

Recursive Algorithms for the Matrix Padé Problem

By Adhemar Bultheel

Abstract. A matrix triangularization interpretation is given for the recursive algorithms computing the Padé approximants along a certain path in the Padé table, which makes it possible to unify all known algorithms in this field [5], [6]. For the normal Padé table, all these results carry over to the matrix Padé problem in a straightforward way. Additional features, resulting from the noncommutativity are investigated. A generalization of the Trench-Zohar algorithm and related recursions are studied in greater detail.

1. Introduction. Let $K[z]$ be the set of formal power series over a ring K with indeterminate z . For ease of exposition, we restrict K to be the ring of $n \times n$ matrices, though a more general setting is possible [3].

Let $d^\circ P(z)$ denote the degree of a matrix polynomial $P(z)$ and $\text{ord } Z(z)$ the order of a formal power series $Z(z)$, i.e.

$$d^\circ P(z) = N \Leftrightarrow P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N p_k z^k, \quad p_N \neq 0, p_k \in K, k = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

and

$$\text{ord } Z(z) = M \Leftrightarrow Z(z) = \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} r_k z^k, \quad r_M \neq 0, r_k \in K, k = M, M+1, \dots$$

For given nonnegative integers M and N , and some $F(z) \in K[z]$, the right Padé approximation problem consists in finding two matrix polynomials $P^{[M/N]}(z)$ and $Q^{[M/N]}(z)$ such that

- (a) $d^\circ P^{[M/N]}(z) \leq M, d^\circ Q^{[M/N]}(z) \leq N,$
- (b) $P^{[M/N]}(z)$ and $Q^{[M/N]}(z)$ have no common right divisor of degree $> 0,$
- (c) the right (R -) residual $Z^{[M/N]}(z)$, defined by

$$Z^{[M/N]}(z) = F(z)Q^{[M/N]}(z) - P^{[M/N]}(z)$$
 satisfies

$$\text{ord } Z^{[M/N]}(z) \geq M + N + 1, \text{ and}$$
- (d) the constant term $q_0^{[M/N]}$ of $Q^{[M/N]}(z)$ equals $I.$

Received May 11, 1979; revised September 24, 1979.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A20, 65F05.

Key words and phrases. Hankel and Toeplitz matrices, triangular decomposition of matrices, fast algorithms, rational approximation.

$(P^{[M/N]}(z))(Q^{[M/N]}(z))^{-1}$ is denoted by $A^{[M/N]}(z)$ and is called the (M, N) right Padé approximant (RPA) of $F(z)$. Such RPA's need not exist for all $M, N \geq 0$; but for ease in exposition, we suppose they all do.

Suppose $F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k z^k$ is given and that for two integers M and N we have the following expansions of R -numerator, R -denominator and R -residual of the (M, N) RPA of $F(z)$

$$P^{[M/N]}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^M p_k^{[M/N]} z^k, \quad Q^{[M/N]}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N q_k^{[M/N]} z^k, \quad \text{and}$$

$$Z^{[M/N]}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{[M/N]} z^{M+N+1+k}.$$

Requirement (c) boils down to the following block system of equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{-}^{[M/N]} \\ T_0^{[M/N]} \\ T_{+}^{[M/N]} \end{bmatrix} Q^{[M/N]} = \begin{bmatrix} P^{[M/N]} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + Z^{[M/N]} \quad \text{with } Z^{[M/N]} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ R^{[M/N]} \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a shorthand notation for

$$(1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 & f_0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_M & f_{M-1} \\ \hline f_{M+1} & f_M & f_{M-N+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{M+2} & & \\ \dots & & \\ f_{M+N} & & f_M \\ \hline f_{M+N+1} & & f_{M+1} \\ \dots & & \\ f_{M+N+2} \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_0^{[M/N]} \\ \dots \\ q_N^{[M/N]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_0^{[M/N]} \\ p_1^{[M/N]} \\ \dots \\ p_M^{[M/N]} \\ \hline 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \dots \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \dots \\ r_0^{[M/N]} \\ \dots \\ r_1^{[M/N]} \\ \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

The R -numerator coefficients in $P^{[M/N]}$ and the R -residual coefficients in $R^{[M/N]}$ may be recovered from $P^{[M/N]} = T_-^{[M/N]} Q^{[M/N]}$ and $R^{[M/N]} = T_+^{[M/N]} Q^{[M/N]}$, once $Q^{[M/N]}$ is known. The denominator coefficients must satisfy

$$q_0^{[M/N]} = I \quad \text{and} \quad T_0^{[M/N]} Q^{[M/N]} = 0,$$

where $T_0^{[M/N]}$ has dimension $Nn \times (N + 1)n$ and 0 has dimension $Nn \times n$. Equivalent forms of this set of equations are

$$(2a) \quad T^{[M/N-1]} [q_1^{[M/N]} \dots q_N^{[M/N]}]^t = -[f_{M+1} \dots f_{M+N}]^t,$$

$$(2b) \quad T^{[M+1/N]} Q^{[M/N]} = [0 \dots 0 \ r_0^{[M/N]}]^t, \quad q_0^{[M/N]} = I,$$

and

$$(2c) \quad T^{[M/N]} Q^{[M/N]} = [p_M^{[M/N]} \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]^t, \quad q_0^{[M/N]} = I,$$

where the superscript t denotes the block transpose of a block matrix and

$$T^{[I/J]} = \begin{bmatrix} f_I & \dots & f_{I-J} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{I+J} & \dots & f_I \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{for } I, J \geq 0.$$

(A negatively indexed f must be taken as zero.)

