Reactions of a Bulky Dichlorophosphine with Tetracarbonylcobaltate and Bis(η^5 -cyclopentadlenyl)bis(μ -carbonyl)dicobaltate Anions. A Co₄ Cluster with Two Different Phosphinidenes and an Unusual Co₂P Ring System

Atta M. Arif, Alan H. Cowley,* and Marek Pakulski

Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712

Michael B. Hursthouse and Alexander Karauloz

Department of Chemistry, Queen Mary College Mile End Road, London, EI 4NS U.K.

Received May 23, 1985

Summary: The reaction of (Me₃Si)₂CHPCl₂ (1) with Na-[Co(CO)₄] affords Co₄(μ_4 -PCH(SiMe₃)₂(μ_4 -PCH₂SiMe₃)(μ - $CO_{2}(CO)_{8}$ (2), a cluster with two different phosphinidenes, and $Co_3(\mu_3$ -PCH(SiMe_3)₂)(CO)₉ (3). The reaction of 1 with Na[Co₂(η^{5} -C₅H₅)₂(CO)₂] produces Co₂{ μ -P(H)CH-(SiMe₃)₂}(η^{5} -C₅H₅)(CO)₄ (4). The structures of **2**, **3**, and **4** have been determined by X-ray crystallography.

The reaction of dihalophosphines with $Na[Co(CO)_4]$ or $Co_2(CO)_8$ results in $\{Co(CO)_4\}_4 PPh^1$ or clusters $Co_3(\mu_3 - \mu_3)$ PR)(CO)₉ (R = Ph, t-Bu, Et₂N)^{2,3} and Co₄(μ_4 -PPh)₂($\mu_ CO)_2(CO)_8$.⁴ Anticipating that new types of product might result from the use of bulkier phosphine substituents, we treated (Me₃Si)₂CHPCl₂⁵ with K[Co(CO)₄]⁶ and Na[Co₂- $(\mu$ -CO)₂ $(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂].⁷ Both reactions were conducted by dropwise addition of a THF solution of (Me₃Si)₂CHPCl₂ to a THF solution of the organometallic anion at -40 °C. Separation of the crude reaction mixtures was effected by column chromatography (silica gel/n-hexane). The products of the $K[Co(CO)_4]$ reaction were identified as $Co_4(\mu_4$ -PCH(SiMe₃)₂)(μ_4 -PCH₂SiMe₃)(μ -CO)₂(CO)₈ (2, red) and $Co_3(\mu_3$ -PCH(SiMe₃)₂)(CO)₉ (3, green) by X-ray crystallography.⁸ The metric parameters for the skeletons of

(1) Burt, J. C.; Schmid, G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 1385. (2) Markó, L.; Markó, B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1975, 14, L 39.

(3) Beurich, H.; Madach, T.; Richter, F.; Vahrenkamp, H. Angew.

 Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 13, 690.
 (4) Ryan, R. C.; Dahl, L. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6904. Ryan, R. C.; Pittman, C. U.; O'Connor, J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 193, 247.

(5) Gynane, M. J. S.; Hudson, A.; Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P.; Goldwhite, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 623. Gynane, M. J. S.; Hudson, A.; Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P.; Goldwhite, H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 2428. (6) Ellis, J. E.; Flom, E. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 99, 263.

(7) Schore, N. E.; Llenda, C. S.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 1781.

1977, 99, 1781. (8) Complex 2: $C_{21}H_{30}Co_4O_{10}P_2Si_3$, $M_{\tau} = 824.41$; triclinic; $P\bar{I}$; a = 9.096(1) Å, b = 12.100 (3) Å, c = 15.968 (2) Å; $\alpha = 101.51$ (2)°, $\beta = 92.91$ (1)°, $\gamma = 95.56$ (2)°; V = 1710 (1) Å³; Z = 2; D(calcd) = 1.601 g cm⁻³. Complex 3: $C_{16}H_{19}Co_3O_3PSi_2$, $M_{\tau} = 619.27$; monoclinic, $P2_1/n$; a = 9.397 (1) Å, b = 17.429 (2) Å, c = 16.031 (2) Å; $\beta = 97.13$ (1)°; V = 2606(1) Å³; Z = 4; D(calcd) = 1.578 g cm⁻³. Complex 4: $C_{16}H_{25}Co_2O_4PSi_2$: orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$ (No. 29); a = 16.686 (4) Å, b = 28.859 (2) Å, c = 9.412 (3) Å; V = 4531 (1) Å³; Z = 8; D(calcd) = 1.424 g cm⁻³. Intensity data: Enraf-Nonius C 24 < 60. 3370 3760 and fractometer, $\omega - 2\theta$ scan mode in the range $3.0 \le 2\theta \le 46.0$; 3370, 3760, and 4494 unique reflections for 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Empirical absorption corrections were applied in each case. The structures of 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Empirical absorption solved by direct methods (MULTAN)⁹ and refined (full-matrix least squares) by use of 3207, 1623, and 1553 data, respectively. Final residuals were as follows: 2, R = 0.0399, $R_w = 0.0504$; 3, R = 0.0564, $R_w = 0.0686$;

4, R = 0.072, $R_w = 0.079$. (9) Main, P., MULTAN 82, a direct method program for structure determination, University of York, York, England, 1982.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of $Co_4(\mu_4$ -PCH(SiMe_3)₂)(μ_4 -PCH₂SiMe_3)(μ -CO)₂(CO)₈ (2). Important parameters: P(1)-C(11) = 1.835(4), P(2)-C(18) = 1.848(4), P(1)-Co(1) = 2.333(1), P-(1)-Co(2) = 2.270 (1), P(1)-Co(3) = 2.210 (1), P(1)-Co(4) = 2.264(1), P(2)-Co(1) = 2.306 (1), P(2)-Co(2) = 2.286 (1), P(2)-Co(3)= 2.309 (1), and P(2)-Co(4) = 2.231 (1) Å.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of $\text{Co}_2 \mu - P(H)CH(\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \{(\eta^5 - C_5H_5) - (\eta^5 - M_5)\}$ (CO)₄ (4). Important parameters: Co(1)–P = 2.163 (8), Co(2)–P = 2.148 (7), and Co(1)–Co(2) = 2.582 (7) Å; Co(1)–P–Co (2) = 125.3 (8), Co(1)-Co(2)-P = 53.5 (3), and $Co(2)-Co(1)-P = 52.9^{\circ}$.

