Reactions of Bimetallic Complexes. 4.' Ligand Transfer Characterization, and Reactivity of Acetylenic Cluster and $[(\eta^5\text{-}C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(\mu\text{-}SMeCH=C(CF_3))]_2$ and **Reactions between Different Metallic Sites. Synthesis, Dimeric Complexes of Cobalt and Iron. Crystal Structures of** $[(CO)_3\overline{Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_2}[(SMe)Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)_2)]$

René Rumin,^{2a} Ljubica Manojlović-Muir,^{2b} Kenneth W. Muir,^{2b} and Francois Y. Pétillon^{+2a}

Unit6 Associ6e au CNRS No. 322, "Chimie, Electrochimie et Photochimie Mol6culaires **'I,** *Facult6 des Sciences, Universit6 de Bretagne Occidentale, 29287 Brest-Cedex, France, and Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgo w, Glasgo w G 12 800, Great Britain*

Received April 24, 1987

Photolysis of the acyl-iron complex $[Cp(CO)FeC(O)C(CF_3)C(R)SMe]$ **(1, R = H, CF₃; Cp =** η^5 **-C₅H**₅) g ave $[Cp(CO)Fe(\mu\text{-}C(CF_3)\text{---}C(R)(\text{SMe})]_2Fe(CO)Cp]$ (2) in almost quantitative yield. X-ray analysis of 2 $(R = H)$ reveals that the dimeric structure is based on a tub-shaped $Fe_2S_2C_4$ ring. The complex crystallizes in the space group *Pbca*, with $a = 15.068$ (4) Å, $b = 18.649$ (3) Å, $c = 16.092$ (3) Å, and $Z = 8$. $R = 0.029$ for refinement of 289 parameters with 3975 unique observations. Thermal reaction of 2 with $\mathrm{Co_{2}(CO)_{8}}$ in toluene afforded the new tetracobalt cluster $[\text{Cp}_2\text{Co}_4(\text{CO})_4(\mu-\text{CO})(\mu-\text{CF}_3\text{C}_2\text{CF}_3)]$ (3) and the dinuclear derivative $[({C_6H_5Me})\dot{C}_9({\mu\text{-}CF}_3C_2CF_3)\dot{C}_9({CO})_3]$ (4); formation of these compounds implies that an exchange of ligands (hexafluorobut-2-yne, cyclopentadienyl, or carbonyl) took place. In **3** the alkyne is incorporated into a $clos_0$ -C₂ cluster framework. Exchange of ligands is also observed when the dinuclear complexes $[(CO)_3Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]$ and $[Cp(CO)Fe(\mu-SMe)_2Fe(CO)Cp]$ react in refluxing toluene to give the new isomers *cis-* and *trans-*[Cp(CO)₂FeC(CF₃)=C(CF₃)Me] in addition to **3** and **4**. At lower temperatures (50–90 °C) the same reactants produced a new trinuclear derivative, $[(CO)_3Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_2-(SMe)]$ (8), shown by X-ray analysis to be derived by substitution of $[CPFe(CO)_2(SMe)]$ for $\{(\text{SMe})\}\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cpl}\{(\text{8}), \text{shown by X-ray analysis to be derived by substitution of $\text{[CpFe}(\text{CO})_2\text{SMe})\}$ for$ an axial CO ligand of $[(CO)_3\text{Co}(\mu\text{-CF}_3C_2CF_3)\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3]$. 8 crystallizes in the space group Cc, with $a = 13.978$
(2) Å, $b = 13.948$ (3) Å, $c = 23.221$ (4) Å, $\beta = 97.74$ (1)°, and $Z = 8$. $R = 0.045$ for refinement of with 1663 observations. **I** *^I*

Introduction

Thiolato ligands have proven to be of great value for the synthesis **of** metal carbonyl cluster compounds. Thiolato bridging ligands are particularly valuable because they contain a lone pair of electrons that can be used for donation to other unsaturated metal centers to form higher nuclearity and/or mixed-metal cluster compounds whose chemistry is of current interest.³ Therefore, we examined the possibility of using such bridging thiolato complexes **as** synthetic tools. We have previously shown that neutral monomeric alkenyl iron complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)F\text{eC-}$ $\begin{array}{ll} \text{If the} & \text{hduced} \ \text{black} & \text{part} \ \text{blue} \ \$

 $(0)C(CF_3) = C(R)SMe$ (R = H, **la**; R CF₃, **lb**) photochemically decarbonylate to form dimeric derivatives **2** containing two bridging thioalkenyl ligands. 4 We now describe the crystal structure of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)]\mu$ - $SMeCH=C(CF₃)$]₂ (2a). As an extension of this work we report here the new acetylenic cluster and dimeric complexes obtained by reacting (i) $2b$ with $Co_2(CO)_8$ and (ii) dimeric acetylenic cobalt derivatives with dinuclear (thio1ato)iron compounds. We demonstrate that the new alkyne complexes studied here result from migration of ligands from one metal center to another, which provides a new route to clusters. Previously, ligand exchange re-

(2) (a) Universit6 **de** Bretagne Occidentale. **(b)** University of Glasgow. *(3).* (a) Geoffroy, G. L. Acc. Chem. *Res.* **1980,** *13,* **469. (b)** Sappa, **E.;** Tiripicchio, A.; Braunstein, P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1985, 65, 219. (c)
Braunstein, P. Nouv. J. Chim. 1986, 10, 365.
(4) Pétillon, F. Y.; Le Floch-Pérennou, F.; Guerchais, J. E.; Sharp, D.

W. **A.** *J.* Organomet. Chem. **1979,** *173,* **89.**

actions have been used to prepare dinuclear⁵ and mononuclear6 complexes. **A** preliminary communication of a part of this work has already appeared.'

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Dimeric Iron Compounds 2 and X-ray $Diffraction Study of $[({\eta}^5\text{-}C_5H_5)(CO)Fe(\mu\text{-}SMeCH=Cl^{-1}]$$ (CF_3) ₂ (2a). As described before,⁴ the dimeric complexes **2** are obtained in good yields by photolysis of the acyl derivatives $[Cp(CO) \overline{FeC(O)C(CF_3)} = C(R) \overline{SMe}]^8$ (1) in tetrahydrofuran, according to eq 1. Complexes **2** were

^{(5) (}a) Fenske, D.; Merzweiler, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 338. (b) Mahé, C.; Patin, H.; Le Marouille, J. Y.; Benoit, A. Or-
ganometallics 1983, 2, 1051. (c) Sabo, S.; Chaudret, B.; Gervais, D. Nouv.
J. Chim. 1983, 7, 181. (d) Pregosin, P. S.; Togni, A.; Venanzi, L. M.
Angeu.

⁽¹⁾ Part **3:** Games de Lima, M. B.; Guerchais, J. E.; Mercier, R.; PBtillon, F. Y. Organometallics **1986, 5, 1952.**

therein.

⁽⁷⁾ Rumin, R.; Courtot, P.; Guerchais, J. E.; PBtiilon, F. Y.; ManojloviE-Muir, Lj.; Muir, K. W. *J.* Organomet. *Chem.* **1986,** *301,* **C1.**

Figure 1. A view of the $[(\eta^5 \text{C}_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}/\mu \cdot \text{S(Me)CH} \equiv \text{C(CF}_3)]_2$ molecule. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity, and 50% probability ellipsoids are displayed.

originally formulated, from spectroscopic studies, **as** monomers.^{4} We have now carried out an X-ray analysis of **2** (R = H) and found that it is in fact a dimeric derivative. The crystals are built of the well-separated dimeric molecules shown in Figure l. Each iron atom attains an 18-electron configuration and displays pseudooctahedral coordination: SMe, CO, and η^1 -alkenyl groups are arranged facially about each iron atom and the remaining octahedral sites are occupied by a cyclopentadienyl ring. S(Me)- $CH=C(CF_3)$ units bridge the iron atoms, which are thereby incorporated into a tub-shaped $Fe₂S₂C₄$ ring. The molecule displays close to C_2 noncrystallographic point symmetry. Bond lengths (Table I) agree well with corresponding values in related dinuclear iron complexes.⁹ They are consistent with the molecular formula **2.**

The eight-membered $Fe₂S₂C₄$ metallacycle shows signs of ring strain: endocyclic angles at the ring carbon atoms, particularly those at $C(4)$ and $C(7)$, are greater than the expected value of 120° and the Fe-C=C-S torsion angles differ slightly but significantly from zero (see Table I). There are also rather close transannular contacts: the most notable of these is that between S(1) and S(2) of 3.223 (2) **A,** some **0.5** *8,* shorter than the van der Waals diameter of sulfur (3.7 Å) ; the C $(3) \cdots C(7)$ and C $(4) \cdots C(6)$ distances (3.123 (6) and 3.129 (6) **A,** respectively) also appear short compared with 3.4 **A,** the van der Waals diameter of a trigonal carbon atom. The S-methyl ring substituents are equatorial, the S-C(methy1) bonds being approximately normal to the molecular diad axis.

The two complexes **2a** and **2b** have similar **IR** and **NMR** spectra,⁴ implying that they are isostructural.