Equation (2a) has a solution if

$$T^{[M/N-1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} T^{[M/N-1]} \\ \vdots \\ f_{M+N} \end{bmatrix}$$

have the same rank. Clearly, $\det T^{[M/N-1]} \neq 0$ is a sufficient, but not a necessary, condition for this to happen. However, to avoid any possible difficulty, let us suppose that $F(z)$ is such that $\det T^{[M/N]} \neq 0$ for all $M, N \geq 0$, which we call the R -normality condition. It implies e.g. that f_0 is nonsingular, so that the inverse formal power series $F(z)^{-1}$ exists. It also implies that $q_N^{[M/N]}$, $p_M^{[M/N]}$, $p_0^{[M/N]}$ and $r_0^{[M/N]}$ are all nonsingular for M and $N \geq 0$. Consequently, we could, instead of the normalizing condition $q_0^{[M/N]} = I$ in (d), also consider a monic normalization for the R -denominator or a monic or comonic normalization for the R -numerator. Indeed, the purpose of (d) is to guarantee that $(Q^{[M/N]}(z))^{-1}$ exists (therefore, $q_0^{[M/N]}$ should be nonsingular) and to pin down the arbitrary constant right factor that remains as a degree of freedom in the R -numerator and R -denominator if only (a), (b) and (c) were imposed. From now on we use as a normalizing condition either (d1) $q_0^{[M/N]} = I$ (comonic normalization) or (d2) $q_N^{[M/N]} = I$ (monic normalization).

The foregoing can be adapted for a left Padé approximant (LPA). If we use the same notations as for the RPA, but transfer the superscript $[M/N]$ from right to left, then the LPA equals e.g. ${}^{[M/N]}A(z) = ({}^{[M/N]}Q(z))^{-1}({}^{[M/N]}P(z))$ and the L -numerator ${}^{[M/N]}P(z)$ and the L -denominator ${}^{[M/N]}Q(z)$ must satisfy

- (a^t) $d^{\circ[M/N]}P(z) \leq M, d^{\circ[M/N]}Q(z) \leq N,$
- (b^t) $[M/N]P(z)$ and $[M/N]Q(z)$ have no common left divisor of degree $> 0,$
- (c^t) the L -residual $[M/N]Z(z) = [M/N]Q(z)F(z) - [M/N]P(z)$ satisfies

$$\text{ord}^{[M/N]}Z(z) \geq M + N + 1 \quad \text{and}$$
- (d^t) $[M/N]q_0 = I$ for a comonic normalization or $[M/N]q_N = I$ for a monic normalization.

The analog of system (2a) is

$$(2a^t) \quad [{}^{[M/N]}q_1 \cdots {}^{[M/N]}q_N] {}^{[M/N-1]}T = -[f_{M+1} \cdots f_{M+N}]$$

with $[{}^{[M/N]}T]^t = T^{[M/N]}$. The block systems (2a) and (2a^t) are essentially different since $(BC)^t \neq C^tB^t$ for general block matrices B and C .

Some further notational conventions and definitions are resumed. J denotes the block permutation matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & I \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ I & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with I the $n \times n$ identity matrix and J of appropriate dimensions.

For a block column vector V, \hat{V} is the block reciprocal vector: $\hat{V} = JV$.

$[\emptyset]$ denotes an empty matrix, i.e. matrix of dimension $n \times 0, 0 \times n$ or 0×0 as will be appropriate.

If $S(z)$ is a formal power series or a polynomial, then by S (without an argument) we mean the corresponding block column vector of its coefficients.

$e_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$ are the block unit vectors. e_j is a block column vector of an appropriate number of $0_{n \times n}$ blocks, except for the j th block row, which is $I_{n \times n}$.

The chopping operators \lrcorner and \llcorner are defined as

$$\lrcorner = [0|e_1 e_2 \cdots e_N] \quad \text{and} \quad \llcorner = [e_1 e_2 \cdots e_N|0].$$

A square block matrix W is called block persymmetric if $JW^tJ = W$. A block Toeplitz matrix is an example of a block persymmetric matrix. Remark that although, in general, there is no relation between the rank of a block matrix W and the rank of W^t , it is clear that for a block persymmetric matrix $T, T^t = JTJ$ and T have the same rank. Consequently, the R -normality condition coincides with its L -dual version.

It takes little effort to prove that $[{}^{[M/N]}A(z) = A^{[M/N]}(z)$ (see e.g. [3]), so that we can use $[M/N]$ to denote the (M, N) Padé approximant (PA) without L - or R -specification. If the normality condition is satisfied, then all the PA's $[M/N], M, N \geq 0,$ exist and they can be arranged in a matrix having $[M/N]$ at the intersection of row M and column N . This matrix is called the Padé table of $F(z)$. Under the above conditions $F(z)$ and its Padé table are called normal.

