

Error Estimates for a Finite Element Approximation of a Minimal Surface

By Claes Johnson and Vidar Thomée

Abstract. A finite element approximation of the minimal surface problem for a strictly convex bounded plane domain Ω is considered. The approximating functions are continuous and piecewise linear on a triangulation of Ω . Error estimates of the form $O(h)$ in the H^1 norm and $O(h^2)$ in the L_p -norm ($p < 2$) are proved, where h denotes the maximal side in the triangulation.

1. Introduction. Let Ω be a strictly convex bounded domain in the plane R^2 with smooth (two times continuously differentiable, say) boundary Γ , and let φ be a given function defined on Γ . Consider the following minimal surface problem: Find a function u which minimizes the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2} dx, \quad \nabla v = \text{grad } v,$$

over all Lipschitz functions v in Ω such that $v = \varphi$ on Γ . It is known (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 4.2.1]) that if φ is the restriction to Γ of a function in the Sobolev space $W_q^2(\Omega)$ for some $q > 2$, and if φ satisfies the bounded slope condition (see [2]), then there is a unique minimizing function $u \in W_q^2(\Omega)$.

For the purpose of the approximate solution of this problem, for each h with $0 < h < 1$, let $T_h = \{T_j\}$ be a finite collection of closed triangles T_j such that $\Omega \subset \bigcup_j T_j$, and such that any T_j with $T_j \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$ is either contained in $\bar{\Omega}$ or has two vertices on Γ . It is also assumed that the triangles have disjoint interiors, that no vertex of any triangle is on the interior of an edge of another triangle, and that there is a constant c , with $0 < c < 1$ independent of h , such that the edges of the triangles have length between ch and h , and all angles of the triangles are bounded below by c . Denoting the union of the triangles contained in $\bar{\Omega}$ by Ω_h , we let S_h be the set of continuous functions defined on Ω_h which are linear on each T_j and assume the same values as φ on the vertices of the triangulation on Γ . Consider now the following finite element method for the approximate solution of the given problem: Find a function u_h which minimizes the integral $\int_{\Omega_h} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v_h|^2} dx$ over all functions $v_h \in S_h$. To see that there exists a unique minimizing function u_h , we notice that the function

$$f(y) = \sqrt{1 + |y|^2}, \quad y = (y_1, y_2) \in R^2, \quad |y|^2 = y_1^2 + y_2^2,$$

Received March 22, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 65N10, 65N30.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

is strictly convex since, with $f_{,ij} = \partial^2 f / \partial y_i \partial y_j$,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{,ij}(y)\xi_i\xi_j &= (1 + |y|^2)^{-3/2} [(1 + y_2^2)\xi_1^2 - 2y_1y_2\xi_1\xi_2 + (1 + y_1^2)\xi_2^2] \\ &\geq (1 + |y|^2)^{-3/2} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for } \xi \in R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below, we use the summation convention; repetition of an index i indicates summation over $i = 1, 2$. Since f is strictly convex, the mapping $F: v_h \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_h} f(\nabla v_h) dx$, $v_h \in S_h$, is also strictly convex. Furthermore, it is clear that $F(v_h)$ tends to infinity with $\max_{\Omega_h} |v_h|$. Since F is continuous and S_h is finite dimensional, it then follows easily that there exists a unique minimizing function u_h .

In this note, we shall prove some convergence estimates for the finite element method described above. In order to express our results, we introduce for k an integer, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the following (semi) norms:

$$|v|_{k,p} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha v|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|v\|_{k,p} = \left(\sum_{j \leq k} |v|_{j,p}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

with the usual modification if $p = \infty$. We shall also need corresponding norms with Ω replaced by Ω_h , and we shall then use the notation $|\cdot|_{k,p,h}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{k,p,h}$. We introduce the Sobolev space $W_p^k(\Omega)$, the closure of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k,p}$, and the Sobolev space $W_1^k(\Gamma)$, the closure of $C^\infty(\Gamma)$ in the norm

$$\|v\|_{k,1,\Gamma} = \sum_{j \leq k} \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{d^j v}{ds^j} \right| ds,$$

where d/ds denotes differentiation with respect to arc length. If $k = 0$, we omit this index. For example, $\|\cdot\|_{p,h}$ will thus denote the L_p -norm over Ω_h .

We can now state our convergence results.

