Semiexplicit A-Stable Runge-Kutta Methods

By G. J. Cooper and A. Sayfy

Abstract. An s-1 stage semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method is represented by an $s \times s$ real lower triangular matrix where the number of implicit stages is given by the number of nonzero diagonal elements. It is shown that the maximum order attainable is s when $s \le 5$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for s-stability are derived and it is shown that there must be s-1 implicit stages if the order is s and $s \le 5$. Examples are given for $s \le 4$ where all the nonzero diagonal elements are equal. Additional problems arise when s > 4; but when s = 6, an s-stable method of order s is obtained. This method has five nonzero diagonal elements, and these elements are equal. Finally, a six stage s-stable method of order six is given. Again, this method has five nonzero (and equal) diagonal elements.

1. Introduction. Consider an initial value problem, for a system of ordinary differential equations, of the form x' = f(x), $x(t_0) = x_0$. An s-1 stage, semiexplicit, Runge-Kutta method computes a sequence of approximations

$$y_r^{(m)} = y_s^{(m-1)} + h \sum_{j=1}^r b_{rj} f(y_j^{(m)}), \quad r = 1, 2, ..., s, m = 1, 2, 3, ...,$$

where $y_s^{(m)}$ approximates $x(t_0 + mh)$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Such a method may be represented by a real triangular matrix B, and described by an array

where $c_r = b_{r1} + b_{r2} + \cdots + b_{rr}$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. It is assumed that $c_s = 1$ so that all methods are of order $p \ge 1$, and it is also assumed that $s \ge 2$. The convention $b_{ss} = 0$ is not adopted, even though little is gained thereby. Indeed, in this article it is proved that, for $s \le 5$, the maximum attainable order is p = s and that there are such methods with $b_{ss} = 0$.

Suppose that an s-1 stage, semiexplicit, Runge-Kutta method is applied to the scalar initial value problem $x' = \lambda x$ with x(0) = 1. Then

^{*}Received January 31, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 65L05.

^{© 1979} American Mathematical Society 0025-5718/79/0000-0055/\$05.00

$$y_s^{(m)} = \frac{p(\tau)}{q(\tau)} y_s^{(m-1)}, \quad \tau = h\lambda, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where $p(\tau)$ is a polynomial of degree s-1 and

$$q(\tau) = \prod_{r=1}^{s} (1 - \tau b_{rr}) = 1 - \beta_1 \tau + \beta_2 \tau^2 - \dots + (-1)^{s} \beta_s \tau^{s}.$$

The method is defined to be A-stable if $|q(\tau)| > |p(\tau)|$ for all τ with Re $\tau < 0$. Thus, for any A-stable method, all diagonal elements of B must be nonnegative; and at least one diagonal element must be positive. The trapezoidal rule [4] is an A-stable, semi-explicit, Runge-Kutta method which may be represented by the array

where $b_{ss} \neq 0$. This method is of order p = s = 2.

In each step of a method, a set of n nonlinear equations has to be solved for each nonzero diagonal element, so that A-stable, semiexplicit, Runge-Kutta methods of order p=s, with a maximum number of zero diagonal elements, are required. When the nonlinear equations are solved by certain variants of the Newton-Raphson iteration, there is a significant computational advantage in using a method where all the nonzero diagonal elements are equal [2]. Methods of this type with $p=s \le 4$ have been obtained by Norsett [5].

In this article, necessary and sufficient conditions for A-stability are obtained. These conditions, which are similar to conditions obtained by Norsett [6], are used to show that when $p=s \le 5$ an A-stable, semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method can have at most one zero diagonal element. Methods are obtained with p=s=4 with one zero diagonal element and all other diagonal elements equal. One of these methods is due to Norsett [5], and the other method is obtained by choosing $b_{ss} \ne 0$. When p=s=5, it is not possible to obtain an A-stable method with one zero diagonal element and all other diagonal elements equal. However, it is possible to obtain such methods when p=5 and s=6. This is of little interest because an A-stable method with p=6 and s=7 is obtained with two diagonal elements zero and all other diagonal elements equal.

2. Attainable Order of Semiexplicit Methods. An s-1 semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method with $b_{ss} \neq 0$ is equivalent to an s stage method of the form

so that the order conditions may be obtained from those for conventional Runge-Kutta methods [1]. The conditions may also be obtained, directly, by adapting the treatment of Cooper and Verner [3]. It is convenient to state the conditions in terms of the expressions

$$b_r(\sigma) = c_r^{\sigma} - \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{rj} c_j^{\sigma-1}, \quad r = 1, 2, ..., s, \sigma = 1, 2, 3, ...,$$

where, as already defined, $b_r(1) = 0$ for r = 1, 2, ..., s. Then the conditions

$$(1.1) b_s(\sigma) = 0, \sigma \leq p,$$

(1.2)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^{\tau-1} b_r(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma + \tau \leq p,$$

(1.3)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^{\tau-1} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{rj} c_j^{\nu-1} b_j(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma + \tau + \nu \leq p,$$

(1.4)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{rj} \sum_{k=1}^{s} b_{jk} b_{k}(2) = 0, \quad p \ge 5,$$

(1.5)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} b_{r}(2) b_{r}(2) = 0, \quad p \ge 5,$$

where σ , τ and ν take all possible positive integer values, are necessary for a method to be of order p, and are necessary and sufficient for a method to be of order $p \le 5$.

