# **Some Aspects of Metal-Olefin Bonding in Cyclopentadlenylcobalt-Cyclic Olefin Complexes As Evidenced by "Co Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance**

**T. B. Brill' and S. J. Landon** 

**Department of Chemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19711** 

*Recelved October 13, 1981* 

<sup>69</sup>Co nuclear quadrupole resonance reflects the extent of electron redistribution in delocalized cyclic olefin complexes containing Co(III).  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)<sub>z</sub>Co<sup>+</sup> is compared to  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)<sub> $[\eta^4$ </sub>-C<sub>4</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) olefins the electron density is significantly asymmetric, and the strength of the bond between the  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>n</sub>H<sub>n</sub>)Co unit and the olefin is found to be cyclooctadiene  $>$  duroquinone  $>$  cyclopentadienone. The origin of this trend is related to the ability of the ligand to distort in order to maximize the overlap between the  $\pi$  system and the  $d_{zz}$  orbital of cobalt. This view is consistent with the EHMO calculations on similar complexes. The NQR data for  $(\eta^5-C_6H_5)C_0(\eta^4$ -cyclopentadienone) complexes where the cyclopentadienone ring is substituted indicate that the cyclic olefin is a partially localized diene and that little variation in the Co-cyclic olefin bonding occurs **as** a function of substitution of the cyclopentadienone ring.

#### **Introduction**

The metal-olefin bond is fundamental to organometallic chemistry. Although a great deal is **known** both experimentally and theoretically about this interaction, $1-\frac{3}{2}$  the individual details from complex to complex continue to be of considerable interest.

**An** experimental technique that is capable of elucidating the ground-state electron distribution in appropriate transition-metal complexes is nuclear quadrupole resonance spectroscopy. It has been applied on several occasions to the study of metals involved in metal-polyene bonds.<sup>4-6</sup> In this paper we report a  $^{59}Co$  NQR spectroscopy study of  $(\eta^5$ -C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Co(cyclic olefin) complexes in which some of the details of the cobalt-olefin bond are analyzed.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Synthesis.**  $CpCo(CO)_2$  ( $Cp = \eta^5-C_6H_6$ ) was obtained from Pressure Chemical. All ligands are available from commercial sources and were used **as** received. Literature procedures were employed for preparation of the complexes:  $CpCoC_4Ph_4^7$  (C<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>4</sub>  $(CH_3)_4O_2$ <sup>9,10</sup> CpCoCOD<sup>11</sup> (COD =  $\eta^4$ -cyclooctadiene), and tained from Professor D. Seyferth, MIT.  $= \eta^4$ -C<sub>4</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>4</sub>), CpCoC<sub>5</sub>Ph<sub>4</sub>O,<sup>7</sup> CpCoC<sub>5</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>O,<sup>8</sup> CpCoC<sub>6</sub>- $\rm [CpCoC_6H_4COOH]PF_6.^{12}$   $\rm [C_5H_4C(OH)(C_6H_6)_2CoC_4Ph_4$  was ob-

The products were characterized by accurate correlation of melting points and 'H NMR spectra with the literature values.

**NQR Spectroscopy.** The NQR spectra were recorded on polycrystalline samples at room temperature with a spectrometer system described elsewhere.<sup>13</sup> Uncertainty in the measurement of the center line of the **resonance** multiplet produced a maximum error of 0.01 MHz in the resonance frequences. The nuclear quadrupole coupling constant and asymmetry parameter were calculated from the eigenvalue equation<sup>14</sup> for  $I = \frac{7}{2}$  using a computer program.<sup>15</sup>

We were unable to detect signals in  $CpCoC_5H_6$ ,  $[C_5(CH_3)_5]$ - $CoC_6H_6$ , and a number of substituted  $Cp_2Co^+$  salts and  $CpCoC<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>4</sub> complexes. While relaxation times often determine whether or not a signal is detected with a superregenerative$ oscillator, the number of NQR-active nuclei in the coil (the filling factor) is also important.

