Acknowledgment. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support and DEGUSSA AG for generous gifts of chemicals. We are also grateful to Drs. W. Buchner and D. Scheutzow for NMR measurements and to Mrs. U. Neumann and R. Schedl for the elemental analysis.

Registry No. 2, 85944-34-9; **3**, 85930-99-0; **4**, 83525-75-1; *t*,*a*-**5c**, 85955-25-5; *t,s*-**5c**, 85955-27-7; **6**, 107798-31-2; 11, 107798-32-3; 12, 107798-33-4; 12- d_1 , 107798-34-5; $[RhCl(C_8H_{14})_2]_2$, 12279-09-3; $(C_5H_5)RhH_2(P-i-Pr_3)$, 81423-55-4; Na C_5H_5 , 4984-82-1.

Stepwise Synthesis of Heteronuclear Pt₂M and PdPtM Clusters (M = Cr, Mo, W)

Peter Thometzek and Helmut Werner*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Würzburg, Am Hubland, D-8700 Würzburg, Germany

Received January 8, 1987

The dinuclear complex $(\mu-C_5H_5)(\mu-2-MeC_3H_4)Pt_2(P-i-Pr_3)_2$ (1), which is prepared from $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Pt-MeC_3H_4$ (η³-2-MeC₃H₄) and Pt(P-i-Pr₃)₂, reacts with Me₃SiBr to produce the structurally related compound (μ- $2-MeC_3H_4$ (μ -Br)Pt₂(P-i-Pr₃)₂ (4). Reaction of 4 with $[(C_5H_5)M(CO)_3]Na$ (M = Mo, W) leads to displacement of the bridging bromide by the tricarbonyl(cyclopentadienyl)metal anion and formation of the trinuclear clusters $(C_5H_5)(2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(CO)_3(P-i-\text{Pr}_3)_2Pt_2M$ (5, 6). The analogous mixed-metal PdPtM complexes 9-11 are similarly prepared, starting from $(\mu-C_5H_5)(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)PdPt(P-i-\text{Pr}_3)_2$ (7) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (7) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (8) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (9) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (9) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (10) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (11) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (12) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (13) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (13) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (14) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (15) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (17) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (18) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_2$ (19) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_3$ (19) via $(\mu-2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)(\mu-\text{Br}_3)_3$ PdPt(P-i-Pr₃)₂ (8) as the intermediate that subsequently reacts with $[(C_5H_5)M(CO)_3]Na$ (M = Cr, Mo, W) to form $(C_5H_5)(2-MeC_3H_4)(CO)_3(P-i-Pr_3)_2PdPtM$ (9-11). The IR and ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectroscopic data of 5, 6, and 9-11 confirm that the new heteronuclear clusters contain a tetrahedral Pt₂MC or PdPtMC framework, the carbon atom of which belongs to a triply bridging CO group.

Introduction

The chemistry of mixed-metal clusters is currently of high interest because of the unique reactivity patterns that are to be expected as a consequence of adjacent metals with different types of chemical properties.¹ Although some rational synthetic methods have recently become available, 2,3 it still remains difficult to predict the exact composition of a heteronuclear metal-metal bonded product that could result from a given reaction. Therefore it is still a challenge to develop routes that produce cluster-type compounds in a stepwise fashion, providing high yields and avoiding the formation of side products with similar properties.

During the last 10 years we have shown that various dinuclear palladium and platinum complexes of general formula $(\mu - X)(\mu - Y)M_2(PR_3)_2$ (M = Pd, Pt) can be prepared by a route which we designated as "1 + 1 addition", that is by combination of two mononuclear starting materials, $M(PR_3)_2$ and (X)M(Y).⁴ Particularly for M = Pd, the bridging ligands X and Y can easily be displaced without cleavage of the M-M bond. Owing to this robust nature we tried to introduce not only "classical" bridging ligands such as chloride or acetate but also organometallic anions in the hope that this would provide a new entry into the field of mixed-metal clusters. We were highly encouraged by MO calculations from Hofmann,5 which led to the

prediction that carbonyl metal complexes such as [Co(C- O_{4} or $[(C_{5}H_{5})Mo(CO)_{8}]$ should be useful reagents for the desired purpose.

