

Chebyshev Approximations for the Psi Function*

By **W. J. Cody, Anthony J. Strecok and Henry C. Thacher, Jr.**

Abstract. Rational Chebyshev approximations to the psi (digamma) function are presented for $.5 \leq x \leq 3.0$, and $3.0 \leq x$. Maximum relative errors range down to the order of 10^{-20} .

1. Introduction. The principal mathematical properties of the psi (digamma) function

$$(1) \quad \psi(z) = d[\ln \Gamma(z)]/dz = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$$

are summarized by Davis [2] and Luke [3]. For real arguments, the function is traditionally computed using either the classical power series expansion

$$(2) \quad \psi(1+z) = -\gamma + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \zeta(n) z^{n-1}, \quad |z| < 1,$$

or the asymptotic expansion

$$(3) \quad \psi(z) \sim \ln(z) - \frac{1}{2z} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2n}}{2nz^{2n}},$$

with the recurrence relation

$$(4) \quad \psi(z+1) = \psi(z) + 1/z.$$

The reflection formula

$$(5) \quad \psi(1-z) = \psi(z) + \pi \cot(\pi z)$$

allows computation for negative arguments. (For complex arguments, see Luke [4].)

Recently, Luke [3] presented an expansion of $\psi(x+3)$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, in Chebyshev polynomials, 17 coefficients being required to compute the function with an absolute error on the order of 10^{-20} . For computations outside of the primary range, it is still necessary to use one or more of the relations (3), (4) and (5) in addition to Luke's expansion. In this note, we present rational Chebyshev approximations which allow direct computation of $\psi(x)$ for any $x \geq .5$ with various choices of maximum *relative* error, including some of the order of 10^{-20} . For $x < .5$, either (4) or (5) is still required in conjunction with our approximations.

Received June 12, 1972.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1969). Primary 3315, 6520.

Key words and phrases. Psi function, digamma function, rational Chebyshev approximation.

* Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

TABLE I

$$\epsilon_{j,k} = -100 \log_{10} \max \left| \frac{\psi(x) - \psi_{j,k}(x)}{\psi(x)} \right|$$

$$.5 < x < 3.$$

```

*****
k \ j      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8
*****
0        67      107      146      184      222      260      298      335
1       214*     289      359      426      491
2       332     435*     528      617      702
3       425     560      674*     781      884
4       508     668      807     930*    1047
5       588     768      926     1068    1199*
6                                         1480*
7                                         1771*
8                                         2071*
*****
    
```

$$3. < x$$

```

*****
0        513     706     868     1011    1140    1257    1366    1467
1       717*     898     1054    1194    1322
2       878     1060*    1212    1349    1475
3      1018     1201    1353*    1488    1613
4      1145     1328    1481    1617*    1740
5      1261     1444    1598    1735    1860*
6                                         2088*
*****
    
```

*Coefficients for these approximations only are given in Tables II and III.

2. Generation of the Approximations. The approximation forms used are

$$\psi_{jk}(x) = (x - x_0)R_{jk}(x), \quad .5 \leq x \leq 3.0,$$

and

$$\psi_{ik}(x) = \ln(x) - 1/2x + R_{ik}(1/x^2), \quad 3.0 \leq x,$$

where x_0 is the positive zero of $\psi(x)$,

$$x_0 = 1.46163 21449 68362 34126 26595 42325 72132 5 \dots ,$$

and the R_{jk} are rational functions of degree j in the numerator and k in the denominator. Our value of x_0 , determined in 40S arithmetic by applying the secant method to a Taylor series expansion of $\psi(x)$ about $x = 1.5$, agrees with the 33D value given by Wrench [5].

The approximations were generated with standard versions of the Remes algorithm [1] in 25S arithmetic on a CDC 3600 computer, using values computed from variations of the methods described in Section 1. A Taylor series expansion about x_0 was used to compute $\psi(x)/(x - x_0)$ for arguments close to x_0 . For other small arguments, the computation was based upon Eq. (2), using the form

$$\psi(1 + z) = -\gamma - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\zeta(n) - 1)(-z)^{n-1} + z/(1 + z), \quad |z| \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

TABLE II

$$\psi(x) = (x-x_0) \sum_{j=0}^n p_j x^j / \sum_{j=0}^n q_j x^j, \quad .5 \leq x \leq 3.0$$