It has been remarked that methods may be obtained with $b_{ss} = 0$ and p = s for $s \le 5$. Consider the case p = 5 and assume that $b_{ss} = 0$. Then the order conditions may be replaced by the more restrictive conditions

$$(2.1) b_s(\sigma) = 0, \sigma \leq 5,$$

$$(2.2) b_r(2) = 0, r = 1, 2, \dots, s-1,$$

(2.3)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} b_{rj} = b_{sj} (1 - c_j), \quad j = 3, 4, \dots, s - 1,$$

(2.4)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r b_{rj} = \frac{b_{sj}}{2} (1 - c_j^2), \quad j = 3, 4, \dots, s - 1,$$

which give a one parameter family of methods when s = 5. In particular, the method

has equal nonzero diagonal elements (though it is not A-stable).

THEOREM 1. When $s \le 5$ the order of an s-1 stage semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method cannot exceed s.

Proof. Only the case s = 5 is considered since the case s = 4 may be proved by a similar argument and the other cases are comparatively trivial. It is shown that, when s = 5 and p = 6, the order conditions must lead to a contradiction.

Condition (1.1) gives, for any polynomial Π of degree at most 5,

$$\int_0^1 \Pi(t) dt = \sum_{r=1}^5 b_{5r} \Pi(c_r).$$

Suppose there are at most two distinct values c_i and c_k in $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$. Then

$$-\int_0^1 (t-c_j)(t-c_k)(t-1)t^{\nu} dt = 0$$

$$=\frac{1}{(4+\nu)(3+\nu)}-\frac{c_j+c_k}{(3+\nu)(2+\nu)}+\frac{c_jc_k}{(2+\nu)(1+\nu)}, \quad \nu=0,1,2,$$

gives a contradiction. Hence, at most, two values in $\{c_1,c_2,c_3,c_4\}$ are equal. Suppose $c_j=c_k$ with $j\neq k$. Then, $b_{5j}+b_{5k}\neq 0$; and $b_{5r}\neq 0$ for $r\neq j$, k and r<5.

If c_1 , c_2 , c_3 , c_4 are distinct, condition (1.2) gives $b_{5r}b_r(2) = 0$ for all $r \le 5$. If not, then $b_{5r}b_r(2) = 0$ for all $r \ne j$, k, where $c_j = c_k$ and (1.2) and (1.5) give

$$b_{5j}b_j(2) + b_{5k}b_k(2) = 0 = b_{5j}b_j(2)^2 + b_{5k}b_k(2)^2, \quad b_{5j} + b_{5k} \neq 0.$$

Hence, $b_{5r}b_r(2) = 0$ for all $r \le 5$ and, in particular, $b_{51}c_1 = 0$.

Suppose c_2 , c_3 , c_4 are not distinct with $c_j=c_k$, $j\neq k$. Let c_r be the other value. Then, since $b_{51}c_1=0$, condition (1.1) gives

$$-\int_0^1 (t - c_j)(t - c_r)(t - 1) t^{\nu} dt = 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{(4 + \nu)(3 + \nu)} - \frac{c_j + c_r}{(3 + \nu)(2 + \nu)} + \frac{c_j c_r}{(2 + \nu)(1 + \nu)}, \quad \nu = 1, 2,$$

whence $c_r = (4 \pm \sqrt{6})/10$ and $c_j = (4 \mp \sqrt{6})/10$. This gives $b_5(6) \neq 0$ so that c_2 , c_3 , c_4 must be distinct and $b_{5r}c_r \neq 0$ for r = 2, 3, 4.

Now $b_{5r}b_{r}(2) = 0$ for all r and $b_{51}c_{1} = 0$ so that (1.3) gives

$$b_{54}c_4^{\nu} \sum_{r=1}^{5} b_{4r}b_r(2) + b_{53}c_3^{\nu} \sum_{r=1}^{5} b_{3r}b_r(2) + b_{52}c_2^{\nu}b_{2r}b_1(2) = 0, \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2.$$

Since c_2 , c_3 , c_4 are distinct $b_{52}b_{21}b_1(2) = 0$. But $b_{52} \neq 0$ so that $b_{21}c_1 = 0$ and, also, $b_2(2) = 0$. Thus, $b_2(3) \neq 0$. However, (1.2) gives $b_2(3) = 0$, a contradiction.