The first experiments using dinuclear palladium compounds $(\mu - C_5H_5)(\mu - X)Pd_2(PR_3)_2$ and $(\mu - 2-MeC_3H_4)(\mu - X)$ - $Pd_2(PR_3)_2$ (X = Cl, Br, CH_3CO_2) as starting materials have confirmed the prediction.^{6,7} In this paper we describe an extension of our original work which proves that also complexes containing Pt-Pt and Pd-Pt bonds can be employed as precursors for the synthesis of new heteronuclear clusters. A short communication reporting some preliminary results has already appeared.8

Results and Discussion

The dinuclear complex $(\mu-C_5H_5)(\mu-2-MeC_3H_4)Pt_2(P-i-Pr_3)_2$ (1), which is prepared from $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Pt(\eta^3-2-MeC_3H_4)$ and Pt(P-i-Pr₃)₂, reacts with [(C₅H₅)Mo(CO)₃]Na not to produce a Pt₂Mo cluster but to give the mononuclear compound $(\eta^1 - C_5 H_5) Pt(\eta^3 - 2 - MeC_3 H_4) (P - i - Pr_3)$ (2).¹⁰ The attempt to displace the cyclopentadienyl bridge in 1 by acetate, which should provide a more reactive starting material, also failed because in the reaction of 1 with acetic acid (see Scheme I) only the formation of complex 3 was

The five-membered-ring ligand in 1 can be displaced, however, by bromide with Me₃SiBr as a reagent. By this

⁽¹⁾ Gladfelter, W. L.; Geoffroy, G. L. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1980,

⁽²⁾ Vahrenkamp, H. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 22, 169. (3) Stone, F. G. A. Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 85; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 89.

⁽⁴⁾ Werner, H. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 19, 155.

⁽⁵⁾ Hofmann, P. Abstracts of Papers, Chemiedozententagung Kaiserslautern, 1982; p 113.

^{(6) (}a) Werner, H.; Kraus, H.-J.; Thometzek, P. Chem. Ber. 1982, 115,2914. (b) Werner, H.; Thometzek, P. Angew. Chem. 1982, 94, 707; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 692.(7) Werner, H.; Thometzek, P.; Krüger, C.; Kraus, H.-J. Chem. Ber.

^{1986, 119, 2777.}

 ⁽⁸⁾ Thometzek, P.; Werner, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 252, C29.
 (9) Kühn, A.; Werner, H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 179, 421.

Scheme I

$$\underbrace{\overset{\overset{\overset{\circ}{}}{\underline{1}}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}\operatorname{P}-\operatorname{Pt}}_{\operatorname{Me}} -\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{P-}\underline{i}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}}_{\operatorname{Me}} + \underbrace{\overset{\overset{\circ}{}}{\underline{1}}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}\operatorname{P}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{P-}\underline{i}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}}_{\operatorname{Me}} + \underbrace{\overset{\overset{\circ}{}}{\underline{1}}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}\operatorname{P}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{P-}\underline{i}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}}_{\operatorname{Me}} + \underbrace{\overset{\overset{\circ}{}}{\underline{1}}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}\operatorname{P}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname{P-}\underline{i}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}}_{\operatorname{Me}} + \underbrace{\overset{\overset{\circ}{}}{\underline{1}}-\operatorname{Pr}_{3}\operatorname{P}-\operatorname{Pt}-\operatorname$$

Scheme II

$$\frac{1}{-\text{Me}_{3}\text{SiG}_{5}\text{H}_{5}} = \frac{\text{i-Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pt} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-i-Pr}_{3}}{\text{Me}} = \frac{[\text{c}_{5}\text{H}_{5}\text{M}(\text{CO})_{3}]\text{Na}}{-\text{NaBr}} = \frac{\text{i-Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pt} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-i-Pr}_{3}}{\text{Me}} = \frac{(\underline{5}: \text{M} = \text{Mo}; \underline{6}: \text{M} = \text{Mo}; \underline{6}: \text{M} = \text{M})}{\text{Me}}$$