## **NQR Analysis**

 $^{59}$ Co ( $I = \frac{7}{2}$ ) produces three allowed transitions in NQR spectroscopy. These transitions occur between the  $m<sub>I</sub>$  = spectroscopy. These transitions occur between the  $m_I = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\pm \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\pm \frac{5}{2}$ , and  $\pm \frac{7}{2}$  levels with a selection rule of  $\Delta m_I = \pm 1$ . In practice the  $\frac{3}{2} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}$  transition may not be observed un intense. Nuclear quadrupole transitions occur in the RF region. Their location depends on the magnitude of the nuclear quadrupole moment, *Q,* which is constant, and the electric field gradient **(EFG), q,** at the nucleus, which varies in magnitude and symmetry depending on the distribution of charge about the nucleus. **q** is a tensor, the largest component of which is chosen by convention to be  $q_{zz}$ . The nuclear quadrupole coupling constant,  $e^2Qq_{zz}/h$ , and the asymmetry parameter of the electric field gradient, *9, can*  be calculated from any two identified nuclear transitions.  $e^2Qq_{zz}/h$  (hereinafter  $e^2Qq/h$ ) measures the magnitude of the EFG sensed by the nucleus while  $\eta = (q_{xx} - q_{yy})/q_{zz}$ measures its deviation from axial symmetry about  $Z$ .  $\eta$ may take on values from 0 to 1 depending on the degree of EFG asymmetry. Values of  $\eta$  in the 0.0-0.15 range can arise from distortions produced by lattice forces and generally are too small to have chemical significance. Values of  $\eta$  greater than 0.15 may be chemically important.

The magnitude of the EFG on the metal in diamagnetic transition-metal complexes is dominated by the distribution of electron density in the valence d orbitals.<sup>16</sup> If  $d_{z^2}$ is chosen to coincide with the *Z* axis of the EFG tensor, then the molecular coupling constant is related to the atomic value (a constant) through the combination of orbital populations,  $N_{d_i}$ , given in the bracketed quantity

**<sup>(1)</sup> Fischer, E.** *0.;* **Wemer, H. 'Metal \*-Complexes"; Elsevier: New York, 1966, Vol. I.** 

**<sup>(2)</sup> Herberhold, M. "Metal \*-Complexes"; Elsevier: New York, 1972,**   $Vol.$  II.

**<sup>(3)</sup> Deganello, G. "Transition Metal Complexes of Cyclic Olefins";** 

Academic Press: New York, 1979.<br>(4) Anderson, W. P.; Brill, T. B.; Schoenberg, A. R.; Stanger, C. W. *J.*<br>*Organomet. Chem.* **1972**, 44, 161.

*Chem.* **1976,14,2975. (5) Chia, L. S.; Cullen, W. R.; Gerry, M. C. L.; Lemer, E. C.** *Inorg.*  **(6) Brill, T. B.;** Fultz, **W. C.; Hofmann, B. R.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1980,19,** 

**<sup>749.</sup>** 

**<sup>(7)</sup> Rausch, M. D.; Genetti, R. A.** *J. Org. Chem.* **1970, 36, 3888. (8)** Boston, **J. L.; Sharpe, D. W. A.; Wilkinson,** *G. J. Chem. SOC.* **1962,** 

**<sup>3488.</sup>** 

**<sup>(9)</sup> Schramr,** *0.* **N.; Dewhimt, K. C.** *J. Am. Chm. SOC.* **1964,86,3265. (10) Schrauzer, G. N.; Thyret, H.** *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.* **1963, 2, 478.** 

<sup>(11)</sup> Nakamura, A.; Hagihara, N. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1**96**1, 34, 452.<br>(12) Sheats, J. E.; Rausch, M. D. *J. Org. Chem.* 1**970**, 35, 3245.<br>(13) Brill, T. B.; Long, G. G. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1**97**1, 75, 1898.

**<sup>(14)</sup> Das, T. P.; Hahn, E. L.** *Solid State Phys., Suppl.* **1966,1, Chapter** 

**<sup>1.</sup>** 

**<sup>(15)</sup> Brill, T. B.; Hamilton, L.** F., **unpublished results. (16) Harris, C. B.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1968,** *7,* **1517.** 

of eq 1. Although eq 1 is an approximation, it is valuable

$$
(e^{2}Qq/h)_{\text{mol}} =
$$

$$
(e^{2}Qq/h)_{\text{at.}} \left[ N_{d_{2}2} + \frac{N_{d_{xx}} + N_{d_{yx}}}{2} - N_{d_{xy}} - N_{d_{x}2} + \frac{1}{2} \right] (1)
$$

for relating the trend in the coupling constants over a series of complexes to changes that occur in metal-ligand bonding.

