Discrete Green's Functions

By G. T. McAllister and E. F. Sabotka

Abstract. Let $G(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's function over a discrete h-convex region Ω of the plane; i.e., $a(P)G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q) + c(P)G_{y\bar{y}}(P; Q) = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2$ for $P \in \Omega_h$, $G(P; Q) = 0$ for $P \in \partial\Omega_h$. Assume that $a(P)$ and $c(P)$ are Hölder continuous over Ω and positive. We show that $|D^{(m)}G(P; Q)| \leq A_m/\rho_{PQ}^m$ and $|\tilde{D}^{(m)}G(P; Q)| \leq B_m d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}$, where $D^{(m)}$ is an mth order difference quotient with respect to the components of P or Q, and $\tilde{D}^{(m)}$ denotes an mth order difference quotient only with respect to the components of P.

Introduction. Place a square grid on the plane with grid width h; grid points are $P = (mh, nh)$ where m and n are integers. If $P = (x, y)$ is a grid point, the neighbors of P are the points $P_1 = (x + h, y)$, $P_2 = (x, y + h)$, $P_3 = (x - h, y)$, and $P_4 = (x, y - h)$.

Let Ω be a plane region. Let Ω_h be the set of grid points $P \in \Omega$ such that the four neighbors of P are in Ω. Let $\partial\Omega_h$ be that set of grid points in $\bar{\Omega}$ with at least one neighbor in the exterior of Ω.

Let $W(P)$ be defined on $\Omega_h + \partial\Omega_h$. Let $P \in \Omega_h$. Then we make the following definitions: $hW_x(P) = W(P_1) - W(P)$, $hW_{\bar{x}}(P) = W(P) - W(P_3)$, $hW_y(P) = W(P_2) - W(P)$, $hW_{\bar{y}}(P) = W(P) - W(P_4)$, $W_{x\bar{x}}(P) = (W_x(P))_{\bar{x}}$, $W_{y\bar{y}}(P) = (W_y(P))_{\bar{y}}$, and $W_{xy}(P) = (W_x(P))_y$.

Let $a(P)$ and $c(P)$ be Hölder continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$; let λ and L denote the positive minimum and maximum of these functions over $\bar{\Omega}$. Let $Q \in \Omega_h$ and let $G(P; Q)$ be the solution to the problem

$$(*) \qquad a(P)G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q) + c(P)G_{y\bar{y}}(P; Q) = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2, \qquad P \in \Omega_h,$$

$$G(P; Q) = 0, \qquad P \in \partial\Omega_h;$$

here difference quotients are with respect to the components of P and $\delta(P; Q)$ is the Kronecker symbol.

In this paper, we obtain estimates on $D^{(m)}G(P; Q)$ and $\tilde{D}^{(m)}G(P; Q)$ where $D^{(m)}$ denotes an mth order difference quotient with respect to the components of P and/or Q and $\tilde{D}^{(m)}G(P; Q)$ denotes an mth order difference quotient with respect to the components either of P or of Q. Basic to our methods of obtaining these estimates is the discrete analogue of the logarithm function as developed by McCrea and Whipple [7].

A significance of estimates of the type carried out in this paper is that they may be used, as in [5], to obtain pointwise *a priori* estimates on difference quotients of solutions to linear difference equations and these estimates may be used for the construction, as in [6], of a solution to nonlinear difference equations. Such *a priori*

---

estimates on difference quotients are also important in showing the convergence of specific numerical methods, as in [2], and in showing that solutions of difference equations converge—often these estimates give an order of convergence—to a solution of the differential equation, as in [9] and [11].

Our results may also be used to obtain estimates on the difference between difference quotients of the discrete and of the continuous Green's function; e.g. [8] and [10].

In the first five sections, we consider $G(P; Q)$ only for the discrete Laplacian, i.e., $a(P) \equiv c(P) \equiv 1$. In Section 1, we obtain an estimate on $G(P; Q)$ when Ω_h is a half-plane. Our estimates are of the type $|D^{(m)}G(P; Q)| \leq A_m/\rho_{PQ}^m$ and $|\tilde{D}^{(m)}G(P; Q)| \leq B_m d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}$ (or $|\tilde{D}^{(m)}G(P; Q)| \leq C_m d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}$) where ρ_{PQ}^2 is the squared distance from P to Q plus h^2, $d(X)$ is the distance from X to the $\partial\Omega_h$, and A_m, B_m and C_m are absolute constants—explicitly computed—which are independent of h. Some of these estimates are similar to those in Widman [12] who considers the Green's function for the continuous problem. In the discrete case, there are intrinsic difficulties which are not present in the continuous theory; e.g. we may not use any mapping techniques for the discrete problem. In Section 2 and in Section 3, we construct $G(P; Q)$ for an infinite strip and for a rectangular region. From this construction, we obtain the same type of estimates as in Section 1. As a consequence of these sections, we may construct the $G(P; Q)$ associated with the discrete Laplacian whenever Ω is a half-plane, quarter-plane, eighth-plane, strip, triangle or rectangle.

We extend our estimates in Section 4 to general domains which are discrete h-convex (see the text for the definition). Here we discover that second-order difference quotients of $G(P; Q)$ exhibit a singularity in the neighborhood of an obtuse corner. The order of the singularity is slightly worse than that predicted in [4] for the continuous theory.

In Section 5, we consider the general equation in (*) under the assumption that the coefficients $a(P)$ and $c(P)$ are α-Hölder continuous over $\bar{\Omega}$. These results represent an extension and an improvement of those in [5].

Some of our estimates implicitly require that the mesh size h be sufficiently small but still $O(1)$. These restrictions on h will be clear from the context. A requirement on the size of h is not a limitation of the results as the interest is in the case that h gets arbitrarily small.

1. The Discrete Green's Function for Half-Planes.

Place a square grid over the plane with grid width h such that the origin is a grid point. Let $Q = (\xi, \eta)$ be an arbitrary but fixed grid point with $\eta \geq 0$. Let $P = (x, y)$ be any grid point with $y \geq 0$; we denote the set of all such points by π^+ if $y > 0$ and by $\partial\pi^+$ if $y = 0$. Let a and b be arbitrary real numbers and let $L(a, b)$ be the discrete analogue of the logarithm function given by the relation [3, p. 422] or [7]

$$(1) \qquad L(a, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left\{\int_0^\pi \frac{1 - \cos[b\lambda/h]\exp[-|a|\,\mu/h]\,d\lambda}{\sinh\mu} + \log h - \frac{\log 8 + 2\gamma}{2}\right\}$$

where $\cos\lambda + \cosh\mu = 2$ with $\mu/\lambda \to 1$ as $\lambda \to 0$, and γ is Euler's constant.

Let us define the function $G(P; Q)$ by the relation

$$(2) \qquad G(P; Q) = L(x - \xi, y + \eta) - L(x - \xi, y - \eta).$$

This mesh function is called the discrete Green's function for the upper half-plane.

We shall show in this section that if $D^{(m)}G(P; Q)$ denotes an mth order difference quotient of $G(P; Q)$ as described in the introduction, then there exist absolute constants A_m and B_m such that $\rho_{PQ}^m |G^{(m)}(P; Q)| \leq A_m$ and $\rho_{PQ}^{m+1} |G^{(m)}(P; Q)| \leq B_m d(Q)$ where ρ_{PQ}^2 is the square of the distance from P to Q plus h^2 and $d(X)$ is the distance from X to $\partial \pi^+$; A_m and B_m are independent of h.

Now we will prove a collection of results which will be used frequently in deriving our estimates.

LEMMA 1.1. (a) *For each mesh point $P \in \pi^+$, we have that*

$$\Delta_h G(P; Q) = [G(x + h, y; Q) + G(x - h, y; Q)$$

$$+ G(x, y + h; Q) + G(x, y - h; Q) - 4G(P; Q)]/h^2 = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2$$

where $\delta(P; Q) = 0$ if $P \neq Q$, $\delta(P; Q) = 1$ if $P = Q$, and $G(P; Q) = 0$ for $P \in \partial \pi^+$.

(b) *For all real numbers α and β, we have that $L(\alpha, \beta) = L(\beta, \alpha)$. In fact, $L(\alpha, \beta)$ is symmetric about the lines $\alpha = \beta$, $\alpha = -\beta$, $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$.*

(c) *For λ and μ related as in (1), we have that $\lambda/\text{sh } \mu \geq \sin \lambda/\text{sh } \mu \geq 0$; for brevity, we use sh X for $\sinh X$.*

(d) *If $\lambda \in (0, \pi)$, then $\lambda/(1.8) \leq \mu \leq \lambda$.*

(e) *The function $f(\mu) = (1 - \exp(-a\mu))/(\exp(2\mu) - 1)$ is positive and monotonically decreasing for $a \geq 1$.*

(f) *If $0 \leq s \leq r$, then $\exp(-r \pm s)\mu/(1 + \exp \mu)$ and $\exp(-r - 1 + s)\mu/(1 + \exp(-\mu))$ are positive and monotone decreasing functions.*

(g) *The function $A(\mu) = \{\text{sh}((r + 1)\mu) - \text{sh}(r\mu)\} \exp(-s\mu)/\text{sh } \mu$ is positive and monotone decreasing for $s \geq r + 1$ with $s \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.*

(h) *The following elementary inequalities are true:*

   (i) μ ch $\mu \geq$ sh μ *for $\mu \geq 0$;*

   (ii) $\sin x \leq x$ *for $x \geq 0$;*

   (iii) $a + 2 \leq a \exp(-2\mu) + 2 \exp(a\mu)$ *where $a \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 0$;*

   (iv) $0 \leq \lambda/\text{sh } \mu \leq 1.3$ *for $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$ and $\cos \lambda + $ ch $\mu = 2$;*

   (v) $x \exp(-a\pi/\alpha x) \leq 1$ *if $x \geq 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $a \geq 1$.*

Proof. (a) follows closely the reasoning in [5] and (b) follows from (1).