Ligand Transfer Reactions. 1. Reaction of 2b with Dicobalt Octacarbonyl. The reaction of an excess of $Co_2(CO)_{8}$ with 2b in refluxing toluene produced a $\sim 35\%$ yield of the unexpected tetranuclear alkyne cluster $[Co₄ (Cp)_2(CO)_4(\mu$ -CO $(\mu$ -CF₃C₂CF₃ $)$] **(3)** which was separated from the new dimeric complex $[(C_6H_5CH_3)C_0(\mu CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3$ (4) and small amounts of other products by column chromatography (eq **2).** Some [(Fe-

Figure 2. A view of the structure of **3.**

 $(Cp)(CO)_{22}$ and traces of $[Fe(Cp)(CO)_2C(O)$ Me] were always obtained as well.

IFe(Cp)(CO)2C(0)Me)l + **cobalt-** or **iron-containing degradation products (2)**

or a
sare the prop
ately (O)Me]
ately (O)Me]
with $\begin{array}{l} \text{it} \text{model}, \text{if} \text{f} \text{model}, \text{$ The effects of varying the reaction conditions were studied. At a reaction temperature of 75-105 "C overnight the proportions of $[\text{[Fe(Cp)(CO)}_2]_2]$ and $[\text{Fe(Cp)(CO)}_2]$ (O)Me] produced were increased, and dimeric $[(CO)_3Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3^{10}$ was formed instead of the cluster **3** and the dimeric complex **4.** Reactions with equimolar quantities of $2b$ and $Co_2(CO)_8$ resulted in poor yields of the cluster product. Reactions conducted in n-octane at 125 "C resulted in appreciable yields of the cluster **3 (38%),** but the dinuclear compound **4** was not formed. It should be noted that cluster **3** is also obtained in high yield (65-70%) by heating $[(CO)_3\text{Co}(CF_3C_2C F_3$) $Co(CO)_3$] with $[CpCo(CO)_2]$. The effects of vary
studied. At a reaction the proportions of [[Fe]
(O)Me] produced
[(CO)₃Co(μ -CF₃C₂CF₃]
the cluster 3 and the d
equimolar quantities of
yields of the cluster p
n-octane at 125 °C res
cluster 3

The tetrametallic alkyne cluster **3** is a violet solid, soluble in hydrocarbon solvents, and is not particularly airsensitive. This compound was characterized by IR, NMR, and mass spectra (Table 111) and elemental analysis; a preliminary account of its crystal structure has been already given⁷ (Figure 2). In the mass spectrum, successive loss of CO from the parent ion, $[Co_4(Cp)(CO)_5(C_4F_6)]^+$, is

~~ ~

⁽⁸⁾ Guerchais, **J.** E.; Le Floch-PBrennou, F.; Pgtillon, F.; Keith, A.; ManojloviE-Muir, Lj.; Muir, K. W.; *Sharp,* D. W. A. *J. Chem.* Soc., *Chem.*

Commun. 1979, 410.
(9) (a) English, R. B.; Nassimbeni, L. R.; Haines, R. J. J. Chem. Soc.,
Dalton Trans 1978, 1379. (b) El Himawi, M. A.; Aruffo, A. A.; Santasiero, B. D; McAlister, D. R.; Schomaker, V. *Inorg.* Chem. **1983,22, 1585.** (c) Hickey, **J.** P.; Huffman, J. C.; Todd, L. J. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1978,28,77.** Hickey, J. P.; Huffman, J. C.; Todd, L. J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 28, 77.
(d) Seyferth, D.; Womack, G. B.; Song, L. C.; Cowie, M.; Hames, B. H. *Organometallics* **1983,** *2,* **928.**

⁽¹⁰⁾ Dickson, R. S; Fraser, P. J. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1974,12, 323.**

Table I. Selected Interatomic Distances **(A)** and Angles (deg) in $[(\eta^5$ -C₆H₆)Fe(CO) $|\mu$ -S(Me)CH=C(CF₃) $]_2$

Bond Lengths							
$Fe(1)-S(1)$	2.256(2)	$Fe(2)-S(2)$	2.254(2)				
$Fe(1)-C(1)$	1.726(7)	$Fe(2) - C(2)$	1.735 (6)				
$Fe(1)-C(7)$	2.000(5)	$Fe(2) - C(4)$	1.977(5)				
			2.104(7)				
$Fe(1)-C(11)$	2.107(6)	$Fe(2)-C(16)$					
$Fe(1)-C(12)$	2.074(6)	Fe(2) – C(17)	2.103(6)				
$Fe(1)-C(13)$	2.078(6)	$Fe(2) - C(18)$	2.083(6)				
$Fe(1)-C(14)$	2.092(6)	$Fe(2)-C(19)$	2.072(6)				
$Fe(1)-C(15)$	2.117(6)	$Fe(2)-C(20)$	2.086(7)				
$S(1) - C(3)$	1.775(5)	$S(2) - C(6)$	1.768(5)				
$S(1) - C(9)$	1.806(5)	$S(2) - C(10)$	1.805(6)				
$F(1)-C(5)$	1.338(7)	$F(4)-C(8)$	1.331(6)				
$F(2)-C(5)$	1.332(7)	$F(5)-C(8)$	1.342(7)				
$F(3)-C(5)$	1.330(7)	$F(6)-C(8)$	1.339(6)				
$O(1) - C(1)$	1.159(6)	$O(2)$ –C (2)	1.141(6)				
$C(3)-C(4)$	1.318(7)	$C(6)-C(7)$	1.326(7)				
$C(4)-C(5)$	1.507(7)	$C(7)-C(8)$	1.486(7)				
$C(11) - C(12)$	1.372(8)	$C(16)-C(17)$	1.397(9)				
$C(11) - C(15)$	1.367(8)	$C(16)-C(20)$	1.395(10)				
$C(12)-C(13)$	1.422(9)	$C(17) - C(18)$	1.399(9)				
$C(13)-C(14)$	1.393(9)	$C(18)-C(19)$	1.390(9)				
$C(14)-C(15)$	1.369(8)	$C(19)-C(20)$	1.387 (10)				
		Transannular Contact Distances					
$Fe(1) \cdots S(2)$	3.552(1)	$Fe(2) \cdot S(1)$	3.554(1)				
$C(3) \cdots C(6)$	3.301(6)	$C(4) \cdots C(7)$	3.462(6)				
$C(3)$ $C(7)$	3.123(6)	$C(4)$ $C(6)$	3.129(6)				
$S(1) \cdots S(2)$	3.223(2)	$Fe(1) \cdots Fe(2)$	4.929 (1)				
		Interbond Angles					
$S(1) - Fe(1) - C(1)$	93.9(2)	$S(2)$ -Fe (2) -C (2)	93.5(2)				
$S(1)$ -Fe (1) -C (7)	92.0(2)						
		$S(2)$ -Fe (2) -C (4)	92.5(2)				
$C(1)$ -Fe (1) -C (7)	96.2(3)	$C(2)$ -Fe (2) -C (4)	95.7(3)				
$S(1)-Fe(1)-Cp1^a$	121.3	$S(2)-Fe(2)-Cp2$	123.0				
$C(1)-Fe(1)-Cp1$	124.3	$C(2)-Fe(2)-Cp2$	123.2				
$C(7)-Fe(1)-Cp1$	121.3	$C(4)-Fe(2)-Cp2$	121.1				
$Fe(1)-S(1)-C(3)$	109.0(2)	$Fe(2)-S(2)-C(6)$	108.5(2)				
$Fe(1)-S(1)-C(9)$	108.1(2)	$Fe(2)-S(2)-C(10)$	106.8(2)				
$C(3)-S(1)-C(9)$	99.3 (3)	$C(6)-S(2)-C(10)$	99.2 (3)				
$Fe(1)-C(1)-O(1)$	173.1 (6)	$Fe(2)-C(2)-O(2)$	175.1 (6)				
$S(1) - C(3) - C(4)$	123.0(4)	$S(2)$ –C(6)–C(7)	123.4 (4)				
$Fe(2)-C(4)-C(3)$	129.9 (4)	$Fe(1)-C(7)-C(6)$	128.6(4)				
$Fe(2)-C(4)-C(5)$	118.1 (4)	$Fe(1)-C(7)-C(8)$	117.9 (4)				
$C(3)-C(4)-C(5)$	112.0 (5)	$C(6)-C(7)-C(8)$	113.5 (5)				
$F(1)-C(5)-F(2)$	103.9(6)	$F(4)-C(8)-F(5)$	105.9(5)				
$F(1)-C(5)-F(3)$	105.4(6)	$F(4)-C(8)-F(6)$	103.8(5)				
$F(1)-C(5)-C(4)$	116.2(6)	$F(4)-C(8)-C(7)$	113.7(5)				
$F(2)-C(5)-F(3)$	105.5(6)	$F(5)-C(8)-F(6)$	103.4(5)				
$\Gamma(2)-C(5)-C(4)$	112.5(5)	$F(5)-C(8)-C(7)$	112.4(5)				
$F(3)-C(5)-C(4)$	112.5 (6)	$F(6)-C(8)-C(7)$	116.5(5)				
$C(12)-C(11)-C(15)$	109.3(6)	$C(17)-C(16)-C(20)$	107.6 (7)				
$C(11)-C(12)-C(13)$	106.9(6)	$C(16)-C(17)-C(18)$	108.2(7)				
$C(12)-C(13)-C(14)$	106.7(5)	$C(17)-C(18)-C(19)$	107.4(7)				
$C(13) - C(14) - C(15)$	108.3(6)	$C(18)-C(19)-C(20)$	108.7(7)				
$C(11) - C(15) - C(14)$	108.7(6)	$C(16)-C(20)-C(19)$	108.1(7)				
	Torsion Angles						
$C(7)-Fe(1)-S(1)-C(3)$	35.0(3)	$C(4)-Fe(2)-S(2)-C(6)$	36.2(3)				
$Fe(1)-S(1)-C(3)-C(4)$	–104.7 (5)	$Fe(2)-S(2)-C(6)-C(7)$	$-104.2(5)$				
$S(1)-C(3)-C(4)-Fe(2)$	$-4.8(3)$	$S(2) - C(6) - C(7) - Fe(1)$	$-6.6(3)$				
$C(3)-C(4)-Fe(2)-S(2)$	53.0 (5)	$C(6)-C(7)-Fe(1)-S(1)$	54.8 (5)				

