2.571 (1) **A).** Cis angles about the octahedral tungsten range from  $\angle P(2)-W-C(4) = 81.06$  (4)<sup>o</sup> through  $\angle P(1) W-Ac = 98.9^{\circ}$ . (Ac represents the midpoint of the acetylenic  $C(2)-C(3)$  bond and the acetylene ligand is considered monodentate.)

One of the more interesting questions concerns the role of the aluminum reagent. Since  $W(CH)(Cl)L_4$  reacts with AlX<sub>3</sub> reagents (2 equiv) to give  $W(CH)(Cl)L_3(AIX_3)$  and  $Me<sub>3</sub>PAIX<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>3</sup> it is reasonable to propose this as the first step, followed by coordination of CO in the position of the ligand bridging between A1 and W (eq 1). The question then

$$
x_2AI - C^H \xrightarrow{X_3AI} C^H \xrightarrow{X_4AI} \xrightarrow{B} \xrightarrow{B} \xrightarrow{C} \
$$

reduces to whether the two carbon atoms couple at this point or later. Since activation of carbonyl ligands by interaction of the carbonyl oxygen atom with a Lewis acid is well documented,<sup>13</sup> it might seem more necessary for  $\text{AIX}_3$  to activate the carbon monoxide toward coupling than the methylidyne ligand. On the other hand, it is also attractive to postulate that the two carbenoid ligands (CO and  $C(H)(\text{AIX}_3)$  couple first and that  $\text{AIX}_3$  (probably from outside the coordination sphere) subsequently coordinates to the carbonyl oxygen atom. In either case,  $\text{AIX}_3$  plays an intimate role in the coupling reaction. Preliminary experiments suggest that removing AlCl<sub>3</sub> from  $W(\eta^2-$ HC=COAlCl<sub>3</sub>)(CO)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Cl with a strong base (in order to generate  $\dot{W}(CH)(CO)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Cl)$  is not facile.

**Acknowledgment.** This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through Grant CHE80-23446 (M.R.C.) and by the Director, Office of Basic Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the **US.** Department of Energy, under Contract DE-AC02-78ER04949-AO02 (R.R.S.).

**Supplementary Material Available:** Positional parameters, anisotropic thermal parameters, and observed and calculated structure factors (26 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(13) Shriver, D. F. *ACS Symp. Ser.* 1981, No. 152, 1.

## **Photochemical Generation of BIs( trlethylphosphine)piatinum( 0) and Synthesis of Ethylenebis( triethyiphosphine)piatinum( 0)**

## **Ralph S. Paonessa and Wllilam C. Trogler'**

*Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 6020 1* 

*Received December 14, 1981* 

Summary:  $UV$  irradiation of the platinum( $II$ ) oxalate complex  $Pt(PEt_3)_{2}(C_{2}O_{4})$  in the presence of coordinating ligands L or oxidative addition substrates X-Y produces, respectively,  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>n</sub>$  ( $n = 1, 2$ ) and  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>XY$ , with release of two equivalents of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . When L = ethylene,  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)$  can be prepared; its reactions are described.

Zerovalent, 14-electron complexes consisting of platinum and two tertiary phosphine ligands, PtL<sub>2</sub>, are stable only

if L is so sterically demanding that approach to the metal center is hindered.<sup>1,2</sup> The steric bulk of the ligands. The steric bulk of the ligands, however, attenuates the reactivity of these compounds. The crowded complex  $Pt[P(t-Bu)_3)]_2$  does not react with dioxygen, molecular hydrogen, or methanol; **as** L becomes smaller [e.g.,  $L = P(t-Bu)_{2}Ph$ ,  $P(c-Hx)_{3}$ ,  $P(i-Pr)_{3}$ ], the reactivity of  $PtL_2$  toward small molecules increases.<sup>3,4</sup> Relatively unhindered PtL<sub>2</sub> complexes, where L is a small phosphine ligand, have not been observed and should be highly reactive. For example, one phosphine can dissociate from  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>$  to give the 16-electron species  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ , but further dissociation to produce spectroscopically observable quantities of  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$  does not occur.<sup>5-8</sup> The oxalate complex  $Pt(PPh_3)_2(C_2O_4)$  loses 2 equiv of carbon dioxide upon UV irradiation to produce platinum(0) compounds, presumably via  $Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>9</sup> This suggested the  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  fragment could be generated in situ photochemically from  $Pt(PEt_3)_2(C_2O_4)$ , 1, and its reactions studied under mild conditions. Because triethylphosphine is both sterically undemanding and electron-rich,  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ should be highly reactive.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, this photochemical procedure could provide a convenient route to platinum(0) and platinum(I1) complexes containing two triethylphosphine ligands; the only side product of the synthesis would be carbon dioxide.

