naphthalene)chromium when this compound is dissolved in ND_3 (3.42 ppm) as opposed to 6.96 ppm when dissolved in C_6D_6 . Earlier we attributed this large upfield shift to anisotropy caused by the naphthalene moieties of bis- $(\eta^{6}$ -naphthalene)chromium being constrained to the eclipsed configuration; it is therefore reasonable to speculate that $poly[(\mu-\eta^6,\eta^6-naphthalene)chromium]$ exists in the eclipsed topology (III) while dissolved in ND₃. The argument gains strength from the recognition that the metal sites in the poly[$(\mu - \eta^6, \eta^6$ -naphthalene)chromium] structure are electron-deficient from the point of view of formal electron count. This electronic arrangement would encourage the coordination of solvent ammonia molecules to the metal sites; the eclipsed configuration (III) provides for easier access to the metal sites by solvent ammonia molecules than does the staggered configuration (VII).

It is well-known that $bis(\eta^6-naphthalene)chromium ex$ ists as the eclipsed configuration in the crystalline solid.⁷ $Poly[(<math>\mu$ - η^6 , η^6 -naphthalene)chromium is not soluble in C₆D₆, while freely rotating $bis(\eta^6$ -naphthalene)chromium is; eclipsed $bis(\eta^6$ -naphthalene)chromium exists in ND₃ as it does in the crystalline state. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that $poly[(\mu-\eta^6,\eta^6-naphthalene)chromium]$ is



eclipsed in the solid state; however, only an X-ray crystal structure will elucidate this point. It appears that the solubility of poly[$(\mu-\eta^{\theta},\eta^{\theta}$ -naphthalene)chromium] in liquid ammonia resides in the ability of the basic solvent to stabilize the eclipsed configuration.

Acknowledgment. We thank Mr. Z. Sui, Dr. H. Stefink, and Mr. J. Wallin for their technical assistance and especially Dr. B. Shoulders for helpful discussions. We are grateful for generous support of the Texas Advanced Technology Research Program and the Robert A. Welch Foundation.

Reactions of Sc⁺ with Alkenes in the Gas Phase

Leo M. Lech and Ben S. Freiser*

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

Received November 2, 1987

Fourier transform mass spectrometry (FTMS) was used to study the exothermic reactions of laser desorbed Sc⁺ with various linear, branched, and cyclic olefins. Single and double dehydrogenations were observed to be the predominant processes in marked contrast to Fe⁺ and Co⁺ which yield predominantly C–C bond cleavage products. Structures of some of the commonly occurring product ions were probed by collision-induced dissociation and ion-molecule reactions, including H/D exchange. Evidence is presented for two stable isomers of ScC₄H₆⁺, ScC₄H₄⁺, and ScC₅H₆⁺. A modification to the experimental pulse sequence was implemented which made possible the formation and isolation of various endothermic reaction products. In particular Sc⁺-H was generated by an endothermic reaction of Sc⁺ with ethane and was used to bracket the Sc⁺-benzene bond strength at D°(Sc⁺-benzene) = 53 ± 5 kcal/mol.

Introduction

Over the past several years, the study of transition-metal ions in the gas phase has become the focus of a great deal of attention. These studies provide fundamental information on the kinetics, mechanisms and thermochemistry of these chemically important species in the absence of complicating effects due to solvent or ligand interferences. In addition, studies of the transition-metal ions are also important because of the promise these ions hold as selective chemical ionization reagents for mass spectral analysis.¹

Most of the work to date has centered on the first-row groups 8–10 metals Fe⁺, Co⁺, and Ni⁺. The reactions of these metals with alkanes, alkenes, and other organic compounds have been studied by a number of laboratories.² Studies involving the early first-row transition-metal

ions, however, are fewer in number. A variety of V⁺-ligand bond strengths have been reported,³ in addition to studies of the reactions of this first-row group 5 metal ion with various alkanes.⁴ Allison and Ridge have studied the reactions of olefins with Ti⁺,⁵ and Tolbert and Beauchamp have studied both alkane activation by Ti^{+ 4b} and the reactions of saturated hydrocarbons with Sc⁺.⁶ In these cases some interesting differences in reactivity, as com-

 ^{(1) (}a) Peake, D. A.; Gross, M. L. Anal. Chem. 1985, 57, 115. (b) Wise,
 M. B. Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1984. (c) Lombarski, M.; Allison,
 J. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1983, 49, 281. (d) Burnier, R. C.;
 Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. Anal. Chem. 1980, 52, 1641.

^{(2) (}a) Allison, J. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 34, 627. (b) Jacobson, D.
B.; Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 553. (c) Jacobson,
D. B.; Freiser, B. S. Organometallics 1984, 3, 513. (d) Halle, L. F.;
Armentrout, P. B.; Beauchamp, J. L. Organometallics 1982, 1, 963. (e)
Burnier, R. C.; Byrd, G. D.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4360. (f) Allison, J.; Freas, R. B.; Ridge, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1332.

⁽³⁾ Aristov, N.; Armentrout, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4065.
(4) (a) Jackson, T. C.; Carlin, T. J.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1120. (b) Tolbert, M. A.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7509.

⁽⁵⁾ Allison, J.; Ridge, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 35.

⁽⁶⁾ Tolbert, M. A.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8117.

Reactions of Sc⁺ with Alkenes in the Gas Phase

pared to the groups 8–10 transition metals, were reported.

In this paper we expand the previous study of Sc⁺ with the saturated hydrocarbons by monitoring the reactions of Sc⁺ with various linear, branched, and cyclic olefins. Comparisons are made between the reactions of Sc⁺ with the olefins versus the saturated hydrocarbons as well as with the analogous reactions of the first-row groups 8-10 transition metals. A brief comparison is also made to the reactions of Ti⁺ with olefins. Structural information on some of the predominant and recurring product ions was obtained through a combination of collision-induced dissociation (CID) experiments and specific ion-molecule reactions including deuterium exchange.⁷

Experimental Section

All experiments were performed on a prototype Nicolet FTMS-1000 mass spectrometer which has been previously described in detail⁸ and is equipped with a 5.2-cm cubic trapping cell situated between the poles of a Varian 15-in. electromagnet maintained at 0.85 T. Mounted on the front transmitter plate are a variety of metal targets. Several of these consist of metal rods with a 1-mm diameter hole drilled through them lengthwise and countersunk to form a bevelled edge. These tunnel targets vary in length from 2 to 6 mm (the Sc target used in this work was 4 mm) with an outer diameter of approximately 4 mm. Sc⁺ was generated by focussing a Quanta Ray Nd:YAG laser (frequency doubled to 532 nm) onto the bevelled edge of the scandium tunnel target. The diameter of the laser beam was approximately 0.3 mm, and the power density was estimated to be about 10^8 W cm^{-2} . The laser pulse generates a plume consisting mainly of positively charged metal ions, electrons, and neutrals. A fraction of the metal ions produced enter the cell. Positively biased trapping plates annihilate any negatively charged species and trap the positively charged metal ions. Neutral atoms immediately condense on the cell walls and, therefore, do not participate in the experiment. Since the plume is generated outside of the cell, the frequency in which the cell has to be removed for cleaning is greatly reduced. Tantalum, which is a common impurity in Sc samples, was not found to be an interference in this study.⁹

The product ion distributions are reproducible to within $\pm 10\%$ absolute. Product distributions from secondary reactions were determined by using swept double resonance ejection techniques to isolate the ions of interest.¹⁰ These ions were then allowed to react and the products subsequently detected.

