ORGANOMETALLICS

Volume 7, Number 9, September 1988

© Copyright 1988 American Chemical Society

Dimethylthallium(III) Complexes with S,N and S,O Ligands: The Molecular Structure of Me_2TIL (L = 1-Oxidopyridinium-2-thiolato)

María V. Castaño,* Agustin Sánchez, José S. Casas, and José Sordo

Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, 15706 Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain

José L. Briansó and Juan F. Piniella*

Departamento de Cristalografía, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola (Bellaterra), Spain

Xabier Solans

Departamento de Cristalografía, Universidad de Barcelona, Gran Via 585, 080007 Barcelona, Spain

Gabriel Germain

Unité de Chimie-Physique Moléculaire et de Cristallographie, Université de Louvain, B-1348 Louvain-La Neuve, Belgium

Tony Debaerdemaeker

Sektion fur Röntgen-und Electronenbeugung, Universität Ulm, Oberer Eselberg, D-7000 Ulm, Federal Republic of Germany

Julius Glaser

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Royal Institute of Technology, S-100 44 Stockholm 70, Sweden

Received September 25, 1987

The reaction of heterocyclic thiolic derivatives HL^n with dimethylthallium(III) hydroxide yields Me_2TlL compounds. The structure of dimethyl(1-oxidopyridinium-2-thiolato)thallium(III), Me_2TlL^5 , has been determined by X-ray analysis. Me_2TlL^5 crystals are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, with a = 9.812 (3) Å, b = 7.616 (1) Å, c = 12.851 (3) Å, $\beta = 97.48$ (3) °, and Z = 4. The structure was refined to R = 0.016 for 929 unique data. In this compound the thallium atom is six-coordinate, if weak bridging interactions are considered, in lattices with polymeric chains. Spectroscopic data for the complexes (¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁰⁵Tl NMR, IR, and Raman) are discussed in order to determine possible structural/spectroscopic correlations.

Introduction

Although Me_2Tl^+ (dimethylthallium(III)) is one of the most stable organometallic species, its coordination chemistry is scarcely known.¹ Only a few compounds with monoanionic organic ligands have been studied by X-ray diffraction in the solid state, and coordination numbers (CN) between four and seven have been found with O, N, and S as donor atoms (ref 2 and references therein). Coordination number four is reached through bridging atoms for monodentate ligands while CN of up to seven are reached with bidentate ligands using bridging O and S atoms.

On the other hand, unlike in other methylated organometallic cations (e.g., Me_2Sn^{2+} (ref 3) or $MeHg^+$ (ref 4)),

^{(1) (}a) Kurosawa, H.; Okawara, R. Organomet. Chem. Rev., Sect. A 1970, 6, 65. (b) Lee, A. G. In Becker, E. I., Tsutsui, M., eds.; Organometallic Reactions; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1975; Vol. 5. (c) Kurosawa, H. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Wilkinson, G., Stone, F. G. A., Abel, E. W., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 1; Chapter 8, p 725.

⁽²⁾ Garcia-Tasende, M. S.; Suarez, M. I.; Sanchez, A.; Casas, J. S.;
Sordo, J.; Castellano, E.; Mascarenhas, Y. P. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 3818.
(3) (a) Lockhart, T. P.; Manders, W. F. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 892. (b)
Howard, W. F., Jr.; Crecely, R. W.; Nelson, W. H. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 2204.

⁽⁴⁾ Rabestein, D. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 100.

Castaño et al.

Table I. Analytical and Some Physical Data for the Dimethylthallium Complexes

compd	C^2	N	Н	color	mp, °C	$\Lambda, \stackrel{b}{\sim} \Omega^{-1}$ cm ² mol ⁻¹	
Me ₂ TlL ¹	28.1 (28.0)		3.3 (3.2)	white	187	8	
Me_2TlL^2	24.5(24.4)	3.7(4.1)	2.9 (2.9)	white	158	2	
Me_2TlL^3	20.6 (20.8)	8.0 (8.1)	2.5 (2.6)	white	225	1	
Me ₂ TlL ⁴	23.3 (23.4)	7.6 (7.8)	2.9 (3.1)	white	200	3	
Me_2TlL^5	23.0 (23.3)	3.8 (3.9)	2.7 (2.8)	yellow	147	1	
Me ₂ TlL ⁶	20.9 (20.7)	7.9 (8.1)	3.0 (3.2)	white	206	1	
Me ₂ TlL ⁷	28.1 (28.2)	7.3 (7.3)	3.0 (2.9)	white	196	1	
Me ₂ TlL ⁸	17.1 (17.0)	4.0 (4.0)	2.9 (2.8)	white	158	2	
Me ₂ TlL ⁹	26.9 (26.9)	3.3 (3.5)	2.2 (2.5)	white	236	2	
Me ₂ TlL ¹⁰	27.3 (28.1)	3.4 (3.6)	2.4(2.6)	white	139	2	

^a Theoretical values in parentheses. ^b Molar conductivity for 10⁻³ M solutions in acetonitrile.

useful relations between spectroscopic parameters, measured in the solid state or in solution, and structural features have not been established. Only the vibrational data measured in compounds with oxygen- and sulfur-bonded ligands⁵ seem to suggest some correlation between the nature of the atom bonded to thallium and the position of $\nu(TlC_2)$ and $\delta(CH_3)$.