Complex 1 can be prepared<sup>11</sup> from cis- $Pt(PEt_3)_2Cl_2$  and  $K_2C_2O_4·H_2O$  as white crystals, soluble in polar solvents; it is air-stable and unreactive in the absence of UV light, both as a solid and in solution, but it is photochemically reactive. The <sup>31</sup>P<sup>{1</sup>H} NMR and IR spectra of 1 are con $s$ istent<sup>12</sup> with the square planar geometry shown in Scheme I. Several experimental facts support the hypothesis that UV irradiation of 1 generates  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ . Irradiation of solutions of **1** in the presence of donor and acceptor ligands, L, leads to formation of zerovalent complexes Pt-  $(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>n</sub>$  (Scheme I). If 1 is irradiated in the presence of substrates XY that can undergo oxidative addition, platinum(II) complexes  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>XY$  form.<sup>13</sup> Two

**(1)** (a) Green, M.; Howard, J. A,; Spencer, J. L.; Stone, F. G. A. *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.* 1975,3. (b) Fornies, J.; Green, M.; Spencer,

J. L.; Stone, F. G. A. *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* 1977, 1006-1009. (2) **Otsuka,** S.; Yoshida, T.; Matsumoto, M.; Nakatau, K. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1976,98, 5850-5857.

(3) Yoshida, T.; Otsuka, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 2134-2140. (4) Abbreviations: Me = methyl; Et = ethyl; Ph = phenyl;  $i$ -Pr = isopropyl;  $c-Hx = cyclohexyl$ ;  $t-Bu = tert-butyl$ .

(5) Gerlach, D. H.; Kane, A. R.; Parshall, G. W.; Jesson, J. P.; Muet terties, E. L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1971, 93, 3543-3544.

(6) Yoshida, T.; Matsuda, T.; Otsuka, S. *Inorg. Synth.* 1979, *14,*  107-110.

(7) Mann, B. E.; Musco, **A.** *J. Chem. SOC., Dalton Trans.* 1980, 776-785.

(8) The species  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$  is not seen spectroscopically, e.g., by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy. Kinetically significant quantities of  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$  could, however, be present in solutions of  $Pt(PEt_3)_3$ . For example, the results of variable-temperature NMR line-shape analysis suggest that  $Pt(PEt_3)_3$ exchanges with free PEt<sub>3</sub> by both associative and dissociative pathways.

(9) Blake, D. M.; Nyman, C. J. *J.* Am. *Chem. SOC.* 1970,92,5359-5364.

(10) Trialkylphosphines are known to greatly increase the nucleophilic reactivity of platinum(0) complexes compared with that of triarylphosphine analogues; see ref 5.

(11) Metathesis of cis-Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Parshall, G. W. *Inorg. Synth.* 1970, 12, 26-33) with  $K_2C_2O_4H_2O$  in equal volumes of hot methanol and water, followed by reduction of the liquid volume and cooling, produces off-white crystals of 1. Recrystallization from dichloromethane/diethyl<br>ether produces pure white crystals of 1 in 85% yield. Anal. Calcd: C,<br>32.37; H, 5.82; P, 11.93; Pt, 37.56. Found: C, 32.38; H, 5.76; P, 11.98; Pt,<br>37

(12) Nakamoto, K. "Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds", 3rd Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1978; pp 233-236.

