

## EXPLICIT UPPER BOUNDS FOR EXPONENTIAL SUMS OVER PRIMES

HEDI DABOUSSI AND JOËL RIVAT

*Dedicated to the memory of Chen Jing Run*

ABSTRACT. We give explicit upper bounds for linear trigonometric sums over primes.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1937 I.M. Vinogradov [12] proved that every sufficiently large odd number is the sum of three prime numbers. Later Chen and Wang [2] gave a lower bound for the result of Vinogradov, which is very large, around  $10^{43000}$ . The method used is the Hardy-Littlewood circle method, and the following sums play an important role in the proof:

$$\sum_{p \leq x} e(\alpha p), \quad S(x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) e(n\alpha),$$

where  $\Lambda$  is the function of Von Mangoldt and  $e(\alpha) = e^{2i\pi\alpha}$ .

In [1] Chen proved that if  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\beta}{q^2}$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $q \leq x$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq x} e(\alpha p) \right| \leq 1.2 x (\log x)^{3/4} \log \log x \left( \sqrt{\frac{5}{q} + \frac{q \log q}{x}} + \sqrt{\log q} \exp -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\log x} \right).$$

More recently in [3] Chen and Wang proved that

$$|S(x, \alpha)| \leq 0.177 \frac{x}{\sqrt{q}} (\log x)^3 + 3.8 x^{4/5} (\log x)^{2.2} + 0.08 \sqrt{xq} (\log x)^{3.5}.$$

Our purpose is to improve on these two estimates. By a classical elementary transformation it suffices to consider  $S(x, \alpha)$ .

In order to estimate this sum, a useful identity has been proved by R.C. Vaughan [11]. Recently Daboussi [4] gave another identity, which has the advantage of involving nice coefficients. This permits us to give a new explicit upper bound for  $|S(x, \alpha)|$ .

In this paper we will need sharp versions of some classical inequalities which have their own independent interest. We will prove

---

Received by the editor November 3, 1998.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11L07, 11L20.

*Key words and phrases.* Prime numbers, exponential sums, sieves.

TABLE 1. Upper bounds for  $x^{-1}|S(x, \alpha)|$  when  $q = (\log x)^3$

$x$	$x^{-1} S(x, \alpha) $
$10^{200}$	0.385
$10^{300}$	0.293
$10^{400}$	0.241
$10^{500}$	0.207
$10^{1000}$	0.129
$10^{2000}$	0.080
$10^{5000}$	0.042
$10^{10000}$	0.026
$10^{20000}$	0.016
$10^{43000}$	0.010

**Theorem 1.** For  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\beta}{q^2}$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $q \leq x$ , we have

$$|S(x, \alpha)| \leq 14.86 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x}} + 6.45 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{5/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\log x}\right).$$

From this theorem we can compute numerical upper bounds for  $x^{-1}|S(x, \alpha)|$  (cf. Table 1) with the choice  $q = (\log x)^3$  for which the result of Chen and Wang is not even as good as the trivial upper bound.

**Definitions and notations.** For  $x$  real we will denote by  $[x]$  the greatest integer  $\leq x$ ,  $\{x\}$  the fractional part of  $x$ ,  $\lceil x \rceil$  the smallest integer  $\geq x$ ,  $\|x\|$  the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $|x - n| \leq 1/2$  ( $n$  is unique if  $\{x\} \neq 1/2$ ). The letter  $p$  denotes always a prime number,  $\pi(x)$  denotes the number of primes  $\leq x$ . We denote by  $\mu$  and  $\varphi$  the Möbius and Euler functions, respectively. The functions  $\Omega(n)$  and  $\omega(n)$  count the number of prime factors of  $n$ , respectively, with and without multiplicity. We define the functions  $u_z$  and  $v_z$  by  $u_z(m) = 1$  if  $(\forall p, p|m \Rightarrow p > z)$  and  $u_z(m) = 0$  otherwise, and  $v_z(m) = 1$  if  $(\forall p, p|m \Rightarrow p \leq z)$  and  $v_z(m) = 0$  otherwise.

## 2. VINOGRADOV TYPE LEMMAS

In [1] Chen improved Vinogradov Lemmas 8a and 8b [13]. In this section, we further improve the results of Chen.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\beta}{q^2}$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $U > 0$ . We then have

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+q} \min\left(U, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha n)|}\right) \leq 2U + \frac{2}{\pi} q \log 4q.$$

*Remark 1.* This is the analog of Vinogradov Lemma 8a. Chen obtained  $5U + q \log q$ . The factor 2 instead of 5 is obtained by using  $t = [t] + \delta$  with  $|\delta| \leq 1/2$  which is more precise than the classical  $t = [t] + \{t\}$ . The factor  $2/\pi$  has been obtained by dealing directly with  $(\sin t)^{-1}$  without using the classical inequality  $\sin t \geq 2t/\pi$  for

$0 \leq t \leq \pi/2$ . Indeed we simply used the fact that  $(\log \tan(t/2))' = (\sin t)^{-1}$ . We acknowledge the referee's improvement of this lemma (see below).