THEOREM 1. *Let $u \in W_2^2(\Omega) \cap W_\infty^1(\Omega)$. Then, there is a constant C such that for $0 < h < 1$,*

$$|u - u_h|_{1,2,h} \leq Ch.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $u \in W_q^2(\Omega)$ for some $q > 2$ and $\varphi \in W_1^2(\Gamma)$. Then, for any p with $1 \leq p < 2$, there is a constant C such that, for $0 < h < 1$,*

$$\|u - u_h\|_{p,h} \leq Ch^2.$$

The proofs of these estimates are given in Sections 2 and 3, respectively. For linear equations, such results are well known (cf., e.g., [3]); the latter then holds for $p = q = 2$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Since u_h minimizes the functional F over S_h , we find, taking first variations, denoting by $v_{,i}$ the derivative of v with respect to the i th variable, that

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\Omega_h} f_{,i}(\nabla u_h) \chi_{,i} dx = \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{\nabla u_h \nabla \chi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx = 0 \quad \text{for } \chi \in \mathring{S}_h,$$

where \mathring{S}_h is the set of continuous functions defined on Ω_h which are linear on each T_j and vanish on the boundary of Ω_h . Let us extend the functions in \mathring{S}_h to be zero outside Ω_h . Then the functions in \mathring{S}_h are Lipschitz continuous and vanish on the boundary of Ω so that, taking first variations in the continuous problem,

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} f_{,i}(\nabla u) \chi_{,i} dx = \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{\nabla u \nabla \chi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} dx = 0 \quad \text{for } \chi \in \mathring{S}_h.$$

Theorem 1 will be an obvious consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2 below.

LEMMA 1. *Let $u \in W_2^2(\Omega) \cap W_\infty^1(\Omega)$. Then, there is a constant C such that for $0 < h < 1$,*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla u_h|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch.$$

Proof. Let w_h be any function in S_h , and set $\chi = w_h - u_h$. Then $\chi \in \mathring{S}_h$ and, using (2.1) and (2.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla u_h|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{(\nabla u - \nabla u_h) \nabla \chi}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx + \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{(\nabla u - \nabla u_h)(\nabla u - \nabla w_h)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \nabla \chi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) dx + \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{(\nabla u - \nabla u_h)(\nabla u - \nabla w_h)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \\ &= D_1 + D_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we find by Cauchy's inequality, $|D_2| \leq A|u - w_h|_{1,2,h}$. For the first term, we obtain with $\gamma = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |\nabla u|/\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_1| &\leq \int_{\Omega_h} |\nabla u| |\nabla \chi| \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla u_h| (|\nabla u| + |\nabla u_h|)}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2} (\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} + \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2})} dx \\ &\leq \gamma \int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|\nabla \chi| |\nabla u - \nabla u_h|}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \leq \gamma A \left(\int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|\nabla \chi|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \gamma A (A + |u - w_h|_{1,2,h}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$A^2 \leq \gamma A(A + |u - w_h|_{1,2,h}) + A|u - w_h|_{1,2,h},$$

so that, since $\gamma < 1$,

$$A \leq (1 + \gamma)|u - w_h|_{1,2,h}/(1 - \gamma).$$

Now let w_h agree with u at the nodes. By a well-known estimate (cf., e.g., [3]), we then have

$$|u - w_h|_{1,2,h} \leq Ch|u|_{2,2},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

As a consequence of Lemma 1, we find

$$(2.3) \quad \|\nabla u - \nabla u_h\|_{1,h} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_h} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla u_h|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega_h} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2} dx \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch,$$

since, clearly, $\int_{\Omega_h} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2} dx$ is bounded as a result of the minimizing property of u_h . In fact, Lemma 1 and (2.3) hold without the assumption that the edges of the triangles have length bounded below by ch . This assumption, however, will enter in the proof of the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. *Let $u \in W_2^2(\Omega) \cap W_\infty^1(\Omega)$. Then, there is a constant C such that for any $0 < h < 1$, $\|\nabla u_h\|_{\infty,h} \leq C$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1, we have, in particular, for any $T_j \subset \bar{\Omega}_h$,

$$\left(\int_{T_j} \frac{|\nabla u - \nabla u_h|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch,$$

so that

$$\left(\int_{T_j} \frac{|\nabla u_h|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} dx \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch + C|u|_{1,\infty} \left(\int_{T_j} dx \right)^{1/2} \leq Ch.$$

Since ∇u_h is constant on T_j , and the area of T_j is bounded from below by a constant times h^2 , it follows that

$$\frac{|\nabla u_h|^2}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u_h|^2}} \leq C \quad \text{on } T_j,$$

and thus $\max_{\bar{\Omega}_h} |\nabla u_h| \leq C$, which proves the lemma.