(c) From elementary considerations,

$$\lambda/\text{sh } \mu \geq \sin \lambda/\text{sh } \mu = ((1 - \cos^2 \lambda)/((2 - \cos \lambda)^2 - 1))^{1/2}$$

$$= ((1 + \cos \lambda)/(3 - \cos \lambda))^{1/2} \geq 0.$$

(d) Let $g(\lambda) = \lambda - \mu$. Since $\cos \lambda + $ ch $\mu = 2$ with $\mu/\lambda \to 1$ as $\lambda \to 0$, then $g(0) = 0$ and $g'(\lambda) = 1 - ((1 + \cos \lambda)/(3 - \cos \lambda))^{1/2} \geq 0$. Therefore, for $\lambda \in [0, \eta]$, $\lambda \geq \mu$. Now observe that sh $\mu \geq \lambda(1 + \lambda^2/24)$ for $\lambda \in (0, \pi)$. Since $d^2\lambda/d\mu^2 = 2 - \cos \lambda$ ch $\mu \div \sin^3 \lambda \geq 0$, max $\lambda/\mu = \pi/\text{ch}^{-1}(3) \leq 1.8$.

(e) Simply observe that $f'(\mu) \leq 0$ since $a + 2 \leq a \exp(-2\mu) + 2 \exp(a\mu)$.

(f) Set $g(\mu) = \exp(-r - 1 + s)\mu/(1 + \exp(-\mu))$; we see that

$g'(\mu)$

$$= \{(-r - 1 + s) \exp((-r - 1 + s)\mu)(1 + \exp(-\mu)) + \exp(-r - 1 + s)\mu\}/(1 + \exp(-\mu))^2.$$

Since $0 \leq s \leq r$, $g'(\mu) \leq 0$. The proof of the remaining results follows in a similar way.

(g) Since $s \geqq r + 1$, we may write $s = t + r + 1$ with $t \geqq 0$. Hence,

$$A(\mu) = \exp(-t\mu)\{\exp \mu - \exp(-(2r + 1)\mu) + \exp(-2r\mu) - 1\}/(\exp(2\mu) - 1).$$

Our result now follows from (e) and (f).

(h) These results follow elementary considerations.

We are now ready to establish the principal result of this section. Before we proceed with this, however, we must make an obvious remark: If $P = (x, y)$, $Q = (\xi, \eta)$, $P \neq Q$, and $d_{PQ}^2 = (x - \xi)^2 + (y - \eta)^2$, then $1/d_{PQ} \leqq \sqrt{2}/\rho_{PQ}$.

THEOREM 1.1. *We have the following estimates for the discrete Green's function for the upper half-plane:*

(a) $|G(P; Q)| \leqq (4.2)\sqrt{2} \, d(Q)/\pi\rho_{PQ}$ and $|G(P; Q)| \leqq (4.2)\sqrt{2} \, d(P)/\pi\rho_{PQ}$.

(b)
$$|G_v(P; Q)| \leqq (4.6)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2, \quad |G_x(P; Q)| \leqq (8.6)d(Q)/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2,$$

$$|G_{\bar{y}}(P; Q)| \leqq (11.5)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2, \quad |G_{\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq (2.5)(8.6)d(Q)/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2,$$

$$|G_v(P; Q)| = |G_{\bar{\eta}}(P; Q)|, \quad |G_x(P; Q)| = |G_{\xi}(P; Q)|,$$

$$|G_{\bar{y}}(P; Q)| = |G_\eta(P; Q)|, \quad |G_{\bar{x}}(P; Q)| = |G_\xi(P; Q)|.$$

(c)
$$|G_v(P; Q)| \leqq (2.8) \sqrt{2}/\pi\rho_{PQ}, \quad |G_x(P; Q)| \leqq (2.3)\sqrt{2}/\pi\rho_{PQ},$$

$$|G_{\bar{y}}(P; Q)| \leqq (2.5) \, |G_v(P; Q)|, \quad |G_{\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq (2.5) \, |G_x(P; Q)|.$$

(d)
$$|G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)| = |G_{\xi\bar{\xi}}(P; Q)| = |G_{x\xi}(P; Q)| = |G_{\bar{x}\bar{\xi}}(P; Q)| \leqq 14\sqrt{2}/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2,$$

$$|G_{v\bar{y}}(P; Q)| = |G_{\eta\bar{\eta}}(P; Q)| = |G_{v\eta}(P; Q)| = |G_{\bar{y}\bar{\eta}}(P; Q)| \leqq 21/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2,$$

$$|G_{xv}(P; Q)| = |G_{x\bar{\eta}}(P; Q)| = |G_{\xi v}(P; Q)| = |G_{\xi\bar{\eta}}(P; Q)| \leqq (6.9)/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

(e)
$$|G_{xv}(P; Q)| \leqq (10.9)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^3, \quad |G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq (21.2)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^3,$$

$$|G_{v\bar{y}}(P; Q)| \leqq (21.2)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^3.$$

Proof. (a) Let $r = y/h$, $s = \eta/h$ and $t = |x - \xi|/h$. Then

$$|G(P; Q)| = \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin(r\lambda) \sin(s\lambda) \exp(-t\mu)}{\mathrm{sh}\,\mu} \, d\lambda \right| \leqq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{r\lambda \exp(-t\mu)}{\mathrm{sh}\,\mu} \, d\lambda$$

$$\leqq \frac{(1.3)r}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp(-t\mu) \, d\lambda$$

$$\leqq \frac{(1.3)r}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \exp(-t\lambda)/(1.8) \, d\lambda \leqq (2.4)y/\pi \, | \, x- \xi|;$$

here we have used (c) and (d) of Lemma 1.1. By a similar line of reasoning, we may conclude that $|G(P; Q)| \leqq (2.4)\eta/\pi \, |x - \xi|$.

Now we write, using the symmetry of $L(\alpha, \beta)$,

(3)
$$G(P; Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\cos(t\lambda) \exp([-s + r]\mu)(1 - \exp(-2r\mu))}{\mathrm{sh}\,\mu} \, d\lambda, \quad \text{if } r \leqq s,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\cos(t\lambda) \exp([-r + s]\mu)(1 - \exp(-2s\mu))}{\mathrm{sh}\,\mu} \, d\lambda, \quad \text{if } r \geqq s.$$

For $r \leqq s$, we have

$$|\text{r.h.s. (3.1)}| \leq \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{2 \exp[(r - s)\mu]r\mu}{\text{sh } \mu} \, d\lambda \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi r \exp[(r - s)\mu] \, d\lambda \right| \leq (1.8)r/\pi \, |r - s|.$$

In a similar way, $|\text{r.h.s.}(3.2)| \leq (1.8)s/\pi \, |r - s|$ for $r \geq s$. Hence, we have $|G(P; Q)| \leq (1.8)\eta/\pi \, |y - \eta|$ and $|G(P; Q)| \leq (1.8)y/\pi \, |y - \eta|$.

Combining the results of these two paragraphs yields the estimate, for $P \neq Q$,

$$\rho_{PQ} \, |G(P; Q)| \leq (|x - \xi| + |y - \eta|) \, |G(P; Q)| \leq \begin{cases} (4.2)\eta/\pi \\ \text{and} \\ (4.2)y/\pi. \end{cases}$$

If $P = Q$, $|G(Q; Q)| \leq (1.3)y/h$ or $|G(Q; Q)| \leq (1.3)\eta/h$.

(b) We first consider

$$G_v(P; Q) = [G(x, y + h; \xi, \eta) - G(x, y; \xi, \eta)]/h$$

$$= [L(\xi - x, -\eta - y - h) - L(\xi - x, \eta - y - h)$$

$$+ L(\xi - x, -\eta - y) - L(\xi - x, \eta - y)]/h$$

$$= [L(t, -s - r - 1) - L(t, s - r - 1) - L(t, -s - r) + L(t, s - r)]/h$$

$$\text{(4)} \qquad = \frac{1}{2\pi h} 2 \int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda) A(\mu) \, d\lambda, \quad \text{for } s \geq r + 1,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi h} 2 \int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda) B(\mu) \, d\lambda, \quad \text{for } s \leq r,$$

where $A(\mu)$ is given in Lemma 1.1(g) and

$$B(\mu) = \exp(-r\mu)[\exp(-\mu) - 1] \text{sh}(s\mu)/\text{sh } \mu.$$

Since $A(\mu)$ is monotone decreasing in μ and since, by Lemma 1.1(c), $d\mu/d\lambda = \sin \lambda/\text{sh } \mu \geq 0$, we have that $A(\mu)$ is monotone decreasing in λ; let $\tilde{A}(\lambda)$ denote $A(\mu)$ as a function of λ. Looking at (4.1), we write

$$\int_0^\pi \tilde{A}(\lambda) \cos(t\lambda) \, d\lambda = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{\pi t} \tilde{A}(\lambda) \cos z \, dz.$$

Decompose the interval $[0, t\pi]$, for $t \neq 0$, into $\{[0, \pi/2], [\pi/2, 3\pi/2], \cdots, [(2k + 1)\pi/2, t\pi]\}$ or into $\{[0, \pi/2], [\pi/2, 3\pi/2], \cdots, [(2k - 1)\pi/2, (2k + 1)\pi/2]\}$ where, in the latter case, we have that $(2k + 1)\pi = 2t\pi$. Observing the alteration in the signum of the integrand over each interval in either decomposition of $[0, t\pi]$, we conclude that (here we are using the estimates $r - s \leq -1$, and $t \exp((r - s)\pi/6t) \leq 1$; we are assuming $t \neq 0$ and $\eta \geq h$)

$$\left| \int_0^\pi A(\mu) \cos(t\lambda) \, d\lambda \right| \leq 2 \int_0^{(\pi/2)t} A(\mu) \cos(t\lambda) \, d\lambda$$

$$\leq (1.8)^2 \exp[(r - s)\lambda/1.8]\{((r - s)/1.8) \cos(t\lambda) + t \sin((r - s)\lambda/1.8)\} |_0^{\pi/2t}$$

$$\leq (3.6)[s - r + 1.8]/((r - s)^2 + t^2).$$

Therefore,

(5) $$|G_\nu(P; Q)| \leqq (4.6)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2 \quad \text{if } \eta \geqq y + h.$$