It has been mentioned that it is possible to obtain A-stable, semiexplicit, methods with p = s = 4 which have equal nonzero diagonal elements. It is now shown that it is only possible to obtain a semiexplicit method of order p = 4 of the form

if $(24c^3 - 36c^2 + 12c - 1)(6c^2 - 8c + 1) = 0$. Since (1.1) gives a quadrature rule which is exact for any polynomial of degree three,

(3.1)
$$-\int_{0}^{1} (t-c)(t-c_{2})(t-c_{3}) dt = 0$$

$$= cc_{2}c_{3} - \frac{1}{2}(cc_{2} + cc_{3} + c_{2}c_{3}) + \frac{1}{3}(c+c_{2} + c_{3}) - \frac{1}{4}.$$

Suppose that (1.1) holds. Then conditions (1.2) reduce to

(3.2)
$$b_{43}b_{32}c_2 + (b_{43}b_{31} + b_{42}b_{21})c = \frac{1-3c}{6},$$

(3.3)
$$b_{43}b_{32}c_2^2 + (b_{43}b_{31} + b_{42}b_{21})c^2 = \frac{1-4c}{12},$$

(3.4)
$$b_{43}b_{32}c_2c_3 + (b_{43}b_{31}c_3 + b_{42}b_{41}c_2)c = \frac{3-8c}{24},$$

while condition (1.3) may be expressed as

$$(3.5) b_{43}b_{32}(c_2^2 - 4cc_2 + 2c^2) - (b_{43}b_{31} + b_{42}b_{21})c^2 = 0.$$

It follows that $c \neq 0$ and that Eqs. (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5) can be solved only if $c_2(2c-1)(6c-1) = 2c(6c^2-6c+1)$ whence $2c-1 \neq 0$. The equations give

$$b_{43}b_{32}(c_2-c)^2=\frac{1-4c}{24}, \quad b_{43}b_{32}c_2(c_2-c)=\frac{6c^2-6c+1}{12},$$

so that $(c_2 - c)(1 - 4c) \neq 0$. Since $b_{21} = c_2 - c$ and $b_{31} + b_{32} = c_3 - c$, (3.4) gives

$$\frac{3-8c}{24} = b_{43}b_{32}c_3(c_2-c) + b_{43}cc_3(c_3-c) + b_{42}cc_2(c_2-c).$$

Conditions (1.1) imply that

$$b_{43}cc_3(c_3-c)+b_{42}cc_2(c_2-c)=\frac{c(2-3c)}{6};$$

and substitution gives $c_3(1-4c)=(c_2-c)(12c^2-16c+3)$, whence

$$c_3 = \frac{c(12c^2 - 16c + 3)}{(2c - 1)(6c - 1)}.$$

From (3.1), if $6cc_2 - 3(c + c_2) + 2 = 0$, then $6c^2 - 6c + 1 = 0$ so that $c_2 = 0$, which gives a contradiction. Thus,

$$c_3 = \frac{6cc_2 - 4(c + c_2) + 3}{2\{6cc_2 - 3(c + c_2) + 2\}} = \frac{72c^4 - 168c^3 + 128c^2 - 36c + 3}{2\{72c^4 - 144c^3 + 96c^2 - 25c + 2\}}.$$

Hence a polynomial equation is obtained for c and this factors as

$$(2c-1)^2(24c^3-36c^2+12c-1)(6c^2-8c+1)=0.$$

The roots of $24c^3 - 36c^2 + 12c - 1$ are real and positive and give the methods

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
c & c & \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1-2c}{2} & c & \\
1-c & 2c & 1-4c & c & \\
1 & \frac{2c(c-1)}{2c-1} & \frac{6c-1}{6c(2c-1)} & \frac{2c(c-1)}{2c-1} & 0
\end{array}$$

which were obtained by Norsett [4] who showed that one of these methods is A-stable. The roots of $6c^2 - 8c + 1$ give the methods

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
c & c & & & & \\
\frac{2}{3} & \frac{2-3c}{3} & c & & & \\
\frac{1}{6c} & \frac{4c-1}{6c} & \frac{1-4c}{2c} & c & & \\
1 & \frac{1}{20c} & \frac{3}{5} & \frac{3c}{10} & 0
\end{array}$$

though neither of these methods is A-stable.

3. Conditions for A-Stability. Suppose that an s-1 stage, semiexplicit, Runge-Kutta method

is applied to the scalar initial value problem $x' = \lambda x$ with x(0) = 1. Then

$$y_s^{(m)} = \frac{p(\tau)}{q(\tau)} y_s^{(m-1)}, \quad \tau = h\lambda, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where p is a polynomial of degree s-1 and q a polynomial of degree s,

$$p(\tau) = 1 - \alpha_1 \tau + \alpha_2 \tau^2 - \dots + (-1)^{s-1} \alpha_{s-1} \tau^{s-1},$$

$$q(\tau) = \prod_{r=1}^{s} (1 - \tau b_{rr}) = 1 - \beta_1 \tau + \beta_2 \tau^2 - \dots + (-1)^s \beta_s \tau^s.$$

