tribution of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ to the SOMO is less than 1% of that from

 d_{z^2} Of the remaining principal axes, one of them *(x)* necessarily lies along the crystal b axis, and the other (y) is then forced to lie in *ac* perpendicular to the Fe-P bond. The fact (see Table VI) that these directions nearly coincide with certain **Fe-C** vectors is purely accidental. Crystal forces evidently dictate the orientation of the *x* and y tensor components in this case.

Some site splitting may, of course, be hidden in the linewidth $({\sim}20 \text{ G})$. This is a likely possibility in a system where one principal axis and turning point is close to a crystal symmetry axis. In other words, $Mn(3d_{z2})$ may lie precisely along the Fe-P vector of the crystal with *x* directed 2° from b, yet site splitting would not be detected.

Registry No. Fe(n-C₄H_e)₂PMe₃, 87922-45-0; Mn(n-C₄H_e)₂PMe₃, **82963-75-5.**

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of anisotropic temperature factors and observed and calculated structure factors for $\text{Fe}(\eta - C_4H_6)_2\text{PMe}_3$ (9 pages). Ordering information is provided on any current masthead page.

Electrochemistry of Phosphaferrocenes. 1. Comparison of the Redox Properties of Ferrocene, Diphosphaferrocene, 3,4-Dimethyl- 1 -phosphaf errocene, and 3,3',4,4'-Tetramethyl-l , **1 '-diphosphaferrocene**

Paul Lemoine,^{• 1a} Maurice Gross,^{1a} Pierre Braunstein,^{1b} François Mathey,^{1c} Bernard Deschamps,^{1c} and John H. Nelson^{1d}

Laboratoire d'Electrochimie et de Chimie Physique du Corps Solide, ERA au CNRS No. 468, Université Louis *Pasteur, 67000, Strasbourg, France, Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, ERA au CNRS No. 670, Universit6 Louis Pasteur, 67000 Stfasbourg, France, Equipe CNRS/SNFE, 94320 Thiais, France, and the Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada, 89557*

Received November 28, 1983

The redox behavior of three phoaphaferrocenes (hereafter referred to **as 1,2,** and 3) **has** been investigated

I **Fe**

I **Fe**

I **Fe**

Introduction

In the recent years, the Fc/Fc⁺ couple and derivatives²⁻²⁴
= ferrocene, (η^5 -C₅H₅)₂Fe) attracted much interest owing

to the ability of Fc/Fc^* to function as a reference system in nonaqueous media in the general context of the steep

⁽¹⁾ (a) Laboratoire d'Electrochimie. (b) Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination. (c) CNRS/SNPE. (d) University of Nevada.

⁽²⁾ Page, J. A.; Wilkinson, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 6149.

(3) Reinolds, L. T.; Wilkinson, G. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1959, 9, 86.

(4) Headridge, J. B.; Ashraf, M.; Dodds, N. L. H. J. Electroanal.

Chem. Interfacial

⁽⁵⁾ Bublitz, D. E.; Hoh, G.; Kuwana, T. *Chem. Ind. (London)* **1959, 635.**

⁽⁶⁾ El Murr, N.; Chaloyard, A.; Laviron, E. Nouv. J. Chim. 1978, 2, 15.
(7) Tirouflet, J.; Boichard, J. C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 1960, **1861.**

⁽⁸⁾ **Tirouflet, J.; Dabard, R.; Laviron, E.** *Bull.* **SOC.** *Chim. Fr.* **1963, 1655.**

increase in organic and organometallic electrochemistry.

Among the redox couples that more or less possess similar characteristics (viz., **cobaltocene/cobaltocinium,** tris- **(2,2'-bipyridine)iron(I)/tris(2,2'-bipyridine)iron(O),** bis- (biphenyl)chromium(I) / **bis(biphenyl)chromium(O)),** the Fc/Fc^+ redox couple is the reference system recommended by IUPAC for organic electrochemistry in nonaqueous solvents.¹⁹ Yet, a previous study²⁰ revealed that its oxidation is in fact only quasi-reversible and that electron transfer from Fc is followed by a slow and irreversible decomposition of Fc^+ in solvents like ethanol, dimethylformamide, and propylene carbonate.

