Synthesis and Study of the Thermal Rearrangement of $(CO)_4Fe[P(R)(H)(C\equiv CR')]Co_2(CO)_6$ Complexes $(R = N(i-Pr)_2, NMe_2, or Me, R' = Ph; R = N(i-Pr)_2, R' = t-Bu)$

Agustin Galindo and René Mathieu*

Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, Unité No. 8241 liée par convention à l'Université Paul Sabatier, 205 route de Narbonne, 31077 Toulouse Cedex, France

Anne-Marie Caminade and Jean-Pierre Majoral*

Laboratoire de Synthèse, Structure et Réactivité de Molécules Phosphorées, UA No. 454, Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France

Received February 24, 1988

The synthesis and thermal rearrangement of $(CO)_4Fe[P(R)(H)(C=CR')]Co_2(CO)_6$ complexes are described $(R = N(i-Pr)_2, NMe_2, \text{ or } Me, R' = Ph; R = N(i-Pr)_2, R' = t-Bu)$. Contrary to the case previously described where R = t-Bu and R' = Ph, no formation of complexed phosphaallene RP(C=CHR') is observed. The reactions lead to low yields of $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3\cdot\eta^2-CCHR')$ (R' = Ph, t-Bu) and $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3\cdotPR)$ ($R = Me, NMe_2, N(i-Pr)_2$) clusters, with $FeCo_2(CO)_7(\mu-PRH)(\mu_3\cdot\eta^2-C=CR')$ complexes as the main product of the reaction. When R = Me and R' = Ph, the formation of $Fe_2(CO)_6[\mu-P(Me)C=C(Ph)C(O)C(Ph)=CP(Me)]$ is also observed. During the synthesis of $(CO)_4Fe[P(NMe_2)(H)(C=CPh)]$, the compound $[PPh_4]-[((CO)_4Fe)_3P_2(C=CPh)_2(NMe)_2]$ was isolated. It reacts with $Co_2(CO)_8$ to generate a cluster containing an alkenylphosphinidene in which the carbon-carbon triple bond is π -bonded to a $Co_2(CO)_6$ entity, viz. $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3\cdotPC=CPh)Co_2(CO)_6$. A second product isolated contains an alkynylphosphido ligand and is formulated as $FeCo(CO)_7[\mu-P(NMe_2)(C=CPh)]$.

In a recent publication,¹ we have shown that a possible synthesis of complexed phosphacumulenes starts with $(CO)_4Fe[P(t-Bu)(H)(C=CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$ and involves the thermally induced migration of the hydrogen from phosphorus to the unsaturated β -carbon. Actually, the expected $FeCo_2(CO)_9[\mu_3-\eta^2-t-BuP(C=CHPh)]$ was obtained in low yield (3%), while $FeCo_2(CO)_9[\mu_3-P(t-Bu)]$ was the main product of the reaction.

With the aim of improving the yield of the phosphacumulene complex, we have studied the influence of the nature of R and R' groups in the $(CO)_4 Fe[P(R)(H)(C)]$ CR']Co₂(CO)₆ compounds on their thermal rearrangement reactions. The R and R' groups have been chosen to present various degrees of electronic and steric properties, but their choice was limited by the availability of the starting P(R)(Cl)(C = CR') phosphines. In this paper, we report that in no case has the formation of complexes containing the phosphaalkenylidene ligand been detected. However, we have observed interesting rearrangements leading among others to polynuclear complexes containing alkynylphosphinidene or alkynylphosphido ligands. To our knowledge, these are the first examples of these types of ligands. Further, the formation of vinylidene and acetylide ligands was observed in these reactions.

Results and Discussion

To synthesize the $(CO)_4Fe[P(R)(H)(C \equiv CR')]Co_2(CO)_6$ complexes, we have retained the strategy used for the preparation of $(CO)_4Fe[P(t-Bu)(H)(C \equiv CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$,¹ i.e. the formation of $(CO)_4Fe[P(R)(H)(C \equiv CR')]$ upon reaction of $[PPh_4][HFe(CO)_4]$ with the phosphines P(R)- $(Cl)(C \equiv CR')$, followed by complexation of the alkynyl triple bond upon reaction with $Co_2(CO)_8$.

Synthesis of the Phosphines P(R)(CI)(C=CR'). One method for the synthesis of the alkynylphosphines² is to react the dichlorophosphine with 1 equiv of lithium ace-

Table I. Observed Proportions of the RPCl(C=CR') and RP(C=CR')₂ Phosphines^a Formed during the Reaction of RPCl₂ with R'C=CLi

R	R′	RPCl(CCR')	$RP(CCR')_2$
N-i-Pr ₂	Ph	36	64
$N-i-Pr_2$	t-Bu	95	5
NMe ₂	\mathbf{Ph}	31	69
Me	Ph	51	49
$CH(SiMe_3)_2$	\mathbf{Ph}	19	81

^a % calculated for consummed RPCl₂.

tylide. The reaction is generally complex and affords a mixture of $P(R)Cl_{2-x}(C=CR')_x$ compounds (x = 1 or 2). Table I summarizes the observed proportions determined by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy of the two phosphines formed before purification.

The occurrence of the $PR(C = CR')_2$ phosphines that polymerize during distillation makes it difficult to purify the P(R)(C1)(C = CR') phosphines.