The asymmetry parameter, *9,* reflects the d orbital populations according to eq 2, assuming the *2* axis of the

$$
\eta = \frac{\frac{\beta_2 (N_{d_{xx}} - N_{d_{yx}}) (e^2 Q q / h)_{at.}}{(e^2 Q q / h)_{mol}} \tag{2}
$$

**EFG** is the same as that chosen in eq 1.  $\eta$  is very useful because it detecta the difference in the electron distribution on perpendicular planes of the molecule containing the *2*  axis of the **EFG.** 

In addition to the intramolecular **EFG,** a contribution to the **EFG** in salts comes from the electrostatics of the lattice. The lattice contribution has been found to be relatively small in organometallic complexes where the metal atom is insulated from the counterions by the ligands.<sup>17</sup> Such is the case in  $Cp_2Co^+$  salts. The data in Table I for  $Cp_2Co^+$  cover several temperatures and salts. The coupling constant usually increases with a decrease in temperature.18 The values of *e2Qq/h* at **100** K are slightly larger than those at 298 K. All of the salts will have coupling constants in a narrow range around **166**  MHz when the low-temperature values are scaled a few megahertz lower for comparison with the room-temperature values. Consequently there is only a small lattice effect, and no hazzard should be encountered in comparing these organometallic salts to neutral complexes.

### **Delocalized Cyclic Olefin Bonding**

The metal orbital participation in the bonding of  $\text{Cp}_2\text{Co}^+$ , 1, and  $\text{CpCoC}_4\text{Ph}_4$ , 2, is well-known.<sup>19</sup> The *Z* axis



of the orbitals and the **EFG** tensor coincides with the metal-ring axis so that the  $d_{z^2}$ ,  $d_{xy}$ , and  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  orbitals are mostly filled and nonbonding while  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  dominate the MO's comprising the metal-ligand bonding. $20,21$  The cobalticinium salts are axially symmetric with respect to the orbitals of cobalt and consequently the values of  $\eta$  in Table I are very small. The  $CpCoC_4Ph_4$  complexes have at most  $C_s$  in symmetry, but the delocalization of electron density in the occupied MO's of the ring produces effectively axial symmetry at cobalt. Accordingly, the asymmetry parameters for these compounds are observed to be

very small. Ring substitution in these compounds produces a negligible effect on the **EFG** at cobalt although a larger range of substituents might produce an observable effect such as that found in substituted  $\text{CpMn}(\text{CO})_3$  complexes. $22,23$  The presence of phenyl groups on the cyclobutadiene ring in **2** improves the stability of these complexes and will not alter the description of the ring because the substituent effect of  $C_6H_5$  is small.<sup>24</sup>

Co(III) has an initial d electron distribution of  $d_{z^2}(2)$ ,  $d_{x^2-y^2}(2)$ ,  $d_{xy}(2)$ ,  $d_{xz}(0)$ ,  $d_{yz}(0)$ . Significant redistribution of the electron density occurs when the  $Co(III)$  ion bonds to cyclic olefin ligands to form 1 and 2. An increase in  $N_{\rm d_{\rm rv}}$ and *Nd,,* will produce a lower value of *e2Qq/h* according to eq 1. The lower value of *e2Qq/h* in **2** compared to that in **1** results from the fact that the six-electron donor Cpand six-electron donor C<sub>4</sub>Ph<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> ligands in 2 produce higher electron density in the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  orbitals than do the two six-electron donor Cp- ligands in **1.** The magnitude of the bracketed quantity in eq 1 is, therefore, smaller in **2** than 1.

Alternatively, **2** might be considered to have a Co(1) atom with an initial d electron distribution of  $d_{\alpha2}(2)$ ,  $d_{x^2-y^2}(2)$ ,  $d_{xy}(2)$ ,  $d_{zz}(1)$ ,  $d_{yz}(1)$  bonded to Co<sup>-</sup> and a neutral four-electron donor  $C_4Ph_4$  ligand. Comparison of this electron distribution with that given above for Co(II1) shows by eq 1 that  $e^2Qq/h$  in 1 will be larger than for 2. With either formalism the net electron density on the metal is less for **1** than **2.** If only the metal d orbitals are considered, the *difference* in the electron occupation of the  $m_l = 0$ ,  $\pm 1$  orbital set and the  $m_l = \pm 2$  orbitals is about **17%** greater in **1** compared to that in **2.** 

## **Localized Cyclic Olefin Bonding**

Localized dienes yield rather different NQR data (Table 11) than the delocalized dienes. The bonding between cyclic olefins and metals partly depends on how readily the olefin can alter its structure and orientation in order to optimize the overlap with the metal orbitals. Inflexible dienes where the metal-ligand bonding is restricted in a preset way are likely to produce overall less bonding MO's than those possible with flexible dienes. **An** experimental test of this prediction can be made by comparing the **or**bital populations of a series of CpCo(cyclic olefin) complexes where a rigid cyclic diene is compared to a flexible one.