In the CID experiment, the collision energy of the ions can be varied, typically between 0 and 100 eV, from which plots of CID product ion intensities vs collision energy (i.e. CID breakdown curves) can be made. The spread in ion kinetic energies is dependent on the total average kinetic energy and is approximately 35% at 1 eV, 10% at 10 eV, and 5% at 30 eV.¹¹ CID can yield unambiguous structural assignments for simple metal ion complexes.¹² Since the ions are formed via different reaction paths, they initially should have different internal energies as well as possibly different structures. Two ions of the same structure, but having different internal energies should be evident as having qualitatively similar CID breakdown curves, only shifted in kinetic energy. For complexes containing ligands possessing five or more carbon atoms, however, facile rearrangement of these complexes may yield CID spectra that are not very diagnostic. Therefore, while CID spectra of the major ions were obtained, many of the structures are necessarily assigned as "reasonable" as opposed to proven. The methodology and interpretation of the CID experiment is described elsewhere. 8,12,13 Photodissociation experiments¹⁴ were performed, when possible, to give added support for the results obtained by the other methods used.

In the studies reported here, typically the laser-generated metal ions were trapped in the presence of a static pressure of the alkene of interest. After a predetermined reaction time, the product ions were detected. Since it is possible to generate ions with excess electronic or kinetic energy,¹⁵ two variations of the experiment were used to minimize any excess energy of the metal ions and to ensure that only exothermic processes were observed.¹⁶ One variation involved admitting a relatively high-pressure argon pulse immediately after laser generation of Sc⁺. This pulse has a peak pressure of $\sim 10^{-5}$ Torr at 150 ms, and a total pump out time of 400 ms during which time collisional damping of Sc⁺ occurs. After this cooling step, Sc⁺ was isolated and allowed to react with the alkene of interest which was present at a static background pressure of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr. In the second variation argon was kept at a static background pressure of about 2×10^{-7} Torr throughout the entire experiment. After formation, Sc⁺ was trapped in the presence of the argon for 250 ms. Following this period, the alkene being studied was pulsed into the cell and allowed to react with the Sc⁺. These variations provided approximately 10-80 collisions of Sc⁺ with argon which should be sufficient to have an effect on the observed chemistry, if a large population of nonthermal ions were present. The results obtained by using these two sets of conditions, however, were the same within experimental error. In addition, no noticeable differences in product distributions were observed in the absence of these collisional cooling procedures, indicating that the majority of the Sc⁺ ions monitored were generated with little or no excess energy. These results, however, are not totally conclusive proof that no excited ions are present.

Deuterium exchange was performed by adding the deuteriating agent (either C_2D_4 or C_3D_6) at pressures of $(1-9) \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. The lowest pressure of deuteriating agent possible was used in order to suppress any secondary reactions with the deuteriated compound which would complicate the interpretation. Pulsed-valve introduction of the alkene eliminated any complications due to the secondary reaction of the hydrocarbon itself. Pulsed-valve addition of reagent gases as applied to FTMS has been described in detail elsewhere.¹⁷

Chemicals were obtained commercially in high purity and used as supplied except for multiple freeze-pump-thaw cycles to remove noncondensable gases. C_2D_4 (>99 atom % D), C_3D_6 (>98 atom % D), and 2-(methyl- d_3)propene-3,3,3- d_3 (>98 atom % D) were obtained from MSD isotopes, Merck Chemical Division. CD₃CN (99.7 atom % D) was obtained from Stohler/KOR Stable Isotopes. Hydrogen cyanide was generated by mixing KCN and H_2SO_4 under vacuum and collecting the evolved gas. Sample pressures were monitored with a Bayard-Alpert ionization gauge, and argon was used as a collision gas.

Results and Discussion

Reactions with Linear Alkenes. Table I lists the reactions of Sc⁺, Fe⁺, and Co⁺ with 11 linear alkenes. Sc⁺ is observed to react with every compound used in this study in contrast to Fe⁺ and Co⁺. Dehydrogenation is the only reaction observed for Sc^+ with ethene (reaction 1),

$$Sc^{+} + C_{2}H_{4} \rightarrow ScC_{2}H_{2}^{+} + H_{2}$$
(1)

^{(7) (}a) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 72. (b) Beauchamp, J. L.; Stevens, A. E.; Corderman, R. R. Pure Appl. Chem. 1979, 51, 967.

⁽⁸⁾ Cody, R. B.; Burnier, R. C.; Freiser, B. S. Anal. Chem. 1982, 54, 96.

⁽⁹⁾ The scandium sample supplied by Alfa was previously found to contain about 5% tantalum by weight (Galbraith Laboratories, Inc., Knoxville, TN).

⁽¹⁰⁾ Comisarow, M. B.; Grassi, V.; Parisod, G. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1978, 57, 413.

⁽¹¹⁾ Huntress, W. T.; Mosesman, M. M.; Elleman, D. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 54, 843.

⁽¹²⁾ Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5197.

^{(13) (}a) Cooks, R. G. Collision Spectroscopy; Plenum: New York, 1978.
(b) Cody, R. B.; Freiser, B. S. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 1982, 41, 199.
(c) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 736.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Hettich, R. L.; Jackson, T. C.; Stanko, E. M.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5086.

^{(15) (}a) Elkind, J. L.; Armentrout, P. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 2037. (b) Kang, H.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 3364. (c) Halle, L. F.; Armentrout, P. B.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 962.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Forbes, R. A.; Lech, L. M.; Freiser, B. S. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Proc. 1987, 77, 107.
(17) Carlin, T. J.; Freiser, B. S. Anal. Chem. 1983, 55, 571.

					'		neutral fi	ragment	s lost, in	%				
alkene	M ⁺	H_2	$2H_2$	$3H_2$	CH_4	CH_4,H_2	$CH_4, 2H_2$	C_2H_4	(C ₂ H ₆)	$(C_2H_6)H_2$	C_3H_6	(C ₃ H ₈)	C_4H_8	(C ₄ H ₁₀)
ethene	Sc	100												
	Fe^{a}		no re	action										
	Co^{b}		no re	action										
propene	Sc	100												
	Fe^{a}		no re	action										
	Co^{b}		no re	action										
linear butenes	Sc	93	7											
(1, cis, trans)	Fe^{a}	100												
	Co^{b}	97						3						
1-pentene	Sc	7	53		9			3	28					
-	Fe^{a}	13			19			49			19			
	Co^b	11			13			58			18			
trans-2-pentene	Sc	7	69		8				16					
-	Fe^{a}	19			29			30			22			
	Co^b	28			33			29			10			
1-hexene	\mathbf{Sc}	3	34	16		23			17			7		
	Fe^{a}	10			6			14	16		42		12	
	Co^{b}	5						16			69		10	
trans-2-hexene	Sc	3	43	10		25			13			6		
	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{e}^{a}$	10			9			25	22		13	5	12	4
	Co ^c	6			4			34	41		6		9	
trans-3-hexene	\mathbf{Sc}	3	41	14		33			4			5		
	Fe^{a}	12	4		14			24	18		10	4	9	5
	Co^{c}	4			8			33	31		10		14	
1-heptene	\mathbf{Sc}	2	7	3	1	4	8		35	6		32		
-	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{e}^{c}$	19									34	19	28	
	Co^{c}	21									19	37	23	

^aSee ref 21. ^bSee ref 20. ^cHettich, R. L.; Freiser, B. S., unpublished results. The parentheses indicate empirical formula only, e.g. C₃H₈ could be H₂, C₃H₆.