So, we have chosen a different route avoiding the formation of the dialkynylphosphines. This method³ benefits from the lability of the $P(NMe_2)$ bond and is summarized by the following equations

$$PRCl(NMe_2) + LiC \equiv CR' \rightarrow DR'$$

$$PR(NMe_2)(C \equiv CR') + LiCl (1)$$

$$\frac{PR(NMe_2)(C = CR') + PCl_3 \rightarrow}{PCIR(C = CR') + PCl_2NMe_2}$$
(2)

with $R = Me \text{ or } NMe_2$.

This method has allowed us to prepare the phosphines $P(NMe_2)(Cl)(C=CPh)$ and P(Me)(Cl)(C=CPh) in 40 and 54% yields, respectively.

Synthesis of $Fe(CO)_4P(R)(CCR')(H)$ Complexes. For the synthesis of these complexes, we have used $[PPh_4][HFe(CO)_4]$ which reacts with chlorophosphines to give complexed secondary phosphines⁴ in one step (eq 3). $P(R)(Cl)(C=CR') + [PPh_4][HFe(CO)_4] \rightarrow (CO)_4Fe[P(R)(H)(C=CR')]$ (3)

⁽¹⁾ Mathieu, R.; Caminade, A.-M.; Majoral, J.-P.; Daran, J.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8007.

⁽²⁾ Maier, L. In Organic Phosphorus Compounds; Kosolapoff, G. M., Maier, L., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1972; Vol. 1, p 1.

⁽³⁾ Bozolyubov, G. M.; Petrov, A. A. Zh. Obshch. Khim. 1967, 37, 229.



Figure 1. Proposed structure for complex 1.

The reaction works well when $R = N(i-Pr)_2$ and R' = Ph or t-Bu and when R = Me and R' = Ph, and the iron complexes are isolated in 80–95% yield as liquids which have been identified from their spectroscopic properties by comparison with those of $(CO)_4Fe[P(t-Bu)(H)(C = CPh)]^{-1}$.

When $R = NMe_2$ and R' = Ph, we have faced some difficulties due to the instability of the iron complex. Indeed, the major product of the reaction is an anionic complex, 1, isolated as a liquid, whose nature has been deduced from spectroscopic data. Its infrared spectrum gives evidence of the presence of a free alkynyl group, with an absorption band at 2168 cm⁻¹, and the spectrum in the $\nu(CO)$ stretching region closely resembles that of [(PR- $PRH)[Fe(CO)_4]_3]^-$ complexes obtained upon reacting $RPCl_2$ phosphines with an excess of $[HFe(CO)_4]^{-4}$ The phosphorus NMR spectrum shows two doublets centered at 112 and 2 ppm with a coupling constant of 389 Hz. Furthermore, the proton-coupled ³¹P NMR spectrum indicates that the phosphorus resonating at 112 ppm is bonded to an NMe₂ group. The proton NMR spectrum confirms the presence of the NMe₂ and PPh₄ groups and of the phenyl of the alkynyl fragments in the 1:1:2 ratio.

Considering these observations, we propose for 1 the structure shown in Figure 1 which is in agreement with the spectroscopic data. The mechanism for the formation of 1 is not clear as it implies formally the elimination of NMe_2H between two $(CO)_4FeP(NMe_2)(H)(C=CPh)$ molecules and the elimination of molecular hydrogen, one atom of hydrogen being provided by $[HFe(CO)_4]^-$. Confirmation of the instability of the neutral iron complex comes from the observation that if it is isolated by extraction, it slowly changes in solution to an intractable mixture (20 peaks observed in the ¹H NMR in the NMe₂ region of resonance!).

To overcome the difficulties in isolating pure $(CO)_4FeP(NMe_2)(H)(C=CPh)$, we have prepared the iron cobalt complex by quickly adding $Co_2(CO)_8$ to the reaction mixture (vide infra).

Synthesis of $(CO)_4$ Fe $[P(R)(H)(C = CR')]Co_2(CO)_6$ Complexes. (a) Case Where $R = N(i-Pr)_2$ and R' = Phor t-Bu and R = Me and R' = Ph. Addition of 1 equiv of Co₂(CO)₈ to the iron complexes leads to immediate CO evolution at room temperature. The isolated products of the reaction are oils that were purified by column chromatography. Spectroscopic data are quite similar to those of $(CO)_4$ Fe $[P(t-Bu)(H)(C = CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6^1$ and support the same structure in which the Co₂(CO)₆ group is complexed to the triple bond.

(b) Case Where $R = NMe_2$ and R' = Ph. As mentioned above, $Co_2(CO)_8$ was added in a stoichiometric quantity to the reaction mixture of the synthesis of $(CO)_4Fe[P(NMe_2)(H)C=CPh]$. Hexane extraction and chromatography separated four products.

The first product 2, isolated as brown crystals, exhibits a mass spectrum showing a molecular ion with m/z 844 and the successive loss of 15 CO. This and analytical data are consistent with the formulation $FeCo_4(CO)_{15}P(C \equiv$ CPh). Proton NMR indicated the presence of phenyl and



Figure 2. Proposed structure for complex 2.

Figure 3. Proposed structure for complex 3.



Figure 4. Proposed structure for complex 5.

no other hydrocarbon groups, and no signal was observed in the 31 P NMR certainly due to the broadening of the resonance by the cobalt nucleus.