The method is A-stable if $|q(\tau)|>|p(\tau)|$ for all τ with Re $\tau<0$ so that it is necessary and sufficient that $b_{rr}\geqslant 0$ for $r=1,\,2,\,\ldots\,$, s and $p(\tau)/q(\tau)<1$, Re $\tau<0$. Since all methods are assumed to be of order $p\geqslant 1$ the rational function is not constant. As $q(\tau)$ is analytic for Re $\tau\leqslant 0$, it follows from the maximum modulus principle that necessary and sufficient conditions for A-stability are that $b_{rr}\geqslant 0$ for $r=1,\,2,\,\ldots\,$, s and $|p(iy)|^2\leqslant |q(iy)|^2\ \forall y\in R$. Now define $\beta_0=1$ and $\beta_{s+1}=\beta_{s+2}=\cdots=0$. Then

$$|q(\tau)|^{2} = q(\tau)q(\overline{\tau}) = \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \beta_{r-j}\beta_{j}\tau^{r-j}\overline{\tau}^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{2s} (-1)^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{[r/2]} {}^{*}\beta_{r-j}\beta_{j}(\tau^{r-j}\overline{\tau}^{j} + \tau^{j}\overline{\tau}^{r-j})$$

where $[\nu]$ is the integral part of ν and where the asterisk denotes that any term with 2j = r is halved. It follows that

$$|q(iy)|^2 = 2 \sum_{r=0}^{s} y^{2r} \sum_{j=0}^{r*} \beta_{2r-j} \beta_j (-1)^{r+j},$$

and there is a similar expressions for $|p(iy)|^2$ with $\alpha_0 = 1$ and $\alpha_s = \alpha_{s+1} = \cdots = 0$. Hence an s-1 stage semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method is A-stable if and only if $b_{rr} = 0$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, and

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} y^{2r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} (\beta_{2r-j}\beta_j - \alpha_{2r-j}\alpha_j)(-1)^{r+j} \ge 0 \quad \forall y \ge 0.$$

This result may be further simplified if it is assumed that the method is of order p for then

$$\left|\frac{p(\tau)}{q(\tau)}\right|^2 = e^{\tau + \overline{\tau}} + O(|\tau|^{p+1})$$

whence $|q(iy)|^2 - |p(iy)|^2 = O(y^{p+1})$. This gives the following result.

THEOREM 2. An s-1 stage semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method of order p is A-stable if and only if $b_{rr} \ge 0$, $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, and

$$\sum_{r=[(p+2)/2]}^{s} y^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} (\beta_{2r-j}\beta_{j} - \alpha_{2r-j}\alpha_{j})(-1)^{r+j} \ge 0 \quad \forall y \ge 0.$$

This is similar to a result obtained by Norsett [6]. Other stability regions may also be examined.

Suppose that an s-1 stage semiexplicit Runge-Kutta method is of order p. Then, since $p(\tau)/q(\tau) = c^{\tau} + O(|\tau|^{p+1})$, it follows that

(4)
$$\alpha_r = \beta_r - \beta_{r-1} + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{r-2} - \dots + (-1)^r \frac{1}{r!}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, p,$$

and the polynomial p is uniquely determined if $p \ge s-1$. Of course, these are order conditions rather than conditions for A-stability. However, in the search for A-stable methods it is convenient to start with diagonal elements $b_{11}, b_{22}, \ldots, b_{ss}$, which ensure A-stability, and then to solve the (remaining) order conditions. When p = s, the diagonal elements must be chosen so that $\alpha_s = 0$. When p = s-1, there is no a priori restriction on the choice of diagonal elements. In some applications that are envisaged, p = s-2 is required. Here it suffices to choose both the diagonal elements and α_{s-1} , and then to incorporate the choice of α_{s-1} as an extra condition to be satisfied when the order conditions are solved.

Suppose that an s-1 stage, semiexplicit, Runge-Kutta method is of order p=s, that $b_{rr} \ge 0$ for $r=1,\,2,\,\ldots$, s, and that at least one diagonal element is zero so that $\beta_s=0$. Since $\alpha_s=0$, a necessary and sufficient condition for the method to be A-stable is that

$$\sum_{r=[(s+2)/2]}^{s-1} y^r \sum_{j=2r+1-s}^{r*} (\beta_{2r-j}\beta_j - \alpha_{2r-j}\alpha_j)(-1)^{r+j} \ge 0 \quad \forall y \ge 0.$$

Some low order cases are now considered.

When p=s=2 and $\beta_2=0$ all methods are A-stable. Since $\alpha_2=0$, it follows from (4) that $\beta_1=1/2$ so that either $b_{11}=1/2$ or $b_{22}=1/2$.