On the other hand, previous studies have shown that the ferricinium cation \overline{Fc}^+ is decomposed under oxidative conditions by nucleophilic reagents into ferric or ferrous complexes as^{22} Fortunation of the other hand, previous studies have slaps ferricinium cation Fc^+ is decomposed unconditions by nucleophilic reagents into fer
complexes as²²
3[Fe(η^5 -C₅H₅)₂]⁺ + 4X⁻ $\frac{X = Cl, Br}{2 Fe(\eta^5 - C_5H$

$$
3[Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2]^+ + 4X^- \frac{X-Cl, Br}{2 Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2} + Fe X_4^- + 2C_5H_5
$$

$$
2[Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2]^+ + 6S \xrightarrow{S = DMF} Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2 + Fe S_6^{2+} + 2C_5H_5
$$

Ferrocene also undergoes a one-electron reduction¹⁸ on a mercury electrode at a very cathodic potential that was observed in DMF containing 0.2 M Bu₄NI below 10 °C $(E_{1/2} = -2.93$ V vs. SCE). Similarly, methylferrocene has been reduced at -3.07 V at -35 °C and diferrocenylmethane reduced at -3.02 V at -30 "C under the same conditions. While methylferrocene is more difficult to reduce than ferrocene, it is more easily oxidized.²⁶

The aim of the present work was to compare the redox behavior of ferrocene (Fc) and of **1,2,** and 3 in order to establish the contribution of the phosphorus to the observed changes. The molecules **1, 2,** and 3 are the only heterocyclic C-P compounds for which an aromatic chemistry has been developed. 27

- (9) Komenda, J.; Tirouflet, J. C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. **1962, 3093.**
- **(10)** Mason, **J. B.;** Rosenblum, M. J. Am. Chem. **SOC. 1960,82,4206. (11)** Kotz, **J. C.;** Nivert, C. L.; Lieber, J. M.; Reed, R. C. J. Organomet. Chem. **1975. 91. 87.**
- **(12)** Gubin, **S. P.;** Smimova, S. A.; Denisovich, L. I.; Lubovich, A. A. J. Organomet. Chem. **1971,30,243.**
- **(13)** Smirnova, **S.** A.; Gubin, S. P.; Lubovich, A. A.; Denisovich, L. I. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **1971,200,605.**
- **(14)** Denieovich, **L. I.:** Zakurin. N. V.: Bezrukova, A. A.: Gubin, S. P.
- J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 81, 207.

(15) Elschenbroich, C.; Cais, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 18, 135.

(16) Bigam, G.; Hooz, J.; Linke, S.; McClung, R. E. D.; Mosher, M. W.;

Tanner, D. D. Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 1825.

- **2854.**
- **(18)** Mugnier, **Y.;** Moise, C.; Tirouflet, J.; Laviron, E. J. Organomet. Chem. **1980,** *C49,186.*
- **(19)** Gritzner, G.; Kuta, J., recommendationa on reporting electrode potentials in nonaqueous eolvents, IUPAC, p **1528** and references therein. **(20)** Benedetti, A. **V.;** Zara, A. J.; Machado, S. S.; Bulhoes, L. 0. S.;
- Rabockai, T. An. Simp. Bras. Electroquim. Electroanal., 3rd **1982,2,385. (21)** de Montauzon, D.; Poilblanc, R.; Lemoine, P.; Gross, M. Elec-
- trochim. Acta **1978,23, 1247.** rrocnim. Acta 1976, 23, 1247.
(22) Prins, R.; Korswagen, A. R.; Kort Beek, A. G. T. G. J. Organomet.
Chem. 1**972, 39, 335 and references therein.**
(23) Horsfield, A.; Wassermann, A. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.
- **1972, 187** and references therein.
- **(24)** Koepp, **Z.** M.; Wendt, H.; Strehlow, H. *2.* Elektrochem. **1960,64, 483.**
- **(25)** Sharp, M. Electrochim. Acta **1983,28, 301.**
- **(26)** Degrand, **C.;** Radecki-Sud, A.; Besancon, J. Organometallics **1982,** *1,* **1311.**
- (27) Mathey, F.; Fischer, J.; Nelson, J. H. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) **1983,55, 153.**