Infrared spectroscopy shows absorption of terminal carbonyl groups only in the $\nu(CO)$ stretching region, and part of the spectrum closely resembles that of a $Co_2(CO)_6$ fragment bonded to a carbon-carbon triple bond.⁵ All these observations suggest for 2 the structure shown in Figure 2. It consists of a FeCo₂(CO)₉ cluster stabilized by an alkynylphosphinidene ligand. Furthermore, the carbon-carbon triple bond is π -bonded to a $Co_2(CO)_6$ unit. This constitutes the first example of an alkynylphosphinidene stabilized by complexation.

The second complex isolated 3 is a red liquid for which infrared spectroscopy indicates the presence of a free alkynyl bond and terminal carbonyl groups. The presence of one NMe₂ and one phenyl group in a 1:1 ratio is deduced from proton NMR spectroscopy. Moreover, in the ³¹P NMR spectrum a broad signal is observed at 196 ppm. Mass spectrometry is consistent with the FeCo(CO)₇P-(NMe₂)(C=CPh) formulation. We propose for this compound the structure shown in Figure 3 in which a P-(NMe₂)(C=CPh) phosphido ligand bridges a (CO)₄Fe-Co(CO)₃ unit, a structure found for the analogous (CO)₇FeCo(PMe₂) complex.⁶

The third complex 4, isolated as brown crystals, is the expected $(CO)_4Fe[P(NMe_2)(H)(C=CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$ obtained in 10% yield. The spectroscopic data are in perfect agreement with the formulation.

For the last compound 5, isolated as a brown liquid, mass spectrometry indicates a mass of 787 and the successive loss of 11 carbonyl groups consistent with the $Fe_2Co(CO)_{11}P_2(NMe_2)(C \equiv CPh)_2$ formulation.

In the infrared spectrum, evidence of a free alkynyl group and of terminal carbonyl ligands is obtained. Furthermore, the proton NMR spectrum confirms the presence of the NMe₂ and phenyl groups in a 1:2 ratio.

The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum consists of two doublets (J_{PP} = 194 Hz) centered at 110 and 140 ppm, the latter being broad. ³¹P NMR spectra indicate that the phosphorus resonating at 110 ppm is bonded to the NMe₂ group.

All these spectroscopic data suggest for 5 a structure derived from the structure of 1. It is shown in Figure 4. This structure results from the substitution in 1 of [Fe-

⁽⁵⁾ Dickson, R. S.; Fraser, P. J. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 12, 323.
(6) Keller, E.; Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 430.



Figure 5. Structure of complexes 6a (R = Ph) and 6b (R = t-Bu).

 $(CO)_4$]⁻ by the isoelectronic $Co(CO)_4$ group and from the formation of an Fe-Co bond due to the loss of a molecule of CO.

This structure is consistent with the small variation of $\delta(^{31}P)$ of the P(NMe₂)(C=CPh) group between 1 and 5 and with the shift of the P(C=CPh) group toward a lower field due to the formation of the metal-metal bond.⁷

To summarize, compounds 2, 3, and 5 appear to result from the reaction of 1 with $Co_2(CO)_8$, while the formation of 2 and 3 implies phosphorus-phosphorus bond breaking in 1.

Study of the Thermolysis of the $(CO)_4Fe[P(R) (H)(C = CR')]Co_2(CO)_6$ Complexes. Thermolysis was generally carried out in boiling hexane except in the case where R = Me and R' = Ph where it was necessary to operate in boiling toluene to observe significant change.

The reactions usually lead to a complex mixture of compounds that were separated by chromatography. When R is a dialkylamino group, three types of complexes have been isolated. They have in common a FeCo₂ metallic core stabilized by bridging ligands coming from the phosphine, but in no case was formation of a phosphallenyl group detected.

The first type of complex isolated formulates as $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3-\eta^2-C=CHR)$ (6a, R = Ph; 6b, R = t-Bu) (Figure 5). These complexes have been obtained recently by a more direct way,⁸ and our spectroscopic data are in good agreement with theirs. They have been obtained in low yield in the cases where $R = NMe_2$ and R' = Ph and $R = N(i-Pr)_2$ and R' = t-Bu or in trace amounts (R = $N(i-Pr)_2$, R' = Ph).

The second type of complex obtained is stabilized by a phosphinidene ligand (PR), formulated as FeCo₂(CO)₉- $(\mu_3 \text{-} PR)$ (7a, R = N(*i*-Pr)₂; 7b, R = NMe₂). These are the major products isolated subsequent to chromatography. They have been further identified by comparison of their spectroscopic data with published values.⁹

The last family of compounds 8 has been obtained in low yield. These complexes decompose during column chromatography, but infrared spectra of the reaction solution before treatment shows that they are actually the major complexes formed during thermolysis. Their infrared spectra show only the terminal carbonyl group absorption while proton NMR gives evidence for the presence of the R and R' groups and of a P-H entity.

In the case where $R = N(i-Pr)_2$ and R' = Ph, it was possible to obtain the ³¹P¹H NMR spectrum showing a broad resonance (30 ppm at half-height) centered at 229 ppm, evidence for the presence of a phosphido bridging ligand. Mass spectra are consistent with the $FeCo_2(CO)_7$ P(R)(H)(CCR') formulation. From these data, two possible structures can be proposed. They are shown in Figure 6. The broadness of the ³¹P NMR spectrum suggests that form A is the more likely.

To summarize, it appears that in such thermal rearrangement reactions of $(CO)_4Fe[P(NR_2)(H)(C \equiv CR')]$ -



Figure 6. The two possible structures for complexes 8 (R = $N(i-Pr)_2$, NMe_2 ; R' = Ph).