 $\circ$ 

 $\circ$ 

Scheme I

Pt <sup>0</sup> (PEt <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> L <sub>n</sub>					
L	n	% yield (\$ $\%$ convn)			
PEt <sub>3</sub>	2	90 (95)			
C <sub>1</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1	91 (96)			
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1	91 (96)			
PEt <sub>3</sub>	6	C <sub>1</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1	91 (96)	
PEt <sub>3</sub>	7	6	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1	91 (96)
PEt <sub>3</sub>	1	99 (90)			
PEt <sub>3</sub>	1	99 (90)			
PEt <sub>3</sub>	1	99 (90)			
PEt <sub>3</sub>	1	99 (96)			
1	1	99 (96)			
1	1	99 (96)			
1	1	99 (96)			
1	1	99 (96)			
2	1	99 (96)			
1	1	1	99 (96)		
2	1	1	99 (96)		
1	1	1			

equivalents of carbon dioxide are evolved in these reactions. In each case the product contains the  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ moiety. To monitor the course of these reactions, we irradiated solutions in NMR tubes and recorded the <sup>31</sup>P[<sup>1</sup>H] **NMR** spectra at successive stages of the photolysis (Figure 1). These spectra showed the gradual conversion of 1 to the products described; yields are described below. The reactions stopped in the absence of UV irradiation. The course of these reactions is most easily explained by assuming UV light triggers intramolecular reduction of Pt(I1) to Pt(0) by the "built-in" two-electron reductant  $C_2O_4^2$ . The reactive platinum species is probably  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  or a weak complex with solvent.<sup>14</sup> Further evidence of the formation of  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$  from 1 comes from the observation that, in the absence of substrates to scavenge the reactive  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  fragment, disproportionation produces  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ and platinum metal (reaction 1). An analogous reaction  $3Pt(PEt_3)_2 \rightarrow 2Pt(PEt_3)_3 + Pt$  (1)

$$
3Pt(PEt3)2 \rightarrow 2Pt(PEt3)3 + Pt
$$
 (1)

occurs when  $Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  is generated in situ by reductive elimination of methane from cis-Pt(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MeH.<sup>15</sup> When



Figure 1. Successive 31P{1H} NMR spectra (109 MHz) showing the photochemical conversion under 1 atm ethylene of Pt-<br>(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) (1) to Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (2). Irradiation times are  $(PEt_3)_2(C_2O_4)$  (1) to Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) (2). Irradiation times are shown at right. The symmetrically disposed satellite peaks result from those molecules that contain  $^{195}$ Pt (33.8% abundance,  $I =$  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The rate of conversion decreases steadily as the concentration of 2 increases, because 2 absorbs **an** increasingly greater fraction of the incident irradiation. The signals marked by an asterisk in the final spectrum are unidentified side products which form at long irradiation times.

degassed solutions of 1 in acetonitrile are irradiated in the absence of added substrates, a complex mixture of products forms, along with finely divided platinum metal. If the solvent is removed under vacuum and the residue extracted into toluene- $d_8$ , Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> is seen from the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum to be the major product. Thus, disproportionation of  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  occurs in competition with other unidentified decomposition pathways.

The reactions of photogenerated  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  can be compared with those of more hindered, thermally stable PtL<sub>2</sub> complexes. For example,  $Pt[P(t-Bu)_2Ph]_2$  reacts immediately with CO to form  $Pt_3(CO)_3[P(t-Bu)_2Ph]_3$ , accompanied by the loss of one phosphine ligand per platinum; $^3$ however, the photoreaction of **1** with GO yields the mononuclear complex  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>$ . Facile loss of the  $P(t-Bu)$ <sub>2</sub>Ph ligand is no doubt driven by release of steric strain. The formation of trans- $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>H(OMe)$ , 3, when **1** is irradiated in methanol, is particularly significant. Bulkier PtL<sub>2</sub> complexes  $[L = P(i-Pr)_3, P(c-Hx)_3, P(t-$ 