implying $D^{\circ}(\text{Sc}^+-\text{C}_2\text{H}_2) > 41.7 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{18}$ Exclusive loss of HD from CH_2CD_2 is observed in accordance with Armentrout and coworkers.¹⁹ indicating a 1,2-dehydrogenation to form a Sc^+ -acetylene complex. CID of ScC_2H_2^+ yields only loss of the entire ligand providing supporting evidence for the acetylene structure. ScC_2H_2^+ , produced in reaction 1, also reacts with ethene by dehydrogenation producing what would be expected to be the Sc^+ -bis(ethyne) species $\text{Sc}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_2)_2^+$. This, however, may not be the isomer formed. CID of this ion yields three products (reactions 2–4). Reaction 2, which predominates at low kinetic energies, may involve some type of coupling of the two acetylene ligands on the metal center, while reaction 4 predominates at higher kinetic energies. In order to

$$--- ScC_4 H_2^+ + H_2$$
 (2)

$$ScC_4H_4^+ \xrightarrow{CID} ScC_2H_2^+ + C_2H_2$$
 (3)

$$\longrightarrow Sc^{+} + C_4 H_4 \qquad ()$$

determine which hydrogens are lost in reaction 2, a mixed-ligand species was generated by first reacting Sc⁺ with C_2D_4 and then allowing the resulting $ScC_2D_2^+$ to react with C_2H_4 , thus producing $Sc(C_4H_2D_2)^+$. During this reaction neither $Sc(C_2HD)^+$ nor $Sc(C_2H_2)^+$ was observed, which has mechanistic implications as discussed below. For reaction 2, HD loss was predominant (>80%), while approximately equal amounts of D_2 and H_2 loss were observed. Also, monitoring the loss of acetylene (reaction 3) revealed three peaks corresponding to losses of C_2D_2 , C_2HD , and C_2H_2 , indicating that some scrambling occurs. Unfortunately, these products were too low in intensity to derive meaningful isotopic distributions. A comparison of results obtained for several isomeric $ScC_4H_4^+$ ions will be discussed in greater depth below.

Dehydrogenation was also the only reaction observed for Sc^+ with propene (reaction 5), implying $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-allene) > 41.0 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{18}$ CID on $Sc^+-C_3H_4$, generated in reaction

$$Sc^{+} + C_{3}H_{6} \rightarrow ScC_{3}H_{4}^{+} + H_{2}$$

$$\tag{5}$$

5, yields predominantly loss of H_2 at low energies and loss of C_3H_4 at high energies. Deuterium exchange using C_3D_6 on Sc⁺-C₃H₄ yields 4 rapid H/D exchanges indicating an allene structure as opposed to a propyne structure.

The secondary reaction of $ScC_3\dot{H}_4^+$ with propene is more complex than that observed for the ethene case. $ScC_3H_4^+$ not only singly and doubly dehydrogenates propene, but losses of CH_4 and C_2H_4 are also observed (reactions 6–9). The product ions in reactions 7–9 are commonly observed in this study, and their structures are discussed in a later section.

$$ScC_{3}H_{4}^{+} + C_{3}H_{6} - \frac{27\%}{1} ScC_{6}H_{8}^{+} + H_{2}$$
 (6)

29% ScC₆H₆⁺ + 2H₂ (7)

$$23\%$$
 ScC₅H₆⁺ + CH₄ (8)

$$\begin{array}{c} 21\% \\ \text{ScC}_4 \text{H}_6^+ + \text{C}_2 \text{H}_4 \end{array} \tag{9}$$

In the reactions of Sc⁺ with the linear butenes, the predominant reaction is dehydrogenation resulting in the formation of $ScC_4H_6^+$ (reaction 10), implying $D^{\circ}(Sc^+$ -butadiene) ≥ 29.0 kcal/mol.¹⁸ Double dehydrogenation to

$$Sc^{+} + C_{4}H_{8} \xrightarrow{93\%} ScC_{4}H_{6}^{+} + H_{2}$$
(10)
$$7\% ScC_{4}H_{4}^{+} + 2H_{2}$$
(11)

form $ScC_4H_4^+$ (reaction 11) is also observed as a minor product. For Fe⁺ and Ti⁺, single dehydrogenation is the only reaction observed. In the case of Co⁺, along with single dehydrogenation, there is also a small amount of C-C bond cleavage. CID of the $ScC_4H_6^+$ ion from reaction 10 shows predominant loss of the entire ligand over the

⁽¹⁸⁾ Calculated by using values in: Rosenstock, H. M.; Draxl, K.; Steiner, B. W.; Herron, J. T. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1977, 6, Suppl. 1.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Sunderlin, L.; Aristov, N.; Armentrout, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 78.



energy range studied (0-100 eV), with losses of C_2H_4 and H_2 also observed (reactions 12-14). The proposed

$$ScC_4H_6^+ \frac{CID}{44 \text{ eV}} \frac{69\%}{5c^+} Sc^+ + C_4H_6$$
 (12)

$$\frac{15\%}{5} ScC_2H_2^+ + C_2H_4 \qquad (13)$$

$$\frac{16\%}{5} ScC_4 H_4^+ + H_2 \qquad (14)$$

mechanism for the dehydrogenation process of the linear butenes involves the formation of a methallylmetal hydride followed by a β -hydrogen abstraction and reductive elimination of H₂.²⁰ The intensity of the ScC₄H₄⁺ ion from reaction 11 was too low for its CID spectra to be studied. As with propene, the secondary reactions of ScC₄H₆⁺ generated from the linear butenes (reactions 15 and 16),

$$ScC_{4}H_{6}^{+} + C_{4}H_{8} - \frac{70\%}{30\%} ScC_{8}H_{12}^{+} + H_{2}$$
 (15)
 $30\% - ScC_{6}H_{10}^{+} + C_{2}H_{4}$ (16)

yield an intense C–C bond cleavage product. CID of $ScC_8H_{12}^+$ generated in reaction 15 yields loss of C_4H_6 at low energy and loss of C_8H_{12} at higher energy, which supports the stable bis(butadiene) structure.

A possible mechanism for reaction 16 is given in Scheme I, which invokes a Diels-Alder reaction generating an ethyl cyclohexene intermediate. This process is competitive with a β -hydrogen abstraction and reductive elimination of H₂ which generates the Sc⁺-bis(butadiene) species in reaction 15. After formation of the ethyl cyclohexene intermediate, C-C bond cleavage occurs in the branch of the ring followed by a β -hydrogen abstraction and reductive elimination of ethene. Starting with butadiene and butene, the formation of ethylcyclohexene is about 40 kcal/mol exothermic while the formation of cyclohexene and ethylene is about 16 kcal/mol exothermic.¹⁸ Thus, the mechanism in Scheme I requires that $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-butadiene) - D^{\circ}(Sc^+-butadiene)$ ethylcyclohexene) ≤ 40 kcal/mol and $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-butadiene)$ $-D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}-cyclohexene) \leq 16 \text{ kcal/mol to be energetically}$ feasible. Although the specific bond energies are not as yet known, neither of these requirements seems unreasonable.

CID of $ScC_6H_{10}^+$ yields a variety of products (reactions 17-22). Although the products in reactions 17-19 are

Sco

$$\begin{array}{c} 11\% \\ ScC_{6}H_{8}^{+} + H_{2} \\ \hline 16\% \\ ScC_{6}H_{6}^{+} + 2H_{6} \\ \end{array}$$
(17)

$$C_{6}H_{10}^{+} \xrightarrow{CID} SCC_{6}H_{4}^{+} + 3H_{2}^{-}$$
 (19)

 $\frac{11\%}{12\%} ScC_5H_6^+ + CH_4 \qquad (20)$

$$\frac{46\%}{22} \operatorname{ScC}_{5}H_{5} + \operatorname{CH}_{4} + H \cdot \quad (21)$$

$$L_{10} = Sc^* + C_6 H_{10}$$
 (22)

consistent with the Sc⁺–cyclohexene structure predicted by the mechanism in Scheme I, reactions 20 and 21 clearly



indicate the presence of other structures and, therefore, other reaction pathways.