As a part of a research program dedicated to the structural and spectroscopic study of the coodination chemistry of dimethylthallium(III), we describe in this work the synthesis and study of the compounds obtained by reactions of Me_2TIOH with HL^2-HL^{10} ligands.



(The compound Me_2TlL^1 , where HL^1 is thiophenol, was also prepared for comparative purposes.) These ligands, once deprotonated and bonded through the thiolic sulfur atom, have other donor atoms that can also coordinate to the metal. In some cases two different donor atoms are available. To our knowledge, only two thiolate derivatives of Me_2Tl^+ have been prepared (Me_2TlSMe (ref 6) and Me_2TIL^1 (ref 7)) before, and a dimer structure with bridging sulfur atoms was proposed for both. This proposal was confirmed for the thiophenol compound by X-ray diffraction in the solid state.⁸

Experimental Section

Chemicals. Thiophenol (HL¹), 2-mercaptopyridine (HL²), 2-mercaptopyrimidine (HL³), 2-mercapto-4-methylpyrimidine (HL⁴), 4-mercaptopyridine N-oxide (HL⁵), 2-mercapto-1methylimidazole (HL⁶), 2-mercaptobenzimidazole (HL⁷), 2mercaptothiazoline (HL⁸), 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (HL⁷), and 2-mercaptobenzoxazole (HL¹⁰) were all commercial products and used as received. Dimethythallium iodide was obtained according to the method of Gilman and Jones.⁹ Dimethylthallium hydroxide

Fable II. Crystal and	Refinement Data for Me ₂ Tll
formula	C ₇ H ₁₀ NOSTI
$\mathbf{f}\mathbf{w}$	360.61
cryst system	monoclinic
cryst size, mm	$0.05 \times 0.1 \times 0.4$
space group	$P2_1/c$
a, Å	9.812 (3)
b, Å	7.616 (1)
c, Å	12.851 (3)
α , deg	90
β , deg	97.48 (3)
γ , deg	90
V, Å ³	952.2 (6)
Ζ	4
$D(\text{calcd}), \text{g-cm}^{-3}$	2.52
μ , mm ⁻¹	17.1
F(000)	656
2θ range, deg	48
h,k,l range	$-10 \rightarrow 10, 0 \rightarrow 8, 0 \rightarrow 14$
reflctns measd	1396
obsd reflctns	929 $(I \ge 2.5\sigma(I))$
R	0.016

was prepared by stirring Me_2TII with an excess of freshly precipitated silver oxide for 48 h in deionized water and removing the AgI by filtration.

Syntheses of the Complexes. A solution of the corresponding ligand in methanol (or ethanol for HL^{10}) was added dropwise to a stirred aqueous solution of Me_2TIOH . In all cases, except for HL^4 and HL^5 , a white solid precipitated that was removed by filtration, washed with a mixture of methanol/water, and dried in vacuo. When HL^4 or HL^5 were used, it was necessary to eliminate the solvent to obtain a solid. These products were also dried in vacuo. Analytical and some physical data for the complexes are shown in Table I.

Physical Measurements. The elemental analyses were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 240B microanalyzer. Conductometric and vibrational studies were done as has been described previously.² ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WM250 spectrometer as DMSO- d_6 solutions. Due to broadening of the ¹H and ¹³C signals of the methyl groups bonded to thallium in a high magnetic field,³ the NMR spectra were also recorded on a Varian FT-80A apparatus. ²⁰⁵Tl NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL90 NMR spectrometer at 27 °C, and the chemical shifts are given in parts per million toward high frequency of an infinitely dilute aqueous solution of TlClO₄.

X-ray Study of Me₂TIL⁵. (a) Data Collection. Colorless crystals of the compound Me₂TIL⁵ were grown by slow evaporation from a DMSO solution. A suitable single crystal was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 automated four-circle diffractometer. Cell parameters were determined by a least-squares refinement based on 25 reflections ($5 \le \theta \le 13^\circ$).

Intensity data were collected on the diffractometer by the $\omega/2\theta$ scan technique, using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). The intensity of one check reflection recorded after every 100 measurements showed no significant variation. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption effects.

⁽⁵⁾ Kurosawa, H.; Okawara, R. Trans. N.Y. Acad. Sci., Ser. 2 1968, 30, 962.

⁽⁶⁾ Coates, G. E.; Whitcombe, R. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 3351. Shier,
G. D.; Drago, R. S. J. Organomet. Chem. 1966, 5, 330.
(7) Kurosawa, H.; Yasuda, K.; Okawara, R. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.

⁽¹⁾ Kurosawa, H.; Hasuda, K.; Okawara, K. *Butt. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1967, 40, 861.

 ⁽⁸⁾ Burke, P. J.; Gray, L. A.; Hayward, P. J. C.; Matthews, R. W.;
 McPartlin, M.; Gillies, D. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 136, C7.

⁽⁹⁾ Gilman, H.; Jones, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1946, 68, 517.