"Cpl and Cp2 are the centroids **of** rings C(ll)-C(l5) and C(16)-C- (20), respectively.

observed. In addition, fragments arising from Co-Co scission are also obtained. The calculated isotopic distribution of the parent ion is in excellent agreement with that observed experimentally. Infrared spectra display four absorptions between 2060 and 1970 cm⁻¹ and one absorption at 1840 cm-' which are assigned to terminal carbonyl stretches and **to** a bridging carbonyl, respectively. Such a pattern of ν (CO) absorptions is consistent with that predicted for a molecule of **C,** symmetry.

Single crystals of 3 are obtained from a 2/1 mixture of hexane-dichloromethane. X-ray diffraction analysis' confirms the proposed elemental composition (Figure **2).** configuration in which the alkyne, a formal six-electron The cobalt atoms can be described as having a butterfly

^a*U* is the mean latent root of the anisotropic vibration tensor.

donor, functions **aa** an olefin coordinating group by forming σ -bonds with two cobalt atoms, $Co(3)$ and $Co(4)$, and a "bent" four-center μ -type bond with the two other cobalt atoms. The coordination of the alkyne results in the lengthening of the C-C bond and the bending of the $C-CF_3$ bonds away from the metal core; these values (1.442 (2) Å and 125.8° (average), respectively) are undoubtedly in agreement with an olefinic character of the coordinated hexafluorobut-2-yne. From another point of view, the complex can be seen as a dicarbatetracobalt cluster with a nearly octahedral arrangement that is fully consistent with Wade's¹¹ skeletal electron-counting rules, which predict a $closo\text{-}M_4C_2$ structure. The hinge bond is longer (2.471 (4) **A)** than the bridged wing bonds (ca. 2.40 A). The dihedral angle between the wings of the butterfly is slightly opened (ca. 117°) with respect to the angle between octahedral faces (109'). Although the Co-Co distances are close, the cobalt-cobalt bond of the wing subtending the bridging carbonyl is shorter than the other three (2.387 (4) **A** vs 2.402 **A** (average)). The Co-C and C-0 distances of the terminal carbonyl ligands fall within the usual ranges. However, the $Co(2)-C(1)$ and $Co(2)-C(2)$ bonds are significantly shorter than the $Co(4)-C(4)$ and $Co(4)-C(5)$ bonds (1.71 A (average) vs 1.75 *b,* (average)), reflecting the increased cobalt $-\pi^*$ carbonyl back-donation when the metal is π -bonded to the alkyne. Other clusters with analogous Co_4C_2 skeletons have already been structurally characterized,12 but **3** is the first with cyclopentadienyl ligands to be observed.

⁽¹¹⁾ Wade, K. *Adu. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* 1976, *18,* 1.

^{(12) (}a) Dahl, L. F.; Smith, D. L. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. **1962,** *84,* 2450. 4,1612.

Table 111. A Spectroscopic Data (Room Temperature)

"CH2C12. bHexane. cCDC13. **d31P** NMR (H3P04): 6 159 *(Av* = 300 Hz). e13C NMR (i) at room temperature, S(CO), unresolved peaks; (ii) in CD_2Cl_2 at -40 °C, δ (CO) 222.4, 207.4, 197.8, 188.1, 185.2.

The 'H NMR spectrum of **3** shows two singlets due to nonequivalent cyclopentadienyl groups; no temperature dependence is observed. Throughout the temperature range, -80 to +20 °C, the ¹³C NMR spectra reveal a fiveline pattern in the carbonyl region, and there are two Cp lines; carbonyl scrambling between cobalt atoms is not rapid on the NMR time scale up to at least 20 "C. The fact that the two-line Cp 13C spectrum persists, with sharp lines, at 20 °C rules out occurrence of any rearrangement of the central " Co_4Cp_2 " unit in the temperature range -80 to $+20$ °C. The ¹⁹F NMR spectra for 3 show two A_3X_3 CF₃ resonances at low temperatures. When the temperature is increased collapse and coalescence of the $CF₃$ signals occur (Figure **3).** At >278 K sharpening of the signals continues. This phenomenon, which is concentration independent and reversible with temperature, could be interpreted as either (i) being a consequence of a rapid intramolecular exchange of two CF_3 groups at higher temperature or (ii) due to a rapid rotation about the **axis** which is perpendidular to the $C(7)-C(8)$ bond. The approximate activation parameter for these processes, obtained in the usual way, 13 was calculated from the Eyring relation at the coalescence temperature (278 K) and was $\Delta G*_{0} \approx 13.5$ kcal mol-'. The **NMR** results confirm the lack of any symmetry in the arrangement of the ligands around the " Co_4C_2 " core, as was observed by X-ray analysis.

The major product (55% yield) obtained in reaction 2 is the dinuclear compound $[(C_6H_5Me)\dot{C}o(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3) Co(CO)₃$] (4). To our knowledge this π -arene complex is new. Other routes to **4** are given in the Experimental Section. **4** was characterized by analysis and spectroscopic data (Table 111). In the mass spectrum successive loss of CO from the parent ion, $[Co_2(C_4F_6)(C_6H_5Me)(CO)_3]^+$, is accompanied by a parallel sequential loss from $[Co₂ -$

 $(C_4F_5)(C_6H_5Me)(CO)_3$ ⁺, but there was no evidence of fragments arising from Co-toluene scission. Infrared spectra display three $\nu(CO)$ bands as expected for a C_s geometry; the 19F NMR is also consistent with this structure. One outstanding feature of the η^6 -toluene complexes is the facile substitution of η^6 -toluene for other ligands, this being attributed to the weakness of the

tent with the mass spectrum that suggests a strong cobalt-toluene interaction. In order to solve this contradiction, we allowed complex **4** to react with a large excess of trimethyl phosphite. Substitution of the η^6 -toluene ligand by three molecules of phosphite takes place, giving compound $[(P(OMe)_{3}]_{3}CO(\mu-CF_{3}C_{2}CF_{3})C_{0}(CO)_{3}]$ (5) in high yield (ca. 95%). *5* is formulated on the basis of its mass and **NMR** spectra. Since this compound results from **4** by reaction with trimethyl phosphite, the substitution pattern could be two equatorial and one axial phosphite groups on only one cobalt atom. Indeed such a geometry would fit the 19F NMR data better than a mixed structure which is suggested by Cullen et al.¹⁵ for the phenylalkyne analogue **[(P(OMe)3)2(CO)Co(p-PhC2Ph)Co(CO)2(P-** (OMe)3J]. **An** electrochemical analysis confirms that *5* has redox potentials different¹⁶ from those of the diaxial, equatorial configuration recently attributed to [(P-I. For the presence $\frac{1}{2}$ <u>, , , , , , , , ,</u> ttern could be two equatorial and one axial phosphite
oups on only one cobalt atom. Indeed such a geometry
ould fit the ¹⁹F NMR data better than a mixed structure
ich is suggested by Cullen et al.¹⁵ for the phenylalky

transition-metal-arene bond,¹⁴ this seems to be inconsis-

Robinson and Simpson.¹⁷ $(OMe)_{3}$ ₂ $(CO)C_0(\mu$ -CF₃C₂CF₃ $)Co(CO)_{2}$ [P(OMe)₃] by

CF,C,CF,)Co(CO),] with the Dimeric **Iron** Compound $[Cp(CO)Fe(\mu\text{-SMe})_2Fe(CO)Cp]$. Reaction of $[({CO})_3$ - $\overline{\text{Co}(\mu\text{-CF}_3\text{C}_2\text{CF}_3)}\text{Co}(\text{CO})_3$] with the iron thiolate dimer $[Cp(CO)Fe(\mu-SMe)₂Fe(CO)Cp]$ in refluxing toluene resulted in transfer of hexafluorobut-2-yne, carbonyl, and cyclopentadienyl ligands (eq 3). The complexes obtained in reaction 2 are again formed here, but they are accompanied by two isomeric derivatives, **6** and **7,** which are the major products.