We will give algorithms to find the solutions of (2) or (2^t) or of related problems when the indices M and N are varying such that the PA's $[M/N]$ make up certain paths in the Padé table. There are L - and R -versions of the algorithms, depending on whether the L - or R -numerators and denominators of the $[M/N]$ are computed.

We use also the notions of right and left continued fractions (RCF and LCF). A RCF is denoted by

$$a_0 + \cfrac{a_1}{b_1} + \cfrac{a_2}{b_2} + \dots = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \cfrac{a_k}{b_k};$$

and we mean by it the (ordered) set of convergents $\{c_n\}_0^{\infty}$ with $c_n = P_n Q_n^{-1}$, $n \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-1} &= I, & P_0 &= a_0, & P_{i+1} &= P_{i-1}a_{i+1} + P_i b_{i+1} & \text{for } i \geq 0, \\ Q_{-1} &= 0, & Q_0 &= I, & Q_{i+1} &= Q_{i-1}a_{i+1} + Q_i b_{i+1} & \text{for } i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

A LCF is denoted by

$$\dots + \cfrac{2a}{2b} + \cfrac{1a}{1b} + 0a = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \cfrac{k a}{k b} + 0a$$

with convergents $\{{}_n c = {}_n Q^{-1} {}_n P\}_0^{\infty}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{-1}P &= I, & {}_0P &= 0a, & {}_{i+1}P &= {}_{i+1}a {}_{i-1}P + {}_{i+1}b {}_iP & \text{for } i \geq 0, \\ {}_{-1}Q &= 0, & {}_0Q &= I, & {}_{i+1}Q &= {}_{i+1}a {}_{i-1}Q + {}_{i+1}b {}_iQ & \text{for } i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Unless stated otherwise, the nomenclature will have to be understood in block sense wherever appropriate, e.g. a row will refer to a block row, triangular will mean block triangular, etc.

2. Paradiagonals. Suppose we want to compute the k th paradiagonal of the Padé table, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_k &= \{[k + j/j]\}_{j=0}^{\infty} & \text{if } k \geq 0 & \text{ and by} \\ \mathcal{D}_k &= \{[j/-k + j]\}_{j=0}^{\infty} & \text{if } k < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us start with $k \geq 0$. Take the systems (2b) with a column permutation, then we have to solve recursively for $j = 0, 1, \dots$,

$$(3) \quad (T^{[k+j+1/j]}J)(JQ^{[k+j/j]}) = e_j r_0^{[k+j/j]} \equiv H_j Y_j = e_j r_j.$$

Here and in the following, the right-hand side (RHS) of \equiv will be an abbreviation for the left-hand side (LHS) where obvious identifications must be made.

The matrices H_j are Hankel matrices; and if we rename f_{k+1+j} as h_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots$, then we have the following nesting property for the family H_j

$$H_{j+1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} H_j & V_j \\ \hline V_j^t & h_{2j+2} \end{array} \right] \quad \text{with } H_0 = h_0, \quad V_j^t = [h_{j+1} \dots h_{2j+1}].$$

The recursive solution of the family of Hankel systems (3) can be found as in the scalar case (see [5], [6] for details), and you have that for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(4) \quad Y_{j+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Y_j \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} Y_{j-1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} r_{j-1}^{-1} r_j - \begin{bmatrix} Y_j \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} r_j^{-1} (r'_j - r'_{j-1} r_{j-1}^{-1} r_j),$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_j \\ r'_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_0^{[k+i/j]} \\ r_1^{[k+i/j]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_j & \cdots & h_{2j} \\ h_{j+1} & \cdots & h_{2j+1} \end{bmatrix} Y_j = \begin{bmatrix} V_{j-1}^t | h_{2j} \\ V_j^t \end{bmatrix} Y_j$$

and initial conditions

$$Y_0 = I, \quad Y_{-1} = [\emptyset], \quad r_0 = h_0, \quad r'_0 = h_1, \quad r_{-1} = I, \quad r'_{-1} = 0.$$

This corresponds to the following triangularization of H_N

$$(5) \quad H_N \begin{bmatrix} I & \star & [Y_i] & \star \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ 0 & & & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_0 & & & 0 \\ & \star & & \\ & & [R_i] & \star \\ & & & r_N \end{bmatrix} \equiv H_N U_N = \tilde{L}_N D_N,$$

with $D_N = \text{diag}(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_N)$ and \tilde{L}_N unit lower triangular. $Y_i = \hat{Q}^{[k+i/i]}$ by definition, and from (1) it can be seen that R_i consists of the first nonzero blocks of $Z^{[k+i/i]}$, i.e. the first $N-i+1$ nonzero R -residual coefficients of $[k+i/i]$. It is not difficult to verify that the L -denominator of the PA can be found from a similar scheme. If we denote by ${}_i Y$ the reciprocal L -denominator vector ${}_i Y = [k+i/i] \hat{Q}$ and by ${}_i R$ the first $N-i+1$ nonzero rows in the corresponding L -residual vector $^{[k+i/i]} Z$, then

$$(5^t) \quad \begin{bmatrix} I & & & 0 \\ & \star & & \\ & & & \\ & [{}_i Y^t] & & \\ & & & \star \\ & & & & I \end{bmatrix} H_N = \begin{bmatrix} r^r & & & \\ & \star & & \\ & & [{}_i R^t] & \star \\ & 0 & & \\ & & & & r^r \end{bmatrix} \equiv L_N H_N = \tilde{D}_N \tilde{U}_N,$$

with $\tilde{D}_N = \text{diag}(r^r, r, \dots, r^r)$ and \tilde{U}_N unit upper triangular. The factorization (5^t) corresponds to the one proposed by Rissanen [13].