Cyclopentadienone represents a relatively inflexible diene. It is based on butadiene in which the ring is cyclized by a carbonyl. The double bonds are at an angle to one another<sup>25,26</sup> and, therefore, are not optimized for metalligand orbital overlap in **3.** 



- **(22) Nemeyanov, A. N.; Semin, G. K.; Bryuchova, E. V.; Babushkina, T. A.; Anisimov, K. N.; Kolobova, N. E.; Makarov, Yu. Y.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968, 37, 3987.**
- (23) Brill, T. B.; Long, G. G. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 74.<br>(24) Ehrenson, S.; Brownlee, R. J. C.; Taft, R. W. Prog. Phys. Org. *Chem.* **1973,** *10,* **1.**
- **(26) Dahl, L. F.; Smith, D. L.** *J.* **Am.** *Chem. SOC.* **1961,83, 752.**
- **(26) Gerloch, M.; Mason, R.** *hoc. R. SOC. London, Ser.* **A 1964,279, 170.**

**<sup>(17)</sup> Brill, T. B.; Kotlar, A. J.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,13,4706.** 

**<sup>(18)</sup> Kushida, T.; Benedek, G. B.; Bloembergen, N.** *Phys. Reo.* **1956, 104, 1364.** 

**<sup>(19)</sup> Cotton, F. A; Wilkineon, G. "Advanced Inorganic Chemistry", 4th** 

**<sup>(20)</sup> Schraml, J.; Voitlander, J.** *2. Naturforsch,* **A 1965, 20A, 1424. ed.; Wiley: New York, 1980; pp 100-104. (21) Warren, K. D.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1974,13,1243.** 

**Table I. Cobalt-59 Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance Frequencies for Delocalized Cyclic Olefins at 298 K, Unless Otherwise Indicated** 

	$\nu$ . MHz				
complex	$1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$	$3/2 \leftrightarrow 5/2$	$\frac{s}{2} \leftrightarrow \frac{7}{2}$	$e^2Qq/h$ , MHz	η
$[Cp, Co]NO3·H2O1 b 1$ $[Cp_2Co]Br_3$ , $c_1$	11.833	23.678	35.513	165.61 168.43	0.007 0.0
$[C_{\rm p},C_{\rm 0}]$ CIO <sub>4</sub> , $d_{\rm 1}$ $[CpCoCsH4COOH]PFs$ , 1	12.250	24.500 23.635(20) 20.09(10)	36.740 35.547 (10) 30.17(15)	171.43 165.98 140.8	0.0 0.076 0.05
$CpCoCaPha$ , 2 $[C, H_2C(OH)Ph_2]CoC_4Ph_4$ , 2		19.770 (3)	29.650(3)	138.37	0.0

**a Parenthetical numbers are signal-to-noise ratios. Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Semin,** *G.* **K.; Bryukhova, E. V.; Anisimov, K. N.; Kolobova, N. E.; Khmdozhko, V. N.** *ZZU. Akad.*  **Spiess, H. W.; Hass, H.; Hartmann, H.** *J. Chem. Phys.* **1969,50, 3057.**  *Nauk SSSR* **1969, 9, 1936. Data at 77 K. Voitlander, J.; Longino, R.** *Naturwissenschaften* **1959,** *46,* **664. Data at 103 K.** 

	$\nu$ . MHz				
complex	$1/2 \leftrightarrow 3/2$	$3/2 \leftrightarrow 5/2$	$5/2 \leftrightarrow 7/2$	$e^2Qq/h$ , MHz	η
$CpCoCs(C6Hs)4O, 3$		20.436(4)	32,091(4)	152.68	0.431
$CpCoCs(CF3)4O, 3$		20.726(3)	32.357(7)	153.11	0.367
$CpCoC_6(CH_3)_4O_2$ , 4	19.765(2)	17.745(7)	27,858(7)	136.70	0.680
CpCoC <sub>s</sub> H <sub>1,2</sub> , 5	12.456(4)	15,701 (20)	24.653 (50)	117.27	0.415