Compounds **6** and **7** are new and are formulated as shown on the basis of spectroscopic studies and elemental analyses. The mass spectra of the two complexes are similar and show, in addition to the parent ions, [Fe-

⁽¹³⁾ Martin, M. L.; Martin, G. J. *Manuel de Resonance Magnetique NuclBaire;* Azoulay: Paris, 1971; **p** 141.

^{(14) (}a) Radonovich, L. J.; Koch, F. J.; Albright, T. *Inorg. Chem.* 1980, 19, 3373. (b) Brezincski, M. M.; Klabunde, K. J.; Janiskowski, S. K.; Radonovich, L. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1985,24, 3305.

Chem. 1975, 14, 2521. **(15)** Chia, L. S.; Cullen, **W.** R.; Frenklin, M.; Manning, **A.** R. *Inorg.*

⁽¹⁶⁾ Talarmin, J., personal communication. (17) Arewgoda, M.; Robinson, B. H.; Simpson, J. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1983,** 105, 1893.

 $(C_5H_5)(CO)_2(C_2(CF_3)_2Me)]^+$, fragments arising from successive loss of carbonyl groups. Their lH NMR spectra exhibit two types of signals: a singlet, ca. **5.0** ppm, corresponding to the cyclopentadienyl groups, and a multiplet, ca. **2.2** ppm, corresponding to the methyl groups. The 13C NMR spectra show a similar pattern to the ¹H NMR spectra. Both the **I?F** NMR spectra of **6** and **7** exhibit one quartet and a multiplet. **A** strong F-F coupling **(16.8** Hz) suggests that CF₃ groups have a cis configuration in 7 whereas a trans configuration $(J \approx 1.7 \text{ Hz})$ is found for **6.** Such vinyliron complexes are already well-known.¹⁸ These alkenyl complexes are usually obtained by insertion **of** an alkyne into a metal-X bond $(X = H¹⁸ R¹⁸$ or SR⁴) or by reaction of nucleophiles with cationic π -alkyne compounds.¹⁹ Complex 7 undergoes cis-trans isomerization on photolysis **(100%** yield).

The effects of varying the conditions of the reaction **3** were studied. On lowering the temperature **(50-90** "C) and on bubbling nitrogen through the solution, complexes **6** and **7** and cluster **3** were not formed but, instead, a new iron-cobalt derivative 8 (eq **4)** is obtained in good yield $(\sim 65\%)$. The new complex 8 was characterized by mg the conditions of the reaction 3

ring the temperature (50–90 °C) and

through the solution, complexes 6

ere not formed but, instead, a new

8 (eq 4) is obtained in good yield

complex 8 was characterized by

complex 8

spectral data, and its structure has been established by X-ray diffraction. This heterotrinuclear compound has retained the $Co_2(CF_3C_2CF_3)$ structure of the cobalt reactant, but a carbonyl group has been substituted by a (thiolato) iron ligand. It should be noted that when $[(PhMe)Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]$ was used as reactant instead of $[(CO)_3\overline{Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)}\overline{Co(CO)_3}]$, no reaction

Figure 3. Variable ¹⁹F NMR spectra of 3 at low temperatures.

was observed. The substitution **of** three good acceptor carbonyl groups by a donor η^6 -toluene ligand in 4 makes the remaining CO less labile than those **of** the hexacarbonyl parent. The room-temperature 13C NMR spectrum (Table III) of 8 shows at low field a well-resolved peak due to carbonyl groups located on iron and two unresolved singlets attributed to carbonyl groups bonded to cobalt. No coalescence phenomenon was observed in solution throughout the temperature range -80 °C to $+60$ °C. showing that the carbonyl groups do not exchange on the NMR time scale, up to **+60** "C.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Johnson, M. **D. In** *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry;*

⁽¹⁹⁾ **Reger, D. L.;** McElligott, J. *J. Am. Chem. SOC. 1980,102,* 5923. Pergamon: **Oxford,** 1982; Vol. **4, p** 331.

Bond Lengths							
	molecule A	molecule B ^a			molecule A	molecule B ^a	
$Co(1)-Co(2)$	2.468(4)	2.468(6)		$Fe-C(6)$	1.67(3)		
$Co(1)-C(1)$	1.72(3)	1.73(3)		$Fe-C(7)$	1.74(3)	1.84(3)	1.74(3)
$Co(1)-C(2)$	1.78(3)	1.81(3)		$Fe-C(12)$	2.08(3)	1.92(3)	2.23(3)
$Co(1)-C(3)$	1.73(4)	1.79(3)		$Fe-C(13)$	2.15(3)	1.90(4)	2.39(3)
$Co(1)-C(9)$	1.92(2)	1.96(2)		$Fe-C(14)$	2.11(3)	2.11(3)	2.15(3)
$Co(1)-C(10)$	1.89(2)	1.94(2)		$Fe-C(15)$	2.07(3)	2.33(3)	1.92(3)
$Co(2)-S$	2.270(7)	2.30(1)	2.34(1)	$Fe-C(16)$	2.12(3)	2.24(3)	2.02(3)
$Co(2)-C(4)$	1.77(3)	1.80(3)		$S-C(17)$	1.79(3)		
$Co(2)-C(5)$	1.74(3)	1.77(3)		$C(8)-C(9)$	1.43(3)	1.43(4)	
$Co(2)-C(9)$	1.90(2)	1.94(2)		$C(9)-C(10)$	1.32(3)	1.36(3)	
$Co(2)-C(10)$	1.89(2)	1.91(2)		$C(10)-C(11)$	1.48(4)	1.38(4)	
Fe-S	2.274(8)	2.32(1)	2.20(2)				
	mean	range			mean	range	
$C-F$	1.35(1)	$1.32(3)-1.42(3)$		$C-C(Cp)$	1.39(2)	$1.27(4)-1.47(4)$	
$C-O$	1.17(1)	$1.12(4)-1.23(4)$					
				Bond Angles			
	molecule A	molecule B ^a			molecule A		molecule B ^a
$Co(2)-Co(1)-C(1)$	99.4 (9)	98.4 (9)		$C(4)-Co(2)-C(5)$	105.3(11)	105.5(13)	
$Co(2)-Co(1)-C(2)$	150.2(9)	148.9(9)		$C(4)-C0(2)-C(9)$	102.1(10)	108.0(11)	
$Co(2)-Co(1)-C(3)$	97.3 (10)	97.4(8)		$C(4)-CO(2)-C(10)$	139.9 (10)	145.0(11)	
$Co(2)-Co(1)-C(9)$	49.5(6)	50.3(6)		$C(5)-C0(2)-C(9)$	145.2(10)	141.0(11)	
$Co(2)-Co(1)-C(10)$	49.3 (6)	49.7 (6)		$C(5)-C0(2)-C(10)$	106.0(10)	100.2(10)	
$C(1)$ - $Co(1)$ - $C(2)$	98.6 (12)	99.4 (12)		$C(9)-C0(2)-C(10)$	40.6(9)	41.2(9)	
$C(1)$ – $Co(1)$ – $C(3)$	103.7(13)	106.5(12)		$S-Fe-C(6)$	90.9(8)		
$C(1)$ - $Co(1)$ - $C(9)$	102.9(10)	99.7(11)		$S-Fe-C(7)$	89.7 (9)	83(1)	90(1)
$C(1)$ - $Co(1)$ - $C(10)$	140.7(10)	138.2 (11)		$C(6)-Fe-C(7)$	93.9 (12)		
$C(2)$ -Co(1)-C(3)	101.2(13)	101.7(12)		$Co(2)-S-Fe$	117.5(3)	116(1)	116(1)
$C(2)-C0(1)-C(9)$	103.2(11)	101.4(10)		$Co(2)$ -S- $C(17)$	108.2(8)		
$C(2)-C0(1)-C(10)$	103.2(11)	101.4(10)		$Fe-S-C(17)$	104.8(9)		
$C(3)-C0(1)-C(9)$	140.4(12)	141.4 (10)		$Co(1)-C(9)-Co(2)$	80.5(8)	78.7(8)	
$C(3)-C0(1)-C(10)$	103.5(12)	104.2(10)		$Co(1)-C(9)-C(8)$	133.4 (15)	131.5(16)	
$C(9)$ - $Co(1)$ - $C(10)$	40.4(9)	40.7(8)		$Co(1)-C(9)-C(10)$	68.6 (12)	69.1 (12)	
$Co(1)-Co(2)-S$	147.0(3)	143(1)	145(1)	$Co(2)-C(9)-C(8)$	139.7(16)	141.2(16)	
$Co(1)-Co(2)-C(4)$	98.9(8)	99.3 (10)		$Co(2)-C(9)-C(10)$	69.2 (12)	68.5 (12)	
$Co(1)-Co(2)-C(5)$	104.2(8)	104.3(9)		$C(8)-C(9)-C(10)$	135.9 (19)	138.3(19)	
$Co(1)-Co(2)-C(9)$	50.1(6)	51.0(6)		$Co(1)-C(10)-Co(2)$	81.5(8)	79.6 (8)	
$Co(1)-Co(2)-C(10)$	49.2 (6)	50.7(6)		$Co(1)-C(10)-C(9)$	71.0 (12)	70.2(12)	
		87(1)	109(1)	$Co(1)-C(10)-C(11)$	132.1(15)		
$S-Co(2)-C(4)$	98.9 (8)					134.5 (17)	
$S-Co(2)-C(5)$	97.5(8)	109(1)	89 (1) 99(1)	$Co(2)-C(10)-C(9)$ $Co(2)-C(10)-C(11)$	70.2(12) 137.0 (16)	70.3(12)	
$S-C0(2)-C(9)$ $S-Co(2)-C(10)$	99.0 (6) 101.1(7)	92(1) 107(1)	95(1)	$C(9)-C(10)-C(11)$	138.2 (19)	139.5 (17) 133.9 (20)	
	range		range	range			range
$M-C-Ob$	$162(2)-179(2)$	$C-C-F$	$113(2)-119(2)$	$F-C-F$ $100(2)-106(2)$		$C-C-C(Cp)$	$104(2)-114(2)$