 \mathbf{s}^a

 \mathbf{r} The propyle interesting the summary of the set of the properties are the set of the second oxidation was observable up to 2 V vs. SCE. $a_{1/2} = -2.93$ V vs. SCE:
down to -3 V vs. SCE. *I* Potential determined at 0.1 V/s

and this work

Experimental Section

The experimental results were obtained on solid rotating disk electrodes (RDE) made of Pt, Au (area = 3.14 **mm2** for both), and vitreous carbon (area = 6.15 mm^2) and on a dropping mercury electrode (DME) $(7 = 1 \text{ s}, m = 0.170 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}, 60 \text{ cmHg})$ in organic solvents: $CH_2Cl_2 + 0.1$ M tetrahexylammonium perchlorate (THAP), propylene carbonate $(PC) + 0.1$ M tetraethylammonium perchlorate (TEAP), acetonitrile $(CH_3CN) + 0.1$ M TEAP, dimethyl sulfoxide $(Me₂SO) + 0.1$ M TEAP, and dimethylformamide (DMF) + 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP). Before use the solvents were distilled twice under argon **as** already described.²⁸ Cyclic voltammetry was used with both electrodes, whereas RDE voltammetry $(\omega = 2000 \text{ rpm})$ was used on solid electrodes only, and normal or differential pulse polarography
was used on DME only. Redox measurements were performed with a Tacussel PRG 4 multipurpose device (on DME), whereas solid electrodes measurements were carried out with a Bruker E 130 M potentiostat associated with a high-impedance millivolt meter (Tacussel, Minisis 6000, and an X-Y IFELEC 3802 recorder). Potentiostatic coulometries were performed with a PAR 173 potentiostat and a digital coulometer, PAR 179.

Three electrode cells were used throughout, involving the working electrode (solid or DME), a Pt wire **as** auxiliary electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode **as** reference, the latter being with the same organic solvent and supporting electrolyte as the solution studied. No Ohmic drop correction was made **as** preliminary experiments on Fc/Fc^+ demonstrated that cyclic voltammetry led to acceptable values of *k, (see* Table I and references handled under argon only. All solvents and solutions were also handled under argon. The X band ESR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ER 200 D.

Results

I. Electrochemical Oxidation of **1,2,** and **3.** Complexes 1, 2, and 3 undergo a first oxidation step at $E_{1/2}$ = **+0.78, +0.52,** and **+0.57** V vs. SCE, respectively (Table I). A further ill-defined oxidation step is found at more positive potentials $(\geq 1.5 \text{ V} \text{ vs. } \text{SCE})$ for **2** and **3**.

The first oxidation step is diffusion controlled for the three compounds. Potentiostatic coulometry and comparisons of limiting currents between **1,2,** and 3, respectively, with Fc indicate a one-electron exchange in this first oxidation step. The CV curves in PC + **0.1** M TEAP reveal that the electron transfer involved in this step is quasi-reversible: at low scan rates, the peak separation ΔE_p quasi-reversible: at low scan rates, the peak separation ΔE_p
= $E_{p_a} - E_{p_c}$ being 60 \pm 3 mV (for 2 \rightleftharpoons 2⁺ and for 3 \rightleftharpoons 3⁺) $E_{p_a} - E_{p_c}$ being 60 \pm 3 mV (for $2 \rightleftharpoons 2^+$ and for $3 \rightleftharpoons 3^+$)
and 120 ± 3 mV (for $1 \rightleftharpoons 1^+$), at 0.02 V/s⁻¹. These ΔE_p increase with the potential scan rate, enabling the calculation of heterogeneous electron-transfer rates k_s^{33} (Table I). The so-calculated k_{s} do not exhibit significant differences with that obtained for Fc (Table I) except for 1 where k_s is about 10 times smaller.