*Proof.* The result is trivial for  $q \leq 2$ . We therefore suppose  $q \geq 3$ .

Let  $m_0 = \lfloor x \rfloor + \lfloor (q+1)/2 \rfloor$ . We have

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+q} \min \left( U, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha n)|} \right) = \sum_{-q/2 < m \leq q/2} \min \left( U, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha(m_0 + m))|} \right).$$

Now writing

$$b = \left\lfloor am_0 + \frac{\beta m_0}{q} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad b + \delta = am_0 + \frac{\beta m_0}{q}$$

(hence  $|\delta| \leq 1/2$ ), we obtain

$$\alpha(m_0 + m) = \frac{1}{q} \left( am + am_0 + \frac{\beta m_0}{q} + \frac{\beta m}{q} \right) = \frac{1}{q} (am + b) + \frac{1}{q} \left( \delta + \frac{\beta m}{q} \right).$$

When  $m$  runs through the integers in the interval  $-q/2 < m \leq q/2$ ,  $am + b$  runs through a complete set of residue classes modulo  $q$ . We introduce  $r$  such that  $am + b \equiv r \pmod q$  and  $-q/2 < r \leq q/2$ . Using  $\left| \delta + \frac{\beta m}{q} \right| \leq 1$ , we get for  $|r| \geq 2$

$$\min \left( U, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha(m_0 + m))|} \right) \leq \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{q} (|r| - 1) \right).$$

For  $r = \pm 1$ , the referee observed that

$$\|\alpha(m_0 + m)\| = \left\| \frac{f(r)}{q} \right\|,$$

where  $f(r) = r + \delta + \theta(r)$ , with  $|\theta(r)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . It follows that  $f(1) - f(-1) \geq 1$  so that

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \frac{f(1)}{q} \right\|, \left\| \frac{f(-1)}{q} \right\| \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2q}.$$

Thus one of the two terms for  $r = \pm 1$  can be bounded by  $|\sin(\pi/2q)|^{-1}$ . Hence we obtain

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+q} \min \left( U, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha n)|} \right) \leq 2U + \frac{1}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \right)} + 2 \sum_{2 \leq r \leq q/2} \frac{1}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{q} (r-1) \right)}$$

(the sum on the right hand side is empty for  $q = 3$ ).

Using the convexity of the function  $t \mapsto 1/\sin(\pi t/q)$  for  $0 < t \leq q/2$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{1 \leq r \leq \frac{q}{2}-1} \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\pi}{q} r} \leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{\sin \frac{\pi t}{q}} \leq \frac{q}{\pi} \log \cot \frac{\pi}{4q} \leq \frac{q}{\pi} \log \frac{4q}{\pi},$$

and we have for  $q \geq 3$

$$\frac{1}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \right)} + \frac{2q}{\pi} \log \frac{4q}{\pi} \leq \frac{2q}{\pi} \log 4q,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 1. □

**Lemma 2.** Let  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\beta}{q^2}$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $U > 0$ . We then have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \min \left( U, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha n)|} \right) \leq \left\lceil \frac{N}{q} \right\rceil \left( 2U + \frac{2}{\pi} q \log 4q \right).$$

*Proof.* We divide the interval  $1 \leq n \leq N$  into subintervals  $kq + 1 \leq n \leq (k + 1)q$ , for which we apply Lemma 1. There are at most  $\left\lceil \frac{N}{q} \right\rceil$  such subintervals.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $N \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\beta}{q^2}$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $x > 0$ . We then have*

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \min \left( \frac{x}{n}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi\alpha n)|} \right) \leq 2 \frac{x}{q} \log \left( \frac{8N}{q} + 4 \right) + \frac{2}{\pi} N \log 4q + \frac{3}{\pi} q \log 5q.$$

*Remark 2.* This is the analog of Vinogradov Lemma 8b.

*Proof.* We can assume without loss of generality that  $N$  is an integer.

Using the convexity of  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t}$  for  $t > 0$ , we obtain for  $N \geq 1$

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \leq \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{N+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} = \log(2N+1).$$

This proves the result for  $q \leq 2$ . We can now suppose  $q \geq 3$ .