When p=s=3 and $\beta_3=0$ a method is A-stable if and only if $\beta_2^2 \geqslant \alpha_2^2$. Since $\alpha_3=0$, it follows that $\beta_2=(\beta_1-1/3)/2$ and $\beta_2^2-\alpha_2^2=(\beta_1-1/2)/6$. Thus, such a method (with nonnegative diagonal elements) is A-stable if and only if $\beta_1\geqslant 1/2$. This inequality is violated if $\beta_2=0$, so that there must be at least two nonzero diagonal elements. However, these elements may be chosen equal. An example with $b_{11}=b_{22}$ and $b_{33}=0$ is given by Norsett [5]. An example where $b_{11}=0$ is the method

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
0 & 0 \\
2c & c & c & c & c & \frac{3+\sqrt{3}}{6} \\
1 & \frac{6c-1}{12c} & \frac{1-2c}{4c} & c
\end{array}$$

When p=s=4 and $\beta_4=0$ a method is A-stable if and only if $\beta_3^2 \geqslant \alpha_3^2$. Since $\alpha_4=0$, it follows from (4) that

$$\beta_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_2 - \frac{1}{3} \beta_1 + \frac{1}{12} \right) \text{ and } \beta_3^2 - \alpha_3^2 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\beta_1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\beta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1 + \frac{1}{6} \right).$$

As $\beta_3 \ge 0$, necessary and sufficient conditions for A-stability are

$$\beta_1 - \frac{1}{2} \ge 0$$
, $\beta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 + \frac{1}{6} \ge 0$.

When $\beta_3=0$, these inequalities give $\beta_1=1/2$ so that $\beta_2=1/6$; and the method cannot have real diagonal elements. Thus, there must be at least three nonzero diagonal elements. If these elements are chosen to be equal, then $\beta_1=3c$, $\beta_2=3c^2$ and $\beta_3=c^3$, and $\alpha_4=0$ gives

$$24c^3 - 36c^2 + 12c - 1 = 0.$$

Only the largest root of this equation $c=1.06857902130\ldots$ satisfies the A-stability conditions. An example with $b_{44}=0$ was given in the previous section and is due to Norsett [5]. The case $b_{11}=0$ gives

An example where the diagonal elements are not equal is the method

and it is readily verified that the diagonal elements satisfy the A-stability conditions.

4. Higher Order Methods. Methods of order p = 5 present new complications which are not directly associated with the order conditions. Consider the case p = s = 5 with $\beta_5 = 0$. Then, a method is A-stable if and only if

$$-2(\beta_4\beta_2 - \alpha_4\alpha_2) + \beta_3^2 - \alpha_3^2 + y(\beta_4^2 - \alpha_4^2) \ge 0 \quad \forall y \ge 0.$$

Since $\alpha_5 = 0$ the condition (4) gives $\beta_4 = (\beta_3 - \beta_2/3 + \beta_1/12 - 1/60)/2$ and hence

$$\beta_4^2 - \alpha_4^2 = \frac{1}{6} \left(\beta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_1 + \frac{3}{20} \right) \left(\beta_3 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 + \frac{1}{6} \beta_1 - \frac{1}{24} \right),$$

$$\beta_3^2 - \alpha_3^2 - 2(\beta_4 \beta_2 - \alpha_4 \alpha_2) = \frac{1}{6} \left(-\beta_3 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_2 - \frac{3}{20} \beta_1 + \frac{1}{30} \right),\,$$

so that necessary and sufficient conditions for A-stability are that

$$\beta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 + \frac{3}{20} \ge 0, \quad \beta_3 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 + \frac{1}{6}\beta_1 - \frac{1}{24} \ge 0, \quad -\beta_3 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 - \frac{3}{20}\beta_1 + \frac{1}{30} \ge 0.$$

If $\beta_4=0$, the first two inequalities give $\beta_2-\beta_1/2+3/20=0$, whence $\beta_3-\beta_1/12+1/30=0$. Suppose that b_1 , b_2 and b_3 are the three possible nonzero diagonal elements. If $b_1+b_2=1/2$, the first equation gives $b_1b_2=1/10$ and b_1 and b_2 are complex. If $b_1+b_2\neq 1/2$, the equations give

$$b_1^2 \left(b_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} b_2 + \frac{1}{12} \right) - b_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} b_2^2 - \frac{7}{30} b_2 + \frac{1}{30} \right) + \frac{1}{12} b_2^2 - \frac{1}{30} b_2 + \frac{1}{240} = 0;$$

and b_1 can be real only if $p(b) = -300b^4 + 240b^3 - 84b^2 + 14b - 1$ is nonnegative for some b. If $p(b) \ge 0$ for some real b, then $p(c) \ge 0$ for some c such that p'(c) = 0. But when p'(c) = 0,

$$p(c) = -\frac{3}{10}(20c^2 - 7c + 1),$$

which is negative for all real c. Hence, there must be at least four nonzero diagonal elements in an A-stable method with p = s = 5.

