 3.2 (FIRST INTEGRAL RELATION). *If $f \in K^m[a, b]$, $1 \leq m$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(a, b)$, and $m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$,*

$$(3.2) \quad \|D^m f\|_{L^2[a, b]}^2 = \|D^m(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a, b]}^2 + \|D^m g_m f\|_{L^2[a, b]}^2.$$

THEOREM 3.3 (SECOND INTEGRAL RELATION). *If $f \in K^{2m}[a, b]$, $1 \leq m$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(a, b)$, and $m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$,*

$$(3.3) \quad \|D^m(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a, b]}^2 = \int_a^b (f - g_m f) D^{2m} f \, dx.$$

Finally, following Kolmogorov, cf. [4, p. 146], if t and d are positive integers, let $\lambda_d(t)$ denote the d th eigenvalue of the boundary value problem,

$$(3.4) \quad (-1)^t D^{2t} y(x) = \lambda y(x), \quad a < x < b,$$

$$(3.5) \quad D^k y(a) = D^k y(b) = 0, \quad t \leq k \leq 2t - 1,$$

where the λ_d are arranged in order of increasing magnitude and repeated according to their multiplicity. We remark that the problem (3.4)–(3.5) has a countably infinite number of eigenvalues, all of which are nonnegative and it may be shown that

$$\lambda_d = (\pi/(b - a))^{2t} d^{2t} [1 + O(d^{-1})], \quad \text{as } t < d \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the bootstrapping technique of [1, p. 92], and letting

$$\bar{\Delta} \equiv \max_{0 \leq i \leq M} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\Delta} \equiv \min_{0 \leq i \leq M} (x_{i+1} - x_i),$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$, we have the following generalization of Theorem 7 of [5].

THEOREM 3.4.

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_d^{-1/2}(m - j) \leq \Lambda(m, m, z, j) \leq K_{m, m, z, j}(\bar{\Delta})^{m-j},$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad d \equiv (M + 1)(2m - z + 1) + z - j + 2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{m,m,z,j} &= 1, & \text{if } m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2, j = m, \\
 &= (1/\pi)^{m-j}, & \text{if } m-1 = z, 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \\
 (3.8) \quad &= \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{m-j}}, & \text{if } m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2, 0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z, \\
 &= \frac{(z+2-m)!}{j! \pi^{m-j}}, & \text{if } m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2, 2m-2-z \leq j \leq m-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{P}_M(a, b), m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m$.

Proof. First, we prove the right-hand inequality of (3.6). If $m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2$ and $j = m$, the result follows directly from Theorem 3.2.

Otherwise, $D^j(f - g_m f)(x_i) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq M, 0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z$, and by the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, cf. [3, p. 184],

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (D^j(f - g_m f)(x))^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (D^{j+1}(f - g_m f)(x))^2 dx,$$

$0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z$. Summing both sides of (3.9) with respect to i from 0 to M , we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \|D^j(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\pi} \|D^{j+1}(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]},$$

$0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z$. Using (3.10) repeatedly we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \|D^j(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\pi}\right)^{2m-1-z-j} \|D^{2m-1-z}(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

Hence, if $2m-1-z = m$, i.e., $z = m-1$, then

$$(3.12) \quad \|D^j(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{m-j} (\bar{\Delta})^{m-j} \|D^m f\|_{L^2[a,b]},$$

which is the required result for this special case.

Otherwise, since $m \leq z$, applying Rolle's Theorem to $D^{2m-2-z}(f - g_m f) \in C^{z-m+1}[a, b]$, which vanishes at every mesh point, we have that for each $0 \leq j \leq z-m+1$, there exist points $\{\xi_l^{(j)}\}_{l=0}^{M+1-j}$ in $[a, b]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad D^{2m-2-z+j}(f - g_m f)(\xi_l^{(j)}) &= 0, & 0 \leq j \leq m-1-(2m-2-z), \\
 &= z-m+1, & 0 \leq l \leq M+1-j,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.14) \quad a = \xi_0^{(j)} < \xi_1^{(j)} < \dots < \xi_{M+1-j}^{(j)} = b, \quad 0 \leq j \leq z-m+1,$$

$$(3.15) \quad \xi_l^{(j)} \leq \xi_l^{(j+1)} < \xi_{l+1}^{(j)}, \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq l \leq M+1-j, 0 \leq j \leq z-m+1$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad |\xi_{l+1}^{(j)} - \xi_l^{(j)}| \leq (j+1)\bar{\Delta}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq M-j, 0 \leq j \leq z-m+1,$$

i.e., choose $\xi_l^{(0)} = x_l, 0 \leq l \leq M+1$.