Notice that

$$(6) \quad L_N H_N U_N = D_N = \tilde{D}_N,$$

thus that

$$(7) \quad H_N^{-1} = U_N D_N^{-1} L_N \quad \text{and} \quad H_N = \tilde{L}_N \tilde{D}_N \tilde{U}_N$$

and also

$$\tilde{U}_N = U_N^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}_N = L_N^{-1}.$$

and Y_0 is the solution of

$$H_0 Y_0 = [\underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{-k} \ r_0]^t$$

with the last row of Y_0 equal to I . Remark that Y_0 is found by simple solution of a triangular system, which corresponds to finding the first $-k + 1$ terms of the formal series for $F(z)^{-1}$.

Back to $k \geq 0$ now. (4) gives recursively the denominators in \mathcal{D}_k , but we could use the same recursion coefficients for the computation of the residual columns. This follows e.g. from (5). The recursion coefficients in (4) only depend upon the diagonal and lower diagonal of $\tilde{L}_N D_N$, defined in (5). Thus, it seems more natural to compute the columns of $\tilde{L}_N D_N$ than to compute the columns of U_N . This corresponds to a continued fraction approach [4]. The recursion follows directly from (4) and (5) and can be found e.g. in [13]. It is not different from the scalar case [5], [6]. We in fact then compute the RCF

$$(9) \quad p^{[k/0]} + z^{k-1} \frac{u_1 z^2}{|I + v_1 z|} + \frac{u_2 z^2}{|I + v_2 z|} + \dots$$

with

$$u_i = -r_{i-2}^{-1} r_{i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad v_i = -r_{i-1}^{-1} (r'_{i-1} + r'_{i-2} u_i).$$

There is of course a similar LCF version for the computation of the L -numerator and L -denominator of the PA.

As an example we give the recursion for the right-hand side $R = \tilde{L}_N D_N$ of (5). Call the nonzero part in the i th column of R R_i for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, then R_i consists of the $N - i + 1$ first rows of a column vector A_i , having $2(N - i) + 1$ elements. The recursion for the columns A_i is as follows:

$$A_{-1} = [I \ \underbrace{0 \cdots 0}_{2N+2}]^t, \quad r_{-1} = I, \ r'_{-1} = 0,$$

$$A_0 = [h_0 \cdots h_{2N}]^t, \quad r_0 = h_0, \ r'_0 = h_1,$$

and for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$,

$$(10) \quad A_{i+1} = \neg(\neg(\neg \lrcorner \lrcorner A_{i-1} u_{i+1} + A_i) + \lrcorner A_i v_{i+1})$$

u_{i+1} and v_{i+1} as in (9) and r_i and r'_i are the first two elements in A_i . It is an easy exercise to rewrite this algorithm as a “row-by-row” algorithm so that one need not fix N beforehand and that the increase of N to $N + 1$ only requires the computation of an additional row. Such an algorithm in the L -version can be found in [13, (2.9)].

In the following we will refer to the recursion (4) as an algorithm to compute the upper-triangular factor U_N for H_N^{-1} , where H_N is some given Hankel matrix. Similarly, the recursion (10) will be referred to as an algorithm to compute the lower-triangular factor $\tilde{L}_N D_N$ of (5) for a given Hankel matrix H_N .

3. Descending Staircases. The computation of the staircases

$$T_k = \{ [k + j/j], [k + 1 + j/j] \}_{j=0}^\infty \quad \text{if } k \geq +0$$

and

$$T_k = \{ [j/-k + j], [j/-k + 1 + j] \}_{j=0}^\infty \quad \text{if } k \leq -0,*$$

which are interleavings of two adjacent diagonals, introduces no novelty with respect to the previous section and the scalar case. The same factorizations as in Section 2 may be obtained for the Hankel matrix H_i , along with a shifted Hankel matrix $\bar{H}_i = T^{[k+i+2/i]}J$ ($k \geq +0$) or $\bar{H}_i = T^{[i+1/-k+i+1]}J$ ($k \leq -0$). Remark that for $k \geq +0$

$$\bar{H}_i \bar{Y}_i = [0 \cdots 0 \bar{r}_i]^t \Rightarrow H_i \bar{Y}_i = [\bar{p}_i \ 0 \cdots 0]^t.$$

This proves the assertion about $Y_N^{(N)}$ given after relation (8).

The recursion (4) now falls apart into two steps alternating between \mathcal{D}_k and $\mathcal{D}_{k \pm 1}$ with $\pm = \text{sign } k$. For more details consult [5].