Now suppose that $\beta_4 \neq 0$ but that the diagonal elements are chosen to be equal. Since $\beta_4 = (\beta_3 - \beta_2/3 + \beta_1/12 - 1/60)/2$, these elements must satisfy

$$120c^4 - 240c^3 + 120c^2 - 20c + 1 = 0.$$

A direct computation shows that each root violates one of the three inequalities necessary and sufficient for A-stability, so that this case differs from the lower order cases. Even when the diagonal elements are not equal it appears that it may not be possible to satisfy the conditions for A-stability together with the condition

$$\beta_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_3 - \frac{1}{3} \beta_2 + \frac{1}{12} \beta_1 - \frac{1}{60} \right).$$

To overcome this it is possible to consider methods where p=s=5 but $\beta_5\neq 0$. In this case a method is A-stable if and only if

(5)
$$2(\beta_5\beta_1 - \alpha_5\alpha_1) - 2(\beta_4\beta_2 - \alpha_4\alpha_2) + (\beta_3^2 - \alpha_3^2)$$

$$+ y\{-2(\beta_5\beta_3 - \alpha_5\alpha_3) + (\beta_4^2 - \alpha_4^2)\} + y^2(\beta_5^2 - \alpha_5^2) \ge 0$$

for all $y \ge 0$. Here $\alpha_5 = 0$, where $\alpha_5 = \beta_5 - \beta_4 + \beta_3/2 - \beta_2/6 + \beta_1/24 - 1/120$. Suppose that all diagonal elements are equal, $b_{11} = b_{22} = \cdots = c$. Then

$$120c^5 - 600c^4 + 600c^3 - 200c^2 + 25c - 1 = 0,$$

and only one root c = .278053841136 ... satisfies the A-stability condition (5). It now seems likely that the order conditions (1) cannot be satisfied.

However, it is possible to obtain an A-stable method with p=5 and s=6 with $\beta_6=0$. The same A-stability condition (5) must be satisfied but it is no longer necessary that $\alpha_5=0$. Since $\beta_5=0$ implies $\alpha_5=0$, it follows as before that there must be at least four nonzero diagonal elements and that these diagonal elements cannot be equal. Hence, suppose that there are five equal diagonal elements so that $\beta_1=5c$, $\beta_2=10c^2$, $\beta_3=10c^3$ and $\beta_4=5c^4$ and $\beta_5=c^5$. Although it is now possible to obtain a method where $\alpha_5=0$, it is more interesting to consider other values of c which satisfy the A-stability condition. In particular, the A-stability condition is satisfied if $c=(6-\sqrt{6})/10$; and this choice gives the following A-stable method of order 5:

Finally, the case p=6 and s=7 is considered. When $\beta_6=\beta_7=0$ the condition for A-stability is that

$$-2(\beta_5\beta_3 - \alpha_5\alpha_3) + (\beta_4^2 - \alpha_4^2) + y(\beta_5^2 - \alpha_5^2) \ge 0 \quad \forall y \ge 0;$$

and $\alpha_6 = 0$, which gives $\beta_5 = (\beta_4 - \beta_3/3 + \beta_2/12 - \beta_1/60 + 1/360)/2$. It follows that necessary and sufficient conditions for A-stability are

$$\beta_3 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_2 + \frac{3}{20}\beta_1 - \frac{1}{30} \ge 0,$$

$$\beta_4 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_3 + \frac{1}{6}\beta_2 - \frac{1}{24}\beta_1 + \frac{1}{120} \ge 0,$$

$$-\beta_4\beta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_4 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_3\beta_1 - \frac{4}{15}\beta_3 - \frac{3}{20}\beta_2\beta_1 + \frac{1}{12}\beta_2 + \frac{1}{30}\beta_1^2 - \frac{1}{40}\beta_1 + \frac{1}{288} \ge 0.$$

Suppose that the five nonzero diagonal elements are chosen equal so that $\beta_1=5c$, $\beta_2=10c^2$, $\beta_3=10c^3$ and $\beta_4=5c^4$ and $\beta_5=c^5$. Then, c must satisfy

$$720c^5 - 1800c^4 + 1200c^3 - 300c^2 + 30c - 1 = 0;$$

and there is just one zero c = .473268391258... which satisfies the conditions. For many problems such a method would require little more computation than that needed for a fifth order A-stable method.

To obtain such a method consider a general s-1 stage method

where $c_r = b_{r1} + b_{r2} + \cdots + b_{rr}$ for $r = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, and $c_s = 1$. Let

$$b_r(\sigma) = c_r^{\sigma} - \sigma \sum_{j=1}^r b_{rj} c_j^{\sigma-1}, \quad r = 1, 2, ..., s, \sigma = 1, 2, 3, ...,$$

so that $b_r(1) = 0$ for r = 1, 2, ..., s. Now suppose that $b_{sr}b_r(2) = 0$ for r = 1, 2, ..., s. Then the method is of order p = 6 if

$$(6.1) b_{\mathfrak{s}}(\sigma) = 0, \sigma \leq 6,$$

(6.2)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_{r}^{\tau-1} b_{r}(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma + \tau \leq 6,$$

(6.3)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^{\tau-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ri} c_i^{\mu-1} b_i(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma + \tau + \mu \leq 6,$$

(6.4)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^{\tau-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ri} c_i^{\mu-1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ij} c_j^{\nu-1} b_j(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma + \tau + \mu + \nu \leq 6,$$

(6.5)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ri} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^{s} b_{jk} b_{k}(2) = 0,$$

