**Supplementary Material Available:** Details of the X-ray diffraction experiment, complete listings of bond lengths and bond angles, table of positional and thermal parameters, listings of *F,*  and *F,,* and general temperature factor expressions for complex **2a (25** pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## **The Structure of Tetramethyldlstlblne**

**Arthur J. Ashe, 111," Edward G. Ludwlg, Jr., and Josef Oleksyszyn** 

*Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109* 

## **John C. Huffman**

*Molecular Structure Center, Indiana University*  Bloomington, Indiana 47405

*Received November 28, 1983* 

*Summary:* **The thermochromic tetramethyldistibine forms orthorhombic red crystals in which the molecules adopt a staggered trans conformation. The antimony atoms are aligned in chains with close (3.645 A) intermolecular contacts. In the yellow liquid phase the distibine populates other conformations.** 

Fifty years ago Paneth reported that tetramethyldistibine (1) showed remarkable thermochromic behavior.<sup>1</sup> The distibine is a bright red solid ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 530 \text{ nm}$  by diffuse reflectance) which melts reversibly at 17 °C to a pale yellow liquid. Solutions of 1 in pentane are also pale yellow  $(\lambda_{\text{max}})$ < 220 nm, weak end absorption to 450 nm). Recently several other thermochromic distibines have been pre-<br>pared.<sup>2-7</sup> Crystal structures of two of them, tetra-Crystal structures of two of them, tetramethylbistibolyl **(2)2** and **tetrakis(trimethylsily1)distibine (3):** show similar packing in which the antimony atoms are aligned in chains with close intermolecular Sb-Sb contacts. It has been suggested that the solid-phase colors are due to electronic interaction along these Sb-Sb--Sb-Sb chains. $2,8$  We now wish to report on the crystal structure of tetramethyldistibine and on data which bear on its molecular structure in solution.



A 0.050 **X** 0.080 **X** 0.080 mm crystal grown from cooled liquid sealed in a thin walled capillary was used for data collection. The crystal was cooled to -160 **"C** and characterized by a reciprocal lattice search technique. $9$  The space group and cell data are as follows: orthorhombic,

- **(1)** Paneth, F. A. *Trans. Faraday SOC.* **1934,30,179.** Paneth, F. A.; **(2)** Ashe, A. J., **111;** Butler, W.; Diephouse, T. R. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.*  Loleit, H. J. *Chem. SOC.* **1935, 366.**
- **1981,103,207.**
- **(3)** Becker, **G.;** Freudenblum, H.; Witthauer, C. *2. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*  **1982, 492, 37.**
- **(4)** Breunig, H. **J.;** Breunig-Lyriti, V. *2. Naturforsch.,* B: *Anorg. (5) Meinema, H. A.; Martens, H. F.; Noltes, J. G.; Bertazzi, N.; Bar- (5) Meinema, H. A.; Martens, H. F.; Noltes, J. G.; Bertazzi, N.; Bar-*
- **(6)** Breunig, H. **J.** *2. Nuturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem.* **1978,**  bieri, R. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **1977, 136, 173.**
- **33B, 244,990.**
- **(7)** Ashe, A. J., **111;** Ludwig, E. G., Jr.; Pommerening, H. *Organo metallics* **1983,2, 1573.**
- **(8)** Hughbanks, T.; Hoffmann, R.; Whangbo, M.-H.; Stewart, K. R.; Eisenstein, **0.;** Canadell, E. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1982, 104, 3876.**
- **(9)** Huffman, J. **C.** Ph.D. Thesis, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN **1974.**



**Figure 1.** Crystal packing drawing of the solid-state structure of tetramethyldisitibine. Six molecules are illustrated in two chains. The bond distances are as follows: Sb-Sb = **2.862 (2), 3.645 (1) Å; mean SbC = 2.15 (2) Å. The bond angles are as follows: mean SbSbC = 93.6 (6)°; mean CSbC = 93.5 (15)°.** 

space group *Pmnb* with  $a = 11.314$  (6)  $\text{\AA}$ ,  $b = 11.507$  (6)  $\AA$ ,  $c = 6.507$  (2)  $\AA$ ,  $V = 847.1$   $\AA^3$ ,  $d = 2.381$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and  $Z = 4$ . A total of 1769 independent reflections with 6° < 2*0*  $(Mo K\bar{\alpha}) < 45^{\circ}$  were collected by using a Picker four-circle goniostat equipped with a Furnas Monochromator **(HOG crystal)** and Picker X-ray **4** generator interfaced to a TI980 minicomputer. The data were reduced by procedures previously described, and the structure was solved by inspection of a Patterson synthesis.<sup>10</sup> Hydrogen atoms were included in the final refinement **as** fixed atom contributors. Final residuals were as follows:  $R(F) = 0.059$  and  $R_w(F) = 0.070$ .<sup>11</sup>