Together with Lemma 1, this also completes the proof of Theorem 1.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. We shall now prove Theorem 2 using an adaptation of a duality argument employed previously for linear problems by, e.g., Nitsche [3].

For technical reasons, we shall need to extend u_h to a piecewise linear function defined on the polygonal domain $\tilde{\Omega}_h \supset \Omega$ consisting of the union of the triangles which

intersect $\bar{\Omega}$. To this end, we first extend $u \in W_q^2(\Omega)$ to a domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ with $\tilde{\Omega} \supset \Omega_h$ for $0 < h < 1$ in such a way that the extended u belongs to $W_q^2(\tilde{\Omega})$ (cf. [1]). We then extend u_h to $\tilde{\Omega}_h$ by setting u_h equal to the linear function which interpolates the extended u at the vertices of T_j for each $T_j \subset \tilde{\Omega}_h \setminus \Omega_h$. It is clear that, with u_h extended in this fashion, the estimate of Theorem 1 holds, with Ω_h replaced by Ω , i.e., $\|u - u_h\|_{1,2} \leq Ch$.

We shall prove that, for any p with $1 \leq p < 2$, there is a constant C such that $\|u - u_h\|_p \leq Ch^2$, which implies Theorem 2 since $\Omega \supset \Omega_h$. By increasing p or decreasing q , we may assume without loss of generality that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. It will, therefore, be sufficient to prove that there is a constant C such that

$$(3.1) \quad |(g, u - u_h)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} g(u - u_h) dx \right| \leq Ch^2 \|g\|_q \quad \text{for } g \in L_q(\Omega).$$

This will be accomplished by rewriting the left-hand side, interpreting g as the right-hand side of a certain linear elliptic equation.

For this purpose, let us start with the simple identity

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} [f_{,i}(\nabla u) - f_{,i}(\nabla u_h)] \chi_{,i} dx = \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}^h (u - u_h)_{,j} \chi_{,i} dx,$$

where, for $x \in \Omega$,

$$a_{ij}^h(x) = \int_0^1 f_{,ij}(s \nabla u_h(x) + (1-s) \nabla u(x)) ds, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Defining the bilinear form

$$a_h(\chi, \psi) = \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}^h \chi_{,i} \psi_{,j} dx,$$

we notice that, by (2.1), (2.2) and (3.2), we have

$$(3.3) \quad a_h(\chi, u - u_h) = 0 \quad \text{for } \chi \in \mathring{S}_h.$$

Since the coefficients of a_h are discontinuous, it will be convenient to introduce also the bilinear form

$$a(\chi, \psi) = \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \chi_{,i} \psi_{,j} dx \quad \text{with } a_{ij}(x) = f_{,ij}(\nabla u(x)).$$

Since $u \in W_q^2(\Omega)$ and, in particular, ∇u is bounded, we find, using also (1.1), that the coefficients a_{ij} satisfy the assumptions in the following lemma:

LEMMA 3. Assume that $a_{ij} \in W_q^1(\Omega)$ for some $q > 2$ and that $a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j$ is uniformly elliptic in Ω . Then, there exists a constant C such that, for any $g \in L_q(\Omega)$, the Dirichlet problem

$$(3.4) \quad -(a_{ij} v_{,i})_{,j} = g \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

admits a unique solution $v \in W_q^2(\Omega)$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \|v\|_{2,q} \leq C \|g\|_q.$$

Proof. See [4, p. 203].

Multiplying (3.4) by $u - u_h$ and integrating by parts, we now find that $(g, u - u_h)$ can be rewritten in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (g, u - u_h) &= a(v, u - u_h) + \int_{\Gamma} v_n(u - u_h) ds \\ &= a_h(v, u - u_h) + (a - a_h)(v, u - u_h) + \int_{\Gamma} v_n(u - u_h) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here $v_n = -n_j a_{ij} v_{,i}$, where (n_1, n_2) is the outward normal to Γ . We shall prove that each of the three last terms is bounded by $Ch^2 \|g\|_q$, which will obviously prove the desired inequality (3.1).