It is with the linear pentenes that C-C bond cleavage is first seen in the primary reactions for Sc⁺. Single and double dehydrogenations, however, are still the predominant reactions and account for approximately 60% of the products observed in reactions with 1-pentene and 76% of the products observed in reactions with trans-2-pentene. Double dehydrogenation itself is responsible for about 53% and 69% of the reaction products, respectively. It is interesting to note that neither Fe⁺ nor Co⁺ dehydrogenates any linear alkene more than once, except in the case of Fe⁺ with trans-3-hexene where double dehydrogenation accounts for only 4% of the total product ion distribution.²¹ For Ti⁺, however, double dehydrogenations exclusively are observed for alkenes with a five-carbon chain or longer.⁵ This behavior supports the trend for the preference of C-H bond insertion by the early transition metals, whereas C-C bond insertion predominates for the later transition metals.

As the chain length increases, the ratio of dehydrogenation to C-C bond cleavage is expected to decrease due in part to lower C-C bond energies, and this is what is observed for the three linear hexenes and 1-heptene studied. The dehydrogenation products from the hexenes still represent the majority of the products, but the C-C bond cleavage processes become much more competitive.

The trend for dehydrogenation at first glance seems to come to an abrupt end in the reactions of Sc^+ with 1heptene. Only 12% of the total products results from dehydrogenation processes alone. However, as the chain length increases, multiple losses (i.e., loss of a small alkane together with loss of H_2) begin to dominate the product ion distributions which again demonstrates the extent to which dehydrogenation is a favorable process for Sc^+ .

Reactions with Branched Alkenes. The reactions of Sc⁺ with branched alkenes also reveal a preference for dehydrogenation as opposed to C-C bond cleavage (Table II). Sc⁺ reacts with isobutene producing $ScC_4H_6^+$ with a loss of H_2 . CID of this ion gives the same three products observed for ScC₄H₆⁺ generated from linear butenes (reactions 12-14). However, loss of H_2 is not as favored from $ScC_4H_6^+$ generated from isobutene, which may suggest that the dehydrogenation product of isobutene is a Sc⁺-trimethylenemethane species. This would be consistent with the 1,3-dehydrogenation processes observed for Sc^+ with alkanes.⁶ More striking differences of the $ScC_4H_6^+$ isomers will be discussed later. In an attempt to elucidate the mechanism of the dehydrogenation reaction with isobutene, 2-(methyl- d_3)propene-3,3,3- d_3 was studied. Statistical scrambling with an apparent isotope effect of $K_{\rm H}/K_{\rm D} \approx 1.7$ was observed, suggesting rapid equilibration between a hydridomethallyl intermediate and isobutene

⁽²⁰⁾ Armentrout, P. B.; Halle, L. F.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6624.

⁽²¹⁾ Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7484.

Table II. Neutral Products Lost in the Primary Reactions of Sc⁺, Fe⁺, and Co⁺ with Branched Alkenes

						neutral fi	ragments	lost, in %				
alkene	M ⁺	H ₂	$2H_2$	$3H_2$	CH_4	CH_4, H_2	C_2H_4	(C ₂ H ₆)	C_3H_6	(C ₃ H ₈)	C_4H_8	(C ₄ H ₁₀)
2-methylpropene	Sc	100										
	Fe^{a}		no r	eaction								
	Co^b	88					12					
2-methyl-1-butene	Sc	65	23		5			7				
•	Fe^{a}	26			41		28		5			
	Co^b	46			26		20		8			
3-methyl-1-butene	Sc	53	29		7			11				
·	Fe^{a}	21			42		29		8			
	Co^b	35			35		22		8			
2-methyl-2-butene	Sc	64	30					6	-			
v	Fe^{a}	35			34		24	-	7			
	Co^b	34			30		26		10			
2-methyl-1-pentene	Sc	5	33	8	8	11		25		10		
	Fe^{a}	9		-	18		54		2	4	11	2
	Coc	2					83		1	-	6	-
3-methyl-1-pentene	Sc	6	56	4	2	6	•••	23	-	3	ç	
	Fe ^c	20		-	20	Ŭ,	7	26	5	3	19	
	Coc	13			8		9	47	7	5	11	
4-methyl-1-pentene	Sc	3	26	9	4	25	•	19		14		
	Fe ^a	4		•	22^{-}		6		56	8	2	2
	Co	4					2		86	Ũ	-	-
2-methyl-2-pentene	Sc	6	17	6	8	14	-	39	00	10		
2 monyi 2 pontene	\overline{Fe}^{a}	12		0	30		37	00	6	5	6	4
	Coc	22			45		17	2	ğ	U	5	-1
3-methyl-2-pentene	Sc	6	78	3	2	3	11	7	U	1	U	
o mongr 2 pontono	Fe ^a	14	.0	Ū.	24	0	23	19	3	4	9	4
	Coc	7			56		14	14	4	1	5	7
4-methyl-2-pentene	Sc	3	33	9	2	31		14	I	8	0	
r meenyr 2 pentene	Fe ^c	11	00	U	44	01	3	1	22	6	8	5
	Co	10			58		13	-	12	4	3	0
2.3-dimethyl-1-butene	Sc	27	38	5	5	8	10	q	12	8	U	
2,8 annemyr i Satene	Ee ^a	ĩ6	00	0	62	0	6	4	2	6	1	3
	Co	19			49		12	11	4	0	5	Ũ
3.3-dimethyl-1-butene	Sc	2	<1	1	57	28	12	4	Ŧ	8	0	
5,5-aimetity1-1-batene	Ee ^a	10	-1	1	67	20	10	-	2	6	9	3
	Co	15			63		19		7	U	3	J
2.3-dimethyl-2-hutene	Sc	27	20	5	7	q	12	91	1	11	0	
2,5 annemyr-2-5aterre	Ee ^a	20	40	0	57	0	8	4		5	4	2
	Cob	29			46		20	Ŧ		0	5	4
	00	20					20				J	

^aSee ref 21. ^bSee ref 20. ^c Hettich, R. L.; Freiser, B. S., unpublished results. The parentheses indicate empirical formula only, e.g. C_3H_8 could be H_2 , C_3H_6 .

occurs prior to loss of H_2 . As in the case of the linear butenes, the secondary reactions of isobutene include C-C bond cleavage in addition to C-H bond cleavage (reactions 23 and 24). A proposed mechanism for reaction 24 is given

$$ScC_4H_6^+$$
 + isobutene $-\frac{75\%}{25\%}$ $ScC_8H_{12}^+$ + H_2 (23)
 25% $ScC_7H_{10}^+$ + CH_4 (24)

in Scheme II which involves either C-H or C-C insertion. The intermediate in the C-H insertion pathway has no β -hydrogens, thus leaving only the possibility for a β methyl shift and reductive elimination of methane. Conversely, the intermediate in the C-C insertion pathway has β -hydrogens which can undergo β -hydrogen shifts to generate a complex that will also reductively eliminate methane. Support for the final product proposed in Scheme II is found in the CID results which show loss of the allene ligand to be the predominant process. In comparing the reactivity of other transition-metal ions with isobutene, we note that Fe⁺ is unreactive while Co⁺ both dehydrogenates and also cleaves a C-C bond resulting in a loss of C_2H_4 . Interestingly, CID on $CoC_4H_6^+$ from isobutene yields exclusive loss of C_4H_6 suggesting a rearranged Co⁺-butadiene structure.²²

Approximately 94% of the products in the reactions of Sc^+ with 2-methyl-2-butene and 88% of the products in

the reaction with 2-methyl-1-butene result from dehydrogenations. The product distribution for the reaction with 2-methyl-1-butene is given in reactions 25–28. It is

$$Sc^{+} +$$
 $ScC_{5}H_{8}^{+} + H_{2}$ (25)
 $ScC_{5}H_{6}^{+} + 2H_{2}$ (26)

$$\frac{3}{7} \operatorname{ScC}_4 \operatorname{H}_6^+ + \operatorname{CH}_4 \qquad (27)$$

 $ScC_3H_4^+ + (C_2H_6)$ (28)

somewhat surprising that the dehydrogenation to C–C bond cleavage ratio is larger for the isomeric branched butenes than the linear pentenes. It is also interesting to note that neither Fe⁺ nor Co⁺ dehydrogenates any of these branched alkenes more than once. CID on $ScC_5H_6^+$ from reaction 26 shows fragmentation and loss of the entire ligand (reactions 29–34). As discussed in greater detail below, these results indicate that this ion does not rearrange to a cyclopentadiene ring structure.

$$\frac{14\%}{1\%} ScC_5H_5^+ + H. \qquad (29)$$

$$S_{CC_{c}H_{d}}^{*} = \frac{9\%}{CID} = S_{c}C_{3}H_{4}^{*} + C_{2}H_{2}$$
 (31)

$$\begin{array}{c} 27\% - ScC_{3}H_{2}^{+} + C_{2}H_{4} & (33) \\ \hline 40\% - Sc^{+} + C_{5}H_{6} & (34) \end{array}$$

⁽²²⁾ Hettich, R. L. Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, 1986.