The case $t = 0$ is treated in a similar manner and we obtain the estimate, assuming $\eta \geqq y + h$ or $y = \eta$ or $\eta = 0$ or $x = \xi$,

(6) $$|G_\nu(P; Q)| \leqq (3.6)\sqrt{2}\, d(Q)/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

Now we consider the integral in (4.2). By elementary considerations, we obtain the identity

$$\int_0^\pi B(\mu) \cos(t\lambda)\, d\lambda = -\int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda) \exp[(s - r)\mu]\, d\lambda/(1 + \exp \mu)$$

$$+ \int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda) \exp[(-s - r)\mu]\, d\lambda/(1 + \exp \mu)$$

and the inequality

$$\left| \int_0^\pi B(\mu) \cos(t\lambda)\, d\lambda \right| \leqq \int_0^{(\pi/2)t} \cos(t\lambda) \exp[(s - r)\mu]\, d\lambda/(1 + \exp \mu)$$

$$+ \int_0^{\pi/2t} \cos(t\lambda) \exp[-(r + s)\mu]\, d\lambda/(1 + \exp \mu).$$

Hence, if $r \neq s$,

$$\left| \int_0^\pi B(\mu) \cos(t\lambda)\, d\lambda \right|$$

(7)
$$= \left| \int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda)\{\exp[(s - r)\mu] - \exp[(-s - r)\mu]\}\, d\lambda/(1 + \exp \mu) \right|$$

$$\leqq \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^\pi \{\exp[(s - r)\mu] - \exp[(-s - r)\mu]\}\, d\lambda \right|$$

$$\leqq (1.3)[2s/r^2s^2]/2 \leqq (1.3)[s/(r - s)^2].$$

If $r = s$ ($s \geqq 1$ as we have already treated the case $\eta = 0$), then

$$\left| \int_0^\pi B(\mu) \cos(t\lambda)\, d\lambda \right| = \left| \int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda)[1 - \exp[-2s\mu]]\, d\lambda/(1 - \exp[-2\mu]) \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_0^\pi \cos(t\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \exp[-sk\mu] \cdot \exp[-\mu]\, d\lambda \right|$$

$$\leqq \left| s \int_0^{(\pi/2)t} \cos(t\lambda) \exp[-\mu]\, d\lambda \right| \leqq 2s/(1 + t^2).$$

Therefore, when $r = s$,

(8) $$|G_\nu(P; Q)| \leqq \eta/\pi(x - \xi)^2.$$

Combining the inequalities in (5), (6), (7) and (8), we have

(9) $$|G_\nu(P; Q)| \leqq (4.6)\, d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

Now we turn our attention to an estimate of the term

$$G_x(P; Q) = \{G(x + h, y; \xi, \eta) - G(x, y; \xi, \eta)\}/h$$

$$= \{L(x + h - \xi, y + \eta) - L(x + h - \xi, y - \eta)$$

$$- L(x - \xi, y + \eta) + L(x - \xi, y - \eta)\}/h.$$

Elementary considerations give

$$G_x(P; Q) = \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin(r\lambda) \sin(s\lambda)(\exp(-t - 1)\mu - \exp(-t\mu))}{\text{sh } \mu} \, d\lambda, \quad \text{if } t \geqq 1,$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin(r\lambda) \sin(s\lambda)(\exp(-t' + 1)\mu - \exp(-t'\mu))}{\text{sh } \mu} \, d\lambda, \quad \text{if } t \leqq 0,$$

where $t' = (\xi - x)/h$, $t = (x - \xi)/h$ and r, s are as defined in the proof of part (a). Therefore,

$$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{s\lambda \exp(-t\mu)(1 - \exp(-\mu))}{\text{sh } \mu} \, d\lambda, \quad \text{if } t \geqq 1,$$

$$\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{s\lambda \exp(-t'\mu)(1 - \exp(-\mu))}{\text{sh } \mu} \, d\lambda, \quad \text{if } t \leqq 0.$$

Hence,

(10) $$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq (1.8)^2(1.3)\eta/\pi(x - \xi)^2.$$

When $t = 0$, we easily obtain $|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq \pi\eta/2h^2$.

Using the symmetry of $L(r, t)$, we may write

$$G_x(P; Q) = \{L(y + \eta, x + h - \xi) - L(y - \eta, x + h - \xi)$$

$$- L(y + \eta, x - \xi) + L(x - \xi, y - \eta)\}/h$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi h} \int_0^\pi (\cos(t + 1)\lambda - \cos(t\lambda))$$

$$\cdot \{\exp(-|r - s| \mu) - \exp(-|r + s| \mu)\} \, d\lambda/\text{sh } \mu.$$

Hence,

(11)
$$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{(1.3)}{h\pi} \int_0^\pi s\mu \exp(s - r)\mu \, d\lambda \quad \text{for } r \geqq s,$$

$$\leqq \frac{(1.3)}{h\pi} \int_0^\pi r\mu \exp(r - s)\mu \, d\lambda \quad \text{for } r \leqq s.$$

Elementary considerations applied to this estimate yield

(12) $$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq (4.3)\eta/\pi(y - \eta)^2.$$

Applying (10) and (12) to the expression $\{(x - \xi)^2 + (y - \eta)^2\} |G_x(P; Q)|$ gives

$$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq (8.6)\eta/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

The estimates for $G_{\bar{y}}(P; Q)$ and $G_{\bar{x}}(P; Q)$ can be derived, as we did for $G_y(P; Q)$ and $G_x(P; Q)$, or, we may simply make the following observations: If $P' = (x, y - h)$, then $|G_{\bar{y}}(P; Q)| = |G(x, y; Q) - G(x, y - h; Q)|/h = |G_y(P'; Q)| \leqq (4.6)d(Q)/\rho_{P'Q}^2$.

But $d(Q)/\rho_{P'Q}^2 \leqq (2.5)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2$. Hence, $|G_{\dot{y}}(P; Q)| \leqq (2.5)(4.6)d(Q)\rho_{PQ}^2$. A similar analysis applies to $G_{\dot{x}}(P; Q)$.

The remaining results of (b) follow easily. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G_y(P; Q)| &= (1/h)\,|L_y(x, y; \xi, -\eta) - L_y(x, y; \xi, \eta)| \\
&= |L(x - \xi, y + h + \eta) - L(x - \xi, y + \eta) \\
&\quad - L(x - \xi, y + h - \eta) + L(x - \xi, y - \eta)|/h \\
&= |G_{\bar{\eta}}(P; Q)| = |L_{\bar{\eta}}(x, y; \xi, -\eta) - L_{\bar{\eta}}(x, y; \xi, \eta)|/h \\
&= |L(x - \xi, y + \eta) - L(x - \xi, y + \eta + h) \\
&\quad - L(x - \xi, y - \eta) + L(x - \xi, y - \eta + h)|/h.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note here that care must be exercised when Q is near the boundary. For example, if $Q = (\xi, 0)$, then, clearly, $|G_{\dot{y}}(P; Q)| = |G_\eta(P; Q)|$ is not a meaningful relation, in that $G_{\dot{y}}(P; Q)$ is not defined for Q on the boundary. In such a case, we note facts of the kind that $|G_\eta(P; \xi, 0)| = |G_{\dot{y}}(P; \xi, h)|$ from which a proper inequality can be drawn.

(c) For both cases in (4), we have that

$$
|G_y(P; Q)| \leqq \int_0^{(\pi/2)t} \cos(t\lambda)\, dt = 1/\pi h t
$$

as $\exp(-s\mu)\,\{\text{sh}(r + 1)\mu - \text{sh}(r\mu)\}/\text{sh}\ \mu \leqq \mu \exp(-s\mu)\ \text{ch}(r + 1)\mu/\text{sh}\ \mu \leqq 1$ and $\exp(-r\mu)\ \text{sh}(s\mu)\ (\exp(-\mu) - 1)/\text{sh}\ \mu \leqq 1$. Therefore,

(13) $\qquad |G_y(P; Q)| \leqq 1/\pi\, |x - \xi| \quad$ for $t \neq 0$, $\qquad |G_y(P; Q)| \leqq 1/h \quad$ for $t = 0$.