Writing  $K = \left\lceil \frac{N}{q} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil$ , we have  $K \geq \frac{N}{q} - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $Kq + \frac{q}{2} \geq N$ . Hence

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \min \left( \frac{x}{n}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi\alpha n)|} \right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^K S_k,$$

where

$$S_0 = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q/2} \min \left( \frac{x}{n}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi\alpha n)|} \right),$$

and for  $k \geq 1$

$$S_k = \sum_{kq - q/2 < n \leq kq + q/2} \min \left( \frac{x}{n}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi\alpha n)|} \right).$$

For  $1 \leq n \leq q/2$ , we have  $an \not\equiv 0 \pmod q$  and  $\alpha n = \frac{an}{q} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{\beta n}{q}$  with  $\left| \frac{\beta n}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence for  $an \equiv r \pmod q$  with  $-q/2 \leq r \leq q/2$  and  $r \neq 0$ , we have

$$|\sin(\pi\alpha n)| \geq \left| \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{q} \left( |r| - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq r \leq q/2} \left| \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{q} \left( r - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \right|^{-1} \\ &\leq 2 \left| \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \right) \right|^{-1} + 2 \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{q+1}{2}} \frac{dt}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{q} \left( t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \right)} + \frac{2q}{\pi} \log \cot \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2q}{\pi} \left( 2 \frac{\frac{\pi}{2q}}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \right)} + \log \left( \frac{2q}{\pi} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2q}{\pi} \log 5q \quad \text{for } q \geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

For  $q = 3$  we also have  $S_0 \leq 2|\sin \frac{\pi}{2q}|^{-1} = 4 \leq \frac{2q}{\pi} \log 5q$ . This proves the result for  $K < 1$ , so from now we assume that  $K \geq 1$ .

By Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} S_k &\leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \sum_{kq-q/2 < n \leq kq+q/2} \min \left( \frac{x}{q(k-\frac{1}{2})}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha n)|} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \left( 2 \frac{x}{q(k-\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{2}{\pi} q \log 4q \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} Kq \log 4q + \frac{2x}{q} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} \frac{1}{(k-\frac{1}{2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq K} S_k &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} Kq \log 4q + \frac{2x}{q} \left( 2 + \int_{\frac{3}{2}}^{K+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dt}{(t-\frac{1}{2})} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} Kq \log 4q + \frac{2x}{q} (2 + \log K) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{N}{q} + \frac{1}{2} \right) q \log 4q + \frac{2x}{q} \log (e^2 K) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} N \log 4q + \frac{q}{\pi} \log 4q + \frac{2x}{q} \log (e^2 K). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \min \left( \frac{x}{n}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi \alpha n)|} \right) &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} N \log 4q + \frac{2q}{\pi} \left( \log q + \log 5 + \frac{\log q}{2} + \frac{\log 4}{2} \right) + \frac{2x}{q} \log (e^2 K) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} N \log 4q + \frac{3q}{\pi} \left( \log q + \frac{2 \log 5}{3} + \frac{\log 4}{3} \right) + \frac{2x}{q} \log (e^2 K) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} N \log 4q + \frac{3q}{\pi} \log 5q + \frac{2x}{q} \log (e^2 K) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} N \log 4q + \frac{3q}{\pi} \log 5q + \frac{2x}{q} \log \left( \frac{8N}{q} + 4 \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

### 3. RANKIN'S METHOD

Elliott [6, pages 81-83] has given an effective version of Rankin's method. In this section we generalize and improve his results numerically.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $z \geq 2$ ,  $f$  a multiplicative function with  $f \geq 0$ , and*

$$S = \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{f(p)}{1 + f(p)} \log p.$$

*We assume  $S > 0$  and write  $K(t) = \log t - 1 + \frac{1}{t}$  for  $t \geq 1$ .*

For any  $y$  with  $\log y \geq S$  we have

$$\sum_{n>y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) \leq \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\log y}{\log z} K\left(\frac{\log y}{S}\right)\right),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) \geq \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\log y}{\log z} K\left(\frac{\log y}{S}\right)\right)\right).$$

In particular for any  $y$  with  $\log y \geq 7S$  we have

$$\sum_{n>y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) \leq \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\log y}{\log z}\right),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) \geq \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\log y}{\log z}\right)\right).$$

*Proof.* The special case for  $\log y \geq 7S$  is a direct consequence of the general case  $\log y \geq S$ , as for all  $t \geq 7$  we have  $K(t) \geq K(7) \geq 1$ .

We note that

$$\sum_{n \leq y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) + \sum_{n > y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) = \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)),$$

which shows that the required lower bound for the first sum will follow from the required upper bound for the second sum.