**<sup>(13)</sup>** (a) Irradiations were performed by using a Hanovia **450-W** me- dium-pressure mercury arc lamp or an Oriel 450-W focused Xenon arc lamp. Light output was filtered through cooled water (to removed IR radiation). Reactions followed by 31P{1H) NMR were carried out in **10-** mm Pyrex NMR tubes by irradiating solutions of **1 (0.04** mmol) in CH3CN **(1** mL)/Ca6 **(0.5** mL) (freeze-pump-thaw degassed or nitro-gen-purged) that contained excess substrate (gases at **1** atm); the reaction with MeOH was carried out in MeOH/C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. Products were identified<br>by comparison of NMR and IR spectra with those of authentic samples by comparison of NMR and IR spectra with those of authentic samples<br>or by NMR spectroscopy for the following new compounds. Pt(PEt<sub>3)2</sub>-<br>(C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub>): <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR  $\delta$  15.4 (m, A part of AA'X<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub>' multiplet, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PtP</sub> 2425 Hz); <sup>19</sup>F NMR  $\delta$  2.7 (relative to C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> at 0 ppm, m, X part of AA $X_2X_2'$  multiplet,  ${}^2J_{\text{PtF}} = 279$ ). Anal. Calcd: C, 31.64; H, 5.69; F, 14.30; P, 11.66. Found: C, 31.72; H, 5.61; F, 14.16; P, 11.87. *tra* Preparation and spectra **ot** the following compounds were previously reported: Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3;</sub>5.6 Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>;5.6 2<sup>13b,c</sup> Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>;<sup>13d</sup> trans-Pt-<br>(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MeX, X = Cl, I.<sup>13e.f</sup> (b) Nuzzo, R. G., McCarthy, T. J.; Whitesides, G. M. Znorg. Chem. **1981,20,1312-1314.** (c) Stone, F. *G.* A. *Acc.* Chem. Res. **1981,14,318-325.** (d) Chini, P.; Longoni, G. *J.* Chem. *SOC. A* **1970, 1542-1546.** (e) Chatt, J.; Shaw, B. L. **J.** Chem. *SOC.* **1959,705-716.** (0 Allen, **F.** H.; Pidcock, A. *J.* Chem. **SOC.** *A* **1968, 27OC-2704.**  Hz),  $P_B$  (trans to H) *b* 19.1 (d,  ${}^4P_{\rm P4P\rm B} = 16.4$  Hz;  ${}^4P_{\rm PtP\rm B} = 23$  Hz,  ${}^3P_{\rm BH} = 154$  Hz,  ${}^3P_{\rm PH} = 942$  Hz).<br>NMR PtH *b* -2.29 (dd,  ${}^2P_{\rm P4} = 23$  Hz,  ${}^3P_{\rm BH} = 154$  Hz,  ${}^3P_{\rm PH} = 942$  Hz).

**<sup>(14)</sup>** The solvent mixture affects the photoreactions of **1** in a way we do not fully understand. The photoreaction of 1 with ethylene in acetonitrile/benzene cleanly yields 2, while the same reaction in acetonitrile yields 2 and a mixture of unidentified side products. The solubility of ethylene is the same in each solvent and in mixtures of the two. It is possible that benzene suppresses formation of side products by weakly coordinating to  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ , thereby stabilizing it until it reacts with  $C_2H_4$ . A stable, fluxional complex of Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with perfluorohexamethylbenzene, Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[ $\eta$ <sup>2</sup>-C<sub>6</sub>(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>], is known: Browning, J.; Green, M.; Penforld, B. R.; Spencer, J. L.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. *Commun.* **1973, 31-32.** 

**<sup>(15)</sup>** Abis, L.; Sen, A.; Halpern, J. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1978,** *100,*  **2915-2916.** 



Bu)<sub>2</sub>Ph] react with methanol to yield *trans*-PtL<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, presumably via  $\beta$ -hydrogen elimination from an intermediate  $PtL<sub>2</sub>H(OMe)$  complex and release of formaldehyde.<sup>§</sup> Complex 3 is the first example of a PtL<sub>2</sub>H(OMe) complex that is directly observed. The formation of **3** exemplifies the ability of 1 to produce the  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  species in the absence *of* strongly competing ligands. In contrast, Pt-  $(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$  reacts with methanol to yield  $[Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>H][MeO]<sub>5</sub>$ while  $Pt(PEt_3)_{2}(C_2H_4)$  is inert to methanol (see below).

