

The Distribution of Small Gaps Between Successive Primes

By Richard P. Brent

Abstract. For $r \geq 1$ and large N , a well-known conjecture of Hardy and Littlewood implies that the number of primes $p \leq N$ such that $p + 2r$ is the least prime greater than p is asymptotic to

$$\int_2^N \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \frac{A_{r,k}}{(\log x)^{k+1}} \right) dx,$$

where the $A_{r,k}$ are certain constants. We describe a method for computing these constants. Related constants are given to 10D for $r = 1(1)40$, and some empirical evidence supporting the conjecture is mentioned.

1. Introduction. Let r be a fixed positive integer and N a large integer. Hardy and Littlewood [4] conjectured that the number of primes $p \leq N$ such that $p + 2r$ is also prime is

$$(1) \quad P_N(r) \sim A_{r,1} \int_2^N \frac{dx}{(\log x)^2},$$

where

$$(2) \quad A_{r,1} = 2c_2 \prod_{q|r} \frac{q-1}{q-2},$$

$$(3) \quad c_2 = \prod_q \frac{1-2/q}{(1-1/q)^2} = 0.66016 \dots$$

is the “twin-prime” constant, and q runs over the odd primes (this convention is adopted throughout). Conjecture (1) has been substantiated empirically and extended by several authors, e.g. [1], [3], [5] and [6].

In this paper, we study the number $Q_N(r)$ of primes $p \leq N$ such that $p + 2r$ is the *first* prime after p (so $p + 1, p + 2, \dots, p + 2r - 1$ are composite). In Section 2, we use the principle of inclusion and exclusion to deduce from a conjecture of Hardy and Littlewood that

$$(4) \quad Q_N(r) \sim \int_2^N \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \frac{A_{r,k}}{(\log x)^{k+1}} \right) dx,$$

where the constants $A_{r,k}$ are defined by (8) below. Previously, $Q_N(r)$ seems to have been studied only for $r \leq 4$, although the magnitude of the first prime p followed by $2r - 1$ consecutive composite numbers has been investigated (see [2] and the references given there).

Received February 13, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10-04, 10A20, 10A25, 10A40, 65A05.

Key words and phrases. Prime, distribution of primes, Hardy-Littlewood conjecture, prime gap, twin primes.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

In Section 3 we discuss the computation of the constants $A_{r,k}$, and related constants $B_{r,k}$ (see Eq. (12)) are given for $r \leq 40$ in Table 1. Some empirical evidence for conjecture (4) is given in Section 4.

2. Derivation of the Conjecture. If $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_s$, Hardy and Littlewood [4] conjecture that the number of primes $p \leq N$ such that $p + 2m_i$ is prime for $i = 1, \dots, s$ is

$$(5) \quad P_N(m_1, \dots, m_s) \sim C(m_1, \dots, m_s) \int_2^N \frac{dx}{(\log x)^{s+1}},$$

where

$$(6) \quad C(m_1, \dots, m_s) = 2^s \prod_q (1 - 1/q)^{-(s+1)} (1 - w_m(q)/q)$$

and $w_m(q)$ is the number of distinct residues of $0, m_1, \dots, m_s$ modulo the odd prime q . (Conjecture (1) is just the special case $s = 1, m_1 = r$ of (5).)

From the principle of inclusion and exclusion (see, e.g. [7]),

$$(7) \quad Q_N(r) = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (-1)^s \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_s < r} P_N(m_1, \dots, m_s, r).$$

From (5), this gives the conjecture (4) if

$$(8) \quad A_{r,k} = (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_{k-1} < r} C(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}, r).$$

3. Computation of the Constants $A_{r,k}$. Since $w_m(q) = s + 1$ for all $q > m_s$, $C(m_1, \dots, m_s)$ may easily be found if the Hardy-Littlewood constants

$$(9) \quad c_k = \prod_{q>k} \frac{1 - k/q}{(1 - 1/q)^k}$$

are known. These may be evaluated by the method of Wrench [10] and Mayoh [8].

Thus, the computation of $A_{r,k}$ from (8) appears to be straightforward. However, the sum in (8) involves $\binom{r-1}{k-1}$ terms, so the evaluation of $A_{r,1}, \dots, A_{r,r}$ in the obvious way requires the evaluation of 2^{r-1} terms $C(m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}, r)$. We shall show how this may be reduced to the evaluation of $O(2^{2r/3})$ terms.