**Parenthetical numbers are signal-to-noise ratios.** 

To represent examples of more flexible ligands, cyclooctadiene and duroquinone were selected. Duroquinone is distorted in the CpCo complex **4** such that the ketone



groups bend away-from the cobalt atoms while the Me groups move closer.<sup>27</sup> The ring has  $C_{2v}$  symmetry. Such a distortion appears to occur in order to orient the  $\pi$ electron density of the diene in the direction of the Co bonding orbitals." Similarly the flexibility of COD **permits**  the diene **to** position itself for optimum overlap with the metal orbitals in **5.** These ligands, represented on one hand by nonparallel dienes with a less flexible five-membered ring, **3,** and on the other hand by parallel dienes with flexible six- and eight-membered rings, **4** and **5,** should produce alterations in the Co orbital occupation numbers.

The 2 axis of the Co EFG tensor is chosen **as** shown on **1.** While this orientation is satisfactory for **4** and **5,** it would be fortuitous if the axis system orientation were precisely the same **as** this in **3.** The 2 axis in **3** will reside in the molecular mirror plane but may be canted from the Co-Cp axis.6 Thus the present discussion of **3** will be purposefully subjective.

In these complexes Co(1) **has** an initial d orbital electron distribution of  $d_{z^2}(2)$ ,  $d_{x^2-y^2}(2)$ ,  $d_{xy}(2)$  with the remaining two electrons largely occupying the  $d_{yz}$  orbital. Recent **EHMO** calculations of Albright et al.<sup>28</sup> on CpCo(olefin)<sub>2</sub> complexes indicate that the metal MO's involving  $d_{z^2}$ ,  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ , and  $d_{xy}$  are filled and largely nonbonding in the diene complexes of the type **3-5.** Consequently, these orbitals will be taken **as** filled and unchanging. The variations in the metal electron density as reflected by the **NQR** data



**Figure 1.** The interaction diagram for a parallel diene and the d<sub>r,</sub> and d<sub>y</sub>, orbitals of cobalt.<sup>28</sup>

take place via the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  orbitals of Co which have the correct symmetry for bonding with the dienes in Table 11. Figure 1 illustrates the overlap arrangement. The  $d_{zz}-b_2$ overlap is much more strongly bonding than the  $d_{yz} - b_1$ overlap which involves the  $\pi^*$  levels of the olefins.<sup>28</sup> It is reasonable, therefore, to expect a greater population for the  $d_{xz}$  orbital of cobalt than  $d_{yz}$ . Although the electron density in these orbitals is redistributed to some extent by bonding, the sum of the negative terms in eq 1 will exceed the **sum** of the positive terms in **3-5.** Because the  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$  orbitals participate to a different extent in bonding, eq 2 predicts a nonzero value of *9.* The values

**<sup>(27)</sup> Uchtman, V. A.; Dahl, L. F.** *J. Orgummet. Chem.* **1972,40,403. (28) Albright, T. A.; Geiger, W. E.; Moraczewski, J.; Tulyathan, B.** *J.*  **Am.** *Chem.* **SOC. 1981,** *103,* **4787.** 

of *n* will be discussed shortly.

By using the fact that the negative terms are overall larger than the positive terms in eq **1,** it is easy to see that an increase in the olefin-to-metal electron transfer through improved orbital overlap with the  $d_{r}$  orbital will decrease the 59C0 coupling constant. The trend in the coupling constants (Table II) is  $5 < 4 < 3$ . 5 is expected to have the best olefin-metal overlap due to the fact that COD is flexible enough for the  $\pi$  system to orient toward the  $d_{xz}$ orbital of cobalt. Likewise the duroquinone exhibits notable flexibility in order to maximize the metal-ligand overlap in **4,27** but the olefin-to-metal electron transfer is reduced because the ketone groups compete with the metal for the diene electrons. As a result, in spite of the distortion of the duroquinone to optimize overlap, the diminished electron density in the  $m_l = \pm 1$  orbitals of cobalt (eq **1)** produces the observed larger **5gC0** coupling constant in **4** compared to **5.** There is about **10-15%** less electron density in the  $m_l = \pm 1$  set in 4 than 5.