Now observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(4.1)| &\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{\exp(-s\mu)[\text{sh}(r + 1)\mu - \text{sh}(r\mu)]}{\text{sh}\ \mu}\, d\lambda \\
&\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \exp((r - s)\mu)[1 + \exp(-2r\mu)]\, d\lambda \\
&\leqq \frac{2}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \exp((r - s)\lambda/1.8)\, d\lambda \leqq 3.6/\pi\, |y - \eta|
\end{aligned}
$$

(14)

and

$$
|(4.2)| \leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{\exp(-r\mu)[\text{sh}(s\mu)(\exp(-\mu) - 1)]}{\text{sh}\ \mu}\, d\lambda \leqq 1.8/\pi\, |y - \eta|.
$$

Combining (13) and (14) and using the inequality $d_{PQ} \leqq |y - \eta| + |x - \eta|$ gives

$$
|G_y(P; Q)| \leqq (2.8)\sqrt{2}/\pi\rho_{PQ}.
$$

We may also write, using (9) and the fact that $|\sin x| \leqq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G_x(P; Q)| &\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \exp(-t\mu)\, d\lambda, &\quad x + h \geqq \xi, \\
&\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h} \int_0^\pi \exp(-t'\mu)\, d\lambda, &\quad x \leqq \xi.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

(15) $$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq 1.8/\pi \, |x - \xi|.$$

If $t = 0$, $|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq 1/h$. Also, using the symmetry of $L(\alpha, \beta)$,

$$|G_x(P; Q)| = \frac{1}{2\pi h} \int_0^\pi \frac{[\cos(t + 1)\lambda - \cos t\lambda][\exp(-|r - s| \, \mu) - \exp(-|r + s| \, \mu)]}{\text{sh } \mu} \, d\lambda$$

$$\leqq \frac{1.8}{2\pi h} \int_0^\pi \exp((s - r)\mu)(1 - \exp(-2s\mu)) \, d\lambda, \qquad r \geqq s,$$

(16) $$\leqq \frac{1.8}{2\pi h} \int_0^\pi \exp((r - s)\mu)(1 - \exp(-2r\mu)) \, d\lambda, \qquad r \leqq s,$$

$$\leqq 1.17\pi/|\eta - y|.$$

If $y = \eta$, $|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq .9/h$. From (15) and (16), we have

$$|G_x(P; Q)| \leqq (2.97) \sqrt{2/\pi \rho_{PQ}}.$$

(d) We have that

$$G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q) = \{G(x + h, y; \xi, \eta) + G(x - h, y; \xi, \eta) - 2G(x, y; \xi, \eta)\}/h^2$$

$$= \{L(x + h - \xi, y + \eta) - L(x + h - \xi, y - \eta) + L(x - h - \xi, y + h)$$

$$- L(x - h - \xi, y - \eta) - 2(L(x - \xi, y + \eta) - L(x - \xi, y - \eta))\}/h^2$$

(17) $$= \frac{1}{2\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi [\cos(r - s)\lambda - \cos(r + s)\lambda]$$

$$\cdot [\exp(-|t + 1| \, \mu) + \exp(-|t - 1| \, \mu) - 2 \exp(-|t| \, \mu)] \, d\lambda/\text{sh } \mu$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi [\exp(-|r - s| \, \mu) - \exp(-|r + s| \, \mu)]$$

$$\cdot [\cos(t + 1)\lambda + \cos(t - 1)\lambda - 2 \cos(t\lambda)] \, d\lambda/\text{sh } \mu.$$

For the first integral in (17), we have

(18)
$$|G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi |\exp(-t\mu)[\exp(-\mu) + \exp \mu - 2] \, d\lambda/\text{sh } \mu|, \quad x \geqq \xi,$$

$$\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi |\exp(t\mu)[\exp \mu + \exp(-\mu) - 2] \, d\lambda/\text{sh } \mu|, \qquad x \leqq \xi.$$

Now $\exp(-\mu) + \exp \mu - 2 = 2 [\text{ch } \mu - 1]$. Taking the derivative with respect to μ, using the equality $\text{ch } \mu = 2 - \cos \lambda$ and the estimate $\text{sh } \mu \leqq \mu \text{ ch } \mu$ gives the inequality $2[\text{ch } \mu - 1] \leqq 3\mu^2$ when $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$. Applying this result to (18) gives the estimate

(19) $$|G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{3}{\pi h^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \int_0^\pi \exp(-t\mu)\mu \, d\lambda, & x \geqq \xi \\[2ex] \int_0^\pi \exp(t\mu)\mu \, d\lambda, & x \leqq \xi \end{array} \right\} \leqq 3(1.8)^2/\pi(x - \xi)^2.$$

For the second integral in (17), we have

$$|G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \frac{\lambda^2[\exp(-|r-s|\,\mu) - \exp(-|r+s|\,\mu)]}{\text{sh}\,\mu}\, d\lambda$$

(20)
$$\leqq \frac{1.3}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \lambda[\exp(-|r-s|\,\mu) - \exp(-|r+s|\,\mu)]\, d\lambda$$

$$\leqq (1.3)(1.8)^2/\pi(y-\eta)^2.$$

Combining (19) and (20) gives the estimate $|G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)| \leqq 14\sqrt{2}/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2$. From the equation $\Delta_h G(P; Q) = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2$, we obtain the estimate

$$|G_{y\bar{y}}(P; Q)| < 21/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

Using (9), we may write

$$|G_{xy}(P; Q)| \equiv |G_x(x, y + h; Q) - G_x(P; Q)|/h$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \left| \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin(r+1)\lambda - \sin(r\lambda))\sin(s\lambda)(\exp(-t-1)\mu - \exp(-t\mu))}{\text{sh}\,\mu}\, d\lambda \right|, \; t \geqq 1,$$

(21)
$$= \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \left| \int_0^\pi \frac{(\sin(r+1)\lambda - \sin(r\lambda))\sin(s\lambda)(\exp(-t'-1)\mu - \exp(-t'\mu))}{\text{sh}\,\mu}\, d\lambda \right|, \; t \leqq 0,$$

$$\leqq (1.8)^2/\pi(x-\xi)^2.$$

From the results preceding (11), we may write

$$|G_{xy}(P; Q)| = \frac{1}{2\pi h^2} \left| \int_0^\pi (\cos(t+1)\lambda - \cos(t\lambda)) \right.$$

(22)
$$\cdot \{\exp(-|r+1-s|\,\mu) - \exp(-|r-s|\,\mu)$$

$$\left. + \exp(-|r+s+1|\,\mu) - \exp(-|r+s|\,\mu)\} \, d\lambda/\text{sh}\,\mu \right|$$

$$\leqq (1.62)/\pi(y-\eta)^2;$$

we note here that $|\exp(-|r-s+1|\,\mu) - \exp(-|r-s|\,\mu)| \leqq \exp(-|r-s|\,\mu)(\exp\mu - 1)$, $\cos((t+1)\lambda) - \cos(t\lambda) = \sin[(t+\epsilon)\lambda]\lambda$ with $0 \leqq \epsilon \leqq 1$, and $\exp(-|r+s+1|\,\mu) - \exp(-|r+s|\,\mu) < 0$. Hence,

$$|G_{xy}(P; Q)| \leqq (6.9)/\pi\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

(e) From (21), we have

(23)
$$|G_{xy}(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{s}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \lambda^2 \exp(-t\mu)\, d\lambda \leqq (11.7)\eta/\pi\, |x-\xi|^3.$$

Using (22), we obtain the estimate

$$|G_{xy}(P; Q)| \leqq \frac{s}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \lambda\mu \exp((-r+s)\mu)\, d\lambda, \quad r \geqq s,$$

(24)
$$\leqq \frac{r}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \lambda\mu \exp((-r+s)\mu)\, d\lambda, \quad r < s.$$

Hence we have that $|G_{xy}(P; Q)| \leqq (11.7)\eta/\pi\, |y-\eta|^3$. Combining this with (23) gives our estimate.

From the first integral in (17), we obtain the estimate

$$|G_{x\hat{x}}(P;\ Q)| \leqq \frac{3}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \frac{\eta\lambda\mu^2\ \exp(-t\mu)}{\text{sh}\ \mu}\ d\lambda, \qquad x \geqq \xi,$$

$$\leqq \frac{3}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \frac{\eta\lambda\mu^2\ \exp(-t'\mu)}{\text{sh}\ \mu}\ d\lambda, \qquad x \leqq \xi.$$

Therefore, $|G_{x\hat{x}}(P;\ Q)| = |G_{v\hat{v}}(P;\ Q)| \leqq 6(1.8)^3\eta/\pi\ |x - \xi|^3$ for $P \neq Q$.

The second integral in (17) allows us to get the estimate

$$|G_{x\hat{x}}(P;\ Q)| \leqq \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \frac{\eta\mu\lambda^2\ \exp((-r + s)\mu)}{\text{sh}\ \mu}\ d\lambda, \qquad r \geqq s,$$

$$\leqq \frac{1}{\pi h^2} \int_0^\pi \eta\mu\lambda^2\ \exp((-s + r)\mu)\ d\lambda, \qquad r < s,$$

Hence, $|G_{x\hat{x}}(P;\ Q)| \leqq 2(1.8)^3\eta/\pi\ |y - \eta|^3$. Our proof is now complete.

By the methods presented in the proof of the last theorem, we may prove the following result: *If m is any integer, then constants B_m and C_m exist, depending only on m, such that*

$$|D^m G(P;\ Q)| \leqq B_m/\rho_{PQ}^m \quad and \quad |\tilde{D}^m G(P;\ Q)| \leqq \eta C_m/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}$$

where $\tilde{D}_m G(P;\ Q)$ is any mth order difference quotient taken with respect to the components of P. If the difference quotient is with respect to Q, we have $|\tilde{D}^m G(P;\ Q)| \leqq yD_m/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}$.

Having examined the discrete Green's function for the upper half-plane, we may now observe that the *same* estimates hold for the lower half-plane. If we consider the discrete Green's function for the right half-plane or the left half-plane, then the same estimates of Theorem 1.1 hold except that we replace the quantity η by ξ in parts (b) and (c) of that theorem.

For the mesh region described, let us look at the line $y = -x$. This intersects grid points at a spacing of $\sqrt{2}h$. Let $G(P;\ Q)$ be the discrete Green's function for the region to the right of this line, i.e., mesh points $P = (x,\ y)$ such that $y > -x$. Let $Q = (\xi,\ \eta)$ be a mesh point in this half-plane. Let Q' be the reflection of this point about the line $y = -x$; i.e., $Q' = (-\eta,\ -\xi)$. Then we have that

$$G(P;\ Q) = L(x - \xi,\ y - \eta) - L(x + \eta,\ y + \xi)$$

$$= \int_0^\pi \exp(-|t|\ \mu)[\cos((y + \xi)\lambda/h) - \cos((r - s)\lambda)]$$

$$+ \cos((y + \xi)\lambda/h)[\exp(-|x + \eta|\ \mu/h) - \exp(-|t|\ \mu)]\ d\lambda/\text{sh}\ \mu.$$

As these integrals are similar to those already estimated, we may state the next theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. *If m is a nonnegative integer and if $G(P;\ Q)$ is the discrete Green's function for the mesh region to the right or to the left of the line $y = x$ or $y = -x$, then there exist absolute constants D_m and E_m such that*

$$|D^{(m)} G(P;\ Q)| \leqq D_m/\rho_{PQ}^m$$

and

$$|\tilde{D}^{(m)} G(P;\ Q)| \leqq E_m\ |(\xi + \eta)/2|/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}.$$

2. **Some Other Infinite Regions.** Let S be the strip bounded by the lines $y = 0$ and $y = a$; we assume with no loss of generality that a/h is an integer. Let $G^S(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's function associated with the operator Δ_h and the set S_h; S_h is the set of grid points in the interior of S. We have the following result.