To estimate the first term, let $v_h \in \mathring{S}_h$ interpolate v on Ω , so that $|v - v_h|_{1,2} \leq Ch|v|_{2,2}$. Since the coefficients of a_h are bounded (cf. (1.1)), we thus find, by (3.3), (3.5) and Theorem 1, that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_h(v, u - u_h)| &= |a_h(v - v_h, u - u_h)| \leq C|v - v_h|_{1,2} |u - u_h|_{1,2} \\ &\leq Ch^2 |v|_{2,2} \leq Ch^2 \|g\|_2 \leq Ch^2 \|g\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Consider next the second term $(a - a_h)(v, u - u_h)$. Since the derivatives of the $f_{,ij}$ are bounded in R^2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij} - a_{ij}^h| &= \int_0^1 [f_{,ij}(\nabla u) - f_{,ij}(\nabla u_h + s\nabla(u - u_h))] ds \\ &\leq C|\nabla u - \nabla u_h| \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|a_{ij} - a_{ij}^h\|_2 \leq C|u - u_h|_{1,2}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

Further, by Sobolev's inequality and Lemma 3,

$$(3.6) \quad |v|_{1,\infty} \leq C|v|_{2,q} \leq C\|g\|_q.$$

Thus by Theorem 1,

$$\begin{aligned} |(a - a_h)(v, u - u_h)| &\leq C|v|_{1,\infty} \max_{i,j} \|a_{ij} - a_{ij}^h\|_2 |u - u_h|_{1,2} \\ &\leq Ch^2 \|g\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the boundary term, we have by (3.6)

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma} v_n(\varphi - u_h) ds \right| \leq C|v|_{1,\infty} \|\varphi - u_h\|_{1,\Gamma} \leq C\|g\|_q \|\varphi - u_h\|_{1,\Gamma}.$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that

$$(3.7) \quad \|\varphi - u_h\|_{1,\Gamma} \leq Ch^2.$$

To see this, let φ_h be the piecewise linear function of arc length s defined on Γ which agrees with φ at the vertices on Γ . We then clearly have that $\|\varphi - \varphi_h\|_{1,\Gamma} \leq Ch^2 \|\varphi\|_{2,1,\Gamma}$, and therefore (3.7) will follow if we prove that $\|\varphi_h - u_h\|_{1,\Gamma} \leq Ch^2$. To show this, we argue as follows: For any $\bar{P} \in \Gamma$, let T_j be the triangle in $\tilde{\Omega}_h \setminus \Omega_h$ such that $\bar{P} \in T_j$. Let P_1 and P_2 be the vertices of T_j on Γ , let s_1 and s_2 be the arc lengths corresponding to P_1 and P_2 , and assume that \bar{P} corresponds to $s = s_1 + \lambda(s_2 - s_1)$ where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Let now P be the point on the chord P_1P_2 such that $\text{dist}(P, P_1) = \lambda \text{dist}(P_1, P_2)$. Since we are interpolating linearly, we then have $\varphi_h(\bar{P}) = u_h(P)$. It is easy to see that $\text{dist}(\bar{P}, P) \leq Ch^2$. Further, since u_h is the interpolant of u on T_j , we have that $|\nabla u_h|$ is bounded on T_j and therefore

$$|\varphi_h(\bar{P}) - u_h(\bar{P})| = |u_h(P) - u_h(\bar{P})| \leq Ch^2 \quad \text{for } \bar{P} \in \Gamma,$$

which implies that $\|\varphi_h - u_h\|_{1,\Gamma} \leq Ch^2$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Mathematics Department
Chalmers Institute of Technology
Göteborg, Sweden

1. S. AGMON, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Van Nostrand Math. Studies, No. 2, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1965. MR 31 #2504.
2. C. B. MORREY, JR., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 130, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR 34 #2380.
3. J. NITSCHKE, "Lineare Spline-Funktionen und die Methoden von Ritz für elliptische Randwertprobleme," *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, v. 36, 1970, pp. 348-355. MR 40 #8250.
4. O. A. LADYŽENSKAYA (LADYZHENSKAJA) & N. N. URAL'CEVA (URAL'TSEVA), *Linear and Quasilinear Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1968. MR 39 #5941.