Thus, applying the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \int_{\xi_l^{(i)}}^{\xi_{l+1}^{(i)}} (D^{2m-2-s+i}(f - g_m f)(x))^2 dx \leq \left[\frac{(j+1)\bar{\Delta}}{\pi} \right]^2 \int_{\xi_l^{(i)}}^{\xi_{l+1}^{(i)}} (D^{2m-2-s+(i+1)}(f - g_m f))^2 dx$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq M - j, 0 \leq j \leq z - m + 1$. Summing (3.17) with respect to l from 0 to $M - j$, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \|D^{2m-2-s+i}(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \frac{(j+1)\bar{\Delta}}{\pi} \|D^{2m-2-s+(i+1)}(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]},$$

$0 \leq j \leq z - m + 1$. Using (3.18) repeatedly along with (3.2) we have

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D^{2m-1-s}(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} &\leq \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{s-m+1}} (\bar{\Delta})^{s-m+1} \|D^m(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \\ &\leq \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{s-m+1}} (\bar{\Delta})^{s-m+1} \|D^m f\|_{L^2[a,b]}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.11) with (3.19), we have that

$$(3.20) \quad \|D^j(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{m-j}} (\bar{\Delta})^{m-j} \|D^m f\|_{L^2[a,b]},$$

if $0 \leq j \leq 2m - 2 - z$. Otherwise, it follows from (3.18) that

$$(3.21) \quad \|D^j(f - g_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \frac{(z+2-m)!}{j! \pi^{m-j}} \|D^m f\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

Finally, we prove the left-hand inequality of (3.6). This inequality follows directly from a fundamental result of Kolmogorov, cf. [4, p. 146], which states that

$$(3.22) \quad \lambda_{t+1}^{-1/2}(m - j) \leq \Lambda(m, m, z, j),$$

where $t \equiv$ dimension $D^j(S(2m - 1, \Delta, z))$, for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{P}_M(a, b), m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m$. But the space $D^j(S(2m - 1, \Delta, z))$ has dimension $t \equiv (2m - j)(M + 1) - (z + 1 - j)M = (M + 1)(2m - z + 1) + z - j + 1$. Q.E.D.

We remark that in this case it is easy to verify that there exists a positive constant, K , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_d^{-1/2} &\geq \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi} \right)^{m-j} \frac{1}{(M+1)^{m-j}} \frac{1}{s^{m-j}} \frac{1}{1 + Ks^{-1}(M+1)^{-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\pi^{m-j}} \frac{1}{s^{m-j}} \frac{1}{1 + Ks^{-1}(M+1)^{-1}} (\bar{\Delta})^{m-j}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s \equiv (2m - z + 1 + (z - j + 2)/(M + 1))$, and thus that splines are ‘‘quasi-optimal’’.

The next result generalizes Theorem 9 of [5].

THEOREM 3.5.

$$(3.23) \quad \lambda_d^{-1/2}(2m - j) \leq \Lambda(m, 2m, z, j) \leq K_{m,2m,s,j}(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-j}$$

where

$$(3.24) \quad d \equiv (M + 1)(2m - z + 1) + z - j + 2$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad K_{m,2m,z,i} \equiv (K_{m,m,z,i})(K_{m,m,z,0}), \text{ for all } 1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{P}_M(a, b), \\ m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2, \text{ and } 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

Proof. Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the Second Integral Relation yields the inequality

$$(3.26) \quad \|D^m(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]}^2 \leq \|D^{2m}f\|_{L^2[a,b]} \|f - \mathcal{G}_m f\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

Applying the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \|D^j(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq K_{m,m,z,i} \|D^m(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} (\bar{\Delta})^{m-j}.$$

Using (3.27) for the special case of $j = 0$ in (3.26) yields

$$(3.28) \quad \|D^m(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \|D^{2m}f\|_{L^2[a,b]} K_{m,m,z,0} (\bar{\Delta})^m.$$

Using (3.28) to bound the right-hand side of (3.27) gives us the right-hand inequality of (3.23). The left-hand inequality of (3.23) follows as in Theorem 3.4. Q.E.D.