THEOREM 2.1. *If $G^H(P; Q_j)$ is the discrete Green's function for the upper half-plane with singularity at $Q_j = (\xi, \eta_j)$, then*

$$(1) \qquad\qquad G^S(P; Q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j G^H(P; Q_j),$$

where $Q = Q_0 = (\xi, \eta)$, $Q_1 = (\xi, 2a - \eta)$, $Q_2 = (\xi, 2a + \eta)$, $Q_3 = (\xi, 4a - \eta)$, $Q_4 = (\xi, 4a + \eta), \cdots, Q_{2j} = (\xi, 2ja + \eta), Q_{2j+1} = (\xi, 2(j + 1)a - \eta), \cdots$.

In fact, there exist absolute constants H_m, J_m and M, each independent of h, such that

$$(2) \qquad\qquad |\tilde{D}^{(m)} G^S(P; Q)| \leqq H_m \, d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}, \qquad m = 1, 2, 3, \cdots,$$

$$(3) \qquad\qquad |D^{(m)} G^S(P; Q)| \leqq J_m/\rho_{PQ}^m, \qquad m = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

and

$$(4) \qquad\qquad 0 \leqq G^S(P; Q) \leqq M \min(d(P), d(Q))/\rho_{PQ},$$

where $d(X)$ is the distance of X to ∂S_h.

Proof. We first establish the convergence of (1). If $|x - \xi| h^{-1} = t$, $S_j = \eta_j/h$, $y/h = r$, then we may write (1) as $G^S(P; Q) = G^H(P; Q) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j G^H(P; Q_j)$. But

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j G^H(P; Q_j) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \int_0^{\pi} \left\{ \cos(t\lambda) \exp[(-S_j + r - 1)\mu] \sum_{K=0}^{r-1} e^{-2K\mu} \right\} d\lambda$$

where S_j satisfies these relations by virtue of the definition of the η_j.

Now we will show that, for any K, the series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \int_0^{\pi} \cos(\lambda t) \exp[(-S_j + r - 1 - 2K)\mu] \, d\lambda$$

is convergent; hence, any finite sum of such series is convergent. Let $\mathcal{K}(t, \lambda, \mu, S_j, K)$ be the integrand of the series above. Let $a_j = \int_0^{\epsilon} \mathcal{K} \, d\lambda$ and $b_j = \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi} \mathcal{K} \, d\lambda$ where $\epsilon \in (0, \pi/4t]$. Then we will show that

$$Z_1(K) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j a_j \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2(K) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j b_j$$

is convergent.

We have that $a_j - a_{j+1} \geqq 0$ as $S_j < S_{j+1}$. Also,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} a_j \leqq \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_0^{\epsilon} \exp[(-S_j + r - 1 - 2K)\lambda/3] \, d\lambda = 0.$$

Therefore, $Z_1(K)$ converges.

Now we have

$$Z_2(K) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \int_{\epsilon}^{\pi} f_j(\lambda) \, d\lambda = \int_{\pi/4t}^{\pi} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j f_j(\lambda) \right] d\lambda$$

with $f_j \equiv \cos(t\lambda) \exp[(-S_j - 1 + r - 2K)\mu]$. The family $\{f_j(\lambda)\}$ is a uniformly

convergent sequence of continuous functions for $\lambda \in [\epsilon, \pi]$. In fact,

$$|f_i(\lambda)| \leqq \exp[(-S_i - 1 + r - 2K)\mu] \leqq \exp[(-S_i - 1 + r - 2K)\mu(\epsilon)]$$

with $\mu(\epsilon) > 0$ the value of μ at $\lambda = \epsilon$. Hence, $Z_2(K)$ converges and we may write

$$Z_2(K) = \int_\epsilon^\pi \left\{ \cos(t\lambda) \exp[(r - 1 - 2K)\mu] \sum_{j=0}^\infty (-1)^j e^{-S_j \mu} \right\} d\lambda.$$

Therefore, (1) is well defined and we have

$$G^S(P; Q) = \sum_{K=0}^{r-1} Z_2(K) + c\epsilon$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 \leqq c \leqq 1$; this last term is due to the fact that $Z_1(K) \leqq \epsilon$.

Now we show that $G^S(P; Q) = 0$ for $P \in \partial S_h$. This is clear when $y = 0$. Now we look at the case that P is on $y = a$. Let S_N be the Nth partial sum of $G^S(P; Q)$. Rearranging the entries, we have

$$S_N = \{G'(P; Q_0) - G'(P; Q_0') + \cdots + (-1)^{N+1} G'(P; Q_{N-2}')$$
$$+ (-1)^{N+1} [L(P; Q_{N-1}') - L(P; Q_N')]\}$$

where $Q_i' = (\xi, -\eta_i)$ and $G'(P; Q_i')$ is the discrete Green's function for the *lower* half-plane with boundary $y = a$. Now, along $y = a$, we have that $|S_N| = |L(P; Q_{N-1}') - L(P; Q_N')|$; this approaches zero as $N \to \infty$. This may be seen by considering $L(P; Q_{N-1}') - L(P; Q_N')$ as the discrete Green's function for the half-plane with boundary midway between Q_{N-1}' and Q_N'.

From the uniform convergence of the series representing $G^S(P; Q)$, we have that

$$\Delta_h G^S(P; Q) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (-1)(-1)^i \delta(P; Q_i)/h^2 = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2.$$

Therefore, $G^S(P; Q)$, as we have constructed it, is the discrete Green's function for the strip.

We now verify the estimate in (2). We have that

$$|G^{S(m)}(P; Q)| \leqq \left| \sum_{j=0}^N (-1)^i G^{H(m)}(P; Q_i) \right| + \left| \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty (-1)^i G^{H(m)}(P; Q_i) \right|.$$

Let $W_1(N)$ (and $W_2(N)$) be the first (and second) summand in the expression above. Then we have that

$$|W_2(N)| \leqq C \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty 1/\rho_{PQ_{2i}}^{m+1} = O(1/N).$$

By simple rearrangements, we may write

$$W_2^{(m)}(N) = V_1^{(m)}(N) \quad \text{and} \quad W_2^{(m)}(N) = V_2^{(m)}(N)$$

where

$$V_1^{(m)}(N) = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{(N-1)/2} [G^{2j}(P; \xi, \eta_{2j}) - G^{2j}(P; \xi, -\eta_{2j})] \right|, \qquad N \text{ odd,}$$

$$= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{(N-2)/2} [G^{2j}(P; \xi, \eta_{2j}) - G^{2j}(P; \xi, -\eta_{2j})] + G^H(P; \xi, \eta_N) \right|, \qquad N \text{ even,}$$

and

$$V_2^{(m)}(N) = \left| G^H(P;\xi,\eta) + \sum_{j=0}^{(N-2)/2} [G^{2j+1}(P;\xi,\eta_{2j+1}) - G^{2j+1}(P;\xi,-\eta_{2j+1})] \right|,$$

<div align="right">N even,</div>

$$= \left| G^H(P;\xi,\eta) + \sum_{j=0}^{(N-3)/2} [G^{2j+1}(P;\xi,\eta_{2j+1}) - G^{2j+1}(P;\xi,-\eta_{2j+1})] \right.$$

$$\left. - G^H(P;\xi,\eta_N) \right|,$$

<div align="right">N odd,</div>

where

$$G^i(P;\xi,\eta_i) = L(P;\xi,\eta_{i+1}) - L(P;\xi,\eta_i)$$

and

$$G^i(P;\xi,-\eta_i) = L(P;\xi,-\eta_{i+1}) - L(P;\xi,-\eta_i).$$

We will now estimate the summands in $V_1^{(m)}(N)$ and $V_2^{(m)}(N)$. With the aid of Lemma 1.1, we have that

$$|G^{2j}(x,y;\xi,\eta_{2j}) - G^{2j}(x,y;\xi,-\eta_{2j})| = \left| h \sum_{z=-y}^{y-h} G_y^{2j}(x,z;Q_{2j}) \right|.$$

But

$$G^{2j}(x,y;\xi,n_{2j}) = G^{2j}(x,y - (\eta_{2j} + \eta_{2j+1})/2;\xi,\eta_{2j} - (\eta_{2j} + \eta_{2j+1})/2)$$

$$= G^H(x,y';\xi,(\eta_{2j+1} - \eta_{2j})/2)$$

where $y' = (\eta_{2j+1} + \eta_{2j})/2$. Hence,

$$|G^{2j(m)}(P;Q_{2j})| \leqq B_m(a-\eta)/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^{m+1}, \qquad |G^{2j(m)}(P;Q_{2j})| \leqq A_m/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^m.$$

By a similar analysis, we have

$$|G^{2j+1(m)}(P;Q_{2j+1})| \leqq B_m\eta/\rho_{PQ_{2j+1}}^{m+1}, \qquad |G^{2j+1(m)}(P;Q_{2j+1})| \leqq A_m/\rho_{PQ_{2j+1}}^m.$$

Therefore,

$$|V_1^{(m)}(N)| \leqq \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1)/2} 2y(a-\eta)B_{m+1}/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^{m+2} + 2|G^{0(m)}(P;Q_0)|, \qquad \text{N odd,}$$

$$\leqq \sum_{j=1}^{(N-2)/2} 2y(a-\eta)B_{m+1}/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^{m+2} + 2|G^{0(m)}(P;Q_0)| + |G^{H(m)}(P;Q)|,$$

<div align="right">N even.</div>

Observe that $G^0(P;Q) \neq G^H(P;Q)$ and $|G^0(x,y;\xi,\eta) - G^0(x,-y;\xi,\eta)| \leqq 2|G^0(P;Q)|$. Now use the estimate

$$\sum_{j=1}^{(N-1)/2} 1/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^{m+2} \leqq 1/\rho_{PQ}^{m+2} + \pi/2\sqrt{2}a\rho_{PQ}^{m+1},$$

considering separately the cases $\rho_{PQ} \geqq a/2$ and $\rho_{PQ} < a/2$, to get

$$|V_1^{(m)}(N)| \leqq \{2B_m + 4.2B_{m+1}\}(a - \eta)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1} + O(1/N).$$

By an analogous method of reasoning, we have

$$|V_2^{(m)}(N)| \leqq \{B_m + 9.5B_{m+1}\}\eta/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1} + O(1/N).$$

Combining our results, we have that

$$|G^{S(m)}(P; Q)| \leqq \{2B_m + 9.5B_{m+1}\} \min\{\eta, a - \eta\}/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}.$$

This establishes (2).