For all  $\eta \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n>y} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_z(n)\mu^2(n)f(n) \left(\frac{n}{y}\right)^{\eta} \\ &\leq y^{-\eta} \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p) p^{\eta}). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p) p^{\eta}) = \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \left( \prod_{p \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} (p^{\eta} - 1)\right) \right).$$

Using  $\log(1+u) \leq u$  for  $u \geq 0$  we get

$$\prod_{p \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} (p^{\eta} - 1)\right) \leq \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq z} \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} (p^{\eta} - 1)\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} (p^\eta - 1) &\leq \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} (\exp(\eta \log p) - 1) \\
 &\leq \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(\eta \log p)^k}{k!} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\eta^k (\log z)^{k-1}}{k!} \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} \log p \\
 &\leq \frac{S}{\log z} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{\eta^k (\log z)^k}{k!} \\
 &\leq \frac{S}{\log z} (\exp(\eta \log z) - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\nu = \eta \log z$  we get

$$y^{-\eta} \prod_{p \leq z} \left( 1 + \frac{f(p)}{1+f(p)} (p^\eta - 1) \right) \leq \exp \left( \frac{S}{\log z} \left( \exp(\nu) - 1 - \nu \frac{\log y}{S} \right) \right).$$

The last inequality is valid for any  $\nu \geq 0$ , in particular for  $\nu = \log \left( \frac{\log y}{S} \right)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n > y} v_z(n) \mu^2(n) f(n) \\
 &\leq \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \exp \left( \frac{S}{\log z} \left( \frac{\log y}{S} - 1 - \frac{\log y}{S} \log \left( \frac{\log y}{S} \right) \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \left( \prod_{p \leq z} (1+f(p)) \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\log y}{\log z} K \left( \frac{\log y}{S} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

#### 4. EFFECTIVE INEQUALITIES

**Lemma 5.** *For all  $x > 1$  we have*

$$\pi(2x) - \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

*Proof.* P. Dusart [5] improved some results of [9] and proved for  $x \geq 60184$  that

$$\frac{x}{\log(x) - 1} < \pi(x) < \frac{x}{\log(x) - 1.1}.$$

This implies for  $x \geq 60184$  that

$$\pi(2x) - \pi(x) \leq \frac{2x}{\log x - 0.41} - \frac{x}{\log x - 1} \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \left( 1 - \frac{0.016}{\log x} \right) < \frac{x}{\log x}$$

using the inequalities  $1 + u < \frac{1}{1-u} < 1 + \frac{6}{5}u$  (valid for  $0 < u < 1/6$ ). The result can be easily extended for all  $x > 1$  by computer evidence. □

*Remark 3.* We note that the result of this lemma is sharp for  $x = 113/2$  for which

$$\pi(113) - \pi(113/2) = 14 < \frac{113/2}{\log(113/2)} = 14.0051\dots$$

**Lemma 6.** For  $z \geq 2$  we have

$$\sum_{q \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{q}{z}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\varphi(q)} \geq \log z.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 8 of Montgomery-Vaughan [7] we have for  $z \geq 100$

$$\sum_{q \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{q}{z}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\varphi(q)} \geq \log z + 0.361$$

and the result follows by a direct computation for  $2 \leq z < 100$ . □

**Lemma 7.** For  $2 \leq z \leq x$  we have

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) \leq \frac{x}{\log z}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\sqrt{2x} \leq z \leq x$ . For  $x < m \leq 2x$ , we have  $u_z(m) = 1$  if and only if  $m$  is prime. Using Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) = \pi(2x) - \pi(x) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} \leq \frac{x}{\log z}.$$

Hence we can suppose  $z < \sqrt{2x}$ .

By Corollary 1 of Montgomery-Vaughan [7] we have for any positive number  $z$ ,

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) \leq x \left( \sum_{q \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{3qz}{2x}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\varphi(q)} \right)^{-1},$$

and using Lemma 6 we obtain for  $z \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}x}$

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) \leq x \left( \sum_{q \leq z} \left(1 + \frac{q}{z}\right)^{-1} \frac{\mu^2(q)}{\varphi(q)} \right)^{-1} \leq \frac{x}{\log z}.$$

Thus we can suppose  $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}x} < z < \sqrt{2x}$ .

For  $x > 15$  we have  $(2x)^{1/3} < \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}x} < z < \sqrt{2x}$  and

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) = \pi(2x) - \pi(x) + \pi_2(2x, z) - \pi_2(x, z),$$

where

$$\pi_2(x, z) = \#\{n \leq x, \Omega(n) = 2, p \mid n \implies p > z\}.$$

We have using Lemma 5

$$\pi_2(2x, z) - \pi_2(x, z) \leq \sum_{z < p \leq \sqrt{2x}} \left( \pi\left(\frac{2x}{p}\right) - \pi\left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \right) \leq \sum_{z < p \leq \sqrt{2x}} \frac{x/p}{\log(x/p)}.$$