(6.6)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ri} b_{i}(2) b_{i}(2) = 0,$$

where σ , τ , μ and ν take all possible positive integer values. To simplify these equations assume that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} b_{rj} = b_{sj} (1 - c_j), \quad j = 3, 4, \dots, s,$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r b_{rj} = \frac{b_{sj}}{2} (1 - c_j^2), \quad j = 3, 4, \dots, s.$$

Provided that $c_2 \neq c_1$ these equations must hold for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$ because

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^{\tau-1} b_r(1) = 0, \quad \sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^{\tau-1} b_r(2) = 0, \quad \tau = 1, 2.$$

A method with s = 7 which has the particular form

where $c_r = b_{r1} + b_{r2} + \cdots + b_{rr}$ and $c_2 \neq c$, is of order p = 6 if

(7.1)
$$b_3(2) = b_4(2) = b_5(2) = b_6(2) = 0,$$

(7.2)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} b_{rj} = b_{sj} (1 - c_j), \quad j = 3, 4, 5,$$

(7.3)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r b_{rj} = \frac{b_{sj}}{2} (1 - c_j^2), \quad j = 3, 4, 5,$$

$$(7.4) b_s(\sigma) = 0, \sigma \le 6,$$

(7.5)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^2 b_r(3) = 0,$$

(7.6)
$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr} c_r^2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ri} b_i(2) = 0.$$

Since (7.4) implies that $c_5 \neq 1$ conditions (7.2) and (7.3) give, in particular, $c_5 = 1 - 2c$. Condition (7.4) gives the quadrature rule

$$\int_0^1 p(t) dt = \sum_{r=1}^s b_{sr} p(c_r)$$

valid for any polynomial p of degree 5. Hence,

$$\int_0^1 (t - c_3)(t - c_4)(t - c_5)(t - 1) dt = 0, \int_0^1 t(t - c_3)(t - c_4)(t - c_5)(t - 1) dt = 0,$$

and these identities imply that $\boldsymbol{c_3}$ and $\boldsymbol{c_4}$ are the two (real) roots of

$$(8.1) 5(40c^2 - 24c + 3)x^2 - 10(16c^2 - 9c + 1)x + 20c^2 - 10c + 1 = 0.$$

The quadrature rule also gives the relations

$$(8.2) b_{s3}(c_4 - c_3)(c_5 - c_3)(1 - c_3) = \frac{c_4 c_5}{2} - \frac{c_4 + c_5}{6} + \frac{1}{12},$$

$$(8.3) b_{s4}(c_3 - c_4)(c_5 - c_4)(1 - c_4) = \frac{c_3c_5}{2} - \frac{c_3 + c_5}{6} + \frac{1}{12},$$

$$(8.4) b_{s5}(c_3 - c_5)(c_4 - c_5)(1 - c_5) = \frac{c_3 c_4}{2} - \frac{c_3 + c_4}{6} + \frac{1}{12},$$

$$(8.5) \ b_{s6}(c_3-1)(c_4-1)(c_5-1) = \frac{2c_5-1}{2}c_3c_4 - \frac{3c_5-2}{6}(c_3+c_4) + \frac{4c_5-3}{12},$$

which define the quadrature weights.

To obtain an expression for c_2 consider condition (7.5). Since Eqs. (6.2) must hold, this condition is subsumed by

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr}(c_r - 1)(c_r - c_5)b_r(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma = 1, 2, 3,$$

which give three linear equations. Provided that c, c_2 and c_3 are distinct the equations

give

$$(8.6) \ b_{s4}(c_4 - 1)(c_4 - c_5)b_{41} + b_{s3}(c_3 - 1)(c_3 - c_5)b_{31} = \frac{\alpha_1 c_2 c_3 - \alpha_2 (c_2 + c_3) + \alpha_3}{(c - c_2)(c - c_3)},$$

$$(8.7) \ b_{s4}(c_4-1)(c_4-c_5)b_{42} + b_{s3}(c_3-1)(c_3-c_5)b_{32} = \frac{\alpha_1cc_3 - \alpha_2(c+c_3) + \alpha_3}{(c_2-c)(c_2-c_3)},$$

$$(8.8) b_{s4}(c_4 - 1)(c_4 - c_5)b_{43} = \frac{\alpha_1 c c_2 - \alpha_2 (c + c_2) + \alpha_3}{(c_3 - c)(c_3 - c_2)},$$

where α_1 , α_2 and α_3 are given by

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{12c^2 - 8c + 1}{12}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{20c^2 - 10c + 1}{60}, \quad \alpha_3 = \frac{30c^2 - 12c + 1}{180}.$$

On the other hand, Eqs. (6.3) imply that (7.6) may be replaced by

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} b_{sr}(c_r - 1)(c_r - c_5) \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{ri}b_i(2) = 0.$$

Since $b_r(2)=0$, $r=3,4,\ldots$, s, and since $b_1(2)=-c^2$ and $b_2(2)=c_2^2-4cc_2+2c^2$, this equation gives

$$b_{s4}(c_4-1)(c_4-c_5)b_{41}+b_{s3}(c_3-1)(c_3-c_5)b_{31}$$

$$=\frac{c_2^2-4cc_2+2c^2}{c^2} \{b_{s4}(c_4-1)(c_4-c_5)b_{42}+b_{s3}(c_3-1)(c_3-c_5)b_{32}\}.$$