Scheme III

$$\underbrace{i - \text{Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pd} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-}\underline{i} - \text{Pr}_{3}}_{\text{Me}} \xrightarrow{\text{Me}_{3}\text{SiBr}} \underbrace{i - \text{Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pd} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-}\underline{i} - \text{Pr}_{3}}_{\text{Me}} \xrightarrow{\text{I} - \text{Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pd} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-}\underline{i} - \text{Pr}_{3}} \underbrace{i - \text{Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pd} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-}\underline{i} - \text{Pr}_{3}}_{\text{-NaBr}} \underbrace{i - \text{Pr}_{3}\text{P} - \text{Pd} - \text{Pt} - \text{P-}\underline{i} - \text{Pr}_{3}}_{\text{Me}}$$

$$\underbrace{(9 : M = \text{Cr}; \\ \underline{10} : M = \text{Mo}; \\ \underline{11} : M = \text{W})}_{\text{Ne}}$$

route, compound 4 is obtained in virtually quantitative yield. Similar to its palladium counterpart, (μ-2- MeC_3H_4)(μ -Br) $Pd_2(P-i-Pr_3)_2$, it reacts with $[C_5H_5)M$ - $(CO)_3$ Na (M = Mo, W) to produce the new heterotrinuclear clusters 5 and 6. As a side product, the corresponding hydridometal complexes (C₅H₅)Mo(CO)₃H and (C₅H₅)W-(CO)₃H also are formed, but these can be separated from 5 and 6 by column chromatography. We assume that in agreement with current concepts^{7,11} about the formation of Pd_2M_2 and Pd_2MM' clusters (M and M' = Cr, Mo, W) from [(C₅H₅)M(CO)₃] and mono- or dinuclear Pd₂ precursors, primarily an electron transfer from the tricarbonyl(cyclopentadienyl)metal anion to the Pt-containing substrate takes place. As a consequence, [(C₅H₅)M-(CO)₃] radicals are formed which by hydrogen abstraction either from the solvent or the substrate produce the hydrido complex (C₅H₅)M(CO)₃H. 5 and 6, which are isolated in 54% and 65% yields, respectively, form red, only moderately air-sensitive crystals which similar to their Pd₂M analogues are easily soluble in most organic solvents, except for saturated hydrocarbons.

Figure 1. Cluster framework of 9-11.

The preparation of the PdPtM clusters 9-11 follows the same synthetic route used for 5 and 6. Displacement of the cyclopentadienyl bridge in $(\mu-C_5H_5)(\mu-2-MeC_3H_4)$ -PdPt(P-i-Pr₃)₂ (7) by bromide first gives (almost quantitatively) the dinuclear compound 8 (Scheme III) that on further reaction with [(C5H5)M(CO)3]Na produces the trinuclear complexes 9-11 in 50-60% yield. As in the Pt₂ system, the synthesis of the PdPtM clusters is also accompanied by the formation of the hydridometal compounds $(C_5H_5)M(CO)_3H$ that are separated from 9-11 by chromatographic techniques.

In contrast to the reaction of $(\mu$ -2-MeC₃H₄ $)(\mu$ -X)Pd₂(P $i-Pr_3$ ₂ (X = Cl, Br, CH₃CO₂) with Na[Co(CO)₄] that leads to the formation of a Pd₂Co cluster, treatment of 8 with the tetracarbonylcobalt anion under the same conditions does not produce the corresponding trinuclear PdPtCo complex. Instead, the Pd₂Co compound (2-MeC₃H₄)-

⁽¹¹⁾ Bender, R.; Braunstein, P.; Jud, J.-M.; Dusausoy, Y. Inorg. Chem. 1983, 22, 3394.

Table I. 1H and 31P NMR Data of the Complexes 5, 6, and 9-11 in C.D.