Table III. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $(\times 10^4; Tl \times 10^5)$ and Isotropic Thermal Parameters^a for Me₂TlL⁵

	a iboliopic i	morinar - ara	motors for h		
	x/a	y/b	z/c	$B(eq), Å^2$	
Tl	-1192 (4)	-14934 (5)	36703 (3)	3.52 (2)	
S	1709 (3)	-39 (3)	2305 (2)	4.03 (11)	
0	1082(6)	1379 (9)	4333 (5)	4.71(31)	
Ν	2386 (7)	1572 (10)	4152 (5)	3.46 (31)	
C(2)	2848 (9)	945 (11)	3279 (7)	3.75 (43)	
C(3)	4207 (9)	1258(14)	3158 (8)	4.89 (50)	
C(4)	5081 (11)	2163 (14)	3936 (10)	5.62 (56)	
C(5)	4555 (10)	2748(14)	4809 (9)	4.97 (53)	
C(6)	3213 (9)	2481(11)	4930 (7)	4.05 (44)	
C(7)	-1937 (9)	-136 (12)	3001 (8)	4.76 (50)	
C(8)	1433 (9)	-3100 (12)	4538 (7)	4.77 (50)	

^a Numbers in parentheses are esd's in the least significant digit. $B_{eq} = {}^{8}/_{3}\pi^{2}\sum_{i}\sum_{j}V_{ij}a_{i}^{*}a_{j}^{*}\vec{a}_{i}\cdot\vec{a}_{j}.$

Table IV. Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for Me_2T1L^{5a}

	Bond	Distances	
S-Tl	2.889 (2)	C(6)–N	1.388(10)
O-Tl	2.577 (6)	C(3) - C(2)	1.383 (12)
C(7)-Tl	2.143 (9)	C(4) - C(3)	1.410 (13)
C(8)-Tl	2.148 (8)	C(5) - C(4)	1.369 (15)
C(2)–S	1.736 (9)	C(6) - C(5)	1.360 (13)
N-0	1.338 (8)	S'-Tl	3.284 (6)
C(2)–N	1.351 (10)	O'-Tl	2.849 (13)
	Bon	d Angles	
O-TI-S	65.3(1)	C(6)-N-O	114.3 (7)
C(7)-Tl-S	97.0 (3)	C(6)-N-C(2)	123.1 (8)
C(7)-Tl-O	92.5 (3)	N-C(2)-S	119.8 (7)
C(8)-Tl-S	94.5 (3)	C(3)-C(2)-S	122.2(7)
C(8)-Tl-O	92.8(3)	C(3)-C(2)-N	117.7 (8)
C(8)-Tl-C(7)	168.5 (4)	C(4)-C(3)-C(2)	120.7(10)
C(2)-S-Tl	96.9 (3)	C(5)-C(4)-C(3)	118.7 (10)
N-O-Tl	116.2(5)	C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	121.2(10)
C(2)-N-O	122.6(7)	C(5)-C(6)-N	118.4 (9)

 a Numbers in parentheses are esd's in the least significant digit. For numbering scheme, see Figure 1.

(b) Structure Solution and Refinement. The space group $P2_1/c$ was determined unequivocally from systematic absences. The structure was solved by direct methods using the MULTAN program.¹⁰ The refinement was carried out by full-matrix least-squares methods (SHELX¹¹).

The structure was refined anisotropically for all non-H atoms. Attempt for locate hydrogen atoms or refinement from computed positions gave unsuccessfull results. Data corresponding to the crystal and the refinement are given in Table II.

Calculations were performed on a Data General Eclipse MV-8000, Digital-Vax 785, and IBM-3082. The final atomic positions and equivalent thermal parameters are given in Table III. The scattering factors have been taken from ref 12. Table IV lists some significant bond distances and angles.

Results and Discussion

Crystal and Molecular Structure. Figure 1^{13} shows the asymmetric unit of the Me₂TlL⁵ structure with the numbering scheme. The ligand is bonded through the oxygen and sulfur atoms. The Tl-O, Tl-S, and Tl-C distances are similar to the values found in other dimethylthallium(III) complexes with anionic organic ligands



Figure 1. The environment of thallium atom in Me_2TlL^5 and numbering scheme of the compound.



Figure 2. Polymerization of Me_2TlL^5 through Tl…O and Tl…S weak bonds.

(ref 2 and references therein). The C–Tl–C angle is also quite normal. The values for the N–O, C–S, and C(2)–N bond distances indicate a partial double-bond character,^{14,15} suggesting that the formal negative charge of the sulfur atom is delocalized over these three bonds as was also found in UO₂L⁵₂·DMSO.¹⁵ The coordination of the thallium atom in the asymmetric unit is increased through bridging oxygen and sulfur atoms of vicinal units, as shown in Figure 2. The Tl···O and Tl···S bridging distances are quite large [2.849 (13) and 3.284 (6)Å, respectively] but smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii.¹⁶ On the other hand, these weak thallium–oxygen interactions are shorter than the weak interactions previously found in Me₂Tl(L)-PHE),¹⁷ Me₂Tl(acac),¹⁸ and Me₂TlS₂COCH₃.¹⁹

⁽¹⁰⁾ Main, P.; Germain, G.; Woolfson, M. M. MULTAN 11/84, A system of computer programs for the automatic solution of crystal structures from X-ray diffraction data; Universities of York: York, England, and Louvain: Louvain, Belgium, 1984.

