

On the Stability of the Ritz-Galerkin Method for Hammerstein Equations

by Jörg Hertling and Alexandru I. Şchiop

Abstract. For the numerical treatment of Hammerstein equations by variational methods which has been considered by Hertling, we establish the stability in the sense of Mikhlin, Stetter and Tucker.

Introduction. If one uses a variational method for the numerical treatment of Hammerstein equations, one obtains a nonlinear algebraic system of equations. In order to investigate the stability of the computing scheme, we will show that one can apply a theorem by Tucker [7]. Tucker's work is based on a paper by Mikhlin [3]. We would also like to refer to a paper by Kasriel and Nashed [2] where the problem of stability has been considered in a very similar way for some classes of nonlinear operator equations.

An equivalent general concept of stability and its application to initial-value problems has been given by Stetter [6].

Let B be a bounded measurable set in a finite-dimensional Euclidean space and let the symmetric kernel $K(x, y)$ define an operator A which is selfadjoint in L^2 and completely continuous from L^q into L^p ($p \geq 2, p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$):

$$(1.1) \quad Au \equiv \int_B K(x, y)u(y) dy.$$

Furthermore, we introduce the Nemytsky operator

$$(1.2) \quad h \equiv g(u(y), y)$$

as a continuous operator from L^p into L^q ; we assume that $g(u, y)$ is an N -function and that h is potential. A function $g(u, y)$ is an N -function if it is continuous with respect to u for almost every $y \in B$ and measurable in B with respect to y for every fixed $u \in (-\infty, +\infty)$. An operator h from a Banach space E into the conjugate space E^* is called potential on some set $H \subset E$, if there exists a functional f such that $g(x) = h(x)$ for every $x \in H$. Let $G(u, y)$ be defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \partial G(u, y)/\partial u \equiv g(u, y)$$

and assume

Received August 27, 1973, revised November 29, 1973 and June 4, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 45L10, 65R05; Secondary 65N30.

Key words and phrases. Hammerstein equation, Ritz-Galerkin method, stability of the numerical computation, finite element method.

$$(1.4) \quad G(0, y) \equiv 0.$$

For the Hammerstein equation

$$(1.5) \quad u = \mathbf{A}hu,$$

one of the authors [1] has considered the numerical solution by means of a Ritz-Galerkin scheme and by using subspaces of spline functions and finite elements. We shall establish here the stability of this approximating scheme.

The Computing Scheme and its Stability. According to Vainberg [8], there holds the following

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathbf{A} be positive and*

$$(2.1) \quad 2G(u, y) \leq au^2 + b(y)|u|^\alpha + c(y),$$

where $0 \leq a \leq \lambda_1$ (λ_1 is the smallest characteristic number of \mathbf{A}), $0 < \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq b(y) \in L^\gamma$, $\gamma = 2/(2 - \alpha)$, $0 \leq c(y) \in L$. Then, Eq. (1.5) has at least one solution in L^p . If, in addition, h satisfies a Lipschitz condition

$$(2.2) \quad \|hu_2 - hu_1\|_{L^q} \leq C \|u_2 - u_1\|_{L^p},$$

then the solution is unique.

Henceforth, we shall assume (2.2). The proof of this theorem consists in minimizing the functional

$$(2.3) \quad \varphi(u) = (u, u) - 2f(\mathbf{A}^{1/2}u)$$

in L^2 , where $\mathbf{A}^{1/2}$ is completely continuous from L^2 into L^p and

$$(2.4) \quad f(u) = \int_B G(u(y), y) dy.$$

Since $\text{grad } f(u) = hu$, the minimization of (2.3) yields a solution $u_0 \in L^2$ of

$$(2.5) \quad u = \mathbf{A}^{1/2}h\mathbf{A}^{1/2}u;$$

setting $z_0 = \mathbf{A}^{1/2}u_0$, we have a solution of (1.5). The Lipschitz condition implies that u_0 strictly minimizes the functional (2.3) in L^2 ;

For some $u_1, u_2 \in L^2$, it follows from (2.2):

