

## Uniqueness of Padé Approximants From Series of Orthogonal Polynomials

By Avram Sidi

**Abstract.** It is proved that whenever a nonlinear Padé approximant, derived from a series of orthogonal polynomials, exists, it is unique.

Let  $\phi_r(x)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , be a set of polynomials which are orthogonal on an interval  $[a, b]$ , finite, semi-infinite, or infinite, with weight function  $w(x)$ , whose integral over any subinterval of  $[a, b]$  is positive; i.e.,

$$(1) \quad \int_a^b w(x)\phi_r(x)\phi_s(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{if } r \neq s.$$

Then it is known that  $\phi_r(x)$  is a polynomial of degree exactly  $r$ .

Suppose now  $f(x)$  is a function which has a formal expansion of the form

$$(2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} a_r \phi_r(x)$$

on  $[a, b]$ . The  $(m, n)$  Padé approximant to  $f(x)$  is defined to be the rational function

$$(3) \quad S_{m,n}(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} = \frac{\sum_{r=0}^m p_r \phi_r(x)}{\sum_{s=0}^n q_s \phi_s(x)}$$

having an expansion in  $\phi_r(x)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , which agrees with that of  $f(x)$  given in (2) up to and including the term  $a_{m+n} \phi_{m+n}(x)$ . It is assumed that the polynomials  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  have no common factor, apart from a constant, and that  $Q(x)$  does not vanish on  $[a, b]$ . It is worth mentioning that the approximations defined above are the ones called "nonlinear Padé approximants" in [2].

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $g(x)$  is any continuous function on  $[a, b]$  such that  $\int_a^b w(x)g(x)\phi_r(x) dx = 0$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ , then  $g(x)$  either changes sign at least  $k$  times in the interval  $(a, b)$  or is identically zero.*

The proof of this theorem can be found in [1, p. 110].

As a consequence of Theorem 1, it follows that if  $Q(x)$  is nonzero on  $[a, b]$ , then  $q_0 \neq 0$ ; hence one can normalize  $Q(x)$  by taking  $q_0 = 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If the  $(m, n)$ th nonlinear Padé approximant  $P(x)/Q(x)$  to  $f$  exists, in the sense of (3), and, after dividing out common factors, if  $Q$  is of one sign on  $[a, b]$ , then it is unique.*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $S_{m,n}(x) = P(x)/Q(x)$  one has

$$(4) \quad f(x) - S_{m,n}(x) = \sum_{r=m+n+1}^{\infty} A_r \phi_r(x).$$

Received July 27, 1976; revised November 1, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 41A20.

Copyright © 1977, American Mathematical Society

If  $\bar{S}_{m,n}(x) = \bar{P}(x)/\bar{Q}(x)$  is another  $(m, n)$  Padé approximant to (1), then

$$(5) \quad f(x) - \bar{S}_{m,n}(x) = \sum_{r=m+n+1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_r \phi_r(x).$$

Subtracting (4) from (5) one obtains

$$(6) \quad S_{m,n}(x) - \bar{S}_{m,n}(x) = \sum_{r=m+n+1}^{\infty} (\bar{A}_r - A_r) \phi_r(x).$$

Now since  $S_{m,n}(x)$  and  $\bar{S}_{m,n}(x)$  are continuous on  $[a, b]$  so is  $D(x) \equiv S_{m,n}(x) - \bar{S}_{m,n}(x)$ . Then from (6) it follows that  $D(x)$  satisfies  $\int_a^b w(x) D(x) \phi_r(x) dx = 0$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots, m+n$ . Hence by Theorem 1,  $D(x)$  either changes sign at least  $m+n+1$  times on  $(a, b)$ , or is identically zero there. But

$$(7) \quad D(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} - \frac{\bar{P}(x)}{\bar{Q}(x)} = \frac{P(x)\bar{Q}(x) - \bar{P}(x)Q(x)}{Q(x)\bar{Q}(x)},$$

i.e., the numerator of  $D(x)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $m+n$ , therefore, can have at most  $m+n$  zeros on  $(a, b)$ . Since  $Q(x)$  and  $\bar{Q}(x)$  are nonzero on  $[a, b]$ ,  $D(x)$  changes sign at most  $m+n$  times on  $(a, b)$ . Therefore,  $D(x) \equiv 0$ ; hence  $S_{m,n}(x) \equiv \bar{S}_{m,n}(x)$ . Q.E.D.

So far Padé approximants from Legendre series [2] and Chebyshev series have been considered [3], [4]. As is explained in [2], the determination of the  $q_s$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , in general, involves the solution of  $n$  nonlinear equations, the determination of the  $p_r$  being trivial then. However, these  $n$  equations may have several solutions. But, as is mentioned in [2], only one solution with  $Q(x) \neq 0$  on  $[a, b]$  has been found for the examples in [2]. By Theorem 2 there is no other solution, and it is at this point that the result of Theorem 2 becomes important.

The author wishes to thank Professor I. M. Longman for encouragement and help.

Department of Geophysics and Planetary Sciences  
Tel-Aviv University  
Ramat-Aviv, Israel

1. E. W. CHENEY, *Introduction to Approximation Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR 36 #5568.
2. J. FLEISCHER, "Nonlinear Padé approximants for Legendre series," *J. Mathematical Phys.*, v. 14, 1973, pp. 246–248. MR 48 #592.
3. C. W. CLENSHAW & K. LORD, "Rational approximations from Chebyshev series," *Studies in Numerical Analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1974, pp. 95–113. MR 50 #8914.
4. A. SIDI, "Computation of the Chebyshev-Padé table," *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, v. 1, 1975, pp. 69–71. MR 52 #4580.