⁽¹¹⁾ Sheldrick, G. M. SHELEX 76, program for crystal structure determination; University of Cambridge: Cambridge, England, 1976. (12) International Tables for X-ray Constallations K

⁽¹²⁾ International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch: Birmingham, 1974; Vol. IV. (13) Johnson C. K. ORMER Bergert ORNI, 2704; Oct. Dill. N. (14)

⁽¹³⁾ Johnson, C. K. ORTEP, Report ORNL-3794; Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Oak Ridge, TN, 1965.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Curry, J. D.; Jandacer, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1972, 1120.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Casellato, U.; Vigato, P. A.; Tamburini, S.; Graziani, R.; Vidali, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1983, 72, 141.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Bondi, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441.



Figure 3. Stereoview of the packing arrangement of Me_2TlL^5 units within the unit cell.

The Tl.-S distance falls within the range of the sulfurthallium bridging distances found in dimethylthallium methylxanthogenate.¹⁹ Due to these weak interactions the thallium atom has a very deformed octahedral environment with the two methyl groups in apical positions, a S atom and an O atom from a chelating ligand in equatorial positions, and a S atom and an O atom from another asymmetric unit at a greater distance. This configuration is similar to that described by Chow and Britton in Me₂Tl(acac).¹⁸ In fact, the S-Tl-O angle in Me₂TlL⁵ is smaller than the O-Tl-O angle in the acetylacetonate compound owing to the smaller chelate "bite" of the thiolate ligand. The weak interactions polymerize the Me₂TlL⁵ asymmetric units forming infinite chains along the c axis (Figure 3).

Vibrational Spectra. The use of IR and Raman spectra to determine the type of ligand coordination present is difficult since disagreement among different authors regarding the assignment of the free ligand bands (see, for example, ref 20) is further complicated by the fact that in some of the ligands coordination may produce a thione-thiol transformation that reduces the utility of these assignments. Therefore, the spectra of those complexes whose structures were not possible to determine by X-ray diffraction methods have been compared with those of other systems that have been studied by using this technique. For clarity we discuss first the 4000-600 cm⁻¹ range for each ligand in which significant vibrations are expected; the 600–180 cm⁻¹ range is then discussed for all the complexes jointly.

The IR and Raman spectra of Me₂TlL¹ failed to exhibit the bands assigned²¹ to stretching and deformation modes of the SH group, which shows that deprotonation of this group has occurred. There are no significant changes in the ring stretching vibrations. In Me_2TlL^5 the $\nu(N-O)$ band (1199 cm⁻¹, IR; 1190 cm⁻¹, Raman) is shifted toward lower wavenumbers than in NaL²² (1219 cm⁻¹); the δ (N–O) and ν (C–S) bands are in positions close to those observed in other systems²² in which the ligand is coordinated via its S and O atoms.

The ring stretching bands of Me₂TlL² are shifted toward higher wavenumbers than in L-L appearing close to those detected in MeHgL² (ref 23) and the C-H in-plane de-

(21) Saksena, B. D.; Trevedi, P. H. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1962, 58, 2082. (22) West, D. X.; Frank, C. A. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1979, 41, 49.

Table V.	ν (Tl-C ₂) and ρ (CH ₃) (cm ⁻¹) for the
	Me ₂ T1L Complexes

	ν(C-Tl-C	C)		
	asym	sym	$\rho(CH_3)$	
Me_2TlL^1	532 s	480 m	785 m	IR
	535 w	480 s		Raman
Me_2TlL^2	530 vs	470 w	790 h	IR
	535 w	475 vs		Raman
Me ₂ TlL ³	540 m, 530 m	475 m	790 m	IR
-		480 vs		Raman
Me ₂ TlL ⁴	530 s	480 m	785 s	IR
-	530 w	485 vs		Raman
Me ₂ TlL ⁵	540 s	485 w	805 m	IR
-	540 w	485 s		Raman
Me ₂ TlL ⁶	520 s	465 w	775 m, b	IR
$Me_2^{T}lL^7$	525 s	470 m	790 m	IR
-		475 vs		
Me_2TlL^8	530 s	475 m	790 m	IR
-	540 w	480 s		Raman
Me ₂ TlL ⁹	540 m		790 m	IR
-	540 w	480 s		Raman
Me ₂ TlL ¹⁰	550 m, 540 m		obscured	IR
-		485 vs		Raman

formation and ring breathing modes are located at even higher wavenumbers than in this mercury compound; therefore, the coordination must be similar in both compounds. When the ligand is 2-mercaptopyrimidine (HL^3) , the shifts exhibited by the ring stretching bands ν (C=S) and by the ring breathing and C-H deformation modes are very similar to those observed in 4-methyl-2-mercaptopyrimidine (HL⁴), and the same kind of coordination is postulated for both complexes. We believe coordination takes place through the sulfur and nitrogen atoms in view of the similar position of these bands in MeHgL⁴, in which the Hg-S and Hg...N bonds have been detected.²⁴

In Me_2TlL^6 and Me_2TlL^7 , the bands normally used^{25,26} to identify the coordinated ligand atoms undergo very small shifts and are useless in obtaining any information.

In Me₂TlL⁸ the ring stretching bands ν (C-S) are unchanged, showing that the endocyclic S atom is not involved in coordination. The other significant bands (at 1520, 1430, 1300, 1290, and 660 cm⁻¹) are puzzling since they appear to imply coordination via the N atom without showing the effects reported²⁵ for coordination via the S atom.

In Me_2TlL^9 the bands lie at positions close to those found in other systems with additional interactions to the

⁽¹⁷⁾ Henrick, K.; Matthews, R. W.; Tasker, P. A. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B.: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1978, B34, 1347. (18) Chow, Y. M.; Britton, D. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct.