Equations (8.6) and (8.7) now give a quadratic equation for c_2 and the root $c_2 = c$ must be rejected. Thus,

(8.9)
$$c_2 = c \frac{c_3^2(2c\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_2) - c_3(2c^2\alpha_1 - 3\alpha_3) + 2c(c\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}{c_3^2(c\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - c_3(2c^2\alpha_1 - \alpha_3) + c(2c\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)}.$$

Thus, $b_{21} = c_2 - c$ may be obtained; and since $b_3(1) = b_3(2) = 0$,

(8.10)
$$b_{32} = \frac{c_3^2 - 4cc_3 + 2c^2}{2(c_2 - c)}, \quad b_{31} = c_3 - c - b_{32}.$$

Equations (8.6), (8.7) and (8.8) now give b_{41} , b_{42} and b_{43} since the quadrature weights have already been given.

The remaining coefficients may be obtained by considering conditions (7.2) and (7.3). These give

$$(8.11) \quad b_{s6}b_{65} = b_{s5}c,$$

(8.12)
$$b_{s6}b_{64} = -b_{s4}\frac{c_4^2 + 2(3c - 1)c_4 + 4c^2 - 6c + 1}{4c}$$

$$(8.13) b_{s6}b_{63} = -b_{s3}\frac{c_3^2 + 2(3c - 1)c_3 + 4c^2 - 6c + 1}{4c} + b_{s4}b_{43}\frac{c_5 - c_4}{2c},$$

0

An A-stable 6 stage method of order 6

.473268391258	.473268391258					
440688018567	913956409826	.473268391258				
.746324538533	.049915856719	.223140290556	.473268391258			
.333136903910	.517815516833	121147167809	536799836373	.473268391258		
.053463217483	973457526431	.068417147099	.738972374129	253737168571	.473268391258	
1	962899186365	635943229062	.173356920764	1.48888661392	.936598874590	0
-	0	0	.382873182367	.389485000435	.151226342338	.076415474859

(8.14)
$$b_{s5}b_{54} = b_{s4} \frac{(1 - c_4)(c_5 - c_4)}{4c},$$

(8.15)
$$b_{s5}b_{53} = b_{s3}\frac{(1-c_3)(c_5-c_3)}{4c} - b_{s4}b_{43}\frac{1-c_4}{2c}.$$

Finally, $b_5(1) = b_5(2) = 0$ and $b_6(1) = b_6(2) = 0$ give

(8.16)
$$b_{52} = \frac{14c^2 - 8c + 1 + 2b_{53}(c - c_3) + 2b_{54}(c - c_4)}{2(c_2 - c)},$$

(8.17)
$$b_{62} = \frac{c_5 + 2b_{63}(c - c_3) + 2b_{64}(c - c_4) + 2b_{65}(c - c_5)}{2(c_2 - c)},$$

and
$$b_{51} = c_5 - c - b_{52} - b_{53} - b_{54}$$
 and $b_{61} = 1 - b_{62} - b_{63} - b_{64} - b_{65}$.

Because c_3 and c_4 are the roots of the quadratic equation (8.1) there are no simple expressions for the coefficients in terms of c alone. However, the coefficients are easily computed from Eqs. (8) once c has been obtained as the root c = .473268391258 ... of $720c^5 - 1800c^4 + 1200c^3 - 300c^2 + 30c - 1$. The coefficients have been computed to 20 significant decimal figures and the results, rounded to 12 decimal figures, are given in the table. Each author has computed these coefficients independently, and it has been verified that the coefficients satisfy all the order conditions given by Butcher [1]. A similar method may be obtained by interchanging c_3 and c_4 and this gives $c_2 \in (0, 1)$, but some coefficients are large.

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences University of Sussex Brighton, BN1 9QH, England

Mathematics Department College of Science University of Baghdad Baghdad, Iraq

- 1. J. C. BUTCHER, "Coefficients for the study of Runge-Kutta integration processes," J. Austral. Math. Soc., v. 3, 1963, pp. 185-201.
- 2. J. C. BUTCHER, "On the implementation of implicit Runge-Kutta methods," BIT, v. 16, 1976, pp. 237-240.
- 3. G. J. COOPER & J. H. VERNER, "Some explicit Runge-Kutta methods of high order," SIAM J. Numer. Anal., v. 9, 1972, pp. 389-405.
- 4. G. G. DAHLQUIST, "A special stability problem for linear multistep methods," BIT, v. 3, 1963, pp. 27-43.
- 5. S. P. NORSETT, Semi-Explicit Runge-Kutta Methods, Mathematics Department, University of Trondheim, Reprint No. 6/74.
- 6. S. P. NORSETT, "C-polynomials for rational approximation to the exponential function," Numer. Math., v. 25, 1975, pp. 39-56.