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\text{grad } \varphi(u_2) - \text{grad } \varphi(u_1)\| \\ & \geq 2\|u_2 - u_1\| - 2\|\mathbf{A}^{1/2}h\mathbf{A}^{1/2}u_2 - \mathbf{A}^{1/2}h\mathbf{A}^{1/2}u_1\| \\ & \geq 2\|u_2 - u_1\| - 2\lambda_1^{-1/2}\|\mathbf{A}^{1/2}u_2 - \mathbf{A}^{1/2}u_1\|_{L^q} \\ & \geq 2(1 - C/\lambda_1)\|u_2 - u_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

For the numerical approximation, we consider the minimization of $\varphi(w)$ on a finite-dimensional subspace L_m^2 of L^2 with $\dim(L_m^2) = m$. Let L_m^2 be spanned by the functions $\{w_i(y)\}_{i=1}^m$. If we represent a function in L_m^2 by $\sum_{i=1}^m u_i w_i(y)$ and if we define $\varphi(\sum_{i=1}^m u_i w_i(y)) \equiv G(u_1, \dots, u_m) \equiv G(\mathbf{u})$, then it has been shown in [1]

that there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$G(\mathbf{u}) \geq \varphi(u_0) + \frac{\lambda_1 - C}{\lambda_1 C_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^m |u_i|^2,$$

which entails

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{\|\mathbf{u}\| \rightarrow \infty} G(\mathbf{u}) = +\infty.$$

Since $G(\mathbf{u})$ is continuous on \mathbf{R}^m , bounded below by $\varphi(u_0)$ and satisfies (2.7), it follows that there exists at least one vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}} \in \mathbf{R}^m$ such that $G(\mathbf{u}) \geq G(\hat{\mathbf{u}})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{R}^m$.

In order to show that $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is unique, one considers

$$(2.8) \quad \text{grad } G(\mathbf{u}) = 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_j w_j \right) - 2A^{1/2} \mathbf{h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_j A^{1/2} w_j \right) = 0.$$

Applying $A^{1/2}$ to this equation and denoting $\bar{w}_j = A^{1/2} w_j$ yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^m u_j \bar{w}_j = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_j \bar{w}_j \right).$$

From (2.2), we obtain that $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{h}$ is a contracting mapping with a unique fixed point $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$. This means that there exists a unique function \hat{w}_m in the subspace \mathbf{L}_m^2 which minimizes the functional (2.3) over \mathbf{L}_m^2 .

By applying \mathbf{A} to (2.8), we obtain the system

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m u_j (\bar{w}_j, \bar{w}_i) = \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{h} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m u_j \bar{w}_j \right), \bar{w}_i \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

which might be solved by some iterative method. The approximate solution of the integral equation is given by

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{w}_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{u}_j \bar{w}_j.$$

We will denote the system (2.9) by

$$(2.11) \quad T_m u_m = 0.$$

DEFINITION 1 [7]. An operator A_m is said to lie in an $\Omega_m = (u_m, r_m, b_m)$ neighborhood of an operator T_m if $A_m = T_m + b_m U_m$, where U_m are nonexpansive mappings ($\|U_m(x) - U_m(y)\|_m \leq \|x - y\|_m$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^m$, $\|\cdot\|_m$ denotes the Euclidean norm) in $K_m(u_m, r_m) = \{u \mid \|u - u_m\|_m \leq r_m\}$ and $\|U_m u_m\|_m \leq \|u_m\|_m$ independently of m .

Let the corresponding perturbed Ritz-Galerkin system be

$$(2.12) \quad A_m v_m = \delta_m.$$

Definition 2 [7]. The computing scheme (2.11) is stable at $\{u_m\}$ if for each r_m there exist neighborhoods $V_m(0, \eta_m)$, numbers p_m and constants s and t such that, if A_m is in an $\Omega_m \equiv (u_m, r_m, b_m)$ neighborhood of T_m with $b_m \leq p_m$ and $\delta_m \in V_m$,

then Eqs. (2.12) are solvable and

$$(2.13) \quad \|v_m - u_m\|_m \leq sb_m + t\|\delta_m\|_m,$$

where s and t are independent of n (but may depend on the sequence $\{u_m\}$).