It is easy to evaluate $A_{r,k}$ if the integer

$$(10) \quad T_{r,k} = \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_{k-1} < r} \prod_{q \leq r+1} (q - w_{m,r}(q))$$

can be evaluated, where $w_{m,r}(q)$ is the number of distinct residues of $0, m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}, r$ modulo the odd prime q . By omitting all the terms with $w_{m,r}(3) = 3$, and using symmetry if $3|r$, we find that

$$(11) \quad T_{r,k} = K_r \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_{k-1} < r; m_i \not\equiv r' \pmod{3}} \prod_{5 \leq q \leq r+1} (q - w_{m,r}(q)),$$

where

$$K_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ 2 & \text{if } r \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \end{cases},$$

and

$$r' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 2 & \text{if } r \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}.$$

Thus, we may compute $T_{r,k}$ by summing

$$\left(\begin{matrix} \lfloor 2(r-1)/3 \rfloor \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right) \text{ instead of } \left(\begin{matrix} r-1 \\ k-1 \end{matrix} \right)$$

terms. An additional factor of 2 can be saved by symmetry if r is not divisible by 3.

It is interesting to note that $T_{r,k} = 0$ if k is so large that a cluster of $k-1$ primes cannot lie between two large primes p and $p+2r$ (see [9]). Surprisingly, the least such k is not a monotonic function of r , for $T_{10,6} \neq 0$ and $T_{11,6} = 0$.

The constants $A_{r,k}$ for $r \leq 40$ were found by computing the $T_{r,k}$ as suggested above. Since the $A_{r,k}$ vary greatly in size, it is more convenient to work with

$$(12) \quad B_{r,k} = \begin{cases} A_{r,1} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ -A_{r,k}/A_{r,k-1} & \text{if } k > 1 \text{ and } A_{r,k-1} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1 \text{ and } A_{r,k-1} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Thus, the integrand in (4) is $B_{r,1}z^2(1 - B_{r,2}z(\cdots(1 - B_{r,r}z)\cdots))$, where $z = 1/(\log x)$.

Table 1 gives the constants $B_{r,k}$, believed to be correctly rounded to 10D, for $1 \leq k \leq r \leq 40$. Values which are omitted are zero.

4. Empirical Evidence for the Conjecture. A ‘‘gap of length $2r$ ’’ is defined to be an interval $(p, p+2r)$ where p and $p+2r$ are successive primes. The number of gaps of length 2, 4, \dots , 80 in an interval (M, N) was compared with the number predicted by conjecture (4) for various M and N in the range $(10^6, 10^{16})$. (For example, $M = 10^6, 10^7, \dots, 10^{15}$ and $N = M + 10^6$.) The actual and predicted gap distributions agreed closely in all the intervals considered. Detailed results have been deposited in the UMT file of this journal.

As a typical example, results for the interval $(10^6, 10^9)$ are given in Table 2. For $r = 1, 2, \dots, 40$, the table gives the actual number of gaps of length $2r$, and the number predicted from

$$(13) \quad \int_{10^6}^{10^9} \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \frac{A_{r,k}}{(\log x)^{k+1}} \right) dx.$$

In $(10^6, 10^9)$, there are 50769035 gaps, and

$$(14) \quad \int_{10^6}^{10^9} \frac{dx}{\log x} \simeq 50770607.4.$$

TABLE 1

The constants $B_{r,k}$ for $r = 1(1)40$ (values omitted are zero)

r	k	$B_{r,k}$	r	k	$B_{r,k}$
1	1	1.3203236317	11	1	1.4670262574
				2	15.3430844044
2	1	1.3203236317		3	5.5503309283
				4	2.3653954168
3	1	2.6406472634		5	0.8429475787
	2	2.1648090870			
			12	1	2.6406472634
4	1	1.3203236317		2	18.4008772398
	2	4.3296181741		3	7.0705502451
	3	0.7261756149		4	3.3815938634
				5	1.6080940365
5	1	1.7604315089		6	0.6066697903
	2	4.8708204458			
	3	0.9682341532	13	1	1.4403530528
				2	20.7370670462
6	1	2.6406472634		3	8.1550214623
	2	7.5768318046		4	4.0633477298
	3	2.0747874712		5	2.1093164691
	4	0.4881403766		6	1.0254787648
				7	0.3885721249
7	1	1.5843883580			
	2	9.0200378627	14	1	1.5843883580
	3	2.7110556291		2	20.8588375574
	4	0.7845113196		3	8.1825131838
				4	4.0589157778
8	1	1.3203236317		5	2.0866554914
	2	10.8240454352		6	0.9897331926
	3	3.4856429517		7	0.3495093187
	4	1.2203509416			
	5	0.2845598501	15	1	3.5208630178
				2	24.4961678255
9	1	2.6406472634		3	9.9210862934
	2	12.4476522505		4	5.1517209912
	3	4.2097137097		5	2.8451655917
	4	1.6108632428		6	1.5366370101
	5	0.4656433910		7	0.7430478393
				8	0.2639864981
10	1	1.7604315089			
	2	15.8301664490	16	1	1.3203236317
	3	5.7597518859		2	27.4930754054
	4	2.5248640170		3	11.3952967179
	5	1.0244154603		4	6.1214210653
	6	0.2600013387		5	3.5632266426