Crystallogr. 1975, B31, 1929.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Schwarz, W.; Mann, G.; Weidlein, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 122.303.

⁽²⁰⁾ Buncell, E.; Norris, A. R.; Taylor, J. E.; Racz, W. J. Can. J. Chem. 1982. 60. 3033.

⁽²³⁾ Castiñeiras, A.; Hiller, W.; Strahle, J.; Bravo, J.; Casas, J. S.; (24) Bravo, J.; Casas, J. S.; Mascarenhas, Y. P.; Sanchez, A.; Santos, (24) Bravo, J.; Casas, J. S.; Mascarenhas, Y. P.; Sanchez, A.; Santos,

O. P.; Sordo, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1100.
 (25) Dehand, J.; Jordanov, J. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1976, 17, 37

⁽²⁶⁾ Raper, E. S.; Brooks, J. L. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1977, 39, 2163.

Table vi, NMR (II. C. and II) Data for Megil Complexes (0 from 1 MS and 0 (II	Data for Me, II Complexes (δ from IMS and J (HZ))
--	---

C	ompd	1	Ή	1	³ C		other signals fro	om the L
		$\delta(CH_3)$	$^{2}J(\text{Tl-H})$	$\overline{\delta(CH_3)}$	$^{1}J(\text{Tl-C})$	$^{205}\mathrm{Tl}$	¹ H	¹³ C
Me ₂ TlL ¹	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0.68	400.0	20.31	2895.0	3856.1	7.21 (H2, H6, d) 7.01 (H3, H5, t) 6.81 (H4, t)	133.5 (C2, C6) 127.3 (C3, C5) 121.8 (C4) 145.3 (C1)
Me ₂ TlL ²	s 1 3 s N S	0.86	417.0	22.59	3005.0	3690.8	7.0–6.8 (H5, H3, m) 7.3 (H4, t) 8.0 (H6, dd)	175.2 (C2) 129.7 (C3) 136.0 (C4) 116.4 (C5) 147.0 (C6)
$\rm Me_2TlL^3$	5 N 8 N 8 N 8 S	0.92	417.4	23.07	3004.0	3596.3	8.2 (H4, H6, d) 6.8 (H5, t)	185.6 (C2) 156.3 (C4, C6) 114.4 (C5)
Me ₅ TlL ⁴	Me f N N S S	0.91	417.2	22.60	3003.0	3622.7	6.7 (H5, d) 8.1 (H6, d) 2.2 (CH ₃ , s)	165.5 (C2) 113.9 (C5) 156.1 (C6) 24.0 (CH ₃)
Me₂TlL⁵	* • N 0 S ⁻	0.80	419	22.70	2995.0	3741.1	6.8 (H5, td) 7.0 (H4, td) 7.5 (H3, dd) 8.1 (H6, dd)	163.9 (C2) 117.8 (C3) 132.5 (C4) 127.4 (C5) 140.4 (C6)
Me ₂ TlL ⁶	f N 2 S⁻ Ne	0.83	422.6	22.50	2983.2	3602.8	6.7 (H5) 6.6 (H4) 3.4 (CH ₃)	156.7 (C2) 118.9 (C4) 123.1 (C5) 32.7 (CH ₃)
Me ₂ TlL ⁷		0.93	424.3	23.66	2966.9	3592.6	7.2 (H4, H7, d) 6.9 (H5, H6, d)	165.4 (C2) 111.0 (C4, C7) 119.6 (C5, C6) 138.9 (C8, C9)
Me ₂ TlL ⁸	$\int_{4}^{5} \sum_{N}^{S} \sum_{2} S^{-}$	0.89	426.7	23.24	2965.6	3584.4	3.9 (H4, t) 3.2 (H5, t)	180.5 (C2) (?) 62.4 (C4) 35.1 (C5)
Me2TlL ⁹	S S S	0.95	425.7	25.32	2948.7	3542.0	7.6 (H4, H7, m) 7.2 (H5, t) 7.1 (H6, t)	182.6 (C2) 119.8 (C4) ^b 125.0 (C5) 121.8 (C6) 117.7 (C7) ^b 135.5 (C8) 153.5 (C9)
Me ₂ TlL ¹⁰	° () ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	0.97	435.3	25.21	2926.5	3508.7	7.24–7.19 (H4, H7, m) 7.06–6.92 (H5, H6, m)	179.5 (C2) 114.6 (C4) 122.5 (C5) 120.5 (C6) 107.6 (C7) 150.9 (C8)

^a In ppm toward higher frequency from infinitely dilute aqueous solution of TlClO₄ (27 °C). ^bCan be reversed.

M-S bond²⁷ or with S,N-coordination;²⁸ as in the latter type of compounds, the free ligand bands at 1604 and 1505 cm⁻¹ disappear. The positions of the ν_{asym} (C-O-C) and ν_{sym} (C-O-C) stretching bands in Me₂TlL¹⁰ show that the oxygen atom of the ring is not involved in coordination. The thioamide stretching bands I, II, and III lie close to those observed in complexes of the unprotonated ligand with S,N-coordination,²⁹ though the situation is not so clear in the case of the thioamide band IV.