Table III. Neutral Products Lost in the Primary and Secondary Reactions of Sc⁺ with Cyclic Alkenes

alkene	primary neutral lost	rel %	primary product ion	secondary neutral lost	rel %	secondary product ion
cyclopentene	H_2	>98	sc++//	$2H_2$	100	Sc+O
cyclohexene	$2H_2$	100	sc*	$2H_2$	100	⊖ sc → ⊖
1-methylcyclohexene	2H ₂	100	sc⁺	$2H_2$	100	Sc ⁺ €

The reactions of Sc⁺ with 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene (I), 2,3-dimethyl-1-butene (II), and 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene (III) offer additional insight. For both I and II, dehydrogenation reactions are the major processes observed (>50%). However, dehydrogenations account for <5% of the total products observed for III. This supports the idea that Sc⁺ initially coordinates to alkenes at the double bond. Following coordination, I and II both have β -hydrogens which can be transferred onto the metal to initiate the dehydrogenation process. Since there are no β -hydrogens in III, dehydrogenation does not readily occur. Instead, Sc⁺ can insert into one of the three terminal C–C bonds which makes the loss of CH_4 the most probable result. This is indeed what is observed, with the loss of CH₄ corresponding to $\sim 57\%$ of the total products observed in the case of 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene.

Reactions with Cyclic Alkenes. The reactions of Sc⁺ with this last class of alkenes yield exclusively dehydrogenation processes, as seen in Table III. In the case of cyclopentene, single dehydrogenation is the major process observed with a minor amount of double dehydrogenation also observed. CID of the single dehydrogenation product $ScC_5H_6^+$ yields predominantly Sc⁺-cyclopentadienyl and the bare metal ion at low kinetic energies with some additional fragmentation products appearing at higher energies (reactions 35–40). Although these reaction path-

$$42\%$$
 ScC₅H₅⁺ + H• (35)

$$ScC_5H_4^+ + H_2$$
 (36)

$$ScC_{5}H_{6}^{+} \xrightarrow{CID} ScC_{3}H_{4}^{+} + C_{2}H_{2}$$
 (37)

$$\frac{60 \text{ eV}}{4\%} \text{ ScC}_3 \text{H}_3^+ + \text{C}_2 \text{H}_3 \qquad (38)$$

 $\frac{10\%}{5} \text{ ScC}_{3}\text{H}_{2}^{+} + \text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{4} \tag{39}$

$$24\%$$
 Sc⁺ + C₅H₆ (40

ways are the same as reactions 29–34, the relative abundances differ significantly from the CID results obtained from $ScC_5H_6^+$ generated from the linear and branched alkenes.

Double dehydrogenations were the exclusive processes observed in the reaction of Sc⁺ with both cyclohexene and 1-methylcyclohexene resulting in presumably the Sc⁺benzene and Sc⁺-toluene ions, respectively. This is supported by CID results. CID of ScC₆H₆⁺ generated from cyclohexene yields loss of C₆H₆ exclusively. Similarly, CID of ScC₇H₈⁺ generated from 1-methylcyclohexene yields the loss of C₇H₈ exclusively. These results for Sc⁺ can be compared to those obtained for Fe⁺ and Co⁺ which also showed double dehydrogenation as the major product with cyclohexene.²³

The secondary reactions of these cyclic alkenes strongly mimic the primary reactions. Again, only dehydrogenations are observed. Sc⁺-cyclopentadiene doubly dehydrogenates cyclopentene to form presumably the Sc⁺bis(cyclopentadienyl) complex (i.e. scandocenium). The Sc⁺-bis(cyclopentadienyl) structure is supported by CID

Table IV. $ScC_4H_6^+$ Differentiation

ScC.H.+	CID at ~44 eV neutral	ion-molecule reactions				
precursor	loss (%)	benzene	acetonitrile			
linear butenes	$\begin{array}{c} -\mathrm{C_4H_6} \ (69) \\ -\mathrm{C_2H_4} \ (15) \\ -\mathrm{H_2} \ (16) \end{array}$	condensation (100%)	condensation (100%)			
isobutene	$-C_4H_6$ (77) $-C_2H_4$ (15) $-H_2$ (8)	condensation (52%) dehydrogenation (48%)	condensation (54%) dehydrogenation (46%)			
propene	$\begin{array}{c} -\mathrm{C_4}\mathrm{H_6}\ (63)\\ -\mathrm{C_2}\mathrm{H_4}\ (29)\\ -\mathrm{H_2}\ (8) \end{array}$	condensation (70%) dehydrogenation (30%)	condensation (66%) dehydrogenation (34%)			

results which show the appearance of $ScC_5H_5^+$ as the major fragment ion. Likewise, the only reaction seen for Sc⁺benzene and Sc⁺-toluene with cyclohexene and 1methyl-1-cyclohexene are double dehydrogenations forming the Sc⁺-bis(benzene) complex and the Sc⁺-bis(toluene) complex, respectively. CID on the secondary product from cyclohexene yields sequential losses of C₆H₆ and, likewise, sequential losses of C₇H₈ fragments are observed for the toluene system supporting the bis(arene) structures.

Isomer Differentiation. Throughout this study, a number of isomeric species have been observed. Three of these, $ScC_4H_6^+$, $ScC_4H_4^+$, and $ScC_4H_6^+$, have been the subject of further investigation. The methods used to attempt to differentiate the various isomers included CID, specific ion-molecule reactions, and H/D exchange experiments. In a number of cases, either a single method or a combinition of the above mentioned methods yielded evidence for the existence of unique isomeric species. Unfortunately, in other cases the results were not clearly distinguishable, and, therefore, isomer differentiation was not possible. In addition, the presence of mixtures of isomeric ions could not be elucidated or ruled out.

The first isomer studied was $ScC_4H_6^+$ generated as the dehydrogenation product from the linear butenes (reaction 10) or isobutene, and in the secondary reactions with propene (reaction 9). The results are summarized in Table IV. The CID of these isomers all show losses of C_4H_6 , C_2H_4 , and H_2 with approximately the same ratios. However, the existence of at least two different isomers is supported by ion-molecule reactions with benzene and acetonitrile. $ScC_4H_6^+$ generated from the linear butenes only showed condensation with both benzene and acetonitrile, while $ScC_4H_6^+$ generated from isobutene and propene was observed to lose H_2 in its reactions with both benzene and acetonitrile as well as yield the corresponding condensation products.