TABLE 1 (continued)

r	k	$B_{r,k}$	r	k	$B_{r,k}$
16	6	2.1006626920	20	10	0.1513663376
	7	1.1950083565			
	8	0.6157145513	21	1	3.1687767161
	9	0.2444874923		2	36.3539740286
17	1	1.4083452071		3	15.6509822856
	2	27.7245360198		4	8.8131644369
	3	11.4984742518		5	5.4466534309
	4	6.1729997100		6	3.4737488749
	5	3.5819776177		7	2.2032572055
	6	2.0946244877		8	1.3409356167
	7	1.1705496702		9	0.7429532570
	8	0.5824231949		10	0.3357930107
	9	0.2198886873		11	0.0896365942
18	1	2.6406472634	22	1	1.4670262574
	2	30.0425246784		2	38.5221012010
	3	12.6122048727		3	16.7436006742
	4	6.8853036007		4	9.5502460845
	5	4.0940729018		5	6.0071544989
	6	2.4873794831		6	3.9277664665
	7	1.4840852989		7	2.5837231927
	8	0.8373344927		8	1.6635481779
	9	0.4234899981		9	1.0125375871
	10	0.1658772706		10	0.5464248161
				11	0.2174507624
19	1	1.3979897277	23	1	1.3831961856
	2	31.9331890432		2	39.9075175164
	3	13.4561073779		3	17.3771078681
	4	7.3677263519		4	9.9252611046
	5	4.3825468884		5	6.2469956507
	6	2.6448059150		6	4.0820403447
	7	1.5377429643		7	2.6778338225
	8	0.7999341181		8	1.7129792116
	9	0.3061670870		9	1.0284516322
				10	0.5388263843
20	1	1.7604315089		11	0.1992045198
	2	33.0230029036			
	3	14.0212494141	24	1	2.6406472634
	4	7.7552428532		2	41.6087903720
	5	4.6798483508		3	18.2211462744
	6	2.8876803489		4	10.4857133121
	7	1.7450477061		5	6.6675963762
	8	0.9833612019		6	4.4204962521
	9	0.4731308341		7	2.9630734223

TABLE 1 (continued)

r	k	$B_{r,k}$	r	k	$B_{r,k}$
24	8	1.9613859814	27	13	0.1729604958
	9	1.2496708257			
	10	0.7375923983	28	1	1.5843883580
	11	0.3737970930		2	49.7793339545
	12	0.1307666522		3	22.2516291007
				4	13.1317161092
25	1	1.7604315089		5	8.6175937443
	2	44.4259414830		6	5.9494259004
	3	19.6478400115		7	4.2078174539
	4	11.4435289614		8	2.9992575087
	5	7.3859456123		9	2.1274078880
	6	4.9901941363		10	1.4833716834
	7	3.4285605747		11	1.0014969256
	8	2.3470128849		12	0.6388395411
	9	1.5694239568		13	0.3646341816
	10	0.9991223480		14	0.1558821625
	11	0.5797444199			
	12	0.2779751671	29	1	1.3692245069
	13	0.0773583678		2	49.7351293969
				3	22.2010534736
26	1	1.4403530528		4	13.0749059856
	2	45.5513844929		5	8.5538846663
	3	20.1021552452		6	5.8779731882
	4	11.6727241289		7	4.1277954713
	5	7.5016123702		8	2.9100995690
	6	5.0370857637		9	2.0292305179
	7	3.4292205066		10	1.3777494229
	8	2.3145847402		11	0.8927963590
	9	1.5125764268		12	0.5361400447
	10	0.9245068977		13	0.2829661211
	11	0.4938397071		14	0.1112806842
	12	0.1894277144			
			30	1	3.5208630178
27	1	2.6406472634		2	53.4568780418
	2	47.3706617010		3	24.0119086856
	3	21.0944825152		4	14.2465572306
	4	12.3891416646		5	9.4040165228
	5	8.0793108307		6	6.5333739503
	6	5.5302801907		7	4.6514933053
	7	3.8641962264		8	3.3376210785
	8	2.7052770199		9	2.3821089677
	9	1.8661755593		10	1.6694186696
	10	1.2435276562		11	1.1314474006
	11	0.7763137851		12	0.7264160913
	12	0.4271256883		13	0.4281428785