Figure 7. Proposed structure for complex 9.

 $Co_2(CO)_6$ complexes, the products afforded result from the usual reactivity of $R_2P(C = CR')$ phosphines toward cluster compounds, i.e., the breaking of the P-alkynyl bond¹⁰ generating acetylide and phosphido ligands.

In the case of $(CO)_4 Fe[P(Me)(H)(C = CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$, it was necessary to work at the boiling temperature of toluene to observe significant reaction. Extensive decomposition occurred, and the yield of the isolated complexes was very low. Three complexes were isolated by chromatography: 6a in trace amount, $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3 \text{-}PMe)$ (11) in 10% yield, and a third complex, 9, as a pink oil. The infrared spectrum of 9 in the $\nu(CO)$ stretching region is typical of $Fe_2(CO)_6(\mu-X)_2$ systems,¹² but an adsorption of medium intensity is also observed at 1709 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum show the presence of phenyl groups and of a pseudotriplet characteristic of $X_n AA'X'_n$ spin systems in the PMe region. The two groups are in a 1:1 ratio. In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum, a singlet is observed at 107 ppm.

The molecular ion is detected by mass spectrometry at m/z 602 with successive loss of six CO groups, compatible with the $Fe_2(CO)_6[P(Me)(CCPh)]_2CO$ formulation. Other fragments are observed, corresponding to the Fe₂P₂C₅OPh₂, $Fe_2P_2C_5OPh$, and $Fe_2P_2Me_2C_5OPh$ ions. The 1709 cm⁻¹ peak in the IR spectrum shows that the seventh CO in the formulation corresponds to a ketonic group. Unfortunately, the quantity of isolated 9 was not sufficient to have a conclusive ¹³C NMR spectrum (only phenyl resonances at 131.3, 128.8, and 128.2 ppm were clearly detectable).

From all these spectroscopic data, we suggest for 9 the structure shown in Figure 7. It consists of a $Fe_2(CO)_6$ unit bridged by a diphosphido ligand, P(Me)CC(Ph)C(O)C-(Ph)CP(Me) resulting from the coupling of two MeP(C =CPh) units and one CO group.

Iron-alkyne chemistry gives several examples of coupling of alkynes with carbon monoxide,¹³ but this seems to be the first case where the alkyne used is functionalized by a phosphorus entity.

To conclude, this study shows that our strategy for the synthesis of complexes containing phosphacumulene ligands is not general since the different phosphine complexes exhibit a diversified but interesting thermal behavior. Nevertheless, the expected migration of hydrogen from the phosphorus to the β -carbon of the alkynyl group is ob-

 ⁽⁷⁾ Carty, A. J. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1982, No. 196, 163.
 (8) Albiez, T.; Bernhardt, W.; von Schnering, C.; Roland, E.; Bantel, H.; Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 141. (9) Honrath, V.; Vahrenkamp, H. Z. Naturforsch., B: Anorg. Chem.,

Org. Chem. 1984, 39B, 559.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Carty, A. J. Pure Appl. Chem. 1982, 54, 113.
(11) Müller, M.; Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1983, 116, 2311.
(12) Arabi, M. S.; Mathieu, R.; Poilblanc, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 177, 199.

⁽¹³⁾ Hübel, W. In Organic Synthesis via Metal Carbonyls; Wender, I., Pino, P., Eds.; Wiley: New York, 1968; Vol. 1, p 273.

served in all cases (except when R = Me), but the predominant reactions imply the cleavage of the phosphorus-alkynyl ligand P-C bond. Unexpected rearrangements leading to novel examples of alkynylphosphinidene or phosphido ligands have also been noted.

Experimental Section

All the reactions were performed in a dry argon atmosphere. Dry and oxygen-free solvents were used at all times. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WH90 spectrometer and ³¹P NMR spectra on a Bruker AC80 machine. Infrared spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer 225 spectrometer in hexane or dichloromethane solutions. Mass spectra were obtained on a Varian MAT 311A. Microanalysis were performed in our laboratory. $[PPh_4][HFe(CO)_4]$ was synthesized by published procedure.¹⁴

Synthesis of P(R)(Cl)(C=CR') Phosphines. (a) Case Where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{N}(i \cdot \mathbf{Pr})_2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$ or $t \cdot \mathbf{Bu}$. The R'C=CLi compound, prepared by the reaction of BuLi with R'C=CH at -78 °C in diethyl ether (40 mL), was added at -78 °C to an equimolecular solution of $[N(i-Pr)_2]PCl_2$ in diethyl ether (30 mL). The solution then was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After filtration, the resulting solution was fractionally distilled, giving the following.

(i) $[(i-Pr)_2N]P(Cl)(C \equiv CPh)$: a yellow liquid; bp 126 °C (0.1 mmHg); 31% yield; ¹H NMR δ 1.27 (d, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 7 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 1.35 (d, $J_{\rm HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 3.9 (br, 2 H, CH), 7.4 (m, 5 H, C_6H_5); ³¹P NMR δ 90 (t, $J_{PH} = 11$ Hz); IR ν (C=C) 2168 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C14H19CINP: C, 62.80; H, 7.10; N, 5.23. Found:

C, 62.65; H, 6.85; N, 5.02. (ii) (i-Pr₂N)P(Cl)(C=C-t-Bu): a colorless liquid; bp 64 °C (0.08 mmHg); 35% yield; ¹H NMR δ 1.05 (d, J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 1.07 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 1.18 (d, J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 3.9 (br, 2 H, CH); ³¹P NMR δ 91; IR ν (C=C) 2150 cm⁻¹.