	5	6	9	10	11
			¹H NMRª		
$\delta(C_5H_5M)$	5.33 (s)	5.33 (s)	4.82 (s)	5.31 (s)	5.30 (s)
J(PtH)	4.1	5.0	3.7	4.3	3.3
$\delta(\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{syn}})$	b	b	3.66 (m)	3.83 (m)	3.92 (m)
$\delta(\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{anti}})$	b	b	2.08 (b s)	2.06 (b s)	2.26 (b s)
$\delta(CH_3)$	1.50 (t)	1.50 (t)	1.35 (dd)	1.37 (dd)	1.32 (dd)
J(PH)	3.0	3.5	3.1°	2.9^{c}	2.9^{c}
$\delta(PCH)$	2.30 (m)	2.32 (m)	2.14 (m)	2.12 (m)	2.12 (m)
$\delta(\text{PCHC}H_3)$	1.15 (dvt)	1.18 (dvt)	1.15 (dd), ^d 1.14 (dd) ^e	1.14 (dd), ^d 1.13 (dd) ^e	1.16 (dd), ^d 1.15 (dd)
$J(\mathrm{PH})/N$	13.3	13.3	14.0, 13.7	13.0, 14.0	12.8, 13.8
J(HH)	7.0	7.0	6.7, 7.1	7.4, 6.9	7.0, 7.0
			$^{31}P~NMR^{a}$		
$\delta(PdP)$			29.8 (d)	29.5 (d)	26.9 (d)
$\delta(PtP)$	35.0 (s)	35.0 (s)	43.3 (d)	43.6 (d)	46.2 (d)
J(PP)	92.3	80.0	110.2	113.1	111.6
$^{1}J(PtP)$	4633	4653	4777	4761	4756
$^{2}J(PtP)$	-116	-116	-81.9	-87.0	-95. 3

^{a 1}H NMR: δ, Me₄Si internal standard. ³¹P NMR: δ, 85% H₃PO₄ external standard. J and N in Hz. Abbreviations used: s, singlet; d, doublet, t, triplet; m, multiplet, vt, virtual triplet; b, broad. bNot observed; decrease in intensity due to Pt-H coupling. $^cJ(^1\text{PH}) = J(^2\text{PH})$. dPR3 on Pt. ePR3 on Pd.

(CO)₄(P-i-Pr₃)₂CoPd₂ (12)⁷ is obtained in moderate yield. We assume that the first step following the attack of the [Co(CO)₄] anion on the starting material 8 consists in the cleavage of the Pd-Pt bond which is followed by recombination of the Pd-containing fragments with [Co(CO)₄]

To the best of our knowledge, the new complexes 9-11 are the first heterometallic trinuclear clusters containing one palladium, one platinum, and one other transition metal in the cluster core. Owing to the spectroscopic data and the chemical properties, there is no doubt that their structure is completely analogous to that of the corresponding Pd₂M compounds.⁷ As is shown in Figure 1, the three metal atoms of 9-11 together with the carbon atom of one CO ligand form a tetrahedral framework of which two edges, Pd-M and Pt-M, are bridged by a carbonyl group. In accord with this structural proposal, the IR spectra show three strong CO stretching frequencies at ca. 1825, 1760, and 1710 cm⁻¹ that confirm the presence of doubly and triply bridging CO ligands. We assume that in solution an exchange between the carbonyl groups occurs because in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 10 (in C₆D₆) at room temperature only one signal in the CO region (δ 229.2) is observed. Similar results have been obtained by Braunstein et al. in case of the tetranuclear clusters $(C_5H_5)_2(CO)_6(PR_3)_2M_2M'_2$ (M = Cr, Mo, W; M' = Pd, Pt)^{11,12} and also by us for the trinuclear complex $(C_5H_5)_2(CO)_3(P-i-Pr_3)_2MoPd_2$. The appearance of only one NMR signal for the CO ligands can be rationalized insofar as owing to the structural data of the above-mentioned M₂M'₂ and MoPd₂ complexes, the bridging carbonyls are more tightly bound to the chromium, molybdenum, or tungsten atom than to the palladium or platinum atom.7,11,12

The ¹H and ³¹P NMR data of 5, 6, and 9-11 are summarized in Table I. Due to the decrease in intensity caused by the 195Pt satellites, in the 1H NMR spectra of the Pt₂M complexes 5 and 6 besides the signals of the C₅H₅ and P-i-Pr3 protons only the signal of the methyl protons of the 2-MeC₃H₄ ligand can be observed. The ¹H NMR spectra of the PdPtM compounds 9-11 show in addition to this signal also a multiplet at ca. δ 3.7–3.9 and a broad singlet at ca. δ 2.05–2.25 which are assigned to the H_{syn} and as the splitting pattern of these signals are very similar to those of their Pd₂M analogues.⁷ The most characteristic feature of the ¹H NMR spectra

H_{anti} protons of the allyl unit. The chemical shift as well

of 9-11 certainly is the appearance of two PCHCH₃ signals of unequal intensity. This can be rationalized insofar as the signal of the phosphine ligand that is coordinated to platinum not only shows a H-H and P-H but also a Pt-H coupling. Therefore, this signal is less intense (by a factor of ca. $^{2}/_{3}$) than the signal that belongs to the palladiumbound phosphine molecule.