TABLE 1 (continued)

r	k	$B_{r,k}$	r	k	$B_{r,k}$
30	14	0.2187972637	33	11	1.5642788142
	15	0.0799007719		12	1.0853435243
31	1	1.3658520328		13	0.7167409804
	2	57.0564427867	14	0.4387634196	
	3	25.7883873035	15	0.2379412131	
	4	15.4134377102	16	0.1004264844	
	5	10.2643380469	34	1	1.4083452071
	6	7.2079466964		2	61.9919072207
	7	5.2002014685		3	28.2142671194
	8	3.7939887877		4	16.9990534791
	9	2.7661319314		5	11.4265453826
	10	1.9930796451		6	8.1130495630
	11	1.4012127006		7	5.9309308916
	12	0.9442903513		8	4.3972048990
	13	0.5922053004		9	3.2707071778
	14	0.3249621929		10	2.4178440362
	15	0.1294626296		11	1.7589282962
32	1	1.3203236317		12	1.2438306194
	2	56.0765841791		13	0.8398533041
	3	25.2955005318		14	0.5252330428
	4	15.0822774906		15	0.2854048569
	5	10.0134861920		16	0.1108625403
	6	7.0047474948	35	1	2.1125178107
	7	5.0282652653		2	62.7958185378
	8	3.6439356759		3	28.6092743854
	9	2.6321755336		4	17.2585563381
	10	1.8716152565		5	11.6189657616
	11	1.2903211212		6	8.2658057455
	12	0.8438892387		7	6.0577632487
	13	0.5049928034		8	4.5059818778
	14	0.2585874366		9	3.3663563583
	15	0.0987505835		10	2.5036711044
33	1	2.9340525149		11	1.8373213753
	2	59.3116309805		12	1.3167529951
	3	26.8938829613		13	0.9093775214
	4	16.1336371765		14	0.5943929626
	5	10.7902210471		15	0.3596437584
	6	7.6158335959	16	0.1989064249	
	7	5.5282304118	17	0.0993281933	
	8	4.0639911464	36	1	2.6406472634
	9	2.9918723175		2	66.2111617092
	10	2.1839746041		3	30.2766540599

TABLE I (continued)

r	k	$B_{r,k}$	r	k	$B_{r,k}$	
36	4	18.3409094425	38	13	1.3131389130	
	5	12.4068962334		14	0.9365319820	
	6	8.8754487506		15	0.6404963934	
	7	6.5470947651		16	0.4138510165	
	8	4.9080453851		17	0.2463430835	
	9	3.7017107962		18	0.1194900846	
	10	2.7858957162		39	1	2.8807061055
	11	2.0757081630			2	70.6997065950
	12	1.5176476093	3		32.5107597334	
	13	1.0766924356	4		19.8218855636	
	14	0.7293724865	5		13.5097785123	
	15	0.4597244627	6		9.7499560283	
	16	0.2563308030	7		7.2677697292	
	17	0.1085109086	8		5.5171282455	
	37	1	1.3580471640		9	4.2251859714
		2	66.9782027174		10	3.2405820808
		3	30.6782419268	11	2.4727472369	
4		18.6201628208	12	1.8643285785		
5		12.6242292479	13	1.3774162158		
6		9.0546344932	14	0.9861397380		
7		6.6995839806	15	0.6724449317		
8		5.0398868987	16	0.4235592577		
9		3.8161157582	17	0.2304613130		
10		2.8843429973	18	0.0876237466		
11		2.1584604606	40	1	1.7604315089	
12		1.5839990281		2	73.6107429917	
13		1.1250993393		3	33.9328292881	
14		0.7576010703		4	20.7460643325	
15		0.4653031637		5	14.1837340795	
16		0.2382708022		6	10.2726763283	
17		0.0741063531		7	7.6886927466	
38	1	1.3979897277		8	5.8644776442	
	2	69.4720528395		9	4.5166169192	
	3	31.9135466028		10	3.4879437671	
	4	19.4347144701	11	2.6845126281		
	5	13.2275487026	12	2.0469957441		
	6	9.5306429383	13	1.5364440188		
	7	7.0904008520	14	1.1267004833		
	8	5.3697954268	15	0.8001365673		
	9	4.1005791410	16	0.5450067101		
	10	3.1340488773	17	0.3529451420		
	11	2.3813915965	18	0.2139492394		
	12	1.7866244753	19	0.1062961616		

By summing (13) over $r = 1, \dots, 40$ and subtracting from (14), the predicted number of gaps of length greater than 80 is 473076.4, and the actual number is 473186.