4. Antidiagonals and Ascending Staircases. With the same techniques as used in Section 2, we see that for the computation of the antidiagonals

$$E_k = \{ [k - j/j] \}_{j=0}^k \quad \text{for } k \geq 0$$

the solution of the systems

$$\begin{aligned} (JT^{[k-j/j]})Q^{[k-j/j]} &= [0 \cdots 0 p_{k-j}^{[k-j/j]}]^t, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \\ (11) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\equiv G_j Q_j = e_j p_j \quad \text{with } q_j^{[k-j/j]} = I, \end{aligned}$$

are involved. G_j is again a Hankel matrix and system (11) is of the same form as (3). The recursion (4) remains valid with obvious notational transcriptions. The factorization corresponding to (5) now has another Padé interpretation. The upper-triangular factor in the LHS has I as diagonal elements and contains in its columns the unreversed and monically normalized R -denominators, while the lower-triangular matrix in the RHS contains reversed R -numerators.

From their definitions (3) and (11) it follows that the following relation exists between the Hankel matrices G and H^{**}

$$H_j^{(k)} = T^{[k+j+1/j]}J = J(JT^{[k+2j+1-j/j]})J = JG_j^{(k+2j+1)}J.$$

Thus, relation (8) becomes

$$G_i^{(k+2i+1)}JXJ = JPJ,$$

where X is the lower-triangular and P the upper-triangular of (8). This is a factorization of the form (5) and from the above interpretation it follows that the columns of X are reversed R -denominators of \mathcal{D}_k and that the columns of P are the corresponding R -numerators.

* A path with negative index in the Padé table is the reflection in the main diagonal of the corresponding positively indexed path. Since the reflection of T_0 is not T_0 any more, we distinguish between T_{+0} and T_{-0} as above.

** We use a superscript between brackets to indicate the diagonal that is involved.

The diagonals

$$E_k = \{ [j/-j - k] \}_{j=0}^k \quad \text{for } k < 0$$

are nothing else but the E_{-k} diagonals in reversed order. The elements of these diagonals can be found by applying the same computations as for the E_{-k} diagonals on the $F(z)^{-1}$ series.

Remark that the duality emanating from the algorithms (4) and (10) for \mathcal{D}_k , computing the triangular factor in the LHS (denominators) resp. RHS (residuals) of (5), becomes, when using similar algorithms for E_k , a duality between denominators (LHS) and numerators (RHS). The algorithm (4) for E_{-k} ($k > 0$) using the $F(z)^{-1}$ series thus computes the RHS triangular factor of (5) from right to left, instead of from left to right as algorithm (10) does when computing E_k ($k > 0$) using the $F(z)$ series. The matrix interpretation confirms this result. Note that $G_k = JT^{[0/k]}$, with $T^{[0/k]}$ a lower-triangular Toeplitz matrix, based on the coefficients of the series $F(z)$. The inverse of $T^{[0/k]}$ is again lower-triangular Toeplitz, based on the coefficients in the series $F(z)^{-1}$, i.e.

$$(T^{[0/k]}(F))^{-1} = T^{[0/k]}(F^{-1}).$$

Thus, if $G_k(F)U(F) = L(F)$ with U upper- and L lower-triangular, then

$$JU(F)J = (J(G_k(F))^{-1}J)(JL(F)J).$$

Compare this with

$$L(F^{-1}) = G_k(F^{-1})U(F^{-1}),$$

then it follows that

$$JU(F)J = L(F^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad JL(F)J = U(F^{-1}).$$

The ascending staircases, defined as

$$U_k = \{ \{ [k - j/j], [k - 1 - j/j] \}_{j=0}^{k-1}, [0/k] \} \quad \text{for } k \geq 0,$$

and

$$U_k = \{ \{ [j/-k - j], [j/-k - j - 1] \}_{j=0}^{k-1}, [-k/0] \} \quad \text{for } k < 0,$$

can again be handled in a similar way. Like for the diagonals, the algorithms are directly transcribed from the scalar case [5], [6].

5. Rows, Sawteeth and Related Algorithms. For the row $L_k = \{ [k/j] \}_{j=0}^\infty$ ($k \geq 0$) we must find R -denominators from the set of systems

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} T^{[k+1/j]}Q^{[k/j]} &= e_j r_j^{[k/j]} \equiv T_j Q_j = e_j r_j, \quad \text{or} \\ T^{[k/j]}Q^{[k/j]} &= e_0 p_j^{[k/j]} \equiv T_j Q_j = e_0 p_j. \end{aligned}$$

The following nesting of the Toeplitz matrices is essential

$$(13) \quad T_{j+1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} t_0 & W_j^t \\ \hline V_j & T_j \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} T_j & \hat{W}_j \\ \hline \hat{V}_j^t & t_0 \end{array} \right]$$

with $t_i = f_{k+1+i}$ and \underline{T}_{j+1} similarly with $\underline{t}_i = t_{i-1}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, instead of t_i .