The crystal packing structure of tetramethyldistibine is illustrated in Figure 1. The SbSb and SbC bond lengths and the bond angles about the Sb atoms are very similar to those reported for 2,<sup>2</sup> 3,<sup>3</sup> and tetraphenyldistibine (4).<sup>12</sup> All four distibines adopt a staggered trans conformation about the Sb-Sb axis.

However, tetramethyldistibine must adopt a different molecular structure in the liquid phase and in solution. The Raman spectrum of the solid shows an intense band at 179 cm-l assigned to the trans Sb-Sb stretch, while the liquid has two Sb-Sb bands  $(175 \text{ and } 143 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , indicating population of a second (probably gauche) conformer.<sup>13</sup> The C NMR of 1 in solution has a resonance at  $\delta$  (C<sub>4</sub>D<sub>8</sub>O) -10.6, while in the solid it is shifted to  $\delta$  -6.4.<sup>14</sup> Tetramethyldistibine shows a dipole moment of  $0.95 \pm 0.04$  D in benzene. Since the symmetrical trans conformation can have no dipole moment, a significant fraction of the tetramethyldistibine molecules must adopt another conformation.

It is particularly interesting to note that the crystal packing structure of **1** is nearly identical with that of 2. The chains of Sb atoms are completely colinear with alternating intramolecular and short "intermolecular" separations. The intermolecular Sb-Sb separations at 3.645 (2) **A** for **1** and 3.625 (1) **A** for 2 are only about 25% longer than the intramolecular bond length. In the more sterically congested **tetrakis(trimethylsily1)distibine (3),** the chains of Sb atoms are not linear and the Sb-Bb separation is greater at 3.99 A.

The antimony atoms of distibines use primarily p orbitals for bonding since the bond angles about antimony

**<sup>(10)</sup>** Huffman, J. C.; Lewis, L. N.; Caulton, K. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980, 19, 2755.** 

<sup>(12)</sup> Von Deuten, K.; Rewis, D. 11, Califon, I. C. 1168, Chem. 1505,<br>
(11)  $R(F) = \sum [F_o] - [F_c]] / \sum [F_o]$ .  $R_w(F) = [\sum w([F_o] - [F_c])^2 / \sum w[F_o]^2]^{1/2}$ .<br>
(12) von Deuten, K.; Rehder, D. Cryst. Struct. Commun. 1980, 9, 167.<br>
(13) (a) Breu

*Chem.* **1982,487,111. (b)** However, see: Biirger, H.; Eujen, R.; Becker, G.; Mundt, 0.; Westerhaussen, M.; Witthauer, C. J. Mol. Struct. **1983, 98, 265.** 

**<sup>(14)</sup>** The solid C **NMFt** spectrum was recorded by the Colorado State University Regional NMR Center funded by the National Science Foundation Grant **No.** CHE **78-18581.** 

are close to **90°.** The Sb 5, orbitals must be directed along the intermolecular chain of Sb atoms, and there is likely to be a  $\sigma$ -type overlap along the chains of 1, 2, and 3. The intermolecular  $\mathrm{Sb}(5_{\text{p}_2})\mathrm{Sb}(5_{\text{p}_2})$  overlap integrals of 1, 2, and 3 are 0.168, 0.172, and 0.103, respectively, while the intramolecular overlap integrals are close to  $0.37$  in all cases.<sup>15</sup> A MO treatment by Hoffmann et a1.8 suggested that the tramolecular overlap integrals are close to 0.37 in all cases.<sup>13</sup><br>A MO treatment by Hoffmann et al.<sup>8</sup> suggested that the<br>blue ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 605$  nm) color of solid 2 is due to a  $\sigma \rightarrow \pi^*$ <br>excitation. The structurally sim is not expected to have low-lying  $\pi^*$  orbitals. Thus the blue shift between 2 and 1 corresponding to a 0.3-eV smaller band gap is consistent with the Hoffmann model. On the other hand, the much greater Sb...Sb separation in 3

(15) Calculated for the appropriate distances from **tables** of Roothaen, Hartree-Fock atomic wave functions. Clementi, E.; Roetti, C. At. *Data Nucl. Data Tables* **1974,** *14,* **177.** 

suggests a much weaker interaction. However, the color  $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 520 \text{ nm})^{16}$  and hence the band gap of 3 are virtually identical with that of 1. Clearly, a more detailed theoretical study of the thermochromic distibines is desirable.