Anal. Calcd for C12H23CINP: C, 58.18; H, 9.29; N, 5.65. Found: C, 57.92; H, 9.18; N, 5.59.

(b) Case Where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{NMe}_2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$. To a suspension of PhC==CLi (91 mmol) in 45 mL of diethyl ether at -50 °C was slowly added a solution of PCl(NMe₂)₂ (91 mmol) in 90 mL of diethyl ether. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was filtered and cooled to -30 °C, and to this solution was slowly added 82 mmol of PCl₃ in 20 mL of diethyl ether. The mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature and then fractionally distilled under reduced pressure, giving 7 g of $(Me_2N)P(Cl)(C = CPh)$ as a yellow liquid: bp 80 °C (0.1 mmHg); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 2.58 (d, J_{PH} = 13 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 7.1 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅); ³¹P[¹H] NMR δ 103; IR ν (C=C) 2165 cm⁻¹.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₁ClNP: C, 56.73; H, 5.20; N, 6.61. Found: C, 56.89; H, 5.12; N, 6.50.

(c) Case Where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$. A solution of $P(NMe_2)_3$ (7 mL, 36.5 mmol) in 10 mL of diethyl ether was added at -10 °C to a solution of P(Me)Cl₂ (3.4 mL, 36.5 mmol) in 35 mL of diethyl ether. This mixture then was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy showed that is consisted in a 1:1 mixture of (Me)P(Cl)(NMe₂) and PCl(NMe₂)₂. This solution was slowly added to a suspension of PhC=CLi (73 mmol) in 35 mL of diethyl ether cooled to -50 °C. After being stirred for 2 h at room temperature, the solution was filtered and 6.1 mL of PCl₃ (70 mmol) in 25 mL of diethyl ether was added at -30 °C. The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then fractionnally distilled under vacuo giving 3.6 g of MePCl-(C=CPh) (54% yield) and 3 g of P(NMe₂)Cl(C=CPh). (Me)P-(Cl)(C≡CPh): yellow liquid; bp 77 °C (0.3 mmHg); ¹H NMR δ 1.31 (d, $J_{PH} = 7$ Hz, 3 H, CH₃), 7.3 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅); ³¹P{¹H} NMR δ 59; IR ν (C=C) 2160 cm⁻¹.

Anal. Calcd for C₉H₈ClP: C, 59.18; H, 4.38. Found: C, 59.12; H. 4.09

Synthesis of $(CO)_4$ Fe[P(R)(H)(C=CR')] Complexes. (a) General Procedure for $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{N}(i \cdot \mathbf{Pr})_2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$ or $t \cdot \mathbf{Bu}$ and $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$. To a solution of 4 mmol of the chlorophosphine in 15 mL of dichloromethane was added a solution of [PPh₄][HFe(CO)₄] (2.03 g, 4 mmol) in 15 mL of dichloromethane. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was extracted with 4×10 mL of diethyl ether, and the extracts were evaporated to dryness under vacuo, leaving $(CO_4)Fe[P(R)(H) (C \equiv CR')$] complexes as oils.

 $(CO)_4$ Fe[P(N(*i*-Pr)₂)H(C=CPh)]: brown liquid; 88% yield; m/z401; IR (CH₂Cl₂ solution) 2170 (w) (v (C=C)), 2050 (m), 1973 (m), 1940 (s) (ν (CO)) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 1.23 (d, J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 1.41 (d, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 7 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 3.80 (d, sept, $J_{\rm PH}$ = 15 Hz, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 7 Hz, 2 H, CH), 7.4 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅), 7.61 (d, $J_{\rm PH}$ = 438 Hz, 1 H, PH); ³¹P NMR δ 24 (dt, ¹ $J_{\rm PH}$ = 438 Hz, ³ $J_{\rm PH}$ = 15 Hz).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₀NO₄PFe: C, 53.86; H, 4.98; N, 3.49. Found: C, 53.43; H, 4.92; N, 3.12.

(CO)₄Fe[P(N(*i*-Pr)₂)H(C=C-t-Bu)]: yellow liquid; 35% yield; m/z 381; IR (hexane solution) 2160 (w) (v(C==C)), 2054 (m), 1980 (m), 1950 (s) (ν (CO)) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.16 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 1.23 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.32 (d, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 3.88 (d, sept, $J_{PH} = 15$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 2 H, CH), 7.33 (d, $J_{PH} = 433$ Hz, 1 H, PH); ³¹P NMR δ 24 (dt, ¹ $J_{PH} = 433$ Hz, ³ J_{PH} = 15 Hz).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄NO₄PFe: C, 50.39; H, 6.29; N, 3.67. Found: C, 50.00; H, 6.44; N, 3.42.

 $(CO)_4$ Fe[P(Me)H(C=CPh)]: brown liquid; 78% yield; m/z 316; IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2169 (w) (ν (C=C)), 2053 (m), 1982 (m), 1949 (s) (ν (CO)) cm⁻¹; ³¹P NMR δ -17 (dq, ¹J_{PH} = 397 Hz, ²J_{PH} = 9 Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₉O₄PFe: C, 49.36; H, 2.84. Found: C, 49.12; H, 2.65.