The recursion for the R -denominators are [5] (monic normalization)

$$(14) \quad Q_{j+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_j \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_{j-1} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} p_{j-1}^{-1} p_j + \begin{bmatrix} Q_j \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} r_j^{-1} r_{j-1} p_{j-1}^{-1} p_j$$

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_j \\ r_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W_j^t \\ \hat{V}_j^t \end{bmatrix} Q_j$$

and initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{-1} &= [\phi], & p_{-1} &= I, & r_{-1} &= -I, \\ Q_0 &= I, & p_0 &= t_{-1}, & r_0 &= t_0. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix factorization interpretation is the following

$$(15) \quad T_N \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & & \\ & & [Q_i] & \\ & & & \star \\ & 0 & & & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r_0 & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \star & & \\ & & & [R_i] & \\ & & & & \star & r_N \end{bmatrix} \equiv T_N U_N = L_N D_N$$

with $D_N = \text{diag}(r_0 \cdots r_N)$, or

$$T_N \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \star & & \\ & & & [Q_i] & \\ I & \cdots & & & \star & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & p_N & \star & \\ & & & [P_i] & \star \\ & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & p_0 \end{bmatrix} \equiv T_N \tilde{L}_N = \tilde{U}_N \tilde{D}_N$$

with $\tilde{D}_N = \text{diag}(p_N \cdots p_0)$. U_N and \tilde{L}_N contain the R -denominators, $L_N D_N$ contains part of the corresponding R -residuals (the lowest degree nonzero coefficients) and $\tilde{U}_N \tilde{D}_N$ (part of) the corresponding R -numerators. The recursion coefficients in (14) are constructed from the diagonal elements in D_N and \tilde{D}_N .

with $\tilde{R}_i = R_i r_i^{-1}$ (normalized residuals) and

$$(20b) \quad U_N^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [Q_i] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [\hat{\tilde{P}}^t] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} = J_N \bar{L} J$$

with ${}_{i\sim} \bar{P}^t = {}_{i\sim} \bar{p}^{-1} {}_i \bar{P}^t$ (normalized numerators) and

$$(20c) \quad D_N = \text{diag}(r_0 \cdots r_N) = \text{diag}({}_0 \bar{p} \cdots {}_N \bar{p}) = J_N \bar{D} J$$

etc.

The factorizations of T_N and T_N^{-1} then are

$$(21a) \quad T_N = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [\tilde{R}_i] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_0 & & & \\ & r_i & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & r_N \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [{}_{i\sim} \hat{\tilde{P}}^t] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [{}_{i\sim} \bar{P}_i] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}_N r & & & \\ & i r & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & {}_0 r \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [{}_{i\sim} \tilde{R}^t] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$(21b) \quad T_N^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [Q_i] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_0^{-1} & & & \\ & r_i^{-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & r_N^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [{}_{i\sim} \hat{Q}^t] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [\bar{Q}_i] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} {}_N r^{-1} & & & \\ & i r^{-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & {}_0 r^{-1} \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & & & \\ & \star & [{}_{i\sim} \hat{Q}^t] & \\ & & \ddots & \star \\ & & & I \\ 0 & & & & I \end{bmatrix}$$

Also,

$$(22) \quad (J_N \bar{U} J) T_N U_N = \text{diag}(r_0 \cdots r_N),$$

which expresses the biorthogonality of $\{ {}_i\hat{Q} \}_0^N$ and $\{ Q_i \}_0^N$ w.r.t. T_N [2].

We are now able to derive a lot of alternative algorithms for (14). The recursion coefficients of (14) depend on the diagonal elements in D_N and \tilde{D}_N (see (15)). In (14) they were found as inner products. We could now replace one or two of the inner products by recursions for the columns of $L_N D_N$ or of $\tilde{U}_N \tilde{D}_N$. The way this is done is trivial and in the style of the derivation of (10). Computing both $L_N D_N$ (residuals) and $\tilde{U}_N \tilde{D}_N$ (numerators) by recursion makes the explicit evaluation of U_N , or what is the same \tilde{L}_N (both contain the same denominators), superfluous. This would be a continued fraction algorithm as given in [4].

If we want to compute two adjacent rows L_k and L_{k+1} , then we have several possibilities like sawtooth variants, etc. [6]. The most elegant is probably (see also, [1], [13], [15], [18])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23a) \quad Q_{i+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_i \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha_i \quad \text{with } \alpha_i = \bar{p}_i^{-1} p_i, \\
 \bar{Q}_{i+1} &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_i \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ Q_i \end{bmatrix} \beta_i \quad \text{with } \beta_i = r_i^{-1} \bar{r}_i \text{ and with} \\
 \begin{bmatrix} p_i \\ r_i \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} W_i^t \\ \hat{V}_i^t \end{bmatrix} Q_i \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \bar{p}_i \\ \bar{r}_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{W}_i^t \\ \hat{V}_i^t \end{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

V_i , W_i and V_i have been defined earlier and $\bar{W}_i^t = [t_0 \cdots t_{-i}]$. Q_i is a monically normalized denominator for L_k and \bar{Q}_i is a comonically normalized denominator for L_{k+1} . In the scalar case is $r_i = \bar{p}_i$ (see (20)) and this coefficient can be found by recursion, viz.

$$(23b) \quad r_{i+1} = r_i - \bar{r}_i \bar{p}_i^{-1} p_i = r_i(I - \beta_i \alpha_i).$$

(23b) remains true in the matrix case, but the recursion for \bar{p}_i becomes

$$(23c) \quad \bar{p}_{i+1} = \bar{p}_i - p_i r_i^{-1} \bar{r}_i = \bar{p}_i(I - \alpha_i \beta_i).$$

Because ${}_i\bar{p} = r_i$ and $\bar{p}_i = {}_i r$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, it only requires little effort to find simultaneously the other triangular factors of T_N^{-1} , containing the L -denominators ${}_i Q$ and ${}_i \bar{Q}$. Indeed, (23) becomes in its L -version