When the **3+** cation is generated at **-40** "C (in **1,2-di**chloroethane + **0.1** M TBAP) by exhaustive oxidation at **+1** V on Pt, the solution turns from red to orange. The frozen solution (148 K) of 3^+ gives two ESR signals (g_{\parallel} =

(28) Lemoine, P. Gross, M. *C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sei. Ser. C* **1980,** *290,* **231.**

Figure 2. Electrochemical oxidation of 3 (first step) on a platinum electrode at 0.1 **V/s** in (a) dichloromethane containing 0.1 M THAP $({\sim}10^{-3}$ M), (b) acetonitrile containing 0.1 M TEAP $({\sim}10^{-3}$ M), (c) Dimethyl sulfoxide containing 0.1 M TEAP (\sim 2 \times 10), and (d) propylene carbonate containing 0.1 M TEAP (\sim 10). M), and (d) propylene carbonate containing 0.1 M TEAP ($\sim 10^{-3}$ M).

2.72 and g_{\perp} = 1.99; Figure 1). These values compare to those reported for ferricinium picrate²³ ($g_{\parallel} = 3.18$ and g_{\perp}) = **1.84). No** hyperfine coupling is observed, suggesting that the unpaired electron resides largely on iron.

The stability of **2+** and **3+** is critically solvent dependent (Figure **2** and **3)** whereas **1+** undergoes fast decomposition in all studied solvents, except PC. From CV curves, the ratios i_{p_o}/i_{p_a} (i_{p_c} = cathodic return peak current; i_{p_a} = anodic peak current) indicate that the chemical step corresponding to the decomposition of **2'** and **3+** species is accelerated as the solvent donicity²⁹ increases: the ratio i_{p_c}/i_{p_a} is unity in CH₂Cl₂ and decreases to zero in Me₂SO.
On the contrary, the decomposition of 1⁺ is such that i_{p_a}/i_{p_a} is about zero in all studied solvents, except in PC where a return reduction peak is observed (at $v \ge 0.02$ V/s⁻¹). On cyclic voltammograms where the oxidation products of **1, 2,** and **3** respectively undergo significant chemical decomposition (e.g., in PC + **0.1** M TEAP), a new reduction peak appears near 0 V vs. SCE on the cathodic return scan, of

⁽²⁹⁾ **Gutmann,** *V. Electrochim. Acta* **1976,** *21, 661.*

Ic (μA)

***1** *0* **E YSCE *2**

Figure 3. Electrochemical oxidation of 3 (2×10^{-3} M) on a platinum electrode at 0.1 V/s in propylene carbonate containing 0.1 M TEAP. The numbers on the curves indicate the successive multiple scans following the initial anodic sweeps.

which the peak potential is only slightly solvent dependent. The corresponding redox couple is reversible, and multiple **scans** clearly demonstrate that the electroreducible species is confined to the electrode surface (Figure 3). In order to identify this redox system detected around 0 **V** vs. **SCE,** the redox behavior of $\text{Fe(CIO}_4)_{3}$ $9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Fluka product) and of **l-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole** respectively were investigated on Pt in **PC** containing 0.1 M TEAP.

The reduction of Fe^{III} to Fe^{II} is observed at $E_{1/2} = -0.05$ **V** vs. **SCE.** Also **l-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole** is oxidized at $E_{1/2}$ = +1.35 V vs. SCE and the generated species decomposes into a product that is reversibly reduced at 0 **V** vs. **SCE.** We speculate that this species might be the dimer previously reported^{30,31} in the chemical oxidation of lithium phospholide:

$$
2\sqrt{\frac{1}{p}} + I_2 \underbrace{\frac{THF}{80\%}}_{\text{max}} \underbrace{\sqrt{1 - P^2 + 2}}_{\text{max}} + 2LI
$$

Of course, this scheme implies that, following the first oxidation of phoaphaferrocenes, the phospholyl radical might be liberated and further dimerized³² to form the biphoepholyl, reducible at 0 **V** under these conditions.