[&]quot;Fe and S of molecule B are disordered over two alternative sites. Distances and angles involving these atoms are subject to systematic error. b M = Co or Fe.

The structure of **8** has been confirmed by X-ray analysis (see Tables IV and V) of a crystal grown from $CH_2Cl_2/$ hexane. The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure contains two independent molecules, **A** and B. The structure of molecule **A** is shown in Figure **4.** Disorder complicates the description **of** B. Our discussion will, therefore, focus on molecule **A.**

A is derived structurally from $[(\text{CO})_3\text{Co}(\mu\text{-CF}_3\text{C}_2\text{CF}_3)$ -

Co(CO),] by substitution of an axial CO, roughly trans to **1** the Co-Co bond, by a $[CpFe(CO)_2(SMe)]$ moiety attached to Co(2) through sulfur. Electronic factors are thought to favor replacement of axial rather than equatorial CO in $[{\rm (OC)_3}\overline{{\rm Co}(\mu\text{-CF}_3{\rm C}_2{\rm CF}_3)}\rm Co(CO)_3]$, especially when the entering ligand is a weaker π -acid than CO.¹⁷ Displacement of CO at a transition metal by the sulfur atom of a transition-metal thiolate has been observed previously.²⁰ The $\frac{1}{2}$ **i** $\frac{1}{2$ sawhorse $[(CO)_2\overline{Co}(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]$ portion of A is

structurally similar to the corresponding portion of $[(CO)_3CO(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]^{21}$ and is evidently not sensitive to the replacement of CO by sulfur. The iron atom in **8** has an 18-electron configuration, and the expected pseudooctahedral coordination also found in **2a.** Bond lengths and angles in **A** are fully in accord with the proposed formulation.

Disorder in B mainly involves the region corresponding to the roughly planar $C(17)-S-Fe-C(6)-O(6)$ segment of **A.** The disorder (Figure **5)** roughly maps the carbonyl and methyl carbon atoms on to one another and also involves two slightly different positions for the Fe and S atoms. The results of the analysis are, however, fully consistent with **A** and B being chemically identical.

⁽²⁰⁾ Guerchais, J. E.; Le Quéré, J. L.; Pétillon, F. Y.; Manojlovič-Muir, Lj.; Muir, K. W.; Sharp, D. W. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1982, 283. (21) Baert, F.; Guelzin, A.; Coppens, P. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: *Strut. Sci.* 1984, *B40,* 590 **and** ref therein.

Table V. Fractional Atomic Coordinates and Isotropic $D(CO)$ **.** $C_0(u$ **.** CF . C . CF .) $C_0(CO)$. $(BMe)Fe(CO)$. $Ch(1/R)$

L (VV) 7		$2 - 3 - 3$, $0 - 3$, $0 - 7$	\sim \sim \prime	- - 1 \sim
	x/a	y/b	z/c	U^c
$Co(1A)^{a}$	0.00000	0.18396(20)	0.25000	0.054
Co(2A)	0.0180(3)	0.0758(2)	0.3349(2)	0.044
Co(1B)	0.1854(3)	$-0.5501(2)$	0.4986(2)	0.052
Co(2B)	0.1029(3)	$-0.5729(2)$	0.5851(2)	0.061
Fe(A)	0.1432(3)	$-0.1064(2)$	0.4472(2)	0.053
$Fe(B1)^b$				
	$-0.0668(6)$	$-0.5951(4)$	0.7059(4)	0.034
$Fe(B2)^b$	$-0.0512(7)$	–0.6547 (7)	0.7008(4)	0.062
S(A)	0.0330(5)	–0.0775 (4)	0.3677(3)	0.053
$S(B1)^b$	$-0.0267(8)$	–0.6480 (7)	0.6173(4)	0.023
$S(B2)^o$	$-0.0468(10)$	$-0.5754(12)$	0.6193 (5)	0.064
F(1A)	0.1236(11)	–0.0910 (10)	0.2414(6)	0.092(4)
F(2A)	0.2158(11)	0.0283(10)	0.2518(6)	0.091(4)
F(3A)	0.1163(11)	0.0114(10)	0.1740(6)	0.092(5)
F(4A)	$-0.1250(10)$	$-0.0923(10)$	0.2391(6)	0.084(4)
F(5A)	$-0.1385(12)$	0.0094(10)	0.1704(7)	0.095(4)
F(6A)	$-0.2104(11)$	0.0328(10)	0.2421(6)	0.097(5)
F(1B)	0.0489(11)	$-0.7754(10)$	0.4829(6)	0.093(5)
F(2B)	0.0046(10)	$-0.6746(9)$	0.4151(6)	0.084(4)
F(3B)	$-0.0812(10)$	$-0.6956(10)$	0.4825(6)	0.085(4)
F(4B)	0.0171(10)	$-0.3523(10)$	0.5094(6)	0.093(5)
F(5B)	$-0.0994(12)$	$-0.4518(11)$	0.5049(7)	0.105(5)
F(6B)	$-0.0239(11)$	$-0.4314(10)$	0.4320(7)	0.094(5)
O(1A)	0.1732(14)	0.3012(13)	0.2816(8)	0.100(6)
O(2A)	$-0.0261(14)$	0.2068(13)	0.1240(9)	0.108(6)
O(3A)		$-0.1701(16)$ 0.2884 (15)	0.2796(9)	0.126(7)
O(4A)	0.1988(13)	0.1616(11)	0.3942(7)	
	$-0.1404(14)$		0.3988(7)	0.088(5) 0.096(6)
O(5A)		0.1308(12)		
O(6A)	0.2957(12)	$-0.0567(11)$	0.3812(7)	0.082(5)
O(7A)	0.1476(14)	$-0.3007(14)$	0.4094(8)	0.103(6)
O(1B)	0.3090(14)	$-0.7207(13)$	0.5102(8)	0.100(6)
O(2B)	0.1717(12)	$-0.5239(12)$	0.3720(8)	0.088(5)
O(3B)	0.2954(15)	$-0.3778(15)$	0.5409(8)	0.116(7)
O(4B)	0.2293(15)	$-0.7223(14)$	0.6426(9)	0.114(7)
O(5B)	0.1454(14)	$-0.3992(14)$	0.6507(9)	0.111(6)
O(7B)	$-0.2484(13)$	$-0.6999(12)$	0.6697(7)	0.085(5)
C(1A)	0.0998(18)	0.2556(17)	0.2684 (11)	0.075(7)
C(2A)	$-0.0146(19)$	0.2014(18)	0.1735 (12)	0.082(8)
C(3A)	$-0.0943(22)$	0.2521(21)	0.2693(12)	0.101(10)
C(4A)	0.1258(17)	0.1242(16)	0.3730(10)	0.064(7)
C(5A)	$-0.0731(17)$	0.1110 (16)	0.3743(10)	0.063(7)
C(6A)	0.2335(17)	$-0.0750(15)$	0.4104 (10)	0.055(6)
C(7A)	0.1466(18)	$-0.2263(19)$	0.4273(11)	0.076(8)
C(8A)	0.1211(15)	0.0047(15)	0.2308(9)	0.050(6)
C(9A)	0.0524(14)	0.0569(13)	0.2592(8)	0.042(5)
C(10A)	$-0.0421(14)$	0.0564(14)	0.2578(8)	0.040(5)
C(11A)	$-0.1265(17)$	0.0026(17)	0.2284(10)	0.063(6)
C(12A)	0.2232(18)	$-0.0807(16)$	0.5281(10)	0.069(7)
C(13A)	0.1723(18)	0.0084(17)	0.5090(10)	0.071(7)
C(14A)	0.0745(18)	$-0.0167(18)$	0.5022(11)	0.076(7)
C(15A)	0.0606(19)	$-0.1098(19)$	0.5147(11)	0.081(8)
C(16A)	0.1489 (19)	–0.1488 (16)	0.5354(11)	0.071(7)
C(17A)	–0.0801 (17)	$-0.1136(16)$	0.3887 (10)	0.073(7)
C(1B)	0.2599 (18)	–0.6493 (18)	0.5069 (10)	0.074(7)
C(2B)	0.1774(19)	–0.5345 (19)	0.4208(12)	0.081(8)
C(3B)	0.2580 (18)	–0.4496 (18)	0.5249 (10)	0.074(7)
C(4B)	0.1764 (21)	$-0.6675(20)$	0.6201(12)	0.090(8)
C(5B)	0.1332 (19)	–0.4694 (19)		
C(7B)			0.6277 (12)	0.082(8)
	–0.1757 (19)	$-0.6682(16)$	0.6841 (10)	0.071(7)
C(8B)	0.0094 (17)	–0.6856 (17)	0.4722(10)	0.062 (6)
C(9B)	0.0615 (14)	$-0.6111(14)$	0.5055(8)	0.041 (5)
C(10B)	0.0558 (13)	$-0.5148(13)$	0.5121(8)	0.037 (5)
C(11B)	$-0.0095(19)$	$-0.4461(18)$	0.4897 (11)	0.075 (7)
C(12B)	0.0438 (17)	$-0.5569(17)$	0.7589(10)	0.068 (7)
C(13B)	–0.0385 (22)	$-0.5516(22)$	0.7837 (13)	0.102 (9)
C(14B)	$-0.0688(20)$	$-0.6463(20)$	0.7910(11)	0.090 (8)
C(15B)	0.0066(19)	$-0.7031(19)$	0.7749 (11)	0.087(8)
C(16B)	0.0751(17)	–0.6413 (17)	0.7538 (10)	0.068(7)
$X(1B)^b$	-0.1191 (20)	$-0.4473(18)$	0.6583(11)	0.180
$X(2B)^b$	$-0.0081(16)$	$-0.7919(20)$	0.6321 (10)	0.175