The yields of the photoreactions in Scheme I, as measured by NMR spectroscopy, are affected by the absorption characteristics, photosensitivity, and thermal stability of the products. The conversion yield (% of 1 consumed) is a function of irradiation time and light intensity and generally approaches 80-100% upon prolonged irradiation.16 The product yield, which we define as the yield based on the amount of 1 reacted, is highest for those products which are photochemically and thermally stable under the photolysis conditions, and decreases otherwise. For example,  $trans-Pt(PEt_3)_2MeCl$  is photosensitive; although it forms cleanly in the early stages of the reaction, UV irradiation gradually converts it to other products. The previously unreported complex  $Pt(PEt_3)_{2}(C_2F_4)$  was prepared photochemically from 1 and isolated as an analytically pure solid13\* in **55%** yield.

We have found complex **1** to be convenient for the preparation of the labile ethylene complex  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ - $(C_2H_4)$ , 2. This reaction traps the reactive  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$ fragment in a stable adduct which can be isolated and from

which ethylene is readily displaced to yield compounds containing the  $Pt(PEt_3)$ , moiety. This procedure circumvents the problem of product photodecomposition. Furthermore, reactions of the highly soluble ethylene complex can be carried out in a range of solvents. In a typical preparation of **2,** an ethylene-saturated solution of 1 in equal volumes of deaerated acetonitrile and benzene is irradiated in a quartz Schlenk tube for 8 h, after which time approximately 80-90% conversion of 1 to **2** is observed. (Further conversion of 1 is very slow at this point, because **2** now absorbs almost all the UV irradiation.) Complex 2 is an air-sensitive liquid (mp  $\leq -20$  °C), and we have been unable to isolate analytically pure samples; however, for many purposes, isolation of **2** is not necessary. For example, addition of RX (e.g.,  $RX = MeCl$ , MeI) cleanly produces  $trans-Pt(PEt_3)_2MeX$ , which can then be isolated. Alternatively, because **2** reacts reversibly with hydrogen to form  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>$ , 4, we have found it convenient to convert **2** to **4** under a stream of hydrogen in n-hexane solution, from which **4** can be isolated as an analytically pure crystalline solid.<sup>17</sup> Complex 2 can then be regenerated quantitatively in situ by simply treating solutions of 4 with a stream of ethylene.<sup>18</sup> Reactions of **2** are summarized in Scheme 11; spectroscopic yields are quantitative based on **2.** 

Although 1 and **2** are both precursors to compounds containing the  $Pt(PEt_3)_2$  fragment, their reactions can be quite different, and the choice of which reagent to use to prepare a particular complex will vary. **As** described above, use of **2** circumvents the problem of secondary photolysis and allows a wider range of solvents to be employed. Complex 1, however, provides the more reactive, "ligandfree" source of  $Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ . Thus, for example, methanol readily adds to the highly reactive  $Pt(PEt_3)$ , species formed photochemically from 1, whereas **2** is inert to alcohols.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the National Science Foundation (Grants CHE78-01615 and 81-050698) for support of this work and Johnson Matthey, Inc., for a generous loan of platinum.

**<sup>(16)</sup> The rate of conversion of 1 decreases with increasing irradiation time (at constant incident light intensity) as the products formed compete with 1 for absorption of the incident irradiation. This behavior can be seen, for example, in Figure 1.** 

**<sup>(17)</sup> Although 2 is very soluble in n-hexane, the oily residue of impure 2 that forms efter removal of solvent only partially dissolves in n-hexane. The impurities are probably acetonitrile and traces of water. We have found that stirring this residue in n-hexane under ethylene in the pres- ence of lithium aluminum hydride for 4-8 h leads to complete extraction of 2 into solution, presumably as LiAIHl scavenges the impurities. This solution is then filtered through Celite. Hydrogen is bubbled through the**  solution for 0.5 h to produce 4, which can be obtained as crystals by cooling to -30 °C. Pure complex 4 can be recrystallized in 35% yield (based on 1) from *n*-hexane and is stable under hydrogen.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>(18)</sup> The preparation and properties of *cis-* and *trans-Pt(PEt<sub>3</sub>)*<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> have been described: Paonessa, R. S.; Trogler, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982,** *104,* **1138-1140.**