 $\rm H/D$ exchange experiments were also performed on these isomers by using $\rm C_3D_6$ as the deuteriating reagent. $\rm ScC_4H_6^+$ generated from the linear butenes gave four rapid exchanges followed by two slower exchanges which sup-

Table V. ScC₄H₄⁺ Differentiation

		ion-molecule reactions					
$ScC_4H_4^+$ precursor	CID at 20 eV neutral loss (%)	hydrogen cyanide neutral loss (%)	acetonitrile neutral loss (%)				
1,3-butad- iene	$\begin{array}{c} -C_4H_4 \ (21) \\ -C_2H_2 \ (28) \\ -H_2 \ (51) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -C_{2}H_{2} (9) \\ -H_{2} (70) \\ cond^{a} (21) \end{array}$	$-C_2H_2$ (8) $-H_2$ (22) cond ^a (70)				
ethene	$-C_4H_4$ (24) $-C_2H_2$ (14) $-H_2$ (62)	$-C_2H_2$ (10) $-H_2$ (71) cond ^a (19)	$-C_2H_2$ (8) $-H_2$ (24) cond ^a (68)				
cyclo- butane	$-C_4H_4$ (29) $-C_2H_2$ (71) $-H_2$ (0)	$-C_{2}H_{2}$ (20) $-H_{2}$ (80) cond ^a (0)	$-C_2H_2$ (22) $-H_2$ (39) cond ^a (39)				

^{*a*} Cond = condensation.

Scheme III



ports the butadiene structure. Isobutene yielded six very slow exchanges which differs from the linear butenes. H/D exchange could not successfully be performed on $ScC_4H_6^+$ generated from propene due to a small product ion signal and difficulty in initially isolating an unscrambled $ScC_4H_6^+$ species. The $ScC_4H_6^+$ generated from isobutene and propene apparently are very similar if not identical. The possibility of a mixture also exists.

The next isomer studied, $ScC_4H_4^+$, can be generated from three different precursors: ethene, cyclobutane, and butadiene. The results are given in Table V and indicate that $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from cyclobutane is clearly different than the ions generated from ethene and butadiene. The results for $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from ethene and 1,3butadiene, however, are more ambiguous. The CID spectra for $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from the three neutral precursors are shown in Figure 1. The curves represent a visual fit through the data. The most striking difference observed is that, while the ions from ethene and butadiene lose H_2 , those from cyclobutane do not. This result provides evidence for at least two stable $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomers. Although the CID spectra from ethene and butadiene are similar, they differ in that C_2H_2 loss is a more dominant process at lower energies for the species generated from butadiene. In fact it is surprising that C_2H_2 loss is least from the species one would most expect to observe it, namely, from ethene. This suggests, however, that the C_4H_4 ligand generated from ethene is predominantly coupled and in the form of a single ligand as discussed below.

To further probe the structures of the isomeric $ScC_4H_4^+$ ions, ion-molecule reactions with hydrogen cyanide and acetonitrile were studied. With hydrogen cyanide, three products were observed for $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from ethene and 1,3-butadiene (reactions 41-43). For $ScC_4H_4^+$ gen-

$$= \operatorname{Sc}(C_4H_4)(\operatorname{HCN})^{\dagger}$$
 (41)

$$ScC_4H_4^+ + HCN \longrightarrow Sc(C_5H_3N)^+ + H_2$$
 (42)

$$\rightarrow$$
 Sc(C₂H₂)(HCN)⁺ + C₂H₂ (43)

erated from cyclobutane, reaction 41 was not observed. It

Scheme IV



is interesting that H_2 loss is observed (reaction 42), whereas it is not observed in the CID spectra. Thus, in agreement with the CID experiments, these results also differentiate $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from cyclobutane from that generated from ethene and 1,3-butadiene. The product ion distribution for the latter two species, once again, are not significantly different enough to claim that they are unique isomers. However, the small amount of C_2H_2 loss (10%) for $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from ethene is further evidence for a single ligand species. When the $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomers were allowed to react with acetonitrile, three products were observed (reactions 44-46). Again, only subtle differences

$$= \operatorname{Sc}(C_4H_4)(\operatorname{CD}_3\operatorname{CN})^+$$
 (44)

$$S_{CC_4H_4^+} + CD_3CN \longrightarrow S_{C(C_4H_2)(CD_3CN)^+} + H_2$$
 (45)

$$----- Sc(C_2H_2)(CD_3CN)^+ + C_2H_2$$
 (46)

in the reactivity of these ions were observed. Condensation of acetonitrile (reaction 44) is by far the predominant process. The fact that loss of C₂H₂ again seems to be more favorable for cyclobutane, 22% compared to 8% for both ethene and butadiene, supports a bis(acetylene) structure for at least a portion of the population of this ion and a one ligand structure for the other two isomers. H/D exchange experiments were attempted on the $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomers but proved to be inconclusive. Other reagents used included benzene, pyridine, and ammonia. In each case loss of C_2H_2 was greatest for $ScC_4H_4^+$ generated from cyclobutane. However, no other striking differences in products or product ion distributions were observed. Finally, photodissociation experiments yield evidence for the existence of two distinct isomers. Figure 2 shows the results of trapping each isomer for five seconds while irradiating them at 370 nm (77 kcal/mol). Each of the isomers show the same losses as in the CID experiment, except for cyclobutane which also shows a loss of H_2 which is absent in its CID spectrum. The cyclobutane case once again shows a greater occurrence of C_2H_2 loss than do ethene or butadiene, and the data for ethene and butadiene are remarkably similar. A mechanism for the formation of the bis(acetylene) species generated from cyclobutane is shown in Scheme III. This mechanism invokes C-H insertions and a sequence of β -hydrogen shifts that are typical of Sc^+ chemistry. The great ring strain is alleviated by decomposition of the four-member ring into two acetylene ligands. A possible mechanism for the formation of



Figure 1. CID product ion intensities vs ion kinetic energy for $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomers generated from (a) ethene (b) 1,3-butadiene, and (c) cyclobutane.



Figure 2. Photodissociation spectra (using 370-nm light) of $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomers generated from (a) ethene, (b) 1,3-butadiene, and (c) cyclobutane. Note: the presence of ScO^+ arises from background oxygen in the system.

a monoligand species from the reaction with butadiene is given in Scheme IV. Since the data collected on the $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomers generated from butadiene and ethene do

Table VI.	ScC ₅ H ₆ ⁺	Differentiation
-----------	--	-----------------

$ScC_5H_6^+$ precursor	CID at 60 eV neutral loss (%)	H/D exchange
branched butenes ^a	$\begin{array}{c} -\mathrm{H}^{\bullet} \ (14) \\ -\mathrm{H}_{2} \ (1) \\ -\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{2} \ (9) \\ -\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{3} \ (9) \\ -\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{H}_{4} \ (27) \\ -\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{6} \ (40) \end{array}$	six very slow exchanges
cyclopentene	$-H^{\bullet} (42) -H_2 (19) -C_2H_2 (1) -C_2H_3 (4) -C_2H_4 (10) -C_5H_6 (24)$	one fast followed by five slower exchanges

^a The branched butenes represent the acyclic isomer. CID results here are from $ScC_5H_6^+$ generated from 2-methyl-1-butene.

not unambiguously differentiate between these two isomers, a mechanism for the reaction of Sc^+ with ethene producing the same product as the reaction with butadiene is proposed in Scheme V and invokes a migratory insertion of acetylene into a Sc^+ -alkylidene bond. Next, concerted loss of H₂ and ring closure result in the formation of the same product ion proposed in the reaction with 1,3-butadiene (Scheme IV). In addition, exclusive loss of H₂ and absence of the H/D exchange products ScC_2HD^+ and $ScC_2H_2^+$ in the reaction of $ScC_2D_2^+$ with C_2H_4 support initial insertion into a C-H bond in the incoming ethylene and the absence of a reversible bis(alkylidene) intermediate.