(b) Case of $P(NMe_2)(Cl)(C=CPh)$. To a solution of the phosphine (0.42 g, 2 mmol) in 10 mL of dichloromethane was added a solution of [PPh₄][HFe(CO)₄] (1.02 g, 2 mmol) in 8 mL of dichloromethane. The mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature, and infrared spectroscopy gave evidence of the formation of $(CO)_4$ Fe $[P(NMe_2)(H)(C=CPh)]$ (2166 (w) ($\nu(C=C)$), 2062 (m), 1980 (m), 1943 (s) (ν (CO)) cm⁻¹), but the complex was not isolated and the mixture was reacted with Co₂(CO)₈ (vide infra).

When the mixture was left for 2 h at room temperature, infrared spectroscopy showed the disappearance of the iron complex and formation of 1. The solution was evaporated to dryness, and extraction of the residue with diethyl ether and evaporation of ether gave 1 as a red liquid (0.3 g, 37% yield): IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2165 (w) $(\nu(C=C))$, 2051 (w), 2040 (m), 2015 (s), 1933 (br) (vs) $(\nu(CO))$ cm⁻¹; ³¹P NMR δ 112 (d, sept, J_{PP} = 389 Hz, J_{PH} = 10 Hz), 22 (s), 2 (d, J_{PP} = 389 Hz); ¹H NMR δ 3.12 (br, d, J_{PH} = 10.9 Hz, 6 H, N(CH₃)₂), 7.50 (m, 10 H, C₆H₅), 7.91, 8.01 (m, 20 H, P(C₆H₅)₄). Anal. Calcd for $C_{54}H_{36}Fe_3NO_{12}P_3$: C, 56.29; H, 3.12; N, 1.22. Found: C, 56.72; H, 3.62; N, 1.10.

Synthesis of $(CO)_4$ Fe[P(R)(H)(C=CR')]Co₂(CO)₆ Complexes. (a) General Procedure of Synthesis. To a solution of $(CO)_4$ Fe[P(R)(H)(C = CR')] (ca. 3 mmol) in 15 mL of CH_2Cl_2 (or to the reaction mixture from the synthesis of (CO)₄Fe[P- $(NMe_2)(H)(C \equiv CPh)])$ was added $Co_2(CO)_8$ (1.03 g, 3 mmol) dissolved in 15 mL of hexane. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15–20 min until CO evolution ceased. It was then evaporated to dryness under vacuo, and the residue was extracted with 3×15 mL of hexane. Evaporation of hexane gave brown liquids that were purified by chromatography on Florisil with hexane as eluent.

 $(CO)_4$ Fe $[P(N(i-Pr)_2)(H)(C=CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$: a brown liquid; 65% yield; m/z 687; IR (hexane) 2095 (m), 2060 (s), 2050 (m), 2035 (s), 2015 (m), 1980 (m), 1945 (s), 1937 (sh), 1933 (sh) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.29 (d, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 1.32 (d, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 3.79 (d, sept, $J_{PH} = 15$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 2 H, CH), 7.4 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅), 7.89 (d, $J_{PH} = 403$ Hz, PH); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃) δ 74 (dt, ¹ $J_{PH} = 403$ Hz, ³ $J_{PH} = 15$ Hz). Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₀NO₁₀PCo₂Fe: C, 41.92; H, 2.91; N, 2.03. Found: C, 41.52; H, 3.21; N, 2.24.

 $(CO)_4Fe[P(N(i-Pr)_2)(H)(C=C-t-Bu)]Co_2(CO)_6$: a brown liquid; 95% yield; m/z 667; IR (hexane) 2092 (m), 2072 (s), 2054 (m), 2032 (s), 2022 (m), 1971 (m), 1947 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 1.05 (d, $J_{\rm HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 1.13 (d, $J_{\rm HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 3.54 (d, sept, $J_{\rm PH} = 15$ Hz, $J_{\rm HH} = 7$ Hz, 2 H, CH), 1.31 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 7.42 (d, $J_{\rm PH} = 400$ Hz); ³¹P NMR δ 79 (dt, ¹ $J_{\rm PH} = 400$ Hz, ³ $J_{\rm PH}$ = 15 Hz

Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄NO₁₀PCo₂Fe: C, 39.58; H, 3.59; N, 2.09. Found: C, 39.13; H, 3.32; N, 1.96.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Mitsubo, T.; Watanabe, Y.; Nakanishi, H.; Morishima, I.; Imubushi, T.; Takegami, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 1298.

 $(CO)_4Fe[P(Me)(H)(CCPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$: a red-brown liquid; 60% yield; m/z 602; IR (hexane) 2100 (m), 2073 (s), 2056 (m), 2037 (s), 2020 (m), 1987 (m), 1951 (s) cm⁻¹.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₉O₁₀PCo₂Fe: C, 37.87; H, 1.49. Found: C, 37.93; H, 1.73.

(b) Case of the Reaction of $(CO)_4Fe[P(NMe_2)(H)C = CPh]$ with $Co_2(CO)_8$. Chromatography on Florisil with hexane as eluent separated four fractions.

FeCo₄(CO)₁₅P(C=CPh) (2): brown crystals; m/z 424; IR (hexane) 2105 (w), 2083 (m), 2071 (s), 2051 (s), 2035 (s), 2029 (sh), 2010 (sh), 2001 (w), 1982 (w) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR δ 7.4 (m, C₆H₅).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_5O_{15}PCo_4Fe: C, 32.70; H, 0.59$. Found: C, 32.33; H, 1.2.