We will now prove (3). If $\rho_{PQ} \geqq a$, then $\rho_{PQ} \geqq d(Q)$. Hence, $1/\rho_{PQ}^m \geqq d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}$. If $\rho_{PQ} < a$, then

$$\left|\sum_{j=2}^{N} G^{H(m)}(P; Q_{2j}) - G^{H(m)}(P; Q_{2j+1})\right| \leqq |Q_{2j+1} - Q_{2j}| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_m/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^{m+1}$$

$$\leqq 2(a - \eta)A_m\pi/2\sqrt{2a}\rho_{PQ}^m \leqq \pi A_m/\sqrt{2}\rho_{PQ}^m.$$

Therefore, (3) is proved with $J_m = \max\{\pi A_m/\sqrt{2}, H_m\}$.

We now proceed with (4). Here we need only show that $0 \leqq G^S(P; Q) \leqq Cd(Q)/\rho_{PQ}$ since $G^S(P; Q) = G^S(Q; P)$ by Lemma 1.1. Both of these estimates follow easily from the following result: *If $\Delta_h H \leqq 0$ in S_h, if $\lim_{P \to \infty} H(P) = 0$, and if $H(P) \geqq 0$ on ∂S_h, then $H(P) \geqq 0$ in $S_h + \partial S_h$.* Now let $H = G^H(P; Q) - G^S(P; Q)$, with $G^H(P; Q)$ the discrete Green's function for the upper half-plane $y = 0$ or the lower half-plane $y = a$, to conclude the proof of the theorem.

3. Rectangular Regions. Let R be the rectangular region determined by the vertices $(-c, 0)$, $(b, 0)$, (b, a) and $(-c, a)$; here a, b and c positive numbers. We assume, with no loss in generality, that a/h, b/h and c/h are integers. Let $G(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's functions associated with R_h and Δ_h. We then have the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *If i is a nonnegative integer, then we define ξ_i to be the ith element of the sequence 0, $2b$, $2b + 2c$, $4b + 2c$, $4b + 4c$, $6b + 4c$, $6b + 6c$, $\cdots$, and if i is a negative integer, then we define ξ_i to be the ith element of the sequence $-2c$, $-(2b + 2c)$, $-(2b + 4c)$, $-(4b + 4c)$, $\cdots$. Let $G^S(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's function for the strip S_h determined by the lines $y = 0$ and $y = a$. If we take $Q = (0, \eta)$ and $Q_i = (\xi_i, \eta)$, then we have*

(1) $$G^R(P; Q) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i G^S(P; Q_i).$$

The case of the general $Q = (\xi, \eta)$ is handled by a simple translation. Moreover, there exist absolute constants K_m, L_m and C which depend upon the diameter of R, such that

(2) $$|D^{(m)}G^R(P; Q)| \leqq K_m/\rho_{PQ}^m,$$

$$|\tilde{D}^{(m)}G^R(P; Q)| \leqq L_m d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1},$$

and

$$G^R(P; Q) \leqq C \min(d(P), d(Q))/\rho_{PQ},$$

where $d(X)$ is the distance of X to ∂R_h.

Proof. We first show that the sequence in (1) is convergent. Let $i \geqq 0$. Then we

easily have that $\lim_{i \to \infty} G^S(P; Q_i) = 0$. We also claim that $G^S(P; Q_i) \geqq G^S(P; Q_{i+1})$ for every $P \in R_h$. To see this, let $T = \{(x, y) : (x, y) \in S, x \leqq (\xi_i + \xi_{i+1})/2\}$. Along the line $x = (\xi_i + \xi_{i+1})/2$, we have that $G^S(P; Q_i) = G^S(P; Q_{i+1})$. This is also true along the ∂S_h. Therefore, $G^S(P; Q_i) - G^S(P; Q_{i+1}) \geqq 0$ in R_h by the extended monotonicity theorem which was stated at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.1. The series in (1) is therefore convergent as it is a monotonically decreasing alternating series with its terms tending to zero. A similar analysis applies to the case $i \leqq -1$.

Now we show that $G(P; Q) = 0$ for $P \in \partial R_h$. This is clear on $y = 0$ or $y = a$. Let $P \in \partial R_h$ with $x = b$. Then

$$G(P; Q) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i G^S(P; Q_i) + \mathfrak{R}_{n+1} + \sum_{i=-1}^{-n'} (-1)^i G^S(P; Q_i) + \mathfrak{R}_{-n'-1}.$$

Now $|\mathfrak{R}_{n+1}| \leqq G^S(P; Q_{n+2})$ and $|\mathfrak{R}_{-n'-1}| \leqq G^S(P; Q_{-(n'+1)})$. Therefore,

$$G(P; Q) = G^S(P; Q_0) - G^S(P; Q_1) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1}[G^S(P; Q_{n+1}) - G(P; Q_{-n})]$$
$$+ (\mathfrak{R}_{n+1} + \mathfrak{R}_{-n-1}).$$

Now the midpoint of ξ_{-n} and ξ_{n+1} is $x = b$ and $G^S(P; Q_{n+1}) = G^S(P; Q_{-n})$ along this line. Therefore, for $P = (b, y) \in \partial R_h$, we have $G(P; Q) = 0$. A similar analysis applies to the line $x = -c$.

By methods of Section 2, we easily have that $\Delta_h G(P; Q) = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2$.

We can also write $G^R(P; Q)$ as the sum of the discrete Green's functions $G'(P; Q_i')$ for the strip S_h' bounded by the lines $x = -c$ and $x = b$. That is,

$$G^R(P; Q) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^i G'(P; Q_i'),$$

where $G'(P; Q_i')$ is the discrete Green's function for S_h' and Q_i is an element of the sequence $\eta, 2a - \eta, 2a + \eta, 4a - \eta, 4a + \eta, \cdots$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and an element of the sequence $-\eta, -(2a - \eta), -(2a + \eta), -(4a - \eta), -(4a + \eta), \cdots$ for $i = -1, -2, -3, \cdots$.

Now $|G'^{(m)}(P; Q_i')| \leqq J_m d'(Q)/\rho_{PQ_i}^{m+1}$, and $|G^{S(m)}(P; Q_i)| \leqq J_m d(Q)/\rho_{PQ_i}^{m+1}$ where $d'(Q) = \min(b, c)$, $d(Q) = \min(\eta, a - \eta)$, and $\min(d'(Q), d(Q))$ is the distance from Q to ∂R_h.

We also have the estimates, from the two different representations,

$$|G^{R(m)}(P; Q)| \leqq |G^{S(m)}(P; Q)| + \left| \sum_{i=-\infty; i \neq 0}^{\infty} (-1)^i G^{S(m)}(P; Q_i) \right|$$

$$\leqq |G'^{(m)}(P; Q)| + \left| \sum_{i=-\infty; i \neq 0}^{\infty} (-1)^i G'^{(m)}(P; Q_i) \right|.$$

Now proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

To prove (2.3), we may suppose that $d(P) \leqq d(Q)$. Then place a half-plane H along the side of ∂R_h whose distance to P is minimal. The maximum principle shows that $G^H(P; Q)$ dominates $G^R(P; Q)$. Our proof is complete.

The results of this theorem are a significant improvement over the estimates in [5] where the order of the singularity in the mth order difference quotient was $\rho_{PQ}^{-m-\epsilon}$ with $\epsilon > 0$.

The rectangle formed by rotating the above rectangle through any integer multiple

of $\pi/4$ has a discrete Green's function which satisfies the same estimates as in Theorem 3.1.

In our next result, we obtain slightly stronger estimates on the discrete Green's function for a rectangle.

THEOREM 3.2. *Estimates of the following type for the discrete Green's function over a rectangle, or triangle may be derived:*

(a) $|G_x^R(P; Q)| \leqq Cd_y(P)d_x(Q)d_y(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4$,

(b) $G^R(P; Q) \leqq Cd_x(P)d_y(P)d_x(Q)d_y(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4$,

(c) $|G_y^R(P; Q)| \leqq Cd_x(P)d_x(Q)d_y(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4$,

(d) $|G_{x\dot{x}}^R(P; Q)| \leqq Cd_y(P)d_x(P)d_x(Q)d_y(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^6$,

(e) $|G_{y\dot{y}}^R(P; Q)| \leqq Cd_x(P)d_x(Q)d_y(Q)d_x(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^6$,

(f) $|G_{xy}^R(P; Q)| \leqq Cd_x(Q)d_y(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4$,

where $d_x(P)$ or $d_y(P)$ is the distance, in the x or y direction, of P to the boundary. Estimates of a similar type are valid for difference quotients in Q.