Addition of chelating ligands to the solution of the three phosphaferrocenes modifies significantly the **CV** curves obtained for the first oxidation step. On **CY,** we observe that, in the presence of 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) or *o*phenanthroline (0-phen), the above-mentioned reduction peak (near 0 **V** vs. SCE) disappears, beside the expected accelerating effect on the decomposition of **1+, 2+,** or **3+.**

These results are much consistent with an electrochemical (EC) mechanism in the oxidation of 1,2, and 3. The reduction peak of Fc^+ remains unaffected in the presence of bpy or o-phen, and no further reduction peak is obtained.

A second oxidation step is observed for **2** and 3 only by

Figure 4. Electrochemical reduction of 10^{-3} M solutions of 1, **2,** and 3 **on** a mercury electrode in propylene carbonate containing 0.1 M TEAP at 10 V/s.

CV in **PC** + 0.1 M TEAP. The second oxidation step of **1** is not observed **as** the electrode surface is passivated after the first oxidation step. This second oxidation of **2** and 3 is an irreversible, likely two-electron process on the basis of the peak current values compared with those of the first step.

This second oxidation likely involves the species generated in the first oxidation step, **as** the corresponding peak is not significantly modified at low temperature $(-20 \degree C)$. We think that this second oxidation step involves the phospholide coordinated to Fenl, **as** l-phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole is **also** oxidized at +1.8 **V vs. SCE** under these conditions (second oxidation).

11. Electroreduction of **the Complexes.** In **PC** + 0.1 **M** TEAP, the species **1,2,** and 3 are reversibly reduced on DME at $E_{1/2} = -2.15, -2.55,$ and $-2.33 \text{ V}/\text{SCE}$, respectively, all three potentials being less negative than the reduction potential of Fc18 (-2.93 **V/SCE).** Also, the reduction product of **1** is less stable than those of **2** or 3 (Figure 4). For **all** three species, the cathodic and the fist anodic polarographic waves exhibit equal height, thus indicating that the reduction process is a one-electron step, **as also** illustrated by comparison of the cathodic limiting currenta with that corresponding to oxidation of Fc. The reduction processes of **1,2,** and 3 are diffusion controlled. The rate constants (Table I) were calculated from cyclic voltammograms recorded on DME at different scan rates (from 10 to 100 V/s for 1, 2, and 3).³³ The calculated k_s do not differ significantly.

Discussion

According to previous studies²⁻²³ ferrocene (Fc) exhibits the following redox behavior:

oxidation:
$$
Fc \rightleftarrows FC^+ + e^ \xrightarrow{\text{chemical step}}
$$
 products reduction: $Fc + e^- \rightleftarrows FC^ \xrightarrow{\text{chemical step}}$ products

The three phosphaferrocenes studied herein **(1,2,** and 3) show an analogous redox behavior but with some differences introduced by the substitution of a phosphorus atom for a CH fragment. *As* for ferrocene, oxidation of the **three** phosphaferrocenes leads to phoaphaferricinium cations whose stability is solvent dependent. Each of the phosphaferrocenes is more difficult to oxidize than the ferrocene is (Table I), despite the fact that **2** and 3 both possess methyl substituents that should facilitate their

⁽³⁰⁾ Holland, S.; Mathey, F.; Fischer, J.; Mitschler, A. *Organometallics* **(31) Ashe, A. J.,** III; **Butler, W. M.; Diephonse, T. R.** *Organometallics,* **1983,2, 1234.**

⁽³²⁾ Gyname, M. J. S.; Hudson, A.; Lappert, N. F.; Power, P. P.; 1983, 2, 1006.