The 600–180 cm⁻¹ range show bands due to ν (C–Tl–C) (Table V). The location of metal-ligand stretching modes is occluded by the complexity of the ligand bands in this region. In this sense the simplest compounds are Me₂TlL¹ in which a weak band at 275 cm⁻¹ (IR; Raman) has been assigned to ν (Tl–S), and Me₂TlL⁵, in which bands at 410 cm⁻¹ (IR; 405 cm⁻¹ in the Raman) and 265 cm⁻¹ (IR) have been assigned to ν (Tl–O) and ν (Tl–S), respectively.

The values of the $\nu(\text{TlC}_2)$ and $\rho(\text{CH}_3)$ modes found in the compounds prepared in this work and also those obtained by Walter et al.,³⁰ in derivatives of Me₂Tl^{III} with Tl–N bonds, were plotted together with the Kurosawa and Okawara data.⁵ As figure 4 shows, some lineality is observed, except for the Me₂TlNEt₂ and Me₂TlNMeEt compounds, but the point position in the plot seems to maintain any relation with the ligand donor atom identity.

NMR Spectra. Table VI lists the positions of the ¹H, ¹³C, and ²⁰⁵Tl NMR peaks. Our assignment of the coordinated ligand signals of Me₂TlL⁵ agrees with that of Barnett et al.³¹ As those of the Zn(II)³¹ and n-Bu₃Sn^{IV 32} compounds, these signals are clearly different from those

⁽²⁷⁾ Bravo, J.; Casas, J. S.; Castaño, M. V.; Gayoso, M.; Mascarenhas,
Y. P.; Sanchez, A.; Santos, C. O. P.; Sordo, J. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 3435.
(28) Jeannin, S.; Jeannin, Y.; Lavigne, G. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2103.
(29) Preti, C.; Tosi, G. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 1409.

⁽³⁰⁾ Walther, B.; Zschunke, A.; Adler, B.; Kolbe, A.; Bauer, S. Z. Anorg, Allg. Chem. 1976, 427, 137.

⁽³¹⁾ Barnett, B. L.; Kretschnar, H. C.; Hartman, F. A. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 1834.

⁽³²⁾ Domazetis, G.; Magee, R. J.; James, B. D.; Cashion, J. D. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 1981, 43, 1351.



480 450 460 470 490 500 510 520 530 540 550 Figure 4. Plot of ν (C–Tl–C) versus ρ (CH₃) for Me₂TlL: O, L = NMe₂ (1), NEt₂ (2), NMeEt (3), SCH₃ (4), SC₆H₅ (5), OC₆H₅ (6), OC₆H₄Cl-o (7), $OC_{6}H_{4}CHO_{-o}$ (8), acac (9), ox (10), $C_{5}H_{7}N_{2}$ (11), $C_{3}H_{3}N_{2}$ (12), $C_{4}H_{4}N$ (13) (values from ref 5 and 30); \Box , $L = L^{1}$ (14), L^{2} (15), L^{3} (16), L^{4} (17), L^{5} (18), L^{6} (19), L^{7} (20), L^{8} (21), L^{9} (22).

of NaL^{5;31} the ¹³C NMR spectra of the Tl, Zn, and Sn compounds exhibit shielding effects on the C(2) atom and deshielding effects on the C(3) and C(5) atoms compared with those of the sodium salt. This rules out the possibility of an ionic structure in DMSO- d_6 solution.

The interpretation of the spectra of the remaining complexes was done on the basis of the available data for the free ligands³³⁻³⁹ and for the S-methylated derivatives.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ The latter have structures close to the thiol form, whereas the free ligands are generally thiones. In their complexes with Me₂Tl^{III} the ligand region of the spectrum usually lies at a position intermediate between its location in HL and is L(S)Me. The great differences between the spectra of HL and L(S)Me affect the signals

(33) Ollerenshaw, T. J.; Bristow, S.; Anand, B. N.; Garner, C. D. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 2013.

- (34) Schanck, A.; Dereppe, J. M.; Van Meerssche, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1983, 92, 199.
- (35) Shunmugan, R.; Sathyanarayana, D. N. Indian. J. Chem., Sect. A 1983, 22A, 784.
- (36) Faure, R.; Vincent, E. J.; Assef, G.; Kister, J.; Metzger, J. Org.
 Magn. Reson. 1977, 9, 688.
 (37) Grundeman, E.; Martin, D.; Wanzel, A. Org. Magn. Reson. 1979,
- 12, 95.
- (38) Chanon, F.; Rajzmann, M.; Chanon, M.; Matzger, J.; Pouzar, G.; Drakenberg, T. Can. J. Chem. 1980, 58, 604. (39) Faure, R.; Elguero, J.; Vincent, E. J.; Lazaro, R. Org. Magn. Re-
- son. 1978, 11, 617.
- (40) Srinivasan, C.; Perumal, S.; Arumugan, N.; Murugan, R. Indian J. Chem., Sect. A 1986, 25A, 227.
- (41) Stefaniak, L. Org. Magn. Reson. 1979, 12, 379.
 (42) Lopyrev, V. A.; Larina, L. J.; Vakul'skaya, T. I.; Larin, M. F.; Nefedova, O. B.; Shivanova, E. F.; Voronkov, M. G. Org. Magn. Reson. 1981, 15, 219.
- (43) Sawhney, S. N.; Boykin, D. W. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1136. 44) Llinares, J.; Galy, J. P.; Faure, R.; Vincent, E. J.; Elguero, J. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 937



Figure 5. ²⁰⁵Tl spectrum of Me₂TlL⁸ in DMSO solution.

associated with C(2), whose strong shielding as the result of S-methylation has been attributed to the change from to N-C=S to N=C-S.45 The same effect is observed in those complexes whose coordination involves solely the thiol group,⁴⁵ but if coordination takes place simultaneously via both the S and N atoms, the signal is shifted downfield.⁴⁵ Its position in the Me₂Tl complexes studied

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Brodie, A. M.; Holden, H. D.; Lewis, J.; Taylor, M. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 633.