 A and B refer to the two crystallographically independent molecules. The same atom numbering scheme is used for each The same atom numbering scheme is used for each molecule. bDisordered sites. **cFor Co, Fe**, S, and X atoms *U* is the mean latent root of the anisotropic vibration tensor. Otherwise it defines the isotropic temperature factor $\exp(-8\pi^2 U(\sin^2 \theta)/\lambda^2)$.

Figure 4. A view of the structure of $[(CO)_3\overline{Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)} \overline{C}$ o(CO)₂((SMe)Fe(CO)₂Cp₁] (8). Molecule A is shown with 20% probability ellipsoids, and hydrogen atoms are omitted.

Figure 5. A view of molecule B. Sites X(1B) and X(2B) involve overlap of the S-methyl carbon atom of one orientation with a CO group attached to Fe(B) of the alternative orientation. The 20% probability ellipsoids are shown except for the iron **and sulfur** atoms which are shown **as** spheres of arbitrary size.

3. Discussion. The initial aim of this work was to prepare new heterometallic clusters, in which interest is rapidly growing, by adding dinuclear (thiolato)iron compounds to derivatives containing cobalt-cobalt bonds. This resulted in fact in formation of homometallic cluster and dinuclear complexes by transfer of coordinated ligands to another metal (eq **2-4).** The formation of cluster **3** and of the dinuclear derivative **4** in reaction **2** is the first example for the transfer of a σ -coordinated $CF_3C_2CF_3$ ligand to another metal.

In reaction **3** cluster **3** results from the transfer of only one ligand, cyclopentadienyl, from iron **to** cobalt, whereas in reaction **2** two ligands are transferred. It is tempting to rationalize the formation of cluster **3** in reaction **2** in terms of a ligand transfer occurring in several steps. The first steps involve the formation of $Co_4(CO)_{12}$ from heating $Co₂(CO)₈$ and then the formation of an iron-cobalt complex in which two **cyclopentadienyl-alkyne-iron** ligands act as bridges between the cobalt atoms. It should be stressed that no spectroscopic evidence has been obtained for the existence of a heteronuclear intermediate in that reaction. The next stage consists of the expulsion of carbonyl-iron and thiolate groups yielding cluster **3.** Such a mechanism, based upon a cobalt-thiolato-iron intermediate, is supported by the fact that the cobaltthiolato-iron derivative 8 reacted with an excess of Co₂- (CO) ₈ to give cluster 3 in appreciable yield (37%) . The high stability of the starting alkyne complex **2b** in refluxing

toluene or n-octane excludes a pathway involving the thermal reaction of the disrupted $CF_3C_2CF_3$ with Co_2 - $(CO)₈$, 22

In reaction **3** cluster **3** is accompanied by two isomeric iron complexes which result from the transfer of the $(CF_3)_2C_2$ ligand from the cobalt to the iron atoms. In the cobalt reactant the hexafluorobut-2-yne is π -bonded to the two cobalt atoms and is somewhat more labile that in **2b.** It might, therefore, react more readily with the (thiolato)iron derivative to yield **6** or **7.** In such reactions, the insertion of the alkyne into the Fe-S(Me) bond would be expected; in fact the sulfur atom is expelled and no sulfur complex was obtained.

Despite the exchange processes observed in reactions **2-4,** we have not been able so far to obtain heterometallic complexes bearing both the cyclopentadienyl and the alkyne ligands on the metal atoms. The transfer reactions described here would provide an alternative route for cluster syntheses. We have now extended this method to other similar systems; this will be published in a separate paper.

Experimental Section

Preparations were carried out under nitrogen by Schlenk tube techniques. Solvents were purified by standard methods and degassed before use. The complex $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ was used without further purification, and $[\langle Cp(CO)Fe(SMe)\rangle_2]$ and $[\langle CO \rangle_3\overline{Co(\mu-1)}]$ $CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3$] were prepared according to literature $\rm{methods.}^{4,10}$

Measurements. Infrared spectra of hexane or dichloromethane solutions were obtained with a Pye-Unicam SP 2000 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a Varian Mat 311 in the "Mesures Physiques" laboratory, University of Rennes, and NMR spectra, in CDCl₃ or CD₂Cl₂ solution, were measured on a JEOL FX 100 spectrometer (¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁹F) and were referenced to Me₄Si or CFCl₃. Chemical analyses were performed by the "Centre de Microanalyses du CNRS de Lyon".

Crystal Structure of $[\langle Cp(CO)Fe[\mu-S(Me)CH=C(CF_3]\rangle_2]$ **(2a).** The specimen was a red plate of dimensions 0.50 **X** 0.18 \times 0.08 mm. All measurements were made with Mo K α X-rays, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å, on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4F diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator.

Crystal data: $C_{20}H_{16}F_6F_{2}O_2S_2$, M_r 580.2, orthorhombic, space group Pbca, a = 15.068 (4) **A,** *b* = 18.649 (3) **A,** *c* = 16.092 (3) **A,** $U = 4522 \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 8$, $D_{\text{caled}} = 1.704 \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$, $F(000) = 2336$, $\mu(\text{Mo})$ $K\alpha$) = 15.2 cm⁻¹, and $T = 295$ K.

Unit-cell dimensions and crystal orientation were determined by a least-squares fit to the setting angles of 25 reflections with $11 < \theta < 14^{\circ}$. The space group was determined from the systematic absences.

The intensities of 5464 reflections with $2 \le \theta (M_0 K_{\alpha}) \le 25^{\circ}$ and $-1 \le h \le 17$, $-1 \le k \le 19$, and $-1 \le l \le 22$ were measured from $\theta/2\theta$ scans of 0.55° in θ ; background was estimated by extending the scan by 25% at each end. A fast initial scan was used to adjust the final scan speed so as to obtain $\sigma(I)/I \leq 0.02$, subject to a maximum counting time of 120 s. Correction was made for Lorentz-polarization effects but not for crystal decomposition, absorption, or extinction. Merging 824 duplicate measurements $(R_{int} = 0.024)$ gave 3975 independent structure amplitudes, of which 1868 with $l \geq 3\sigma(l)$ were used in subsequent calculations.

The structure was solved by Patterson and difference Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares minimization of $\sum w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$, with $w^{-1} = \sigma^2$ (F) + 0.0004 F^2 . All hydrogen atoms were observed in difference syntheses, but their position were deduced from geometrical consideration $(C-H = 0.98 \text{ Å})$ and they were allowed to ride on the carbon atoms to which they are bonded, with $U(H) = 1.2U(C)$. Anisotropic displacement parameters were used for all non-hydrogen atoms. Refinement of 289 structure parameters converged $(\Delta/\sigma \le 0.08)$ with $R = 0.029$, $R_w = 0.033$, and $S = 1.41$. Final $|\Delta \rho|$ values did not exceed 0.40 e A^{-3} . Neutral atom scattering factors and complex anomalous dispersion corrections were taken from ref 23. Calculations were performed with the GX program package²⁴ on a Gould 3227 computer. Final atomic coordinates are listed in Table 11.

Crystal Structure of $[(CO)_3CO(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)CO(CO)_2$ **-**{(SMe)Fe(CO)₂Cp}] (8). Unless otherwise stated experimental and computational procedures were identical with those used for **2a.** The specimen dimensions were $0.24 \times 0.24 \times 0.16$ mm.