Proof. The argument proceeds briefly as follows:

(a) From Section 1, we have $|G_{x\xi\eta}^H(P; Q)| \leqq d_y(P)/\rho_{PQ}^4$. Hence

$$|G_{x\xi}^S(P; Q)| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} G_{x\xi}^H(P; Q_i)(-1)^j \right| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[h \sum_{Z_j = Q_{2j}}^{Q_{2j+1}} G_{x\xi\eta}^H(P; Z_j) \right] \right|$$

$$\leqq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_y(Q)d_y(P)/\rho_{PQ_{2j}}^4 \leqq Cd_y(Q)d_y(P)/\rho_{PQ}^4.$$

Now we obtain our result from the estimate

$$|G_x^R(P; Q)| = \left| \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_x^S(P; Q_i)(-1)^i \right| = \left| \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[h \sum_{W_i = Q_{2i}}^{Q_{2i+1}} G_{x\xi}^S(P; W_i) \right] \right|$$

$$\leqq C \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} d_x(Q)d_y(Q)d_y(P)/\rho_{PQ_{2i}}^4 \leqq Cd_x(Q)d_y(Q)d_y(P)/\rho_{PQ}^4.$$

(b) Let P' be the point on ∂R_h nearest P in the x direction. Then

$$G^R(P; Q) = G^R(P; Q) - G^R(P'; Q) \leqq h \sum_{Z=P}^{P'} |G_x^R(Z; Q)|$$

$$\leqq C \cdot d_x(P)d_y(P)d_x(Q)d_y(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4.$$

The remaining parts of the theorem are proved similarly.

The above results may be used to improve Theorem 1.1 as seen in the next theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *Estimates of the following type, for the discrete Green's function over a half-plane, are valid:*

(a) $G^H(P; Q) \leqq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^2$,

(b) $|G_x^H(P; Q)| \leqq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^3$, $|G_\xi^H(P; Q)| \leqq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^3$, etc.,

(c) $|G_{x\dot{x}}^H(P; Q)| \leqq Cd(P)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4$, $|G_{x\xi}^H(P; Q)| \leqq Cd(P)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^4$, etc.

Here C is an absolute constant which is independent of h.

Proof. As an example of the method of proof we will establish (c). Let R be a square in the half-plane H_h one side of which is coincident with the boundary of H_h. Construct R_h such that the distance from P or Q to the three sides of R_h, none of which is on ∂H_h, is greater than max $(d(P), d(Q), \rho_{PQ})$; here $d(X)$ is the distance from X to the ∂H_h. Then

$$G_{x\hat{x}}^{R}(P; Q) - G_{x\hat{x}}^{H}(P; Q) = h \sum_{Z \in \partial R_{h}'} G^{H}(Z; Q)G_{nx\hat{x}}^{R}(P; Z)$$

where $\partial R_{h}' = \partial R_{h} - (\partial R_{h} \cap \partial H_{h})$ and the subscript n denotes a normal difference quotient with respect to the moving variable. Hence,

$$|G_{x\hat{x}}^{R}(P; Q) - G_{x\hat{x}}^{H}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{4},$$

and

$$|G_{x\hat{x}}^{H}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{4}.$$

Many additional properties of the discrete Green's function may be simplified by our next result.

THEOREM 3.4.   *Over a rectangle R_{h}, the discrete Green's function $G^{R}(P; Q)$ satisfies*:

$$G_{x\hat{x}}^{R}(P; Q) = G_{\xi\hat{\xi}}^{R}(P; Q) \quad and \quad G_{y\hat{y}}^{R}(P; Q) = G_{\eta\hat{\eta}}^{R}(P; Q).$$

Proof. Let $U(Z) = G_{x\hat{x}}^{R}(Z; Q)$ and $V(Z) = G^{R}(P; Z)$. Since we may make, by reflection, $U(Z) = 0$ on ∂R_{h}, our result follows by an application of the discrete Green's identity; see [5].

The above results are apparently not valid over other simple regions such as bounded L-shaped regions or knife-shaped regions.

We will now state a final improvement of earlier results; the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 3.5.   *Estimates of the following type are valid for the discrete Green's function over an infinite strip.*

(a) $0 \leq G^{S}(P; Q) \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{2}$,

(b) $|G_{x}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{3}$, $|G_{y}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{3}$, $|G_{\xi}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{3}$, $|G_{\eta}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(Q)d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^{3}$,

(c) $|G_{x\hat{x}}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(P)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{4}$, $|G_{xy}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(P)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{4}$, $|G_{x\hat{\xi}}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(P)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{4}$, $|G_{y\eta}^{S}(P; Q)| \leq Cd(P)d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^{4}$, *etc.*,

where $d(P)$ is the distance of P to the boundary of S_{h} and C is a generic constant independent of h.

4. General Domains.

Let Ω be a plane region. Place a square grid on the plane with grid width h. We say that a grid point $P \in \Omega_{h}$ if P and the four grid neighbors of P are in Ω. Let $\partial\Omega_{h}$ be those grid points which are in $\bar{\Omega}$ but not in Ω_{h}.

Let h_{n} be some sequence tending monotonically to zero as n tends to ∞. Then we call Ω a *discrete h-convex set* if for each n and for each $P \in \partial\Omega_{h_{n}}$ at least one of the lines through P, which is parallel to a coordinate axis or makes an angle of $\pi/4$ with a coordinate axis, has the entire set $\Omega_{h_{n}}$ to one side of this line. Examples of discrete h-convex sets are triangles, rectangles, circles, ellipses and knife-shaped regions (e.g. the region formed by the coordinates $(0, 0)$, $(c, 0)$, $(c, 2c)$ and $(0, c)$).

The concept of discrete h-convex is essential for our estimates in this section. We shall assume that our regions satisfy this condition and, when we write Ω_{h}, we mean an element of the sequence $\{\Omega_{h_{n}}\}$ where the sequence $\{h_{n}\}$ is that sequence used in the definition.

We remark that the estimates we have obtained to date hold for half-planes, quarter-planes, eighth-planes, strips, triangles and rectangles.

We will now state and outline the proof of our first result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let Ω be a discrete h-convex set and let $G(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's function associated with Ω_h and Δ_h. Then there exist absolute constants M_0, M_1, N_0, N_1, all independent of h, such that*

$$
(1) \qquad |G(P; Q)| \leqq M_0 \begin{Bmatrix} d(P) \\ \text{or} \\ d(Q) \end{Bmatrix} \Big/ \rho_{PQ}; \qquad |G(P; Q)| \leqq N_0 d(P) d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2 \quad and
$$

$$
|G^{(1)}(P; Q)| \leqq M_1/\rho_{PQ}; \qquad\qquad |G^{(1)}(P; Q)| \leqq N_1 d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^2.
$$

Proof. We take the discrete Green's function for a half-plane determined by any point $P \in \partial\Omega_h$. The Monotonicity Theorem and the results of Section 3 give the estimate in (3.1).

For the estimate in (3.2), we proceed as follows:

Case 1. $\min \{d(P), d(Q)\} \geqq \rho_{PQ}$.

Construct, about Q, a square S_h of (approximate) sidelength ρ_{PQ}. If this is not possible, as in the case $\rho_{PQ} = h$, construct the square of sidelength $2h$ or $3h$; our argument will proceed in a similar way. Let $\bar{G}(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's function for S_h. We will use the following form of the discrete Green's formula:

$$
h^2 \sum_{R \in S_h} \{ U(R)\Delta_h R(R) - V(R)\Delta_h U(R)\} = h \sum_{R \in \partial S_h} \{ U(R) V_n(R) - V_n(R) U(R)\}.
$$

Substitute $\bar{G}(R; Q) = U(R)$ and $G(P; R) = V(R)$ to get the representation

$$
(2) \qquad G(P; Q) = -h \sum_{R \in \partial S_h} \bar{G}_n(R; Q) G(P; R).
$$

Hence,

$$
|G^{(1)}(P; Q)| = \left| h \sum_{R \in \partial S_h} \bar{G}_n^{(1)}(R; Q) G^Q(P; R) \right|
$$

$$
\leqq \max_R \{ C \cdot h(\rho_{PQ}/h)(d(R)/h\rho_{PQ}^2)(d(P)/\rho_{PQ}) \} \leqq N_1 d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^2.
$$

Also, we have that

$$
|G(P; Q)| \leqq \max_R \{ Ch(\rho_{PQ}/h)(d(R)d(Q)/h\rho_{PQ}^2)(d(P)/\rho_{PQ}) \}
$$

$$
\leqq M_1 d(P) d(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^2.
$$

Case 2. $\min \{d(P), d(Q)\} < \rho_{PQ}$.

If $d(Q) \geqq \rho_{PQ}$, then we will proceed as in the case above.

If $d(Q) < \rho_{PQ}$, construct a square S_h' of sidelength $d(Q)$ about Q. Now let S_h be the region formed by the intersection of Ω_h and S_h'. If we have $d(Q) \leqq \rho_{PQ}/2$, the boundary of S_h' and $\partial\Omega_h$ are coincident. From (2), we obtain the estimate

$$
|G^{(1)}(P; Q)| = \left| -h \sum_{R \in \partial S_h} \bar{G}^{(1)}(R; Q) G(R; P) \right|
$$

$$
< \max_R \{ hC(d(Q)/h)(d(R')/h\rho_{RQ}^2)(d(R)d(P)/\rho_{RP}^2) \} \leqq N_1 d(P)/\rho_{PQ}^2,
$$

where we observe that $d(R) \leqq 2d(Q)$ and $|\bar{G}_n^{(1)}(R; Q)| = |\bar{G}^{(1)}(R'; Q)/h|$, with R' a grid point h units from the boundary of S_h. Our proof is easily completed.