**Acknowledgment.** We wish to thank Professor 0. Eisenstein for helpful discussions. Support of our work by the A.F.O.S.R. (Grant No. 81-099) is gratefully acknowledged).

**Registry No. 1, 41422-43-9.** 

**Supplementary Material Available:** Tables of atomic positional parameters, thermal parameters, bonded distances and angles, and structure factors for **1 (7** pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(16) Unlike **1** the reflectance spectrum of 3 does show a higher intensity absorption maximum at **300** nm.

## *Additions and Corrections*

Adrian G. Brook, Fereydon Abdesaken, Gerhard Gutekunst, and Nicholas Plavac: 13C and 29Si Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants Involving **Tris(trimethylsily1)silyl**  Systems. 1982, 1, 994-998.

In Table IV an erroneous assignment of signals for the  $R = \text{adamantyl}$  carbons was made, chemical shifts for  $C^E$ and  $C<sup>F</sup>$  having been exchanged, as were those for  $C<sup>e</sup>$  and  $C<sup>f</sup>$ . In addition, the value of 38.4 ppm (originally assigned to  $C<sup>F</sup>$ ) should be 28.4 ppm, now assigned to  $C<sup>E</sup>$ , as confirmed by analysis of multiplicities in the coupled spectra.

James M. Burlitch, Susan E. Hayes, and George E. Whitwell II: Synthesis of (Transition metal carbonyl)zinc Alkoxides and the Crystal Structure of Tetrameric (Di**carbonyl(~5-cyclopentadienyl)iron)zinc** Methoxide. 1982, *1,* 1074-1083.

In Table I11 the *z* coordinates of all atoms and the y coordinates of Fe(2), C(21), C(22), 0(22), C(23), C(24),  $C(25)$ ,  $C(26)$ , and  $C(27)$  should have a negative sign.

In Table II the calculated density should be 1.85  $g/cm^3$ .

Alan Cameron, Vedene H. Smith, and Michael C. Baird: Oxidatively Induced Migration of Hydrogen from Metal to Carbon Monoxide. 1983, 2, 465-467.

We must unfortunately retract the claim that oxidation of  $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})_2\text{H}$  in an alcohol by copper(II) salts results in formation of a formate ester. While the detection of

methyl formate (from oxidation in methanol) had seemed unequivocal-the GC analyses were carried out by experienced operators on an HP 5880A equipped with a capillary column-we eventually realized that the product detected was, surprisingly, cyclopentadiene, which has a retention time almost identical with that of methyl formate. The identities of the misattributed products from reactions in ethanol and n-propanol are not known. **Errors**  arose in these cases because the product mixtures were studied by GC using a packed column that was found later not to have the separating powers expected of it. On the other hand ceric oxidation of  $\text{CpMo}(\text{CO})_{3}H$  in methanol did yield methyl formate but, via a low-yield oxidation of the solvent, a reaction not anticipated from reading of the literature.

Thus the evidence for formyl intermediates is not valid.

C. Chatgialoglu, K. U. Ingold, J. Lusztyk, A. S. Nazran, and J. C. Scaiano: Formation, Decay, and Spectral Characterization of Some Alkyl- and Aryl-Substituted Carbon-, Silicon-, Germanium-, and Tin-Centered Radicals. 1983, *2,* 1332-1335.

Figure 1 refers to reaction 1, not to reaction 4 as indicated in the text. **Ita** caption should read **as** follows: Effect of Ph<sub>3</sub>SiH on the pseudo-first-order rate constant  $(k_{\text{exotl}})$ for the formation of  $Ph_3Si$ , monitored at 325 nm (see insert for a representative trace). Full details are given in Table VI1 (supplementary material).