Table 2 shows that (13) predicts quite well the number of gaps of various lengths in $(10^6, 10^9)$. Although the right sides of (1) and (4) are asymptotically equal, the higher terms in (4) are important for approximating $Q_N(r)$. It is interesting to note that in $(10^6, 10^9)$ there are less gaps (observed and predicted) for $r = 31$ than for $r = 32$, although $A_{31,1} = 1.36 \dots > A_{32,1} = 1.32 \dots$. Thus, the higher terms in (13) are significant.

TABLE 2

<i>Actual and predicted gap distribution in $(10^6, 10^9)$</i>					
<i>r</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Predicted</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Predicted</i>
1	3416337	3417060.1	21	953980	954689.0
2	3416536	3417060.1	22	389432	389057.1
3	6076242	6077407.1	23	334565	335337.0
4	2689540	2688560.2	24	577051	577898.6
5	3477688	3477436.8	25	327960	327323.5
6	4460952	4460654.7	26	245727	245799.1
7	2460332	2461360.3	27	410614	410578.1
8	1843216	1842845.7	28	211409	211469.0
9	3346123	3347229.6	29	181894	182398.0
10	1821641	1823424.2	30	371743	372007.3
11	1567507	1567220.8	31	115542	115837.8
12	2364792	2362746.8	32	118927	118681.6
13	1118410	1118419.0	33	216739	216467.5
14	1218009	1218441.9	34	88383	88116.0
15	2176077	2176130.5	35	125542	125688.7
16	683346	682871.2	36	126650	126786.7
17	718974	718118.6	37	62514	62578.8
18	1170757	1169307.2	38	55107	55325.4
19	548416	547688.6	39	105300	105390.3
20	648356	648539.8	40	53519	53578.4

Computer Centre
 Australian National University
 Canberra, Australia

1. P. T. BATEMAN & R. A. HORN, "A heuristic asymptotic formula concerning the distribution of prime numbers," *Math Comp.*, v. 16, 1962, pp. 363–367. MR 26 #6139.
2. R. P. BRENT, "The first occurrence of large gaps between successive primes," *Math. Comp.*, v. 27, 1973, pp. 959–963.
3. F. GRUENBERGER & G. ARMERDING, *Statistics on the First Six Million Prime Numbers*, Paper P-2460, The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif., 1961, 145 pp. (Copy deposited in the UMT File and reviewed in *Math. Comp.*, v. 19, 1965, pp. 503–505.)
4. G. H. HARDY & J. E. LITTLEWOOD, "Some problems of 'partitio numerorum'; III: On the expression of a number as a sum of primes," *Acta Math.*, v. 44, 1923, pp. 1–70.
5. M. F. JONES, M. LAL & W. J. BLUNDON, "Statistics on certain large primes," *Math. Comp.*, v. 21, 1967, pp. 103–107. (Corrigenda, v. 22, 1968, pp. 474 & 911.) MR 36 #3707.
6. D. H. LEHMER, "Tables concerning the distribution of primes up to 37 millions", 1957. Copy deposited in the UMT File and reviewed in *MTAC*, v. 13, 1959, pp. 56–57.
7. C. L. LIU, *Introduction to Combinatorial Mathematics*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968, Chap. 4. MR 38 #3154.
8. B. H. MAYOH, "The second Goldbach conjecture revisited," *Nordisk Tidskr. Informationsbehandling (BIT)*, v. 8, 1968, pp. 128–133. MR 39 #125.
9. H. RIESEL, "Primes forming arithmetic series and clusters of large primes," *Nordisk Tidskr. Informationsbehandling (BIT)*, v. 10, 1970, pp. 333–342. MR 44 #965.
10. J. W. WRENCH, "Evaluation of Artin's constant and the twin-prime constant," *Math. Comp.*, v. 15, 1961, pp. 396–398. MR 23 #A1619.