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23^t) \quad {}_{i+1}Q^t &= [0 \ {}_i Q^t] - {}_i \alpha [{}_i \bar{Q}^t \ 0], \quad {}_i \alpha = {}_i p \ {}_i \bar{p}^{-1}, \\
 {}_{i+1}\bar{Q}^t &= [{}_i \bar{Q}^t \ 0] - {}_i \beta [0 \ {}_i Q^t], \quad {}_i \beta = {}_i \bar{r} \ {}_i r^{-1}, \\
 {}_i \bar{p} &= r_i, \quad {}_i p = {}_i Q^t W_i, \\
 {}_i r &= \bar{p}_i, \quad {}_i \bar{r} = {}_i \bar{Q}^t \hat{V}_i,
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$a_{ij} = [t_i \cdots t_0] [Q_j^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t = r_{i-j}^{[k/j]}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq i.$$

Using the previous scheme to compute Q_j , we obtain $a_{i0} = t_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, and recursively for $i > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i,j+1} &= [t_i \cdots t_0] [Q_{j+1}^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t \\ (24a) \quad &= [t_i \cdots t_0] [0 \ Q_j^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t - [t_i \cdots t_0] [\bar{Q}_j^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t \alpha_j \\ &= a_{i-1,j} - \bar{a}_{i-1,j} \alpha_j. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\bar{a}_{i-1,j} = [t_i \cdots t_0] [\bar{Q}_j^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t$ is the $(k + 1 + i)$ th coefficient in the R -residual that corresponds to \bar{Q}_j thus $\bar{a}_{i-1,j} = r_{i-1-j}^{[k+1/j]}$.

The recursion for \bar{a}_{ij} is similar

$$\bar{a}_{i0} = t_{i+1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{i,j+1} &= [t_{i+1} \cdots t_0] [\bar{Q}_{j+1}^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t \\ (24b) \quad &= [t_{i+1} \cdots t_0] [\bar{Q}_j^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t - [t_{i+1} \cdots t_0] [0 \ Q_j^t \ 0 \cdots 0]^t \beta_j \\ &= \bar{a}_{ij} - a_{ij} \beta_j. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\bar{r}_j = r_0^{[k+1/j]} = \bar{a}_{jj}$ and $r_j = r_0^{[k/j]} = a_{jj}$.

From (24) we obtain the \bar{r}_j and r_j , but to find the other two coefficients $p_i = {}_i p$ and $\bar{p}_i = {}_i r$, needed to compute $\alpha_i, \beta_i, {}_i \alpha$ and ${}_i \beta$, we have to do another factorization. Indeed, the coefficients p_i and \bar{p}_i are found from the factorization (17), i.e.

$$T_N \bar{L}_N = \bar{B}_N \quad (= \bar{U}_N \bar{D}_N).$$

\bar{B}_N contains the R -numerators for row $k + 1$. We number the elements of \bar{B}_N in reverse order, so that

$$T_N \bar{L}_N = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{b}_{NN} & \bar{b}_{N,N-1} & \cdots & \bar{b}_{N0} \\ & \bar{b}_{N-1,N-1} & & \vdots \\ & & 0 & \vdots \\ & & & \bar{b}_{00} \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$\bar{b}_{ij} = [t_0 \cdots t_{-i}] [0 \cdots 0 \ \bar{Q}_j^t]^t = p_{k+1-(i-j)}^{[k+1/j]}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq i.$$

Using again the recursion for \bar{Q}_j , we get

$$\bar{b}_{i0} = t_{-i}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{b}_{i,j+1} &= [t_0 \cdots t_{-i}] [0 \cdots 0 \bar{Q}_{j+1}^t]^t \\
 (25a) \quad &= [t_0 \cdots t_{-i}] [0 \cdots 0 \bar{Q}_j^t 0]^t - [t_0 \cdots t_{-i}] [0 \cdots 0 Q_j^t]^t \beta_j \\
 &= \bar{b}_{i-1,j} - b_{i-1,j} \beta_j
 \end{aligned}$$

with $b_{ij} = [t_0 \cdots t_{-i-1}] [0 \cdots 0 Q_j^t]^t = p_{k-(i-j)}^{[k/ij]}$. Thus, b_{ij} are R -numerator coefficients for the k th row L_k of the Padé table. They can be found by the recursion:

$$b_{i0} = t_{-i-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{i,j+1} &= [t_0 \cdots t_{-i-1}] [0 \cdots 0 Q_{j+1}^t]^t \\
 (25b) \quad &= [t_0 \cdots t_{-i-1}] [0 \cdots 0 Q_j^t]^t - [t_0 \cdots t_{-i-1}] [0 \cdots 0 \bar{Q}_j^t 0]^t \alpha_j \\
 &= b_{ij} - \bar{b}_{ij} \alpha_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

and $p_i = {}_i p = b_{ii}$ and $\bar{p}_i = {}_i r = \bar{b}_{ii}$.