2 and 3 are similar to those for the analogous PPh compounds.^{3,4} The significance of 2 (Figure 1) is that it features two different phosphinidenes in the same cluster.¹⁰ Presumably, the Me₃SiCH₂ group arises via nucleophilic attack of a Me₃Si group of (Me₃Si)₂CH, followed by protonation of the resulting carbanion. Previously reported transformations of this type include $(Me_3Si)_3C \rightarrow (Me_3Si)_2CH^{11}$ and $(Me_3Si)_2CH \rightarrow CH_3$.¹² Although two ³¹P NMR chemical shifts should be discernible for 2, only one very broad (⁵⁹Co-coupled) resonance was detected at 156 ppm. Compound 3 is paramagnetic.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Professor Othmar Stelzer had kindly informed us of the synthesis of an iron complex involving two different phosphinidenes.

⁽¹¹⁾ Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Mehrotra, S. K.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 528. Cowley, A. H.; Kilduff, J. E.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M.; Whittlesey, B. R.; Atwood, J. L.; Hunter, W. E. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 2582.

⁽¹²⁾ Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Pakulski, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1062.

The red crystalline product of the Na[$Co_2(\mu - CO)_2(\eta (C_5H_5)_2$ reaction was identified as the $(C_2P)_2$ ring compound 4 by \overline{X} -ray diffraction methods (Figure 2).⁸ Although the



hydrogen on phosphorus was not evident in the X-ray study, its presence was established by the detection of a parent peak in the EI mass spectrum and by the dia-magnetism of the compound.¹³ Moreover, even though ³¹P⁻¹H coupling could not be detected in the ³¹P NMR spectrum due to the breadth of the signal, the chemical shift of +144 ppm is consistent with the phosphido bridge formulation. We do not have any evidence regarding the mechanism of formation of 4. However, it is possible that this compound arises from an initially formed terminal phosphinidene complex via either of the mechanisms shown.¹⁴ The source of the $Co(CO)_3$ unit is presumable



the $[Co(CO)_4]^-$ anion which is always present in solutions of Na[Co₂(μ -CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)₂].⁷

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the National Science Foundation, the Robert A. Welch Foundation, and the Science and Engineering Research Council for financial support.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of bond lengths, bond angles, atomic coordinates, and thermal parameters for 2, 3, and 4 (59 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(14) We are indebted to a reviewer for suggesting the ionic mechanism.



William R. Winchester and W. M. Jones*

Department of Chemistry, University of Florida Gainesville, Florida 32611

Received July 24, 1985

Summary: Addition of a mixture of isomeric bromocycloheptatrienes, 1,2-benzo-4-bromocycloheptatriene, or

1,2,3,4-dibenzo-6-bromocycloheptatriene to a THF solution of tris(triphenylphosphine)platinum and potassium tert-butoxide gives the corresponding cycloheptatetraene complexes 7, 8, and 9. These are the first such complexes to exist in the allene form; all previous examples preferred tropylium ion structures.

It is now well established that allene 1 is energetically preferred over its planar isomer 2 while in complexes of this ligand with Fe(II), Ru(II), or W(0), the opposite preference is shown; 3 is favored over $4.^{1-9}$ This change



 $M = C_p Fe(CO)_2$; $C_p Ru(CO)_2$; $(CO)_5 W^-$

in structural preference is convincingly rationalized for the Fp^+ ($Fp = (\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)dicarbonyliron) complex by EHMO calculations which forecast the carbene (or tropylium) structure to lie significantly below its allene isomer.¹⁰ Calculations at the same level make the interesting prediction that the structural preference should be reduced to no difference for the corresponding $(H_3P)_2Pt$ complex. We took these results to suggest that a Pt(0)complex of C_7H_6 might prefer structure 5 over 6. At this



time we report the synthesis of 7-9, all of which exist exclusively as allene complexes.



- Harris, J.; Jones, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7329.
 Waali, E. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3604.
 Radom, L.; Schaeffer, H. F.; Vincent, M. A. Nouv. J. Chim. 1980, 4.411.
- (4) Kausch, M.; Durr, H. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1982, 2. (5) Kassaee, M. Z.; Nimlos, M. R.; Downie, K. E.; Waali, E. E. Tet-rahedron 1985, 41, 1579.
- (6) Allison, N. T.; Kawada, Y.; Jones, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 5224.
- (7) Riley, P. E.; Davis, R. E.; Allison, N. T.; Jones, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2458.
- (8) Riley, P. E.; Davis, R. E.; Allison, N. T.; Jones, W. M. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 1321.
 - (9) Lisko, J. Dissertation, University of Florida, 1984. (10) Details of this work will be published elsewhere.

⁽¹³⁾ As is clear from the ratio of the number of observed to the number of total reflections, the crystals of 4 did not diffract strongly. We can, however, detect the hydrogen on phosphorus in the recently prepared analogue $\text{Co}_2[\mu\text{-P}(\text{H})\text{CH}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2](\eta^5\text{-}C_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{CO})_4$, and the P-H bond length is 1.36 (9) Å. Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Pakulski, M., to be submitted for publication.