We now recall a fundamental inequality of E. Schmidt which will be used several times in the remainder of this paper.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $p_N(x)$ is a polynomial of degree N ,*

$$(3.29) \quad \|Dp_N\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \frac{E_N}{b-a} \|p_N\|_{L^2[a,b]},$$

where $E_N \equiv (N + 1)^2 \sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Cf. [2]. Q.E.D.

THEOREM 3.6.

$$(3.30) \quad \lambda_d^{-1/2}(p - j) \leq \Lambda(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,i} (\bar{\Delta})^{p-j},$$

where

$$(3.31) \quad d \equiv (M + 1)(2m - z + 1) + z - j + 2$$

and

$$(3.32) \quad K_{m,p,z,i} \equiv \left\{ K_{p,p,2m-1,i} + K_{m,2m,z,i} \cdot 2^{(1/2)(2m-p)} \left[\frac{p!}{(2p-2m)!} \right]^2 (\bar{\Delta}/\Delta)^{2m-p} \right\}$$

for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{P}_M(a, b), m < p < 2m, 4m - 2p - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2,$ and $0 \leq j \leq m$.

Proof. Consider $S(2p - 1, \Delta, 2m - 1) \subset K^{2m}[a, b]$. This space is well defined since $2p - 2 \geq 2(m + 1) - 2 = 2m$. Moreover, if \mathcal{G}_m denotes the interpolation mapping of $C^{m-1}[a, b]$ into $S(2m - 1, \Delta, z)$ and \mathcal{G}_p denotes the interpolation mapping of $C^{p-1}[a, b]$ into $S(2p - 1, \Delta, 2m - 1)$, then $\mathcal{G}_m(\mathcal{G}_p f) = \mathcal{G}_m f$ for all $f \in C^{p-1}[a, b]$. In fact, $D^k \mathcal{G}_p f$ interpolates $D^k f$ at $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq M$, for all $0 \leq k \leq 2p - (2m - 1) - 2 = 2p - 2m - 1$, while $D^k \mathcal{G}_m f$ interpolates $D^k f$ at $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq M$, for all $0 \leq k \leq 2m - z - 2 \leq 2m - (4m - 2p - 1) - 2 = 2p - 2m - 1$.

Thus,

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D^j(f - g_{mf})\|_{L^2[a,b]} &\leq \|D^j(f - g_{pf})\|_{L^2[a,b]} \\ &\quad + \|D^j(g_{pf} - g_m(g_{pf}))\|_{L^2[a,b]}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4,

$$(3.34) \quad \|D^j(f - g_{pf})\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq K_{p,p,2m-1,j}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-j} \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]},$$

and by Theorem 3.5

$$(3.35) \quad \|D^j(g_{pf} - g_m(g_{pf}))\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq K_{m,2m,z,j}(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-j} \|D^{2m} g_{pf}\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

But by Schmidt's inequality and the First Integral Relation, since g_{pf} is a piecewise polynomial of degree $2p - 1$ with $p > m$, we have

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D^{2m} g_{pf}\|_{L^2[a,b]} &\leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2m-p} E_{2p-2m-1+i} \right) \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]}}{(\Delta)^{2m-p}} \\ &\leq 2^{(2m-p)/2} \left[\frac{p!}{(2p+2m)!} \right]^2 \frac{\|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]}}{(\Delta)^{2m-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

The required result now follows from (3.33), (3.34), (3.35), and (3.36). Q.E.D.

4. L^2 -Error Bounds for Higher Order Derivatives. In this section we give *explicit upper* bounds for the quantities $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$ in the special cases of $m < p \leq 2m$ and $m < j \leq p$. Since g_{mf} is not necessarily in $K^j[a, b]$ if $z + 1 < j \leq p$, it is necessary to modify the definition of $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$ given in (3.1). The new definition is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda(m, p, z, j) \equiv \text{Sup} \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^M \|D^i(f - g_{mf})\|_{L^2[z_i, z_{i+1}]}^2 \right)^{1/2} / \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]} \right. \\ \left. \left| f \in K^p[a, b], \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]} \neq 0 \right. \right\}.$$

The main result of this section is

THEOREM 4.1.