For  $x > 15$  we have  $x/z > e$  and the function  $t \mapsto t/\log t$  is increasing for  $t > e$ . Hence

$$\pi_2(2x, z) - \pi_2(x, z) \leq \frac{x/z}{\log(x/z)} \left( \pi(\sqrt{2x}) - \pi(z) \right) \leq \frac{x/z}{\log(x/z)} (\pi(2z) - \pi(z)),$$



and using Lemma 5 we obtain

$$\pi_2(2x, z) - \pi_2(x, z) \leq \frac{x}{\log(x/z) \log z}.$$

Therefore we have for  $x > 15$

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) \leq \frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{x}{\log(x/z) \log z},$$

and using the inequality  $z < \sqrt{2x}$  we obtain for  $x > 200$

$$\sum_{x < m \leq 2x} u_z(m) \leq \frac{x}{\log z} \left( \frac{\log \sqrt{2x}}{\log x} + \frac{1}{\log \sqrt{x/2}} \right) \leq \frac{x}{\log z}$$

and it suffices to show the result for  $x \leq 200$  and  $z < \sqrt{2x}$ , which can be verified easily by computer. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** For  $2 \leq z \leq x$  we have

$$\sum_{x \leq m < 2x} u_z(m) \leq \frac{x}{\log z}.$$

*Proof.* If  $x$  is not an integer or if  $x$  is an integer and  $z = x$  (in this case  $u_z(x) = 0$ ), we have

$$\sum_{x \leq d < 2x} u_z(d) \leq \sum_{x < d \leq 2x} u_z(d) \leq \frac{x}{\log z}.$$

If  $x$  is an integer and  $z < x$ , we have

$$\sum_{x \leq d < 2x} u_z(d) = \sum_{x^- < d \leq 2x^-} u_z(d) \leq \frac{x}{\log z}.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 8.** For  $x \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq h \leq x$  we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+h) \leq 15 x (\log \log x + 0.5).$$

*Proof.* If  $h$  is odd, we have  $\Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+h) = 0$  if  $n$  is not a power of 2. Hence, when  $h$  is odd,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+h) \leq \sum_{r \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2}} \log 2 \log 2x \leq \log x \log 2x \leq 2x.$$

We can suppose that  $h$  is even, and  $\Lambda(n) \Lambda(n+h) \neq 0$  implies that  $n$  is odd; therefore  $n \geq 3$  and  $x \geq 3$ .

The contribution of the terms for which  $n$  and  $n+h$  are not both primes is at most

$$2 \log 2x \sum_{\substack{p^r \leq 2x \\ r \geq 2}} \log p \leq 2 \pi(\sqrt{2x}) \log^2 2x.$$

By inequality 3.6 of Rosser and Schoenfeld [8] we have

$$\forall x > 1, \quad \pi(x) < 1.25506 \frac{x}{\log x};$$

therefore the contribution of the terms for which  $n$  and  $n + h$  are not both primes is at most

$$7.1 \sqrt{x} \log 2x.$$

By the theorem of Siebert [10] the number of primes  $p \leq x$  such that  $p + h$  is prime is at most

$$16 \prod_{p \geq 3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \frac{x}{\log^2 x} \prod_{\substack{p|h \\ p \geq 3}} \frac{p-1}{p-2}.$$

We remark that

$$\frac{p-1}{p-2} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)^{-1},$$

and when  $h$  is even

$$\prod_{\substack{p|h \\ p \geq 3}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = 2 \frac{\varphi(h)}{h}$$

so that Siebert's expression can be written as

$$8 \prod_{\substack{p \\ (p,h)=1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \frac{x}{\log^2 x} \cdot \frac{h}{\varphi(h)} \leq \frac{8x}{\log^2 x} \cdot \frac{h}{\varphi(h)}.$$

By inequality 3.41 and 3.42 of Rosser and Schoenfeld [8] we have for  $h \geq 3$

$$\frac{h}{\varphi(h)} \leq e^\gamma \log \log h + \frac{2.50637}{\log \log h};$$

hence for  $x \geq 3$  we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\Lambda(n+h) \leq 8 \frac{\log 2x}{\log x} x \left( e^\gamma \log \log x + \frac{2.50637}{\log \log x} \right) + 7.1 \sqrt{x} \log 2x,$$

and for  $x \geq 10^8$  we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\Lambda(n+h) \leq 15 x (\log \log x + 0.5).$$

For  $x < 10^8$  we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\Lambda(n+h) \leq \log 2x \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n),$$

and we use the inequality 3.35 of Rosser and Schoenfeld [8]

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) < 1.03883 x \quad \text{for all } x > 0,$$

which gives for  $10 \leq x < 10^8$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\Lambda(n+h) \leq 1.03883 \log(2 \cdot 10^8) x \leq 20 x \leq 15 x (\log \log x + 0.5).$$

For  $x < 10$  the inequality is verified by direct computation. □

5. SUMS OF TYPE I AND II

For  $z \geq 3$  depending on  $x$  only we can split  $S(x, \alpha)$  as follows:

$$S(x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) e(n\alpha) = S_1(x, \alpha) + S_2(x, \alpha),$$

where

$$S_1(x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} v_z(n) \Lambda(n) e(n\alpha),$$

$$S_2(x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} u_z(n) \Lambda(n) e(n\alpha).$$