Figure 6. A plot of ${}^{2}J({}^{205}Tl-H)$ for Me₂TlLⁿ versus ${}^{2}J({}^{199}Hg-H)$ for MeHgLⁿ.

suggests that in all of them the nitrogen is involved in coordination.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of complex Me_2TlL^3 has only two signals, one integrates for two protons and the other for one. These signals have been attributed to the H(4) and H(6) atom and to the H(5) atoms, respectively (Table VI). In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the C(4) and C(6) atoms produce the same signal. This fact suggests that, unlike in the HL³ complexes of Mo and W,⁴⁶ in the Me₂Tl compound the Tl–N bond is labile. The insolubility of the complex in low melting point solvents has impeded a low-temperature test.

The 205 Tl NMR spectra of the Tl complexes in DMSO exhibit the multiplicity and intensity ratios expected for monomers (see Figure 5 for Me₂TlL⁸), and the absence of 205 Tl - 205 Tl spin-spin coupling rules out a polymeric structure. The chemical shifts and ^{2}J (Tl-H) are of the same magnitude as those of other diorganothallium(III) compounds (e.g. ref 47). The difference of more than 300

(46) Latham, I. A.; Leigh, G. J.; Pickett, C. J.; Huttner, G.; Jibrill, A.; Zubieta, J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 1181. ppm in the chemical shifts of the different complexes is difficult to interpret because of the lack of information concerning factors influencing this parameter in dimethylthallium(III)⁴⁸ but may be partially due to differences in the denticities of the ligands (see above).

The organometallic signals are of interest in view of the information that NMR spectra provide regarding the coordination of cations such as CH_3Hg^+ , in which ${}^2J(H-Hg)$ dominated by the Fermi contact contribution has been related to the acidity constants of the ligands or the stability constants of the complexes.^{4,49} Although the factors affecting ${}^1J(C-TI)$ and ${}^2J(H-TI)$ in organometallic TI(III) compounds are not all the same,⁴⁸ it seems clear that these constants are also dominated by the Fermi contact contribution.⁵⁰ It is, therefore, relevant to see whether the

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Hinton, J. F.; Metz, K. R.; Briggs, R. W. In Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy; Webb, G. A., Ed.; Academic: London, 1982; Vol. 13.
(48) Burke, P. J.; Matthews, R. W.; Gillies, D. G. J. Organomet. Chem.
1976, 118, 129.

 ⁽⁴⁹⁾ Sytsma, L. F.; Kline, R. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 54, 15.
 (50) Brady, F.; Matthews, R. W.; Thakur, M. M.; Gillies, D. G. J.
 Organomet. Chem. 1983, 252, 1.

Table VII. Coupling Constants ${}^{2}J(H-M)$ (Hz) for the **Dimethylthallium and Methylmercury Complexes**

compd	² J(H-Tl)	² J(H–Hg)	ref (MeHg ⁺ compds)
Me ₂ TlL ¹	400	160	49
Me_2TlL^2	417	173	49
Me ₂ TlL ³	417	172	24
Me ₂ TlL ⁴	417	171	. 24
Me ₂ TlL ⁵	419	190	49
Me_2TlL^6	423	175	51
Me ₂ TlL ⁹	426	178	51
Me ₂ TlL ¹⁰	435	182	51

relationships observed in the case of methylmercury also hold for dimethylthallium(III). In order to answer this question, we have made use of the fact that most of the methylmercury(II) complexes of the ligands used in the present study have already been studied and the corresponding values of ${}^{2}J(H-Hg)$ determined.^{49,51} In Figure 6 these values are plotted against the values of ${}^{2}J(H-Tl)$ for complexes of the same ligands with Me_2Tl^+ (Table VII). Least-squares analysis shows the correlation among these

(51) Bravo, J. Ph.D. Thesis, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, 1983.

data to be poor (r = 0.714) if the totality of the points are taken into account but very good (r = 0.9915) if the data for the ligand HL⁵ are omitted. Though the number of systems considered is small, it would be desirable to investigate a wider range of complexes of different structural ligands, Figure 6 suggests that ${}^{2}J(H-Tl)$ and ${}^{2}J(H-Hg)$ are affected in the same way by the same ligands. The exceptional case of Me_2TlL^5 may be due to the second bond being Tl-O in Me_2TlL^5 , whereas it is Tl-N in the other complexes considered.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge the CAICYT (Spain) for financial assistance and Prof. A. Macias for ORTEP drawings.