Crystal data: $C_{17}H_8C_{22}F_6FeO_7S$, M_r 644, 0, monoclinic, space group *Cc,* a = 13.978 (2) **8,,** *b* = 13.948 (3) **A,** *c* = 23.221 (4) **A,** *^p*= 97.74 (l)', *U* = 4486 **A3,** *Z* = 8, *Dded* = 1.907 g-~m-~, *F(000)* $2528, \mu$ (Mo K α) = 22.7 cm⁻¹, $T = 295$ K.

The intensities of 3092 reflections were measured from $\theta/2\theta$ scans of 0.75° in θ . Reflections in the *hkl* and *hkl* octants with $2 \le \theta(\text{Mo K}\alpha) \le 22^{\circ}$ were surveyed, as were those in the *hkl* and *hkl* octants with $2 \le \theta(\text{Mo K}\alpha) \le 9^\circ$. After the intensities of 197 pairs of reflections equivalent under m point group symmetry were averaged $(R_{\text{int}} = 0.028)$ and 1232 reflections with $I < 3\sigma(I)$ were rejected, 1663 intensities, including those of 83 *Okl/Okl* Friedel pairs, were obtained. These intensities were used in the subsequent calculations.

The systematic absences were compatible with the space groups *CZ/c* and **Cc,** the latter requiring that the asymmetric unit contain two crystallographically independent molecules. However, direct methods and difference Fourier syntheses led to a successful structure analysis in space group *Cc.*

Full-matrix least-squares refinement of 336 parameters converged (maximum parameter shift/error ratio < 0.05) at *R* = 0.045 and $R_w = 0.052$ with $S = 1.96$ (see Table V). All Co, Fe, and S atoms and the atoms of the disordered X sites (see below) were allowed anisotropic displacement parameters whereas F, 0, and C atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters. Allowance was made for the scattering of the cyclopentadienyl and C(17A)-methyl H atoms. The positions of the H atoms were initially deduced from those of the heavier atoms; in the final refinement H atoms rode on the C atoms attached to them with $C-H = 0.96$ Å and $U(H) = 1.2U(C)$. In the final difference synthesis $|\Delta \rho|$ values were less than $0.5 e \text{ Å}^{-3}$. The chirality parameter $\eta = 0.92$ (15).²⁵

Of the two crystallographically independent molecules A and B, A is ordered but B is 'partially disordered. The disorder most obviously affects Fe(B) and S(B), one of the two CO groups attached to Fe(B), and the methyl carbon atom attached to S(B) (see Figure **5).** In the final structural model "atoms" X(1B) and $X(2B)$ correspond to sites partially occupied both by CO and $CH₃$ groups; they were assigned oxygen scattering factors. The atom Fe(B) was distributed over two sites 0.87 (1) **A** apart, and S(B) likewise was distributed over two sites 1.05 (2) *8,* apart; fixed occupancies of 0.5 were assigned to the disordered Fe(B) and S(B) sites. Distances and angles in molecule B (Table IV), though subject to some error arising from disorder, are consistent with the superposition of two molecules of type A, each with slightly different orientations of the $CpFe(CO)_2$ SMe group relative to the $Co_2(CO)_5(CF_3C_2CF_3)$ moiety.

Syntheses of Complexes [(Cp(CO)Fe[p-S(Me)C(R)=C- (CF_3)] $_{2}$] (**R** = **H**, 2a: **R** = CF_3 , 2b). Complexes 2a and 2b have been obtained according to a method described previously.⁴ However, the yields could be increased up to 95% by direct immersion of the UV lamp in the tetrahydrofuran solution of complexes **1 and** by bubbling nitrogen through the solution.

Syntheses of Complexes $[Co_4Cp_2(CO)_4(\mu\text{-}CO)(\mu\text{-}CF_3C_2CF_3)]$ **(3) and** $[(C_6H_5Me)\dot{C}o(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)\dot{C}o(CO)_3]$ **(4).** Method A. **Reaction of** $\tilde{C}_{p}(CO)Fe(\mu-S(\tilde{Me})\tilde{C}(CF_{3})=C(\tilde{CF}_{3})Fe(CO)CD$ (2b) with $[Co_2(CO)_8]$. Complex 2b $(0.5 g, 0.7 mmol)$ was dissolved in toluene (30 cm^3) , and the toluene solution containing $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ (1.9 g, 5.6 mmol) was added under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 **h** The mixture was evaporated to dryness under high vacuum. Chromatography of the residue

~~ ~

⁽²³⁾ International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch: Birmingham, 1974; Vol. **4,** pp 99, 119.

⁽²⁴⁾ **Mallison,** P. R.; Muir, K. W. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1985,18,** 51. (25) Rogers, D. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A.: Cryst. Phys. Diffr., Theor.*

on a silica gel column eluting with hexane afforded a yellow band which yielded about 5% of $[\text{Cp(CO)}_2\text{Fe(CH}_3)]$ which was identified by comparison of its spectroscopic properties with those of an authentic sample. Further elution with CH_2Cl_2/h exane (1:10) gave the most abundant dark brown fraction from which **4** was collected and purified by crystallization from hexane/ CH_2Cl_2 solution at -40 °C (0.35 g, 55%). Elution with CH_2Cl_2/h exane (2:lO) served to remove the violet band which was evaporated to yield product 3, purified by crystallization from hexane/CH₂Cl₂ solution (0.16 g, 34%). Finally elution with CH_2Cl_2 gave a red fraction of $[{{\rm CpFe(CO)_{2}}]_{2}}]$ (0.01 g, 6%).

When the reaction was conducted in n-octane, at 125 °C, 3 was obtained in 38% yield. 3 is a deep violet solid which is soluble in common organic solvents. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{10}Co_4F_6O_5$: C, 34.1; H, 1.5. Found: C, 33.8; H, 1.5. The mass spectrum showed ions corresponding to $[M]^+, m/e$ 668, $[Co_4(C_5H_5)_2(CO)_4(C_4F_6)]^+$, m/e 640, $[Co_4(C_5H_5)_2(CO)_3(C_4F_6)]^T$, m/e 612, $[Co_4(C_5H_5)_2-(CO_5)C_4]$ $[Co_4(C_5H_5)_2(C_4F_6)]^+$, m/e 528, $[Co_2(C_5H_5)_2(C_4F_2)]^+$, m/e 334, and $[Co(C_5H_5)_2(C_4)]^+$, m/e 237. UV spectrum (pentane): λ_{max} (nm) 540 (e 2950), 320 (sh, e 17400), 280 (sh, **t** 19700). **4** (this complex could also be synthesized in quantitative yield by refluxing $[(CO)_3CO(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)CO(CO)_3]$ in toluene under a nitrogen $(CO)_2(C_4F_6)$ ⁺, m/e 584, $[Co_4(C_5H_6)_2(CO)(C_4F_6)]^+$, m/e 556,

flow) is a dark brown solid that is soluble in common organic solvents. Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_8Co_2F_6O_3$: C, 36.9; H, 1.8. Found: C, 36.9; H, 1.8. The mass spectrum showed ions corresponding to [MI', *m/e* 456, **[Co,(Co),(C4F6)(C7H,)]+,** *m/e* 428, [coz- $({\rm CO})_2({\rm C}_4{\rm F}_5)({\rm C}_7{\rm H}_8)$]⁺, *m/e* 409, [Co₂(CO)(C₄F₆)(C₇H₈)]⁺, *m/e* 400, $[Co_2(CO)(C_4F_5)(C_7H_8)]^+$, m/e 381, $[Co_2(C_4F_6)(C_7H_8)]^+$, m/e 372, $[Co(C_4F_6)(C_7H_8)]^+$, m/e 313, $[Co(CO)_3(C_7H_8)]^+$, m/e 294, and $[Co(C_4F_4)(C_7H_8)]^+$, m/e 275. UV spectrum (pentane): λ_{max} (nm) 402 (e 2660), 325 (e 9230), 264 (sh, **t** 14400).

Method B. Reaction of $[(CO_3)Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]$ with $[Cp(CO)Fe(\mu-SMe)_{2}Fe(CO)Cp]$. The dinuclear derivative $[Co_2(CO)_6(C_4F_6)]$ (1.2 g, 3 mmol) and the dimeric complex [{Cp- $(CO)Fe(\mu\text{-}SMe)_{2}$] (2.7 g, 6 mmol) were heated in toluene at reflux for 4 h. The solvent was then evaporated to dryness, and the residue was redissolved in a minimum of dichloromethane and the solution chromatographed on silica gel. Hexane eluted a yellow band which, upon removal of solvent, gave 0.05 g (4%) of yellow $[Cp(CO)₂Fe(CH₃)]$. A second, orange band was eluted with 10% dichloromethane/hexane. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave a yellow-brown oil of trans- $[Cp(CO)_2FeC(CF_3)]$ $C(CF_3)$ Me] (6) (0.43 g, 20%). Further elution with 10% dichloromethane/hexane gave successively a dark brown band yielding **4** (0.16 g, 6% relative to cobalt complex) and a yellow fraction from which 0.21 g (10%) of a yellow-gray solid, cis- $[Cp(CO)₂FeC(CF₃)$ =C(CF₃)Me] (7), was isolated and purified by crystallization from pentane at -20 "C. Finally a mixture of 20% dichloromethane/hexane eluted a violet band which, upon removal of solvent, gave 0.22 g (11%) of 3.