n	j	p _j			q _j								
1	0	1.2456		(00)	1.6946		(-01)						
1	1	2.2307		(-01)	1.0000		(00)						
2	0	1.70157	6	(00)	2.68787	0	(-02)						
2	1	2.36517	9	(00)	2.29160	7	(00)						
2	2	8.24324	7	(-02)	1.00000	0	(00)						
3	0	4.91896	925	(00)	3.93688	191	(-03)						
3	1	1.09639	225	(01)	7.15251	612	(00)						
3	2	3.18318	480	(00)	7.12335	364	(00)						
3	3	3.94931	823	(-02)	1.00000	000	(00)						
4	0	2.33423	60610	5	(01)	5.23146	54092	7	(-04)				
4	1	6.21604	79005	7	(01)	3.41119	27163	6	(01)				
4	2	3.36117	99693	8	(01)	4.78528	74758	8	(01)				
4	3	3.81936	31179	6	(00)	1.53695	89516	1	(01)				
4	4	2.20215	83467	8	(-02)	1.00000	00000	0	(00)				
5	0	1.54115	78977	960	(02)	6.30179	76261	473	(-05)				
5	1	4.54652	99037	301	(02)	2.25259	74317	882	(02)				
5	2	3.32425	06881	581	(02)	3.80401	14183	590	(02)				
5	3	7.54568	96431	969	(01)	1.82176	02814	266	(02)				
5	4	4.33875	92564	704	(00)	2.77171	52851	731	(01)				
5	5	1.35594	74028	651	(-02)	1.00000	00000	000	(00)				
6	0	1.30580	26982	78969	4	(03)	6.91091	68271	45328	9	(-06)		
6	1	4.13810	16126	90130	0	(03)	1.90831	07659	63000	2	(03)		
6	2	3.63351	84680	64987	2	(03)	3.64127	34907	93806	0	(03)		
6	3	1.18645	20071	34252	3	(03)	2.21000	79924	78297	5	(03)		
6	4	1.42441	58508	40285	0	(02)	5.20752	77146	71618	4	(02)		
6	5	4.77762	82804	26274	0	(00)	4.48452	57342	98264	0	(01)		
6	6	8.95385	02298	19699	9	(-03)	1.00000	00000	00000	0	(00)		
7	0	1.35249	99667	72634	6383	(04)	6.93891	11753	76344	4376	(-07)		
7	1	4.52856	01699	54728	9655	(04)	1.97685	74263	04673	6421	(04)		
7	2	4.51351	68469	73666	2555	(04)	4.12551	60835	35383	2333	(04)		
7	3	1.85290	11818	58261	0168	(04)	2.93902	87119	93268	1918	(04)		
7	4	3.32915	25149	40693	5532	(03)	9.08196	66074	85517	0271	(03)		
7	5	2.40680	32474	35720	1831	(02)	1.24474	77785	67085	6039	(03)		
7	6	5.15778	92000	13908	4710	(00)	6.74291	29516	37859	3773	(01)		
7	7	6.22835	06918	98474	5826	(-03)	1.00000	00000	00000	0000	(00)		
8	0	1.65856	95029	76102	23207	66	(05)	6.41552	23783	57622	59962	50	(-08)
8	1	5.80413	12783	53756	99927	83	(05)	2.42421	85002	01798	52519	81	(05)
8	2	6.36069	97788	96445	87965	52	(05)	5.42563	84537	26999	37332	49	(05)
8	3	3.06559	78301	98736	56738	04	(05)	4.34878	80712	76832	90368	16	(05)
8	4	7.14515	95818	95193	32102	93	(04)	1.62065	66091	53367	16388	42	(05)
8	5	7.95254	90849	15199	80654	00	(03)	2.98624	97022	25027	79195	06	(04)
8	6	3.76466	93175	92927	68559	71	(02)	2.62877	15790	58119	33301	23	(03)
8	7	5.49328	55833	00038	53561	68	(00)	9.61416	54774	22235	85246	14	(01)
8	8	4.51046	81245	76293	41596	09	(-03)	1.00000	00000	00000	00000	00	(00)

and upon a Taylor series expansion about 2.5, applying Eq. (4) when necessary. For arguments greater than 15.0, the asymptotic expansion was used.

3. Results. Table I lists the values of

$$\epsilon_{jk} = -100 \log_{10} \max \left| \frac{\psi(x) - \psi_{jk}(x)}{\psi(x)} \right|,$$

TABLE III

$$\psi(x) = \ell_n(x) - \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{\sum_{j=0}^n p_j x^{-2j}}{\sum_{j=0}^n q_j x^{-2j}}, \quad 3.0 \leq x$$