FeCo(CO)₇[P(NMe₂)(C=CPh)] (3): red liquid; m/z 487; IR (hexane) 2164 cm⁻¹ (w) (ν(C=C)), 2089 (m), 2082 (m), 2028 (vs), 2017 (s), 2007 (s), 1989 (m), 1979 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.90 (d, J_{PH} = 14 Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 7.4 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅); ³¹P NMR δ 196.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{11}O_7NPCoFe: C, 41.89; H, 2.26; N, 2.87.$ Found: C, 41.65; H, 2.13; N, 2.96.

(CO)₄Fe[P(NMe₂)(H)(C=CPh)]Co₂(CO)₆ (4): red-brown crystals; 10% yield; m/z 631; IR (hexane) 2092 (m), 2058 (s), 2049 (m), 2037 (m), 2030 (m), 2012 (w), 1980 (m), 1945 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.97 (d, $J_{PH} = 11$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 7.4 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅), 7.68 (d, $J_{PH} = 421$ Hz, 1 H, PH); ³¹P NMR δ 113 (d, sept, ¹J_{PH} = 421 Hz, ³J_{PH} = 11 Hz).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{12}O_{10}NPCo_2Fe: C, 38.07; H, 1.92; N, 2.22.$ Found: C, 38.01; H, 1.75; N, 2.05.

Fe₂Co(CO)₁₁P₂(NMe₂)(C=CPh)₂ (5): brown crystals; m/z 787; IR (hexane) 2172 (w) (ν (C=C)), 2091 (m), 2056 (s), 2035 (s), 2023 (sh), 2018 (s), 1990 (m), 1975 (m), 1956 (m) (ν (CO)) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 3.22 (dd, $J_{\rm PH} = 12$ Hz, $J_{\rm PH} = 4.7$ Hz, 6 H, CH₃), 7.4 (m, 10 H, C₆H₅); ³¹P NMR δ 110 (d, sept, ¹ $J_{\rm PP} = 194$ Hz, $J_{\rm PH} = 12$ Hz), 140 (d, $J_{\rm PP} = 194$ Hz).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{16}O_{11}NP_2CoFe_2$: C, 44.22; H, 2.03; N, 1.78. Found: C, 44.01; H, 2.12; N, 1.93.

Thermolysis of (CO)₄Fe[P(R)(H)(C=CR')]Co₂(CO)₆ Complexes. Except in the case where R = Me and R' = Ph, thermolysis was conducted in boiling hexane. For R = Me, it was carried out in boiling toluene. The course of the reaction was monitored by IR spectroscopy and stopped when only traces of starting material were detected. The reaction was over in 1 h except when $R = NMe_2$ and R' = Ph where 6 h were needed. At the end of the reaction the solution was evaporated to dryness and the mixture of products was separated by chromatography on Florisil, elution being performed with hexane/toluene with increasing amount of toluene.

(a) Case Where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{N}(i-\mathbf{Pr})_2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$. Three fractions were separated and identified.

 $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3-\eta^2-CCHPh)$ (6a): trace amounts identified by its IR spectrum in the $\nu(CO)$ stretching region (vide infra).

FeCo₂(CO)₉[μ_3 -P(N(*i*-Pr)₂)] (7a): violet crystals; 4% yield; m/z557; IR (hexane) 2088 (w), 2040 (m), 2030 (s), 2025 (sh), 1995 (w), 1981 (w), 1965 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.45 (d, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 12 H, CH₃), 4.06 (d, sept, $J_{PH} = 16$ Hz, $J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 2 H, CH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₂NPCo₂Fe: C, 32.30; H, 2.51; N, 2.51.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄O₉NPCo₂Fe: C, 32.30; H, 2.51; N, 2.51. Found: C, 31.92; H, 2.73; N, 2.28. FeCo₂(CO)₇[P(N(*i*-Pr)₂)(H)(C≡CPh)] (8a): a maroon liquid;

4% yield; m/z 603; IR (hexane) 2060 (m), 2024 (s), 2018 (s), 1990 (m), 1970 (w) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.37 (d, J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 12 H, CH₃), 3.60 (d, sept, J_{PH} = 14 Hz, J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 2 H, CH), 7.4

(m, 5 H, C₆H₅), 8.24 (d, J_{PH} = 345 Hz, 1 H, PH); ³¹P NMR δ 229. Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₀O₇NPCo₂Fe: C, 41.79; H, 3.31; N, 2.32. Found: C, 40.98; H, 3.12; N, 2.14.

(b) Case Where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{N}(i \cdot \mathbf{Pr})_2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = t \cdot \mathbf{Bu}$. Three fractions were isolated. FeCo₂(CO)₉(μ_3 - η^2 -CCH(t-Bu)) (6b): brown crystals; 3% yield; m/z 508; IR (hexane) 2096 (m), 2049 (s), 2040 (s), 2033 (s), 2013 (m), 1979 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.83 (s, 9 H, C(CH₃)₃), 5.82 (s, 1 H, CH).