$$(4.2) \quad \Lambda(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,i}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-i},$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad K_{m,p,z,i} \equiv \left[K_{p,p,p,i} + (K_{m,p,z,m} + K_{p,p,p,m}) 2^{(i-m)/2} \left[\frac{(2p+m)!}{(2p-j)!} \right]^2 \left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\Delta} \right)^{i-m} \right],$$

for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m < p \leq 2m, 4m - 2p - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$, and $m < j \leq p$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6,

$$(4.4) \quad \|D^m(f - g_{mf})\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq K_{m,p,z,m}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-m},$$

and by Theorem 3.4,

$$(4.5) \quad \|D^k(f - g_{pf})\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq K_{p,p,p,k}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq p.$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad \|D^m(\mathcal{G}_m f - \mathcal{G}_p f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq (K_{m,p,z,m} + K_{p,p,p,m})(\bar{\Delta})^{p-m}.$$

Using the Schmidt inequality in (4.6), we obtain

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D^i(\mathcal{G}_m f - \mathcal{G}_p f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} &\leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{i-m} E_{(2p-1)-i+1}\right)}{(\Delta)^{i-m}} \|D^m(\mathcal{G}_m f - \mathcal{G}_p f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \\ &\leq (K_{m,p,z,m} + K_{p,p,p,m}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{i-m} E_{2p-1-i+i}\right) (\bar{\Delta})^{p-i} (\bar{\Delta}/\Delta)^{i-m}. \end{aligned}$$

The required result follows from (4.5), (4.7), and

$$(4.8) \quad \|D^i(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} \leq \|D^i(f - \mathcal{G}_p f)\|_{L^2[a,b]} + \|D^i(\mathcal{G}_p f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

Q.E.D.

We remark that in those cases in which $\mathcal{G}_m f \in K^i[a, b]$, lower bounds of the form introduced in Section 3 can be given for $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$.

5. L^∞ -Error Bounds. In this section, we give *explicit upper* bounds for the quantities $\Lambda^\infty(m, p, z, j)$, $1 \leq m, m \leq p \leq 2m, m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$, and $0 \leq j \leq p$, defined by

$$(5.1) \quad \Lambda^\infty(m, p, z, j) \equiv \text{Sup} \left\{ \max_{0 \leq i \leq M} (\|D^i(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^\infty[x_i, x_{i+1}]} / \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]}) \right. \\ \left. | f \in K^p[a, b], \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

We obtain the following results as corollaries of the results of Section 3 and Section 4. As an improvement of Theorem 6 of [5], we have

THEOREM 5.1.

$$(5.2) \quad \Lambda^\infty(m, m, z, j) \leq K_{m,m,z,j}^\infty (\bar{\Delta})^{m-i-1/2},$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{m,m,z,j}^\infty &\equiv K_{m,m,z,i+1}, \quad \text{if } m - 1 = z, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1, \\ &\equiv K_{m,m,z,i+1}, \quad \text{if } m - 1 < z \leq 2m - 2, 0 \leq j \leq 2m - 2 - z, \\ &\equiv (j - 2m + 3 + z)^{1/2} K_{m,m,z,i+1}, \quad \text{if } m - 1 < z \leq 2m - 2, \\ &\quad 2m - 2 - z < j \leq m - 1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m - 1$

Proof. We give the proof in the special case of $m - 1 = z, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1$, as the proof in the other cases is analogous. Given any $x \in [a, b]$, there exists a point $y \in [a, b]$ such that $D^i(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)(y) = 0$ and $|x - y| \leq \bar{\Delta}$. Hence, $D^i(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)(x) = \int_y^x D^{i+1}(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)(t) dt$ and

$$\|D^i(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq (\bar{\Delta})^{1/2} \|D^{i+1}(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

The result now follows from applying Theorem 3.4 to the right-hand side of the preceding inequality. Q.E.D.

As in Theorem 5.1, we have as an improvement of Theorem 8 of [5].

THEOREM 5.2.

$$(5.4) \quad \Delta^\infty(m, 2m, z, j) \leq K_{m,2m,z,j}^\infty (\bar{\Delta})^{2m-i-1/2},$$

where

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{m,2m,z,i+1}^\infty &\equiv K_{m,2m,z,i+1}, \quad \text{if } m-1 = z, 0 < j \leq m-1, \\ &\equiv K_{m,2m,z,i+1}, \quad \text{if } m-1 < z \leq 2m-2, 0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z, \\ &\equiv (j-2m+3+z)^{1/2} K_{m,2m,z,i+1}, \quad \text{if } m-1 < z \leq 2m-2, \\ &\quad 2m-2-z < j \leq m-1, \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 < m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$.