Another species whose isomers can be readily differentiated is $ScC_5H_6^+$. The cyclic species (generated presumably by dehydrogenation of cyclopentene) shows major differences in both CID results and H/D exchange experiments compared to the acyclic species (dehydrogenation product of 2-methyl-1-butene). The CID results for the acyclic species shows a higher degree of fragmentation, i.e. formation of $ScC_3H_4^+$, $ScC_3H_3^+$, and $ScC_3H_2^+$, than does the cyclic species. In addition, the H/D exchange results for the acyclic species shows six slow exchanges with C_2D_4 , whereas the cyclic species exhibits one rapid exchange followed by five slow exchanges. The latter behavior is characteristic of the hydrido-cyclopentadienyl structure.²³ These results can be found in Table VI. In general agreement with the CID results, photodissociation of the cyclic species yields considerably less fragmentation than does the acyclic species. Surprisingly, no significant differences were detected between the $ScC_5H_6^+$ ions generated from the branched butenes or the linear pentenes using any of the techniques mentioned above.

Thermochemistry. It is possible to extract thermochemical data from the FTMS experiment. The heats of formation of acetylene and ethene and the fact that Sc⁺ dehydrogenates ethene yields $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-acetylene) > 41.7$ kcal/mol.¹⁸ Likewise, it is possible to derive lower limits on all of the Sc⁺-ligand bond energies formed through the reactions of Sc⁺ with the various alkenes studied. In order to successfully bracket the Sc⁺-ligand bond energy, however, first a known Sc⁺-ligand bond strength is needed. Second, it must be possible to form and isolate this Sc⁺-ligand complex in our system. Beauchamp and Tolbert reported $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-CH_3) = 65 \pm 5$ kcal/mol and $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-H) = 54 \pm 4$ kcal/mol.⁶ In a more recent study, Armentrout and co-workers, using slightly more sensitive instrumentation determined $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-CH_3) = 59.0 \pm 3$ kcal/mol and $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-H) = 56.2 \pm 2$ kcal/mol.¹⁹ A variety

⁽²³⁾ Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7492.

of ab initio techniques have also been used²⁴ yielding a theoretical bond dissociation energy, $D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}-H)$, that is in good agreement with the experimental results. Both the Sc^+-CH_3 and Sc^+-H ions are products of endothermic reactions of Sc⁺ with ethane, whereas generally only exothermic processes are monitored in our experiment. MCH_3^+ can be formed by the exothermic reaction of CH_3I with the later transition metal ions such as Fe^+ and Co^+ , but this reaction is not observed for the early transition metals. A new experiment which has recently been developed for the FTMS,¹⁶ however, provides a method of forming and studying product ions that result from endothermic reactions in analogy to the ion-beam experiment. With use of this methodology, Sc^+-H was formed by accelerating Sc^+ , immediately after its formation, and then allowing it to react with ethane. Isolation of the Sc⁺-H ion was accomplished by carefully ejecting the remaining Sc⁺ and using an RF sweep to eject all ions higher in mass than the Sc⁺-H.

With this accomplished, an attempt to bracket D° - $(Sc^+-benzene)$ was made. The Sc⁺-benzene system was chosen because it has previously been shown in our laboratory that Sc⁺ reacts with cyclohexane to form Sc⁺benzene indicating $D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}-benzene) > 49.25 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{18}$ To bracket $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-benzene)$, benzene was allowed to react with the isolated Sc⁺-H resulting in three products (reactions 47-49). CID of the product of reaction 47 yields

ScH⁺ +
$$37\%$$
 ScC₆H₇⁺ (47)
6% ScC₆H₆⁺ + H· (48)

$$57\%$$
 ScC₆H₅⁺ + H₂ (49)

Sc⁺-H exclusively, which suggests, although not conclusively,²⁶ that the Sc^+-H bond strength is stronger than the Sc⁺-benzene bond strength. The appearance of reaction 48 is apparently a result of a small amount of endothermic reaction and suggests that $D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}-H)$ is only about 1 or 2 kcal/mol stronger than $D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}-benzene)$. Thus, these results indicate 49.25 kcal/mol $< D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}$ benzene) < 56.2 \pm 2 kcal/mol, from which a value D°- $(Sc^+-benzene) = 53 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol is assigned}$. This is in excellent agreement with photodissociation experiments yielding $D^{\circ}(Sc^+-benzene) = 50 \pm 5 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{27}$ An upper limit of 368 kcal/mol was calculated for $\Delta H_{\rm f}({\rm ScC}_6{\rm H}_5)^+$ generated in reaction 49. Benzene has proven to be a useful ligand displacement reagent,²⁸ as further exemplified in this study. In particular, benzene was observed to displace acetylene from $ScC_2H_2^+$ (generated from ethene) (reaction 50), indicating that $56.2 \pm 2 \text{ kcal/mol} > D^{\circ}$ -

$$ScC_2H_2^* + \bigcirc \longrightarrow Sc + C_2H_2$$
 (50)

 $(Sc^+-benzene) > D^{\circ}(Sc^+-C_2H_2) > 41.7 \text{ kcal/mol.}$ This value is considerably, and at this time inexplicably, lower than a previously reported value for $D(Sc^+-C_2H_2) = 78$ kcal/mol¹⁹ but is comparable to a reported value of $D^{\circ}(\dot{V}^{+}-C_{2}H_{2}) = 51 \text{ kcal/mol.}^{29}$ In a similar experiment butadiene was found to displace benzene (reaction 51),

thus indicating $D^{\circ}(\text{Sc}^+-\text{C}_4\text{H}_6) > 49.2 \text{ kcal/mol. Supporting}$ this result is the observation that (benzene)(butadiene)Sc⁺ undergoes collision-induced dissociation to lose predominantly benzene. It is perhaps surprising that a formally six-electron donor, benzene, is displaced in favor of butadiene and, in contrast, benzene appears to be bound somewhat more strongly than butadiene for Fe⁺ and Co^{+.14,30} The explanation for these interesting results awaits a detailed theoretical treatment.

Conclusions

The results of this study point to a preference for dehydrogenation processes in the reactions of Sc⁺ with various linear, branched, and cyclic olefins. These processes may be exclusive single or multiple dehydrogenations, or losses of H_2 can occur in conjunction with losses of small alkanes. This is consistent with the previously reported reactions of Sc⁺ with hydrocarbons⁶ in which single and double dehydrogenations were the predominant processes that were observed (73% of the total products in the reaction with propane, 59% for the reactions with n-butane, and 82% for the reaction with isobutane) and is also consistent with the trend for dehydrogenation demonstrated by other early first-row transition metals.^{4,5} A significant difference in the reactions of Sc⁺ with alkenes is the absence of dialkyl products which were observed for Sc^+ with alkanes.⁶ For example, Sc^+ reacts with *n*-butane to generate $Sc(CH_3)_2^+$. The analogous species were not observed in reactions with alkenes. The results for Sc⁺ are also quite different from those obtained for the groups 8-10 transition metals where a strong preference for C-C bond cleavage reactions was observed.¹²

The results of this study also imply that Sc⁺ is more reactive than Fe⁺ or Co⁺. For example, Sc⁺ dehydrogenates ethene and propene and Fe⁺ and Co⁺ do not. This difference may be due to the fact that the bond energies of acetylene and allene to Sc⁺ are stronger than those to Fe⁺ and Co⁺.

CID, ion-molecule reactions, and H/D exchange experiments were used to suggest at least two isomers each for $ScC_4H_6^+$, $ScC_4H_4^+$, and $ScC_5H_6^+$. There was some evidence for a third $ScC_4H_4^+$ isomer, but, due to lack of significant differences, an absolute assignment could not be made.

Finally, this work further demonstrated the cability of FTMS for studying endothermic reactions, which proved to be a valuable aid in bracketing $D^{\circ}(Sc^{+}-benzene)$.

Acknowledgment is made to the Division of Chemical Sciences in the office of Basic Energy Sciences in the United States Department of Energy (DE-FG02-87ER13766) and the National Science Foundation (CHE-8612234) for supporting the advancement of FTMS methodolgy.