 $FeCo_2(CO)_9[\mu_3-PN(i-Pr)_2]$ (7a): 4% yield.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm FeCo_2(CO)_7[\mu-P(N(i-Pr)_2)H][\mu_3-\eta^2-C = C(t-Bu)]} \ (8b): \ a \ maroon \\ {\rm liquid;} \ 7\% \ yield; \ m/z \ 583; \ IR \ (hexane) \ 2057 \ (m), \ 2019 \ (s), \ 2012 \\ (s), \ 1976 \ (m), \ 1967 \ (w) \ cm^{-1}; \ ^1H \ NMR \ \delta \ 1.32 \ (d, \ J_{\rm HH} = 7 \ Hz, \ 12 \\ {\rm H, \ CH_3)}, \ 1.62 \ (s, \ 9 \ {\rm H, \ C(CH_3)_3)}, \ 8.14 \ (d, \ J_{\rm PH} = 344 \ {\rm Hz}, \ 1 \ {\rm H, \ PH}). \\ {\rm Anal. \ Calcd \ for \ C_{19}H_{24}O_7NPCo_2Fe: \ C, \ 39.11; \ {\rm H}, \ 4.12; \ N, \ 2.40. \end{array}$

Found: C, 39.32; H, 4.60; N, 2.72. (c) Case Where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{NMe}_2$ and $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{Ph}$. Two products have been isolated by chromatography, but the main product of the reaction, as evidenced by infrared spectroscopy of the reaction mixture, is $FeCo_2(CO)_7(\mu-P(\mathbf{NMe}_2)\mathbf{H})(\mu_3-\eta^2-\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{CPh})$, which decomposed during chromatography ($\nu(CO)$ 2064, 2024, 2019, 1989, 1968 cm⁻¹). The products isolated were the following.

FeCo₂(\dot{CO})₉(μ_3 - η^2 -CCHPh) (**6a**): 3% yield; m/z 528; IR (hexane) 2098 (m), 2053 (s), 2044 (s), 2037 (s), 2015 (m), 1989 (m), 1983 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.88 (s, 1 H, CH), 7.35 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅).

 $\rm FeCo_2(\rm CO)_9[\mu_3-P(\rm NMe_2)]$: purple crystals; 11% yield; m/z 501; IR (hexane) 2091 (w), 2044 (m), 2035 (s), 1985 (w), 1969 (w) cm^{-1}.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_6O_9NPCo_2Fe: C, 26.34; H, 1.19; N, 2.79.$ Found: C, 26.16; H, 1.10; N, 2.62.

(d) Case Where R = Me and R' = Ph. Chromatography of the reaction mixture gave evidence of the formation of six products, three of which were in sufficient quantity to be identified.

 $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3-\eta^2-CCHPh)$ (6a): a trace amount.

 $FeCo_2(CO)_9(\mu_3, PMe)$ (7c): purple crystals; 2% yield; IR (hexane) 2095 (w), 2050 (s), 2040 (s), 2032 (m), 1985 (w), 1971 (w) cm⁻¹.

Fe₂(CO)₆[(PMe)₂(CCPh)₂CO] (9): a pink liquid; 10% yield: m/z 602; IR (hexane) 2058 (m), 2024 (s), 1993 (m), 1985 (m), 1972 (w), 1709 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.09 (t, J_{PH} = 7 Hz, CH₃), 7.39 (m, 5 H, C₆H₅); ³¹P{¹H} NMR δ 107.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{16}O_7P_2Fe_2$: C, 49.83; H, 2.66. Found: C, 49.95; H, 2.83.

Registry No. 1, 115796-69-5; 2, 115796-61-7; 3, 115796-62-8; 4, 115796-63-9; 5, 115826-44-3; 6a, 101934-92-3; 6b, 101934-93-4; 7a, 115796-64-0; 7b, 115796-67-3; 7c, 87160-19-8; 8a, 115796-65-1; 8b, 115796-66-2; 9, 115796-68-4; PhC=CH, 536-74-3; t-BuC=CH. 917-92-0; [N(*i*-Pr)₂]PCl₂, 921-26-6; [(*i*-Pr)₂N]P(Cl)(C=CPh), 115757-09-0; (i-Pr₂N)P(Cl)(C=t-Bu), 115757-10-3; PhC=CLi, 4440-01-1; PCl(NMe₂)₂, 3348-44-5; PCl₃, 7719-12-2; (Me₂N)P-(Cl)(C=CPh), 115757-11-4; P(NMe₂)₃, 1608-26-0; P(Me)Cl₂, 676-83-5; $(Me)P(Cl)(NMe_2)$, 4073-29-4; MePCl(C=CPh), 13891-83-3; $[PPh_4][HFe(\bar{CO})_4]$, 103616-27-9; $(CO)_4Fe[P-100]$ $(NMe_2)(H)(C = CPh)], 115796-55-9; (CO)_4Fe[P(N(i-Pr)_2)H(C = CPh)])$ CPh)], 115796-56-0; (CO)₄Fe[P(N(*i*-Pr)₂)H(C=Ct-Bu)], 115796-57-1; $(CO)_4 Fe[P(Me)H(C=CPh)]$, 115796-58-2; $Co_2(CO)_8$, 15226-74-1; $(CO)_4 Fe[P(N(i-Pr)_2)(H)(C \equiv CPh)]Co_2(CO)_6$, 115796-59-3; (CO)₄Fe[P(N(*i*-Pr)₂)(H)(C=Ct-Bu)]Co₂(CO)₆, 115826-43-2; (CO)₄Fe[P(Me)(H)(C=CPh)]Co₂(CO)₆, 115796-60-6.