Goldwhite, H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1980, 2428.**

⁽³³⁾ Nicholeon, R. S.; Shain, I. *Anal. Chem.* **1964, 36, 706; 1965, 37, 667, 1351.**

Figure 5. Molecular orbital energy level diagram for $(C_4H_4P)_2Fe$ **(1) after ref 34a.**

oxidation. Clearly the presence of the phosphorus atom(s) overwhelms the effects of methyl substitution. According to earlier results, $12-14,26$ each methyl group facilitates the oxidation of a metallocene by about *50* mV, a result which has been verified on $(C_5H_4CH_3)_2Fe$ (Table I). Therefore we thus expected **3,** which possesses four methyl groups, to be oxidized at a potential approximately 200 mV less anodic than that of **1** in concert with the experimental observation. Furthermore, comparison of the oxidation potentials of **3 (570** mV) and Fc **(400** mV) leads to the conclusion that the net effect of the four methyl groups and two phosphorus atoms **is** to shift the ferrocene oxidation potential 170 mV anodically. Thus, each phosphorus atom gives rise to an anodic **shift** of 185 mV. Using these incremental group contributions, it is possible to calculate the oxidation potentiah of the phosphaferrocenes using ferrocene **as** a standard. On this basis we make the following predictions for oxidation potentials compared to the observed values in parentheses.

A similar analysis of the reduction potentials (Table **I)** leads to the conclusion that methyl groups would shift the

reduction potential by **46** mV cathodically and phosphorus groups **390** mV anodically. On this basis, we make the Following predictions for the reduction potentials compared

to the observed values in parentheses.

Recent quantum mechanical calculations³⁴ on phosphaferrocenes support these electrochemical results. A simplified molecular orbital diagram for **1** is shown in Figure **5.** This diagram demonstrates that the phosphorus lone pairs are at low energy, that the HOMO is localized largely on iron (89%) , and that the LUMO is a π -antibonding mixture of iron d orbitals (58%) and ligand orbitals **(35%).** Comparison of the HOMO and LUMO orbital energies for $(C_4H_4P)Fe(C_5H_5)$ and $(C_4H_4P)_2Fe$ shows that the HOMO is destablized by phosphorus substitution and the LUMO is stabilized.

In addition, the quantum mechanical results suggest that oxidation of phosphaferrocenes should OCCUT by removal of an electron from a molecular orbital that is largely of iron d character in concert with the ESR results. The informations provided by quantum mechanical chemistry are much consistent with the cathodic behavior **of 2** and **3 as** the observed facilitated reduction for **3** compared with **2** parallels the stabilization of the LUMO. However, in the oxidation process, the predicted³⁴ easier oxidation for **3** (compared with **2)** is not observed experimentally.

Further studies on the electrochemistry of phosphaferrocene complexes are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Dr. D. de Montauzon (Coordination Chemistry Laboratory of Toulouse) for assistance in **ESR** spectroscopy. We are grateful to C.N.R.S. for financial support and for a temporary position for J.H.N. with F.M. and **P.B.** We thank the reviewers for valuable comments.

Registry No. 1, 73153-27-2; 2, 63287-56-9; 3, 67887-86-9; 3⁺, 90414-58-7; ferrocene, 102-54-5; dimethylferrocene, 1291-47-0.

(34) (a) KostiE, N. M.; Fenske, R. F. *OrganometaIlics* **1983,2, 1008. (b) Guimon, G.; Gonbeau, D.; Pfister-Guillouzo, G.; De Lauzon, G.; Mathey, F.** *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1984, 104, 560.**

(35) Ito, N.; Saji, T.; Aoyagui, S. *J. Orgummet. Chem.* **1983,247,301 and references therein. (36) Diggle, J. W.; Parker, A. J.** *Electrochim. Acta* **1973,** *18,* **975.**

(37) **Aged samples of 3 gave no reproducible signals, probably due to oxidation as evidenced by the appearance of** ν **(P=O) near 1200** cm⁻¹ in **IR spectra.**