Registry No. C₂H₄, 74-85-1; C₃H₆, 115-07-1; (Z)-C₄H₈, 590-18-1; (E)-C₄H₈, 624-64-6; CH₂=C(CH₃CH₂CH₃, 563-46-2; C₆H₆, 71-43-2; CH2=CHCH=CH2, 106-99-0; CH2CH2CH2, 287-23-0; Sc⁺, 14336-93-7; Fe⁺, 14067-02-8; Co⁺, 16610-75-6; 1-pentene, 109-67-1;

^{(24) (}a) Pettersson, L. G.; Bauschlicher, C. W.; Langhoff, S. R., Partridge, H. J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 87, 481. (b) Rappe, A. K.; Upton, T. H. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 85, 4400. (c) Schilling, J. B.; Goddard, W. A.; Beauchamp, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 582. (d) Alvarado-Swaisgood, A. E.; Harrison, J. F. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 5198. (25) Allison, J.; Ridge, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4998. (26) Angele Ang

⁽²⁶⁾ Angular momentum constraints would favor loss of the heavier molety, C_6H_6 , over loss of H to some extent. Thus, the CID results are not by themselves conclusive.

⁽²⁷⁾ Lech, L. M.; Freiser, B. S., in preparation.
(28) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4623.

⁽²⁹⁾ Aristov, N.; Armentrout, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1806. (30) Jacobson, D. B.; Freiser, B. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3891.

trans-2-pentene, 646-04-8; 1-hexene, 592-41-6; trans-2-hexene, 4050-45-7; trans-3-hexene, 13269-52-8; 1-heptene, 592-76-7; 2-methylpropene, 115-11-7; 3-methyl-1-butene, 563-45-1; 2-methyl-2-butene, 513-35-9; 2-methyl-1-pentene, 763-29-1; 3-methyl-1-pentene, 760-20-3; 4-methyl-1-pentene, 691-37-2; 2-

methyl-2-pentene, 625-27-4; 3-methyl-2-pentene, 922-61-2; 4methyl-2-pentene, 4461-48-7; 2,3-dimethyl-1-butene, 563-78-0; 3,3-dimethyl-1-butene, 558-37-2; 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene, 563-79-1; cyclopentene, 142-29-0; cyclohexene, 110-83-8; 1-methylcyclohexene, 591-49-1; isobutene, 115-11-7.

Ortho-Chelated Arylrhodium(I) Complexes. X-ray Structure of $Rh^{I}[C_{6}H_{3}(CH_{2}NMe_{2})_{2}-o,o'-C,N](COD)$

Adolphus A. H. van der Zeijden, Gerard van Koten,* and Richard A. Nordemann

Anorganisch Chemisch Laboratorium, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Biserka Kojić-Prodić and Anthony L. Spek

Vakgroep Algemene Chemie, Afdeling Kristal- en Structuurchemie, University of Utrecht, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received November 9, 1987

The reaction of $\text{Li}_n[\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)\text{-}o\text{-R-}o']_n$ with $[\text{RhCl}(\text{diene})]_2$ yields the ortho-chelated arylrhodium(I) complexes $\text{Rh}[\text{C}_6\text{H}_3(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)\text{-}o\text{-R-}o'](\text{diene})$ ($\text{R} = \text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2$, diene = COD (1a) or NBD (1b); diene = COD, R = Me (2) or H (3)). The solid-state structure of 1a was determined by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. $C_{20}\text{H}_{31}\text{N}_2\text{Rh}$: triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, with lattice parameters a = 10.169 (1) Å, b = 13.036 (1) Å, c = 14.688 (2) Å, $\alpha = 79.54$ (1)°, $\beta = 77.04$ (1)°, $\gamma = 79.53^\circ$; V = 1845.6 (4) Å³, Z = 4; D(calcd) = 1.448 g cm⁻³. Refinement with 4696 observed reflections converged at R = 0.0395. The structure of 1a consists of a rhodium(I) center that has a square-planar coordination comprising the two double bonds of COD and a C atom and one of the N atoms of the monoanionic aryl ligand. In solution compounds 1 and 2 exhibit dynamic behavior which involves a reversible dissociation of the Rh–N bond and rotation of the aryl moiety around Rh–C. This process, which generates a highly unsaturated T-shaped 14 electron species, is accompanied by the relief of steric repulsions within the complex. Complex 1 reacts with a range of electrophilic reagents leading to Rh–C bond breakage (HX, X = acac, Cl, Br, OAc, OH, OMe, L-alanyl; MX_nL_m, SnMe₂Br₂, NiBr₂(PBu₃)₂, ZrCl₄, PdCl₂(NCPh)₂, HgCl₂, PtBr₂(COD), and [IrCl(COD)]₂). A redox reaction of 1 with AgX (X = OAc, NO₃) leads to the formation of Rh^{III}X_2[C_6H_3(CH_2NMe_2)_{2}-0,o'](H_2O).

Introduction

Recently we reported on the syntheses of $Rh^{III}Cl_2$ -[C₆H₃(CH₂NMe₂)₂-o,o'](H₂O) via direct metalation of m-(Me₂NCH₂)₂C₆H₄ with RhCl₃(H₂O)₃ (eq 1).^{1v} The high



stability of this organometallic complex and its derivatives shows the stabilizing influence that the "built-in" ligands in the organo group have on the metal-carbon bond. In the special case of bis-ortho chelation, a terdentate monoanionic aryl ligand like $[C_6H_3(CH_2NMe_2)_2-o,o']$ encapsulates the complexed metal to some extent but still leaves enough distinctly positioned sites for the resulting complex to serve as a model compound for the study of elementary reaction processes. In this respect the use of organo, but also nonorgano,² terdentate ligands has been extensively exploited by us¹ and others³⁻⁶ and has produced many unprecedented results. The chemistry of nickel(II) and platinum(II) $[C_6H_3(CH_2NMe_2)_2-o,o']$ complexes has greatly improved our understanding of the elementary steps in electron-transfer processes between d⁸ metal complexes and electrophiles like alkyl halides and halogens.¹ These results prompted us to also develop the chemistry of

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Department of Metal Mediated Synthesis, University of Utrecht, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands.

^{(1) (}a) van Koten, G.; Leusink, A. J.; Noltes, J. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1970, 1107. (b) van Koten, G.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Noltes, J. G.; Spek, A. L.; Schoone, J. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 148, 233. (c) van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K.; Spek, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2014. (d) van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K. Inorg. Chem. 1982, 21, 2026. (e) van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 226, 93. (f) van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1982, 58, 35. (g) van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 222, 155. (h) Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Ubbels, H. J. C.; Spek, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4285. (i) Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Louwen, J. N.; Noltes, J. G.; Spek, A. L.; Ubbels, H. J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6609. (j) van der Ploeg, A. F. M. J.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K.; Spek, A. L.; Duisenberg, A. J. M. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1066. (k) Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Ubbels, H. J. C. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1366. (l) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; van Koten, G.; Konijn, M.; Stam, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5490. (m) Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Zoet, R.; Murrall, N. W.; Welch, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1379. (n) Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Ubbels, H. J. C.; Zoet, R.; Spek, A. L. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1003. (o) Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G.; Mul, W. P.; van der Zeijden, A. A. H.; Terheijden, J. Zoutberg, M. C.; Stam, C. H. Organometallics 1986, 5, 522. (p) Terheijden, J.; van Koten, G.; de Booijs, J. L.; Ubbels, H. J. C.; Stam, C. H. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1882. (q) Terheijden, J.; van Koten, G.; Muller, F.; Grove, D. M.; Vrieze, K.; Nielsen, E.; Stam, C. H. J. Organomet Chem. 1986, 315, 401. (r) Terheijden, J.; van Koten, G.; Muller, F.; Grove, D. M.; Vrieze, K.; Nielsen, E.; Stam, C. H. J. Organomet Chem. 1986, 315, 401. (r) Terheijden, J.; van Koten, G.; Muller, F.; Grove, D. M.; Vrieze, K.; Nielse