The ³¹P NMR spectra of 9-11 are rather similar to the spectrum of 7.¹³ The two different ³¹P nuclei of the phosphine ligands couple with each other, and thus an AB-type pattern is observed. The P-P coupling constants are 110-113 Hz, i.e., significantly larger than in 7 (85 Hz) or in mononuclear bis(phosphine)platinum complexes.¹⁴ The presence of one ¹⁹⁵Pt atom in these molecules also induces a satellite spectrum which shows the AB part of an ABX spin system. In this satellite spectrum, two different Pt-P couplings are observed of which the larger one (ca. 4800-5200 Hz) is assigned to the ³¹P atom coordinated to platinum and the smaller one (ca. 82-95 Hz) to the ³¹P atom linked to palladium. The ³¹P NMR spectra of the Pt₂M complexes 5 and 6 show in addition to the singlet of the two equivalent phosphorus nuclei the expected satellites that in contrast to the spectra of 9-11 are symmetrically arranged with regard to the main peak. The Pt-P coupling constants derived from the satellite spectra are quite similar to those found for the PdPtM analogues.

Conclusion

The present study has confirmed that heteronuclear Pt₂M and PdPtM clusters containing a tetrahedral Pt₂MC or PdPtMC framework can be prepared in a stepwise manner from mononuclear starting materials. Similar to their Pd₂M counterparts, the new complexes 5, 6, and 9-11 also are members of the family of trinuclear 44-electron clusters having three metal-metal bonds. In a formal sense, the dicationic fragments $[Pt_2(PR_3)_2]^{2+}$ and [PdPt- $(PR_3)_2$ ²⁺ are bridged by two anionic units, 2-MeC₃H₄ and

⁽¹²⁾ Bender, R.; Braunstein, P.; Jud, J.-M.; Dusausoy, Y. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 4489

⁽¹³⁾ Werner, H.; Kühn, A. Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem., Org. Chem. 1978, 33B, 1360.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Finer, E. G.; Harris, R. K. Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc. 1971, 6, 61.

 $[(C_5H_5)M(CO)_3]^-$, respectively, which behave as 4-electron donors and therefore create a 16-electron configuration on each platinum (or palladium) atom. Alternatively, the bonding in the Pt₂M and PdPtM complexes can also be described by Wade's and Rudolph's rules. 15,16 According to these, the three metal atoms in 5, 6, and 9-11 provide eight electrons (one from each Pd or Pt and six from M) for the cluster formation as it is necessary for a closo structure.

Experimental Section

NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM 360 L (¹H), a Bruker WH 90 FT (31P), and a Bruker Cryospec WM 400 (1H and ¹³C) spectrometer and IR spectra on a Perkin-Elmer 457 spectrometer. The starting materials $(\mu - C_5H_5)(\mu - 2-\text{MeC}_3H_4)\text{Pt}_2(\text{P-}$ $i\text{-Pr}_3$)₂ (1) and $(\mu\text{-C}_5H_5)(\mu\text{-2-MeC}_3H_4)\text{PdPt}(\text{P-}i\text{-Pr}_3)_2$ (7) were prepared by published methods. 9,13

Reaction of 1 with [(C₅H₅)Mo(CO)₃]Na. A suspension of $[(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3]Na (16.0 \text{ mg}, 0.06 \text{ mmol}) \text{ in } 0.5 \text{ mL of } C_6D_6 \text{ was}$ treated with 1 (50.0 mg, 0.06 mmol) and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. In the ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra only the formation of the mononuclear complex $(\eta^1-C_5H_5)Pt(\eta^3-2-MeC_3H_4)(P-i-Pr_3)$ $(2)^{10}$ could be observed.

Preparation of $(\eta^3-2-\text{MeC}_3\text{H}_4)\text{Pt}(\text{P-}i-\text{Pr}_3)\text{OC}(=0)\text{CH}_3$ (3). A solution of 1 (166 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 5 mL of toluene was treated at -78 °C dropwise with acetic acid (11 μ L, 0.20 mmol). Under vigorous stirring, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue recrystallized from toluene/pentane: yellow crystals; yield 115 mg (61%). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₃₁O₂PPt: C 38.38; H, 6.66; M_r 469.48. Found: C, 38.74; H, 6.90; M_r 440 (osmometric in benzene).