n	j	P _j			q _j						
1	0	-2.71580	1589	(-06)	1.06496	6945	(01)				
	1	-8.67212	8634	(-01)	1.00000	00000	(00)				
2	0	-2.00288	09639	95 (-09)	1.83393	20868	04 (01)				
	1	-1.52827	61729	27 (00)	1.86234	52532	39 (01)				
	2	-1.39916	28425	82 (00)	1.00000	00000	00 (00)				
3	0	-2.10638	77134	36026 (-12)	1.49604	03955	01592 (01)				
	1	-1.24670	03283	19607 (00)	4.85175	82510	26104 (01)				
	2	-3.91846	20126	40745 (00)	2.56563	23856	68056 (01)				
	3	-1.79307	10243	80592 (00)	1.00000	00000	00000 (00)				
4	0	-2.72817	57513	15296	783 (-15)	7.77788	54852	29616	042 (00)		
	1	-6.48157	12376	61965	099 (-01)	5.48117	73810	32150	702 (01)		
	2	-4.48616	54391	80193	579 (00)	8.92920	70048	18613	702 (01)		
	3	-7.01677	22776	67586	642 (00)	3.22703	49379	11433	614 (01)		
	4	-2.12940	44513	10105	168 (00)	1.00000	00000	00000	000 (00)		
5	0	-4.03243	06017	35749	11804 (-18)	2.95381	67608	14638	86052 (00)		
	1	-2.46151	39673	45628	90390 (-01)	3.68983	53845	69604	30939 (01)		
	2	-3.05024	76808	03867	49109 (00)	1.28621	37781	52642	53627 (02)		
	3	-1.04226	83363	88352	86361 (01)	1.40521	63132	63703	12714 (02)		
	4	-1.07724	05634	64792	99398 (01)	3.86804	66083	54867	03234 (01)		
	5	-2.43139	31584	34855	50347 (00)	1.00000	00000	00000	00000 (00)		
6	0	-6.51353	87732	71817	13058	11 (-21)	8.84275	20398	87348	03422	02 (-01)
	1	-7.36896	00332	39454	99107	26 (-02)	1.74639	65060	67856	99061	23 (01)
	2	-1.44798	14618	89984	29856	77 (00)	1.07425	43875	70227	83259	79 (02)
	3	-8.81009	58828	31221	98214	36 (00)	2.47369	79003	31529	00565	08 (02)
	4	-1.97845	54148	71921	86672	38 (01)	2.02409	55312	67993	11593	17 (02)
	5	-1.51662	71776	89612	13830	24 (01)	4.49927	60373	78936	58481	73 (01)
	6	-2.71032	26277	75763	41916	47 (00)	1.00000	00000	00000	00000	00 (00)

where the maximum is taken over the appropriate interval, for the initial segments of the L_∞ Walsh arrays. Tables II and III present coefficients for the approximations along the main diagonals of these arrays.

All coefficients are given to an accuracy greater than that justified by the maximal errors to allow precise determination of the corresponding octal or hexadecimal representations. Each approximation listed, with the coefficients just as they appear here, was tested against the master function routines with 5000 pseudorandom arguments. In all cases, the maximal error agreed in magnitude and location with the values given by the Remes algorithm.

4. Use of the Coefficients. The rational approximations all appear to be well conditioned. With a little care, they can be used to generate function values close to working machine precisions up to 20S.

To maintain machine precision in $\psi(x)$ for x close to x_0 , the computation of $(x - x_0)$ must be carried out in higher than machine precision to preserve the low order bits of x_0 . This can be achieved by breaking x_0 into two parts, x_1 and x_2 , such that $x_0 \equiv x_1 + x_2$ to the precision desired, and such that the floating-point exponent on x_2 is much less than that on x_1 . Then $(x - x_0)$ is computed as $(x - x_0) = (x - x_1) - x_2$. This breakup of x_0 is most easily accomplished by examining the

octal or hexadecimal representation

$$\begin{aligned}x_0 &= 1.35426\ 60615\ 26574\ 37556\ 06516\ 21031\ 36024\ 47402_8 \\ &= 1.762D8\ 6356B\ E3F6E\ 1A9C8\ 865E0\ A4F02_{16}.\end{aligned}$$

One remaining avoidable source of error is in the use of the reflection formula (5) for negative arguments. We suggest that z be broken into $z = z_i + z_f$, where z_i is the integer part of z , and z_f is the fractional part. Then Eq. (5) should be reformulated as

$$\psi(1 - z) = \psi(z) + \pi \cot(\pi z_f).$$

Applied Mathematics Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Applied Mathematics Division
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

Computer Science Department
University of Kentucky
Lexington, Kentucky 40506

1. W. J. CODY, "A survey of practical rational and polynomial approximation of functions," *SIAM Rev.*, v. 12, 1970, pp. 400–423. MR 42 #2627.

2. P. J. DAVIS, "Gamma function and related functions," Chapter 6, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables*, Edited by M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Nat. Bur. Standards Appl. Math. Series, 55, Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964; 3rd printing, with corrections, 1965. MR 29 #4919; MR 31 #1400.

3. Y. L. LUKE, *The Special Functions and Their Approximations*. Vols. 1, 2, Math. in Sci. and Engineering, vol. 53, Academic Press, New York, 1969. MR 39 #3039; MR 40 #2909.

4. Y. L. LUKE, "Rational approximations for the logarithmic derivative of the gamma function," *Applicable Anal.*, v. 1, 1971, no. 1, pp. 65–73. MR 43 #5686.

5. J. W. WRENCH, JR., "Concerning two series for the gamma function," *Math. Comp.*, v. 22, 1968, pp. 617–626. MR 38 #5371.