The substituted cyclopentadienone ligands produce the smallest population of the  $d_{zz}$  orbital according to the high value of the 59C0 coupling constant in **3.** Not only are the double bonds ineffectively oriented for the optimum overlap, but also the five-membered ring is relatively rigid. Accordingly, the electron transfer from the olefin to the cobalt d,, orbital will not be as effective in **3** as in **4** or **5.**  These experimental NQR data support the conclusions of EHMO calculations where it is found that parallel dienes produce more strongly bonding MO's with the CpCo unit than do nonparallel dienes.<sup>28</sup>

The localization of  $\pi$ -electron density in  $3-5$  generates considerable asymmetry in the electron distribution on perpendicular planes of the molecule. The  $d_{xz}$  and  $d_{yz}$ orbitals lie on these planes, and their population will reflect this difference. The relationship of the asymmetry parameter to the occupation of these orbitals is given in eq 2. An inverse relationship between  $\eta$  and  $(e^2Qq/h)_{\text{mol}}$ would be observed if the quantity  $(N_{d_{xx}} - N_{d_{yy}})$  were constant in the series. This situation is sometimes observed<sup>5,6</sup> and means that proportional changes in the electron

density take place simultaneously in the two d orbitals. In Table **I1** the trend in *q* does not match the trend in  $(e^2Qq/h)_{\text{mol}}$  and therefore the magnitude of  $(N_{d_{\text{tot}}} - N_{d_{\text{tot}}})$ is altered by the olefin. The largest value occurs in **5** where the lobes of the  $d_{yz}$  are directed at the atomic framework of the ketone groups. **A** semiempirical MO analysis suggests<sup>9</sup> this interaction is repulsive and is, in part, responsible for the distortion of the duroquinone ligand toward  $C_{2\nu}$  symmetry. The decrease in  $\bar{N}_{d_{\nu}}$  relative to  $N_{d_{xx}}$  is reflected in the large value of  $\eta$  observed with **4.** The quantity  $(N_{d_{xy}} - N_{d_{yy}})$  is about 0.3 e in **4** and 0.2 e in **5** assuming  $(e^2\tilde{Qq}/h)_{\text{at}}$  is about 280 MHz.<sup>16</sup> The lower values of  $\eta$  in 3 compared to that in 5 may result from a moderation of the difference between  $N_{d_{xx}}$  and  $N_{d_{yz}}$  due to the overall weaker cobalt-cyclic olefin bonding.

The NQR spectra of 3 with  $R = C_6H_5$  and  $CF_3$  (Table **11)** provide subjective information on the method of metal-olefin bonding in 3. The large values of  $\eta$  for cobalt are indicative of relatively localized double bonds in the cyclic olefin portion **as** opposed to highly delocalized molecular orbitals as found in  $Cp<sup>25</sup>$  Differences in bonding in **3** when R is alternately an electron donor or acceptor substituent have been proposed.<sup>26,29-31</sup> The fact that  $e^2Qq/h$  and  $\eta$  are very similar in 3 when  $R = CF_3$  and R  $\ddot{C}_6H_5$  reveals that the bonding modes in these two complexes are very similar insofar **as** the electron occupations of the d orbitals of cobalt are concerned.

**Acknowledgment.** We wish to thank Thomas M. Haller for help with the syntheses and to Professor D. Seyferth for a sample of  $[C_6H_4C(OH)(C_6H_5)_2][C_4(C_6-F_6)$  $H<sub>6</sub>$ )<sub>4</sub>1Co.

**Registry No. 1, R** = COOH, **40759-57-7; 2,** R = H, **1278-02-0; 2,**   $R = \overline{C(OH)Ph_2}$ , 68893-17-4; 3,  $R = CF_3$ , 12212-31-6; 3,  $R = Ph$ , **12119-11-8; 4, 41611-29-4; 5, 12184-35-9.** 

**<sup>(29)</sup> Bailey, N. A.; Gerloch,** M.; Mason, **R.** *Nature (London)* **1964,201, 72.** 

*<sup>(30)</sup>* **Kettle, S. F. A.;** Mason, **R.** *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1966,** *5,* **97. (31) Schrauzer,** *G.* **N.** *Znorg. Chem.* **1965,4, 264.**