Preparation of $(\mu-2-MeC_3H_4)(\mu-Br)Pt_2(P-i-Pr_3)_2$ (4). A solution of 1 (415 mg, 0.50 mmol) in 10 mL of toluene was stirred at -78 °C and treated dropwise with Me₃SiBr (66 µL, 0.50 mmol). After being stirred for 12 h at -78 °C, the solution was slowly warmed to room temperature and then filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to ca. 1 mL and then treated with 10 mL of pentane. After the mixture was left standing at -30 °C, white crystals were formed which were filtered, washed with cold pentane, and dried in vacuo: yield 380 mg (91%); mp 78 °C dec; ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) δ 2.25 (m, PCH), 1.73 (t, J(PH) = 3.8 Hz, allyl-CH₃); 1.14 (dvt, N = 14.0 Hz, J(HH) = 6.8 Hz, PCHCH₃); ³¹P NMR (C_6D_6) δ 55.8 (s, J(PP) = 41.7 Hz, ${}^1J(PtP) = 5166$ Hz, $^{2}J(PtP) = -144 \text{ Hz}$ (coupling constants from the satellite spectrum)). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₄₉BrP₂Pt₂: C, 30.05; H, 5.78. Found: C, 30.27; H, 5.92.

Preparation of $(C_5H_5)(2-MeC_3H_4)(CO)_3(P-i-Pr_3)_2MoPt_2$ (5). A solution of 4 (167 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 5 mL of toluene was treated at -78 °C with small portions of [(C₅H₅)Mo(CO)₃]Na (81 mg, 0.30 mmol). After being stirred for 2 h at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 5 mL of toluene, and the solution was then chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with pentane gave a yellow band containing C₅H₅Mo(CO)₃H (yield ca. 20-30%), and subsequent elution with toluene revealed a dark red band containing 5. The toluene solution was concentrated in vacuo to ca. 1 mL and then treated with 10 mL of pentane. After the mixture was left standing at -30 °C, brick red crystals were formed which were filtered, washed with cold pentane, and dried in vacuo: yield 110 mg (54%); mp 84 °C dec; IR (KBr) ν (CO) 1786, 1732 cm⁻1. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₅₄MoO₃P₂Pt₂: C, 35.87; H, 5.70. Found: C, 35.64: H. 5.38.

Preparation of $(C_5H_5)(2-MeC_3H_4)(CO)_3(P-i-Pr_3)_2Pt_2W$ (6). This complex was prepared analogously as described for 5, starting with 4 (167 mg, 0.20 mmol) and [(C₅H₅)W(CO)₃]Na (107 mg, 0.30 mmol): ruby red crystals; yield 143 mg (65%); mp 81 °C dec; IR (KBr) ν (CO) 1781, 1725 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{30}H_{54}O_3P_2Pt_2W$: C, 32.89; H, 4.72; Pt, 35.65; W, 16.75. Found: C, 32.80; H, 4.95; Pt. 35.51; W. 16.73.

Preparation of $(\mu$ -2-MeC₃H₄ $)(\mu$ -Br)PdPt(P-i-Pr₃ $)_2$ (8). A solution of 7 (520 mg, 0.70 mmol) in 10 mL of toluene was stirred at -78 °C and treated dropwise with Me₃SiBr (91 μ L, 0.70 mmol). After being stirred for 2 h at -78 °C, the solution was slowly warmed to room temperature and worked up as described for 4: yellow-brown crystals; yield 495 mg (95%); mp 61 °C dec; $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ 2.07 (m, PCH), 1.49 (dd, $J(^1PH) = J(^2PH) = 3.5$ Hz, allyl-CH3; 1P is the phosphorus atom linked to Pt, 2P is the phosphorus atom linked to Pd), 1.14 (dd, $J(^{1}PH) = 14.0 \text{ Hz}$, J(HH)= 6.8 Hz, PCHC H_3 from phosphine linked to Pt), 1.13 (dd, $J(^2PH)$ = 13.4 Hz, J(HH) = 7.0 Hz, $PCHCH_3$ from phosphine linked to Pd); ${}^{31}P$ NMR (C₆D₆) δ 55.6 (d, J(PP) = 64.0 Hz, ${}^{1}J(PtP) = 5270$ Hz, ${}^{2}J(PtP) = -86.3 Hz$, PtP), 50.8 (d, J(PP) = 64.0 Hz, PdP). Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{49}BrP_2PdPt$: C, 33.62; H, 6.74. Found: C,