Now we have the following result:

THEOREM 2. *For the construction of the solution, use a subspace L_m^2 which has the properties*

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\tilde{w} \in L_m^2} \|\tilde{w} - u_0\|_{L^2} = 0,$$

and strong minimality in the sense of [4]. Then, the computing scheme (2.11) is stable at $\{u_m\}$.

Proof. Using relation (2.6) and strong minimality, it follows that there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ which is independent of m , such that we have for $u, v \in R^m$

$$(2.15) \quad \|T_m u - T_m v\|_m \geq C_2 \|u - v\|_m.$$

On the other hand, the $\|u_m\|_m$ are bounded above, independently of m (uniformly bounded above).

Indeed, with our assumptions, we have the following chain of inequalities (see [1]):

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda_1 - C)\|A^{1/2}(\hat{w}_m - u_0)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq (1 - C/\lambda_1)\|\hat{w}_m - u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \varphi(\hat{w}_m) - \varphi(u_0) = \inf_{w \in L_m^2} \varphi(w) - \varphi(u_0) \\ &\leq \inf_{w \in L_m^2} (\|w - u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|A^{1/2}(w - u_0)\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{\lambda_1}\right) \inf_{w \in L_m^2} \|w - u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{C}{\lambda_1}\right) \|\tilde{w}_m - u_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_1 + C}{\lambda_1^2} \|A^{1/2}(\tilde{w}_m - u_0)\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where u_0 is the solution of (2.5), \hat{w}_m the unique function which minimizes the functional (2.3) over L_m^2 and \tilde{w}_m the interpolation of u_0 in L_m^2 . If $\|u_m\|_m$ are not uniformly bounded, then we have from (2.7), $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\hat{w}) = +\infty$, which contradicts the combination of (2.16) and (2.14). Tucker has proved [7] that the uniform boundedness of $\{\|u_m\|_m\}$, together with (2.15), ensures that the computing scheme (2.11) is stable. Q.E.D.

Let us remark that we did not use the existence of the second derivative of the functional (2.3) as has been done by Mikhlin [3] and Şchiop [5]. On the other hand, we have to assume (2.14).

Several classes of interpolating functions do, in fact, satisfy this property. In the one-dimensional case, we refer in particular to L -splines and their generalizations, in

the multidimensional case, we refer to finite elements. Most of these constructions have been considered by Varga [9].

Let us finally say that, with the machinery of [1], an analogous proof for the stability of the computing scheme for Hammerstein equations with quasi-definite kernels can be given.

Institut für Numerische Mathematik
Technische Hochschule Wien
Gusshausstr. 27-29
A-1040 Wien, Austria

Institute of Mathematics
Romanian Academy of Sciences
Calea Grivitei
Bucarest 12, Romania

1. J. HERTLING, *Numerical Treatment of Hammerstein-Equations by Variational Methods*, R. Ansorge & W. Törnig (Eds.), *Numerische Lösung nichtlinearer partieller Differential- und Integro-differentialgleichungen*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 267, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, pp. 267–288.
2. R. H. KASRIEL & M. Z. NASHED, “Stability of solutions of some classes of nonlinear operator equations,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, v. 17, 1966, pp. 1036–1042. MR 33 #7896.
3. S. G. MIKHLIN, “On the stability of certain computational processes,” *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, v. 157, 1964, pp. 271–273 = *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, v. 5, 1964, pp. 931–933. MR 31 #6361.
4. S. G. MIKHLIN, *The Numerical Performance of Variational Methods*, Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1971. MR 43 #4236.
5. A. I. ŞCHIOP, “Stability of Ritz procedure for nonlinear two-point boundary value problem,” *Numer. Math.*, v. 20, 1973, pp. 208–212.
6. H. J. STETTER, *Analysis of Discretization Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
7. T. S. TUCKER, “Stability of nonlinear computing schemes,” *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, v. 6, 1969, pp. 72–81. MR 40 #3743.
8. M. M. VAINBERG, *Variational Methods for the Study of Nonlinear Operators*, Holden-Day, San Francisco, Calif., 1964. MR 31 #638.
9. R. S. VARGA, *Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory in Numerical Analysis*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, vol. 3, Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., Philadelphia, Pa., 1971.