At this point, we remark that the estimates in (1.2) were obtained as a result of the estimates in (1.1). The estimates in (1.1) were completely dependent on the assumption that Ω is a discrete h-convex set.

In our next result, we will see that an obtuse corner on the $\partial\Omega_h$ produces a complication in establishing estimates on difference quotients for general regions. These complications are present in the continuous theory but not quite as bad as our estimates predict in the discrete case; see [4]. This situation seems to indicate that our estimates may only be slightly improved; at least with reference to the five-point approximation of Δ.

THEOREM 4.3.   *Let Ω_h be a discrete h-convex set and let $G(P; Q)$ be the discrete Green's function for Δ_h over Ω_h. Then there exists an absolute constant N such that*

$$|G^{(2)}(P; Q)| \leqq Nd(Q)/d'(P)\rho_{PQ}^2,$$

where $d'(P)$ is the minimum of ρ_{PQ} and the distance of P to the nearest obtuse angle of Ω_h.

Proof.   The argument proceeds as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Construct a square R_h, with center P, of (approximate) sidelength ρ_{PQ}. Extend $G(P; Q)$ to all of R_h by reflection. This is always possible if R_h does not contain the vertex of an abtuse angle. By the use of Green's Theorem, we have

$$G(P; Q) = h \sum_Z G_n^R(P; Z)G(Z; Q).$$

Thus,

$$|G^{(2)}(P; Q)| \leqq h \sum_{Z \in \partial R_h} |G_n^{R(2)}(P; Z)| \, G(Z; Q)$$

$$\leqq N \cdot h \sum_{Z \in \partial R_h} (1/\rho_{PZ}^3)(d(Q)/\rho_{ZQ}) \leqq Nd(Q)/\rho_{PQ}^3.$$

If R_h contains a vertex of an obtuse angle, a discrete harmonic extension of $G(P; Q)$ to all of R_h is impossible. Hence, we construct a square of sidelength equal to $d'(P)$ the distance of P to the vertex of the obtuse angle. We then have

$$|G^{(2)}(P; Q)| \leqq Nh \sum_{Z \in \partial R_h} (1/\rho_{PZ}^3)(d(Q)d(Z)/\rho_{ZQ}^2) \leqq Nd(Q)/d'(P)\rho_{PQ}^2.$$

This follows from the fact that the perimeter of the square is $4d'(P)$ and $d(Z) < 3d'(P)$.

Combining these two results, the theorem follows.

5. Discrete Green's Functions and Variable Coefficients.   Let R be a rectangular region with one side parallel to a coordinate axis. Let $G(P; Q)$ be the solution to the problem

(1) $$a(P)G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q) + c(P)G_{y\bar{y}}(P; Q) = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2, \qquad P \in R_h,$$

$$G(P; Q) = 0, \qquad P \in \partial R_h,$$

where difference quotients in (1) are with respect to the components of P and where we assume that, for all $P \in \bar{R}$, there exist positive constants λ and L such that

(2) $$\lambda \leqq \{a(P), c(P)\} \leqq L.$$

In this section, we shall indicate how we may extend the analysis of the preceding sections to obtain estimates on the solution to (1). We shall only consider rectangular

domains; modifications necessary to extend the results of Section 4 will be clear from this case.

Our estimates, in this section, on the orders of growth of difference quotients of the solution to (1) will be an improvement and an extension of the results in [5, p. 31]. Our proof will rest heavily on the method of proof in [5, Theorem 3]. We will also use a result of Bramble and Thomée [1, Theorem, p. 585] on the rate of growth of $G(P; Q)$; in particular, their result says that $\{G(P; Q)\}^p$ is summable for any power $p \geqq 0$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $G(P; Q)$ be the solution to (1). If $a(P)$ and $c(P)$ are α-Hölder continuous over $\bar{R}$ with common Hölder constant L_α and if the condition in (2) is satisfied, then there exist constants S_m and T_m, which depend upon L, λ, L_α, diam R and α but are independent of h, such that*

$$(3) \qquad |D^{(m)}(P; Q)| \leqq S_m/\rho_{PQ}^m; \qquad |\tilde{D}^{(m)}(P; Q)| \leqq T_m \min\{d(P), d(Q)\}/\rho_{PQ}^{m+1}.$$

Proof. We reflect $G(P; Q)$ into a region $\tilde{\Omega}_h' \supset \bar{\Omega}$ with $\tilde{\Omega}_h'$ described in [5]. About $Q \in \Omega_h$ and each of its reflected images, we construct squares $M_h(Q)$ of sidelength $N_Q h$ where N_Q is independent of h and Q. Let $P_0 \in \Omega_h$ but not in any of these squares $M_h(Q)$. About P_0 construct a square $K_h(P_0) \subset \tilde{\Omega}_h' - \{Q\}$ where $\{Q\}$ is the set Q and its reflected images. Let C_1 and C_2 be positive numbers in $(0, 1)$ such that

$$(4) \qquad \rho_{P_0 Q} \geqq C_2 \rho_{P_0 Q} \geqq \text{diam}(K_h(P_0)) \geqq C_1 \rho_{P_0 Q}$$

and, for every $R \in K_h(P_0)$,

$$(5) \qquad \rho_{RQ} \geqq (1 - C_2)\rho_{P_0 Q};$$

note that N_Q will depend on C_1 and C_2. Let $G'(P; Q)$ be the solution to the problem

$$(6) \qquad a(P_0)G'_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q) + c(P_0)G'(P; Q) = -\delta(P; Q)/h^2, \qquad P \in K_h(P_0),$$

$$G'(P; Q) = 0, \qquad P \in \partial K_h(P_0).$$

Then we have the representation

$$(7) \qquad G(P; Q) = h^2 \sum_{W \in K_h(P_0)} G'(P; W)F(W) + H(P),$$

where $F(W) = [a(P_0) - a(W)]G_{x\bar{x}}(W; Q) + [c(P_0) - c(W)]G_{y\bar{y}}(W; Q)$ and $H(P)$ solves the problem $a(P_0)H_{x\bar{x}}(P) + c(P_0)H_{y\bar{y}}(P) = 0$ for $P \in K_h(P_0)$ and $H(P) = G(P; Q)$ for $P \in \partial K_h(P_0)$. Now we may estimate difference quotients of the solution to (6), as we did in Theorem 3.1, but now we must account for the coefficients; note that if $L(x - \xi, y - \eta)$ is as defined in (1) of Section 1 but with a ch $\mu + c \cos \lambda = a + c$, then the discrete Green's function for the operator in (6) over π^+ is given by $\{L(x - \xi, y + \eta) - L(x - \xi, y - \eta)\}/a$.

Let

$$M_2(G: P; Q)$$
$$\equiv \max\{\rho_{PQ}^2 |G_{x\bar{x}}(P; Q)|, \ \rho_{PQ}^2 |G_{y\bar{y}}(P; Q)|, \ \rho_{PQ}^2 |G_{xy}(P; Q)| : P, Q \in R_h\}.$$

Suppose the diam R is so small that

$$(8) \qquad 12(\text{diam } R)^\alpha H_\alpha(1 + N_Q^2)K_2 \leqq \alpha$$

where K_2 is derived from (6) as in Theorem 3.1. Then we may estimate $M_2(G: P; Q)$ and prove our theorem.

Now let us remove the constraint in (8). Let R be a rectangular domain. Then $M_2(G: P; Q)$ occurs at some point in $\bar{R}_h$; call the point P_0. About P_0 draw a square of diameter equal to $\min(d_0, \rho_{P_0 Q}/2)$ where d_0 is a number which when substituted for diam R in (8) produces an equality. Our theorem now follows.

Center for the Application of Mathematics
Lehigh University
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015

1. J. H. BRAMBLE & V. THOMÉE, "Pointwise bounds for discrete Green's functions," *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 6, 1969, pp. 583–590. MR **41** #7870.

2. D. F. DeSANTO & H. B. KELLER, "Numerical studies of transition from laminar to turbulent flow over a flat plate," *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, v. 10, 1962, pp. 569–595. MR **28** #800.

3. C. R. DEETER & G. SPRINGER, "Discrete harmonic kernels," *J. Math. Mech.*, v. 14, 1965, pp. 413–438. MR **34** #970.

4. R. SHERMAN LEHMAN, "Developments at an analytic corner of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations," *J. Math. Mech.*, v. 8, 1959, pp. 727–760. MR **21** #4291.

5. G. T. McALLISTER, "A priori bounds on difference quotients of solutions to some linear uniformly elliptic difference equations," *Numer. Math.*, v. 11, 1968, pp. 13–37. MR **37** #2465.

6. G. T. McALLISTER, "An application of a priori bounds on difference quotients to a constructive solution of mildly quasilinear Dirichlet problems," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, v. 24, 1968, pp. 582–607. MR **38** #2963.

7. W. H. McCREA & F. J. W. WHIPPLE, "Random paths in two and three dimensions," *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, v. 60, 1940, pp. 281–298. MR **2**, 107.

8. MOSHE MANGAD, "Bounds for the two-dimensional discrete harmonic Green's function," *Math. Comp.*, v. 20, 1966, pp. 60–67. MR **33** #6856.

9. J. NITSCHE & J. C. C. NITSCHE, "Error estimates for the numerical solution of elliptic differential equations," *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, v. 5, 1960, pp. 293–306. MR **22** #8664.

10. R. B. SIMPSON, "A fundamental solution for a biharmonic finite-difference operator," *Math. Comp.*, v. 21, 1967, pp. 321–339. MR **37** #2466.

11. F. STUMMEL, "Elliptische Differenzenoperatoren unter Dirichlet Randbedingungen," *Math. Z.*, v. 97, 1967, pp. 169–211. MR **36** #7346.

12. KJELL-OVE WIDMAN, "Inequalities for the Green function and boundary continuity of the gradient of solutions of elliptic differential equations," *Math. Scand.*, v. 21, 1967, pp. 17–37. MR **39** #621.