Preparation of $(C_5H_5)(2-MeC_3H_4)(CO)_3(P-i-Pr_3)_2MPdPt$ (9-11). 9-11 were prepared analogously as described for 5, starting with 8 (250 mg, 0.34 mmol) and $[C_5H_5M(CO)_3]Na$ (M = Cr, Mo, W; 0.50 mmol). 9: dark green crystals; yield 144 mg (48%); mp 52 °C dec; IR (KBr) ν(CO) 1820, 1754, 1710 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₅₄CrO₃P₂PdPt: C, 41.09; H, 6.20; Cr, 5.96. Found: C, 41.03; H, 6.20; Cr, 5.92. 10: ruby red crystals; yield 172 mg (55%); mp 61 °C dec; IR (KBr) ν (CO) 1826, 1756, 1710 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR $(C_6D_6) \delta 229.2$ (s, J(PtC) = 118.0 Hz, CO), 115.2 (s, C^2), 89.2 (s, C_5H_5), 52.6 (s, C^1 , C^3), 30.8 (s, C^4), 26.1 (d, $J(PH) \simeq 10 \text{ Hz}$, PCH from phosphine linked to Pd), 25.7 (d, $J(PH) \simeq 10$ Hz, PCH from phosphine linked to Pt), 20.4 (s, PCHCH₃ from phosphine linked to Pd); 19.9 (s, PCHCH₃ from phosphine linked to Pt). Assignment of the allylic carbon atoms:



Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₅₄MoO₃P₂PdPt: C, 38.86; H, 6.04; Mo, 10.31; Pd, 11.30; Pt, 21.05. Found: C, 39.08; H, 5.90; Mo, 10.40; Pd, 11.54; Pt, 21.16. 11: dark red crystals; yield 203 mg (59%); mp 58 °C dec; IR (KBr) ν (CO) 1829, 1766, 1709 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₅₄O₃P₂PdPtW: C, 35.49; H, 5.31; W, 18.42. Found: C, 35.68; H, 5.39; W, 18.20.

Reaction of 8 with [Co(CO)₄]Na. A solution of 8 (170 mg, 0.23 mmol) in 5 mL of toluene was treated at -78 °C with small portions of [Co(CO)₄]Na (67 mg, 0.35 mmol). After being stirred for 1 h at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The solution was then filtered, the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to ca. 1 mL, and 10 mL of pentane was added. After the mixture was left standing at -30 °C, yellow-brown crystals were formed which were characterized by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy as (2-MeC₃H₄)(CO)₄(P-i- $Pr_3)_2CoPd_2$ (12), yield 61 mg (35%).

Acknowledgment. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support and DEGUSSA AG (Hanau) for generous gifts of chemicals. We also thank Mrs. U. Neumann and Mrs. R. Schedl for the elemental analyses and Dr. W. Buchner and Dr. D. Scheutzow for NMR measurements.

Registry No. 1, 62586-35-0; 2, 74707-31-6; 3, 107799-25-7; 4, 88008-70-2; 5, 88008-69-9; 6, 88056-44-4; 7, 68993-55-5; 8, 87994-15-8; 9, 88008-66-6; 10, 88008-67-7; 11, 88008-68-8; 12, 83044-10-4; $[(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3]Na$, 12107-35-6; $(C_5H_5)Mo(CO)_3H$, 12176-06-6; $[(C_5H_5)W(CO)_3]Na$, 12107-36-7; $(Co(CO)_4]Na$, 14878-28-5; [(C₅H₅)Cr(CO)₃]Na, 12203-12-2; Pt, 7440-06-4; Mo, 7439-98-7; W, 7440-33-7; Co, 7440-48-4; Pd, 7440-05-3; (C₅H₅)W-(Co)₃H, 12128-26-6.

^{(15) (}a) Wade, K. Chem. Br. 1975, 11, 177. (b) Wade, K. Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1976, 18, 1.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Rudolph, R. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 446.