We can estimate  $S_1(x, \alpha)$  trivially:

$$|S_1(x, \alpha)| \leq \sum_{\substack{p^r \leq x \\ p \leq z}} \log p = \sum_{p \leq z} \log p \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \right\rfloor \leq \pi(z) \log x \leq z \log x.$$

Now we split  $S_2(x, \alpha)$  into  $B_1(x, \alpha) - B_2(x, \alpha)$  (see [4] for details) where

$$B_1(x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} u_z(n) \log(n) e(n\alpha),$$

$$B_2(x, \alpha) = \sum_{z \leq d \leq x/z} u_z(d) \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/d} u_z(m) \Lambda(m) e(md\alpha).$$

6. SUMS OF TYPE I

**Lemma 9.** For  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\beta}{q^2}$ ,  $|\beta| \leq 1$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$ ,  $3^7 \leq z^7 \leq y \leq x$ , we have

$$|B_1(x, \alpha)| \leq \frac{2}{3} e^\gamma x \log x \log 3z \exp\left(-\frac{\log y}{\log z}\right) + 2 \frac{x}{q} \log x \log 3y + \frac{2}{\pi} y \log x \log 4q + \frac{3}{\pi} q \log x \log 5q.$$

*Proof.* We write

$$B_1(x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq x} u_z(n) e(n\alpha) \int_1^n \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^x \frac{dt}{t} \sum_{t \leq n \leq x} u_z(n) e(n\alpha).$$

Introducing  $T_1(t, x, \alpha) = \sum_{t \leq n \leq x} u_z(n) e(n\alpha)$ , we see that

$$|B_1(x, \alpha)| \leq \log x \sup_{1 \leq t \leq x} |T_1(t, x, \alpha)|.$$

By the Möbius inversion formula

$$T_1(t, x, \alpha) = \sum_{\substack{n, d \\ t \leq nd \leq x}} v_z(n) \mu(n) e(nd\alpha).$$

Let  $y$  such that  $z^7 \leq y \leq x$ . We have

$$T_{1,1}(t, x, \alpha) = \sum_{n \leq y} \sum_{t \leq nd \leq x} v_z(n) \mu(n) e(nd\alpha),$$

$$T_{1,2}(t, x, \alpha) = \sum_{y < n \leq x} \sum_{t \leq nd \leq x} v_z(n) \mu(n) e(nd\alpha).$$

Clearly

$$|T_{1,1}(t, x, \alpha)| \leq \sum_{n \leq y} \min \left( \frac{x}{n}, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi n \alpha)|} \right).$$

Hence by Lemma 3

$$|T_{1,1}(t, x, \alpha)| \leq 2 \frac{x}{q} \log 3y + \frac{2}{\pi} y \log 4q + \frac{3}{\pi} q \log 5q.$$

We have

$$|T_{1,2}(t, x, \alpha)| \leq \sum_{n > y} v_z(n) |\mu(n)| \sum_{d \leq x/n} 1 \leq x \sum_{n > y} \frac{v_z(n)}{n} \mu^2(n).$$

In [8], Rosser and Schoenfeld proved (inequality 3.24) that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\log p}{p} < \log x \quad \text{for all } x > 1.$$

Using this inequality we get

$$0 < S = \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{\frac{1}{p}}{1 + \frac{1}{p}} \log p \leq \sum_{p \leq z} \frac{\log p}{p} \leq \log z \leq \frac{\log y}{7}.$$

Hence by Rankin's method (Lemma 4) we get

$$|T_{1,2}(t, x, \alpha)| \leq x \left( \prod_{p \leq z} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\log y}{\log z} \right).$$

Now for  $z \geq 3$  we have

$$\prod_{p \leq z} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \prod_{p \leq z} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \prod_{p \leq z} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \leq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{8}{9} = \frac{2}{3}.$$

In [8], Rosser and Schoenfeld proved (inequality 3.31) that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{p}{p-1} < e^\gamma \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 1.$$

Using these inequalities we obtain

$$|T_{1,2}(t, x, \alpha)| \leq \frac{2}{3} e^\gamma x \left( \sum_{1 \leq n \leq z} \frac{1}{n} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\log y}{\log z} \right),$$

and finally

$$|T_{1,2}(t, x, \alpha)| \leq \frac{2}{3} e^\gamma x \log 3z \exp \left( -\frac{\log y}{\log z} \right),$$

which completes the proof. □

7. SUMS OF TYPE II

Let  $J$  satisfy  $2^J \lceil z \rceil \leq x/z < 2^{J+1} \lceil z \rceil$ . We have

$$|B_2(x, \alpha)| \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq J} \sum_{2^j \lceil z \rceil \leq d < 2^{j+1} \lceil z \rceil} u_z(d) \left| \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/d} u_z(m) \Lambda(m) e(md\alpha) \right|.$$