Method C. Reaction of $[(CO)_3CO(\mu \text{-} CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]$ **with** $[CpCo(CO)_2]$ **.** On refluxing in toluene for 3 h a mixture of $(CO)_3Co(\mu$ -CF₃C₂CF₃)C₀(CO)₃ (1.7 g, 3.9 mmol) and [CpCo- $(CO)_2$] (1.4 g, 7.7 mmol), cluster 3 and the dinuclear derivative 4 are obtained in 66% and 4.5% yields, respectively.

Method D. Reaction of $[(CO)_3CO(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)CO(CO)_2$ **-** $\{({\bf SMe}){\bf Fe}({\bf CO})_2{\bf Cp}\}$ (8) with $[{\bf Co}_2({\bf CO})_8]$. 8 $(0.65 \text{ g}, 1 \text{ mmol})$ was refluxed in toluene with an excess of $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ (1.3 g, 4 mmol) for 3 h. The mixture was then evaporated to dryness, and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel. Three compounds, the cluster 3 (37%), the dinuclear derivative **4** (30%), and $[{CpFe(CO)_2}]_2]$ (16%), were isolated according to this method. Syntheses of Complexes *trans-* and *cis*-[Cp(CO)₂FeC-**(CF3)=C(CF3)Me] (6** and **7,** respectively). These compounds have been prepared according to the method B described above in the previous section on synthetic work. 6 is soluble in common organic solvents. The mass spectrum showed ions corresponding to $[M]^+$, m/e 354, $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)_2C_4F_5(Me)]^+$, m/e 335, $[Fe (C_5H_5)(CO)C_4F_6(Me)$ ⁺, m/e 326, [Fe($C_5H_5C_4F_6(Me)$ ⁺, m/e 298, $[Fe(C_5H_5)_2]^+, m/e$ 186, and $[Fe(C_5H_5)F]^+, m/e$ 140. UV spectrum (pentane): λ_{max} (nm) 498 (ε 130), 362 (ε 845), 285 (sh, ε 2550). **7** is soluble in common organic solvents; mp 112 "C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₈F₆FeO₂: C, 40.7; H, 2.3; Fe, 15.7. Found: C, 40.2; H,

2.2; Fe, 15.5. The mass spectrum showed ions corresponding to $[M]^+, m/e$ 354, $[Fe(C_5H_5)(CO)C_4F_6(Me)]^+, m/e$ 326, $[Fe (C_5H_5)C_4F_6(Me)$]⁺, m/e 298, $[Fe(C_5H_5)_2]$ ⁺, m/e 186, and $[Fe (C_5H_5)F^{\dagger}$, m/e 140. UV spectrum (pentane): λ_{max} (nm) 500 (ϵ 160), 355 (e 1100), 287 (sh, e 2200).

Syntheses of Complex $[(CO)_3CO(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_2$ **-** $\{({\bf SMe}){\bf Fe}({\bf CO})_2{\bf Cp}\}$ (8). $[\{C{\bf p(CO)Fe(\mu\text{-}SMe)}\}_2]$ (0.75 g, 2 mmol)

was heated with a slight excess of $[(CO)_3CO(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)$ Co- $(CO)₃$] (1.0 g, 2.3 mmol) in toluene at 55 °C for 32 h under a nitrogen stream. The solvent was then evaporated, the residue redissolved in a minimum of dichloromethane, and the solution chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with hexane/ $CH_2Cl_2(1:1)$ afforded a red band which yielded about **65%** (relative to cobalt complex) (0.93 g) of 8, purified by crystallization from hexane/dichloromethane solution at -20 °C. Further elution with $CH₂Cl₂$ gave the red fraction from which 0.34 g of $[\{CpFe(CO)₂\}]$ (51% relative to iron complex) was collected.

Such a reaction conducted at 90 $^{\circ}$ C for 6 h gave 8 and [(CpFe(CO)zJz] insimilar yields. **8** is a deep red solid that is soluble in common organic solvents. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_8C_{22}F_6FeO_7S$: C, 31.7; H, 1.3; Co, 18.3; F, 17.7; Fe, 8.7; S, 4.9. Found: C, 31.7; H, 1.3; Co, 17.9; F, 17.7; Fe, 8.6; S, 4.0. The mass spectrum showed ions corresponding to $[M]^+, m/e 644, [M \cdot nCO]^+, n = 1, m/e$ 616, *n* = 2, *m/e* 588, n = 3, *m/e* 560, n = 4, *m/e* 532, n = 5, *m/e* $504, n = 6, m/e$ 476, $n = 7, m/e$ 448, $[Co_2(CO)_5(C_4F_6)]^+$, m/e 420, $[Co_2(CO)(C_4F_6)]^+$, m/e 308, and $[Co_2(C_4F_6)]^+$, m/e 280. UV spectrum (pentane): λ_{max} (nm) 402 (ϵ 12 200) 290 (sh, ϵ 11 100).

Attempted Preparation of $[(C_6H_5Me)\dot{C}o(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co (CO)_2$ (SMe)Fe $(CO)_2Cp$]. When a mixture of $[(C_6H_5Me)\dot{C}$ o-

 $(\mu$ -CF₃C₂CF₃ $)$ C₀(CO)₃] (1.5 mmol) and $[\{Cp(CO)Fe(SMe)\}]$ (0.75 mmol) was heated in toluene or *n*-octane at 90 °C for 6 h, no reaction was observed.

Synthesis of $[{}^{1}P(OMe)_{3}]_{3}Co(\mu$ **-CF₃C₂CF₃)Co(CO)₃] (5).** Complex $[(C_6H_5Me)Co(\mu-CF_3C_2CF_3)Co(CO)_3]$ **(4)** $(0.25 g, 0.5$ mmol) was dissolved in hexane, and a toluene solution of a large excess of trimethyl phosphite (5 mmol) was added under nitrogen. The mixture was warmed for 1 h and then evaporated to dryness. Chromatography of the residue on a silica gel column eluting with $CH₂Cl₂/hexane$ (2:10) afforded a brown band which yielded traces of the starting complex 4. Further elution with $CH₂Cl₂$ gave the most abundant orange fraction from which **5** was collected and purified by crystallization from a hexane-CH₂Cl₂ solution at -20 "C (0.38 g, 95%).

⁵is **an** orange solid which is soluble in common organic solvents. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{27}Co_2F_6O_{12}P_3$: C, 26.1; H, 3.7; Co, 16.0; F, 15.4; P, 12.6. Found: C, 26.3; H, 3.6; Co, 15.4; F, 14.7; P, 12.5. The mass spectrum showed ions corresponding to $[M]^+, m/e$ 736, ${[Co_2(CO)_3(C_4F_5)}]P(OMe)_{3/3}]^+$, m/e 717, ${[Co_2(CO)_2(C_4F_6)]}P$ - $(OMe)_{313}]^+$, m/e 708, $[Co_2(CO)(C_4F_6)]P(OMe)_{313}]^+$, m/e 680, 528, and $[Co[POMe)₃]₃$ ⁺, m/e 431. $[{\rm Co}_2({\rm C}_4{\rm F}_6) {\rm P}({\rm OMe})_3]_3]^{+}$, m/e 652, $[{\rm Co}_2({\rm C}_4{\rm F}_6) {\rm P}({\rm OMe})_3]_2]^{+}$, m/e

Acknowledgment. The CNRS, Brest University (France) (R.R. and F.Y.P.), and Glasgow University and SERC (Great Britain) (Lj.M.M. and K.W.M.) are acknowledged for financial support. We are grateful to Prof. J. E. Guerchais for helpful discussions and to J. Y. Le Gall for assistance in NMR experiments.

Registry No. la, 71238-05-6; **lb,** 71238-11-4; **2a,** 111237-50-4; **2b,** 104730-97-4; **3,** 105081-30-9; **4,** 104730-98-5; **5,** 111237-52-6; 6, 104760-45-4; **7**, 104730-99-6; 8, 111237-51-5; Co₂(CO)₈, 10210-68-1; Cp(CO)₂Fe(CH₃), 12080-06-7; {CpFe(CO)₂}₂, 12154-95-9; $(\rm{CO})_3\rm{Co}(\mu\text{-}CF_3C_2CF_3) \rm{Co(CO)}_3,\quad 37685\textrm{-}63\textrm{-}5; \quad \rm{Cp(CO)}Fe(\mu\text{-}CF_3C_2CF_3)$ $SMe₂Fe(CO)Cp$, 12087-63-7; CpCo(CO)₂, 12078-25-0.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of anisotropic displacement parameters and calculated hydrogen atom positions for **2a** and **8** and a complete bond length and angle listing for 8 (12 pages); listings of observed and calculated structure factors for **2a** and **8** (23 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.