(24) and (25) put together give an algorithm to find the RCF coefficients of the PA's that are in row L_k without any inner product evaluation. The scheme is summarized as

$$\begin{array}{l}
 a_{00} = t_0 \\
 \bar{a}_{00} = t_1
 \end{array}
 \left|
 \begin{array}{l}
 \bar{b}_{00} = t_0 \\
 b_{00} = t_{-1}
 \end{array}
 \right.$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \beta_i = a_{ii}^{-1} \bar{a}_{ii} \\
 a_{i+1,0} = t_{i+1} \\
 \bar{a}_{i+1,0} = t_{i+2}
 \end{array}
 \left|
 \begin{array}{l}
 \alpha_i = \bar{b}_{ii}^{-1} b_{ii} \\
 \bar{b}_{i+1,0} = t_{-i-1} \\
 b_{i+1,0} = t_{-i-2}
 \end{array}
 \right.$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, i + 1$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 a_{i+1,j} = a_{i,j-1} - \bar{a}_{i,j-1} \alpha_{j-1} \\
 \bar{a}_{i+1,j} = \bar{a}_{i+1,j-1} - a_{i+1,j-1} \beta_{j-1}
 \end{array}
 \left|
 \begin{array}{l}
 \bar{b}_{i+1,j} = \bar{b}_{i,j-1} - b_{i,j-1} \beta_{j-1} \\
 b_{i+1,j} = b_{i+1,j-1} - \bar{b}_{i+1,j-1} \alpha_{j-1}
 \end{array}
 \right.$$

Herein we compute the left triangular factors in the UL factorization of $T_N = T^{[k+1/N]}$ and $\bar{T}_N = T^{[k+2/N]}$ and the left triangular factors in the LU decomposition of T_N and $\underline{T}_N = T^{[k/N]}$. The right triangular factors in these decompositions are obtained when using a similar scheme for the left residuals and numerators.

As before, we can apply the algorithm on the series $F(z)^{-1}$ to obtain recursions for columns or vertical sawteeth in the Padé table.

6. Conclusion. Via a matrix factorization interpretation of recursive matrix-Padé algorithms, there is no problem to carry over all the algorithms from the scalar case, provided the matrix-Padé table is normal.

Some variants of the algorithms given by Akaike [1] and Rissanen [13] for the factorization of Toeplitz matrices are given a Padé interpretation. In this way we obtain generalizations of the Levinson-Wiggins-Robinson [11], [17] algorithm and the so-called ladder form algorithm [12] for linear prediction.

Afdeling Toegepaste Wiskunde en Programmatie
K. U. Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200A
B-3030 Heverlee, Belgium

1. H. AKAIKE, "Block Toeplitz matrix inversion," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, v. 24, 1973, pp. 234-241.
2. G. BAXTER, "Polynomials defined by a difference system," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, v. 2, 1961, pp. 223-263.
3. D. BESSIS, "Topics in the theory of Padé approximants," in *Padé Approximants* (P. R. Graves-Morris, Ed.), The Institute of Physics, London-Bristol, 1973, pp. 19-44.
4. A. BULTHEEL, "Division algorithms for continued fractions and the Padé table," *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* (To appear.)
5. A. BULTHEEL, "Fast factorization algorithms and Padé approximation: a survey." (Submitted.)
6. A. BULTHEEL, "Recursive algorithms for the Padé table: Two approaches," in *Padé Approximation and its Applications* (L. Wuytack, Ed.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 765, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979, pp. 211-230.
7. P. DELSARTE, Y. GENIN & Y. KAMP, "Orthogonal polynomial matrices on the unit circle," *IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems*, CAS-25, 1978, pp. 149-160.
8. B. W. DICKINSON, M. MORF & T. KAILATH, "A minimal realization algorithm for matrix sequences," *IEEE Trans. Automatic Control*, AC-10, 1974, pp. 31-38.
9. L. Y. GERONIMUS, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Consultants Bureau, New York, 1961.
10. W. B. GRAGG, "Laurent, Fourier and Chebyshev Padé tables," in *Padé and Rational Approximation, Theory and Applications* (E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga, Eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1977, pp. 61-72.
11. N. LEVINSON, "The Wiener RMS (root mean square) error criterion in filter design and prediction," *J. Mathematical Phys.*, v. 25, 1947, pp. 261-278.
12. A. MORF, A. VIEIRA & D. T. LEE, *Ladder Forms for Identification and Speech Processing*, Proc. Conf. on Decision and Control, New Orleans, Louisiana, Dec. 7-9, 1977.
13. J. RISSANEN, "Algorithms for triangular decomposition of block Hankel and Toeplitz matrices with application to factoring positive matrix polynomials," *Math. Comp.*, v. 27, 1973, pp. 147-154.
14. G. SZEGÖ, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1939.
15. W. F. TRENCH, "An algorithm for the inversion of finite Toeplitz matrices," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, v. 12, 1964, pp. 515-522.
16. W. F. TRENCH, "An algorithm for the inversion of finite Hankel matrices," *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, v. 13, 1965, pp. 1102-1107.
17. R. A. WIGGINS & E. A. ROBINSON, "Recursive solution to the multichannel filtering problem," *J. Geophys. Res.*, v. 70, 1965, pp. 1885-1891.
18. S. ZOHAR, "Toeplitz matrix inversion. The algorithm of W. F. Trench," *J. Assoc. Comput. Mach.*, v. 16, 1969, pp. 592-601.