We observe that  $J \log 2 \leq \log x - 2 \log z \leq \log x - \log 2$ , and we define

$$T_2(M) = \sum_{M \leq d < 2M} u_z(d) \left| \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/d} u_z(m) \Lambda(m) e(md\alpha) \right|.$$

We get

$$|B_2(x, \alpha)| \leq \frac{\log x}{\log 2} \sup_{\substack{z \leq M \leq x/z \\ M \in \mathbb{N}}} |T_2(M)|.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$|T_2(M)|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{M \leq d < 2M} u_z^2(d) \right) \sum_{M \leq d < 2M} \left| \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/d} u_z(m) \Lambda(m) e(md\alpha) \right|^2.$$

By Corollary 1, we have

$$\sum_{M \leq d < 2M} u_z^2(d) \leq \frac{M}{\log z}.$$

Expanding the square and summing first over the  $d$ 's we obtain

$$|T_2(M)|^2 \leq \frac{M}{\log z} \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/M} \Lambda(m) \sum_{z \leq m' \leq x/M} \Lambda(m') \left| \sum_{d \in I(m, m')} e((m - m')d\alpha) \right|,$$

where  $I(m, m')$  is the interval of  $d$ 's such that  $M \leq d \leq \min(2M - 1, \frac{x}{m}, \frac{x}{m'})$ .

We distinguish  $m = m'$  and  $m \neq m'$  and obtain

$$|T_2(M)|^2 \leq |T_{2,1}(M)|^2 + |T_{2,2}(M)|^2,$$

where

$$|T_{2,1}(M)|^2 = \frac{M^2}{\log z} \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/M} \Lambda^2(m)$$

and

$$|T_{2,2}(M)|^2 = 2 \frac{M}{\log z} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq x/M} \sum_{z \leq m \leq x/M} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(m+h) \left| \sum_{d \in I(m, m+h)} e(hd\alpha) \right|.$$

We have

$$\left| \sum_{d \in I(m, m+h)} e(hd\alpha) \right| \leq \min \left( M, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi h\alpha)|} \right).$$

By Lemma 8

$$\sum_{z \leq m \leq x/M} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(m+h) \leq 15 (\log \log x + 0.5) \frac{x}{M}.$$

So

$$|T_{2,2}(M)|^2 \leq 30 (\log \log x + 0.5) \frac{x}{\log z} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq x/M} \min \left( M, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi h \alpha)|} \right).$$

Using Lemma 2 and  $z \leq M \leq x/z$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq x/M} \min \left( M, \frac{1}{|\sin(\pi h \alpha)|} \right) &\leq \left( \frac{x}{Mq} + 1 \right) \left( 2M + \frac{2}{\pi} q \log 4q \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2x}{q} + 2M + \frac{2x \log 4q}{\pi M} + \frac{2}{\pi} q \log 4q \\ &\leq \frac{2x}{q} + \frac{2x}{z} + \frac{2x \log 4q}{\pi z} + \frac{2}{\pi} q \log 4q \\ &\leq 2x \left( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\pi + \log 4q}{\pi z} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$|T_{2,2}(M)|^2 \leq 60 (\log \log x + 0.5) \frac{x^2}{\log z} \left( \frac{1}{q} + \frac{\log 93q}{\pi z} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x} \right),$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) < 1.03883 x \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

For  $z \geq 3$ , the function  $M \mapsto M \log(x/M)$  is increasing on  $[z, x/z]$ . Hence by Rosser and Schoenfeld [8] inequality 3.35 we have

$$|T_{2,1}(M)|^2 \leq 1.03883 x M \frac{\log(x/M)}{\log z} \leq 1.03883 \frac{x^2}{z}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |B_2(x, \alpha)| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{1.03883}}{\log 2} \frac{x}{\sqrt{z}} \log x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\log 2} \sqrt{60 (\log \log x + 0.5)} \frac{x \log x}{\sqrt{\log z}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log 93q}{\pi z}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} |B_2(x, \alpha)| &\leq 1.48 \frac{x}{\sqrt{z}} \log x \\ &\quad + 11.18 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} \frac{x \log x}{\sqrt{\log z}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x}} + \sqrt{\frac{\log 93q}{\pi z}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We can suppose  $x \geq 10^{184}$ ; otherwise

$$\sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{5/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right) > 0.166$$

and the result is trivial using Rosser and Schoenfeld [8] inequality 3.35. Furthermore we can suppose

$$(\log x)^{3/2} \log \log x \leq q \leq \frac{x}{(\log x)^{5/2} \log \log x};$$

otherwise the result is trivial.