Registry No. HL¹, 108-98-5; HL², 2637-34-5; HL³, 1450-85-7; HL⁴, 35071-17-1; HL⁵, 33486-07-6; HL⁶, 60-56-0; HL⁷, 583-39-1; HL⁸, 96-53-7; HL⁹, 149-30-4; HL¹⁰, 2382-96-9; Me₂TlL¹, 16834-15-4; Me₂TlL², 114928-71-1; Me₂TlL³, 114944-20-6; Me₂TlL⁴, 114928-71-1; Me₂TlL³, 114928-71-1; Me₂TlL³, 114928-71-1; Me₂TlL³, 114928-71-1; Me₂TlL⁴, Me₂TlL⁴, Me₂TlL⁴, Me₂, Me₂, Me₂, Me₂, Me₂, Me₂, Me₂, 72-2; Me₂TlL⁵, 114928-73-3; Me₂TlL⁶, 114928-74-4; Me₂TlL⁷, 114928-75-5; Me₂TlL⁸, 114928-76-6; Me₂TlL⁹, 114928-77-7; Me₂TlL¹⁰, 114928-78-8; Me₂TlOH, 53759-11-8; ²⁰⁵Tl, 14280-49-0.

Supplementary Material Available: A table of thermal parameters (1 page); a listing of observed an calculated structure factors (4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Theoretical Investigation of the Platinum Ketene Complex $Pt(PR_3)_2(CH_2=C=0)$ and Carbene Complex $Pt(PR_3)_2(CH_2)(CO)$

Shinichiro Nakamura^{†,‡} and Keiji Morokuma^{*,†}

Institute for Molecular Science, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444, Japan, and Research Center, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries, Ltd., 1000 Kamoshida, Midoriku, Yokohama 227, Japan

Received July 20, 1987

An ab initio MO study has been carried out on the structure and energetics of the platinum ketene complex $Pt(PR_3)_2(CH_2 = C = O)$, the carbon carbon complex $Pt(PR_3)_2(CH_2)(CO)$, and the possible transition state connecting them, taking R = H as the model. The most stable ketene complex has been calculated to have the $\eta^2(C-C)$ square-planar structure with the CH_2 group perpendicular to the molecular plane. Comparison between $\eta^2(C-C)$ and $\eta^2(C-O)$ coordination and between perpendicular CH₂ and in-plane CH₂ orientations has been made. The carbone carbonyl complex has been found to have a square-planar structure with its CH_2 group in the molecular plane, stabilized by the strong $CH_2 \pi$ -Pt π interaction. The transition state connecting the ketene complex and the carbone carbonyl complex has a structure in which CH₂ is twisted halfway from the perpendicular to the in-plane conformation. The possibility of the existence of the carbene carbonyl intermediate in thermolysis of the ketene complex is discussed as well.

I. Introduction

Carbon-carbon bond formation and cleavage is one of the central objectives of modern catalytic chemistry. The reaction of transition-metal ketene complexes¹ is currently drawing considerable attention in this regard, as consisting of elementary steps² relevant to models for heterogeneous³ and homogeneous carbon monoxide reduction.⁴ Recently Miyashita et al.⁵ have synthesized unsubstituted ketene complexes $M(PPh_3)_2(CH_2 = C = O)$ (1, M = Ni, Pd, and Pt) and have reported thermolysis of these complexes that produced hydrocarbons such as C_2H_4 and C_3H_6 . To ex-



† IMS.

[‡] Mitsubishi Chemical Industries.

plain this reaction, they proposed a carbone carbonyl intermediate, 2. Although there exist several examples^{1d,2,6}

^{(1) (}a) Straus, D. B.; (a) IMS (b) Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5499. (b) Moore, E. J.; Straus, D. A.; Armantrout, J.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Grubbs, R. H.; Bercaw, J. E. *Ibid.* 1983, *105*, 2068. (c) Ho, S. C. H.; Straus, D. A.; Armantrout, J.; Schaefer, W. P.; Grubbs, R. H. *Ibid.* 1984, *106*, 2210. (d) Barger, P. J.; Schaefer, W. P.; Grubbs, R. H. *Ibid.* 1984, *106*, 2210. (d) Barger, P. T.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Armantrout, J.; Bercaw, J. E. *Ibid.* 1984, *106*, 5178.
(e) Hommeltoft, S. I.; Baird, M. C. *Ibid.* 1985, *107*, 2548. (f) Fachinetti, G.; Biran, C.; Floriani, C.; Chiesi-Villa, A.; Guastini, C. *Ibid.* 1978, *100*, 1921. (g) Mitsudo, T.; Watanabe, H.; Watanabe, Y.; Takegami, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 265. (h) Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, *105*, 2919.
(2) Bondar, T. W.; Cutler, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, *105*, 5926.
(3) (a) Blyholder, G.; Emmett, P. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1960, 64, 470. (b) Chikawa M. Sakirawa K.; Shikakwa K.; Shikakwa K.; Margai M. J. Mol. Catol. 1981.

Ichikawa, M.; Sekigawa, K.; Shikakura, K.; Kawai, M. J. Mol. Catal. 1981,

 ^{11, 167. (}c) Takeuchi, A.; Katzer, J. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 2438.
 (4) (a) Wolczanski, P. T.; Bercaw, J. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 121. (b) Keim, W. In Catalysis in C₁ Chemistry; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, 1983; p 5 and references therein.

^{(5) (}a) Miyashita, A.; Shitara, H.; Nohira, H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 850. (b) Miyashita, A.; Shitara, H.; Nohira, H. Organo-metallics 1985, 4, 1468. (c) Miyashita, A. Presented at the 5th International Symposium on Homogenerous Catalysis, Kobe, 1986.