As in Theorem 3.6, we have

THEOREM 5.3.

$$(5.6) \quad \Delta^\infty(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,j}^\infty (\bar{\Delta})^{p-i-1/2},$$

where

$$(5.7) \quad K_{m,p,z,j}^\infty \equiv \left\{ K_{p,p,2m-1,j}^\infty + K_{m,2m,z,j}^\infty \cdot 2^{(2m-p)/2} \left[\frac{p!}{(2p-2m)!} \right]^2 \left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\underline{\Delta}} \right)^{2m-p} \right\},$$

for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m < p < 2m, 4m-2p-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$.

Finally, to give a result analogous to Theorem 4.1, we need an inequality due to A. A. Markov.

LEMMA 5.1. *If $p_N(x)$ is a polynomial of degree N , then*

$$(5.8) \quad \|DP_N\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq \frac{M_N}{b-a} \|p_N\|_{L^\infty[a,b]},$$

where $M_N \equiv 2N^2$.

Proof. Cf. [6]. Q.E.D.

As an extension of Theorem 10 of [5], we prove

THEOREM 5.4.

$$(5.9) \quad \Delta^\infty(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,j}^\infty (\bar{\Delta})^{p-i-1/2},$$

where

$$(5.10) \quad K_{m,p,z,i}^\infty \equiv \left\{ K_{p,p,p,i}^\infty + (K_{m,p,z,i}^\infty + K_{p,p,p,i}^\infty) 2^{i-m+1} \left(\frac{(2p-m)!}{(2p-j-1)!} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\bar{\Delta}}{\underline{\Delta}} \right)^{i-m+1} \right\}$$

for all $1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m < p \leq 2m, 4m-2p-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2$ and $m \leq j \leq p-1$.

Proof. From Theorem 5.1, we have that

$$(5.11) \quad \|D^k(f - \mathcal{G}_p f)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_{p,p,p,k}^\infty (\bar{\Delta})^{p-k-1/2} \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq p-1,$$

and from Theorem 5.3

$$(5.12) \quad \|D^{m-1}(f - \mathcal{G}_m f)\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq K_{m,p,z,m-1}^\infty (\bar{\Delta})^{p-m+1/2} \|D^p f\|_{L^2[a,b]}.$$

Combining (5.11) and (5.12), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \|D^{m-1}(g_{mf} - g_{pf})\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \leq (K_{m,p,r,m-1}^\infty + K_{p,p,p,k}^\infty)(\bar{\Delta})^{p-m+1/2} \|D^p f\|_{L^r[a,b]}.$$

But,

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|D^j(g_{mf} - g_{pf})\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]} &\leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-m+1} M_{2p-1-i+i}\right)}{(\Delta)^{j-m+1}} \|D^{m-1}(g_{mf} - g_{pf})\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]} \\ &\leq 2^{j-m+1} \left(\frac{(2p-m)!}{(2p-j-1)!}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(\Delta)^{j-m+1}} \\ &\quad \cdot \|D^{m-1}(g_{mf} - g_{pf})\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]} \equiv \max_{0 \leq i \leq m} \|\cdot\|_{L^\infty[z_i, z_{i+1}]}.$$

The required result follows directly from (5.11), (5.13), (5.14), and the observation that

$$\|D^j(f - g_{mf})\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]} \leq \|D^j(f - g_{pf})\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]} + \|D^j(g_{pf} - g_{mf})\|_{L^\infty_\Delta[a,b]}.$$

Q.E.D.

Computer Science Department
 Yale University
 New Haven, Connecticut 06509

1. J. H. AHLBERG, E. N. NILSON & J. L. WALSH, *The Theory of Splines and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 39 #684.
2. R. BELLMAN, "A note on an inequality of E. Schmidt," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 50, 1944, pp. 734-736. MR 6, 61.
3. G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD & G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1952. MR 13, 727.
4. G. G. LORENTZ, *Approximation of Functions*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1966. MR 35 #4642.
5. M. H. SCHULTZ & R. S. VARGA, "L-splines," *Numer. Math.*, v. 10, 1967, pp. 345-369. MR 37 #665.
6. J. TODD (Editor), *A Survey of Numerical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962. MR 24 #B1271.