We choose  $\log z = \sqrt{\log x}$  and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_2(x, \alpha)| &\leq 11.18 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x}} \\ &\quad + 1.48 x \log x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right) \\ &\quad + 6.31 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{5/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right) \\ &\leq 11.18 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x}} \\ &\quad + 6.44 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{5/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us first suppose that

$$(\log x)^{3/2} \log \log x \leq q \leq (\log x)^3.$$

Let  $\log y = \sqrt{\log x} \log q$ . We then have for  $x \geq 10^{184}$

$$\begin{aligned} |B_1(x, \alpha)| &\leq 1.19 \frac{x}{q} \log x (\log z + \log 3) + 2 \frac{x}{q} \log x (\log y + \log 3) \\ &\quad + 0.64 \exp(\sqrt{\log x} \log q) \log x \log 4q + 0.96 q \log x \log 5q \\ &\leq 3.68 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us suppose that

$$(\log x)^3 < q \leq \frac{x}{(\log x)^{5/2} \log \log x}.$$

We choose

$$y = x (\log x)^{-1/2} \exp(-\sqrt{\log x}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1(x, \alpha)| &\leq 3.95 x (\log x)^{3/2} \exp(-\sqrt{\log x}) + 2 \frac{x}{q} (\log x)^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} x (\log x)^{3/2} \exp(-\sqrt{\log x}) + \frac{3}{\pi} q \log x \log 5q \\
&\leq 5.59 x (\log x)^{3/2} \exp(-\sqrt{\log x}) + 0.45 x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\quad + 0.11 x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{q \log 4q}{\pi x}} \\
&\leq 0.56 x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{3\pi} \cdot \frac{q \log 4q}{x}} \\
&\quad + 4.59 x (\log x)^{3/2} \exp(-\sqrt{\log x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for all  $q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_1(x, \alpha)| &\leq 3.68 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{3\pi} \cdot \frac{q \log 4q}{x}} \\
&\quad + 0.01 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{5/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S(x, \alpha)| &\leq |S_1(x, \alpha)| + |B_1(x, \alpha)| + |B_2(x, \alpha)| \\
&\leq 14.86 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{3\pi} \cdot \frac{q \log 4q}{x}} \\
&\quad + 6.45 \sqrt{\log \log x + 0.5} x (\log x)^{5/4} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\log x}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. CHEN, *On the estimation of some trigonometrical sums and their application (Chinese)*, *Scientia Sinica*, 28 (1985), pp. 449–458. MR **87h**:11078
- [2] J. CHEN AND T. WANG, *On the Goldbach problem (Chinese)*, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 32 (1989), No. 5, pp. 702–718. MR **91e**:11108
- [3] ———, *Estimation of linear trigonometric sums with primes (Chinese)*, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, 37 (1994), No. 1, pp. 25–31. MR **95c**:11102
- [4] H. DABOUSSI, *Effective estimates of exponential sums over primes*, *Analytic Number Theory*, Vol. 1, *Progr. Math.*, 138, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996, pp. 231–244. MR **97i**:11088
- [5] P. DUSART, *Autour de la fonction qui compte le nombre de nombres premiers*, PhD thesis, Université de Limoges, 1998.
- [6] P. D. T. A. ELLIOTT, *Probabilistic Number Theory I*, vol. 239 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer-Verlag, 1979. MR **82h**:10002a
- [7] H. L. MONTGOMERY AND R. C. VAUGHAN, *The large sieve*, *Mathematika*, 20 (1973), pp. 119–134. MR **51**:10260
- [8] J. B. ROSSER AND L. SCHOENFELD, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 6 (1962), pp. 64–94. MR **25**:1139
- [9] ———, *Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions  $\theta(x)$  and  $\psi(x)$* , *Mathematics of Computation*, 29 (1975), pp. 243–269. MR **56**:15581a
- [10] H. SIEBERT, *Montgomery's weighted sieve for dimension two*, *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 82 (1976), pp. 327–336. MR **54**:12690
- [11] R. C. VAUGHAN, *An elementary method in prime number theory*, *Acta Arithmetica*, 37 (1980), pp. 111–115. MR **82c**:10055
- [12] I. M. VINOGRADOV, *Representation of an odd number as the sum of three primes*, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 15 (1937), pp. 291–294.



- [13] ———, *The method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers, translated from the Russian, revised and annotated by K.F. Roth and A. Davenport*, Interscience, London, 1954.  
MR 15:941b

FACULTÉ DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET D'INFORMATIQUE, 33 RUE SAINT-LEU, 80039 AMIENS, FRANCE  
*Current address:* UMR CNRS 8752, Mathématiques, Université Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex,  
France  
*E-mail address:* daboussi@math.u-psud.fr

INSTITUT GIRARD DESARGUES, CNRS UPRES-A 5028, UNIVERSITÉ LYON I, 43, BOULEVARD  
DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, 69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE  
*Current address:* Institut Elie Cartan, Université Henri Poincaré, B.P. 239, 54506 Vandoeuvre  
cedex, France  
*E-mail address:* rivat@iecn.u-nancy.fr