of 2a at -78 °C in a sealed degassed solution of CD_2Cl_2 gave a thermally unstable intermediate identified as the η^5 pentadienoyl complex 7 (Scheme I) from low-temperature ¹H NMR and IR data.^{9,10} Warming of 7 gave the hydroxyferrocene **3a** quantitatively.

The structure of 7 is proposed from the following data. After photolysis of a solution of 3a (CD₂Cl₂, -78 °C) in a sealed degassed NMR tube, the low-temperature (-40 °C) NMR spectra contained new vinyl absorptions centered at δ 6.54 ppm (2 H, m), a cyclopentadienyl absorption at δ 4.29 ppm (5 H, s), a high-field multiplet centered at δ 0.2 ppm (1 H, m), and changes in the complex aromatic resonances. The vinyl and high-field multiplets can be attributed to an ABX spectrum (H_A , δ 6.53 ppm; H_B , δ 6.55 ppm; H_X , $\delta 0.20$ ppm; $J_{AB} = 5.6$ Hz, $J_{AX} = -0.7$ Hz, $J_{BX} = 8.2$ Hz). In order to assign a specific hydrogen to the high-field absorption (and in turn assign the vinyl absorptions), we prepared the labeled butadienyl complex **2a**- d_1 (eq 2). Photolysis of a solution (CD₂Cl₂) of **2a**- d_1 at -78 °C and low-temperature ¹H NMR experiments gave a spectrum consistent with that observed for 7 including the absence of the high-field absorption (H_X) . This labeling experiment clearly indicates that the terminal hydrogen of 2a converts to the terminal hydrogen in the n^5 -pentadienovl complex 7. In addition, low-temperature IR experiments were also helpful in identifying a second structural feature of 7. Photolysis of 2a at -78 °C in CH_2Cl_2 resulted in the disappearance of the terminal carbonyl stretching absorptions with concomitant appearance of a strong 1720 cm⁻¹ stretch consistent with the ketene-like moiety present in 7.¹¹ In accord with the above NMR data, warming of this solution resulted in loss of the 1720 cm⁻¹ stretch with no appearance of new carbonyl absorptions.

A mechanism for the conversion of 2a to 3a which incorporates these above observed intermediates is summarized in Scheme I. The sole photochemical process is loss of a terminal carbonyl. Coordination of the terminal alkene moiety generates the η^3 -butadienyl intermediate 4. Carbonyl insertion gives 6. Rearrangment of 6 gives the η^5 -pentadienoyl complex 7. Formation of a C-C bond via electrocyclic ring closure from 7 followed by keto-enol tautomerization gives product 3a.

In conclusion, the high-yield conversion of η^1 -butadienyl complexes to hydroxyferrocenes appears to be general. Trapping experiments and low temperature spectroscopic data implicate an η^3 -butadienyl complex and a pentadienoyl complex as intermediates in the conversion. Further work concerning mechanistic studies and synthetic applications of this cyclization reaction are currently underway.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. J. Lay, Jr., at the National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, AR, for the high-resolution mass spectroscopic data. N.T.A.

(11) Herrmann, W. A. Chem. Ber. 1975, 486.

would like to thank the National Science Foundation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for funding of this research.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental descriptions and ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and high-resolution MS data for 2a, 2b, 2c, and 5 and high-resolution MS data for 3a, 3b, and 3c (4 pages). Ordering information given on any current masthead page.

Benzyltitanium and -zirconium Cobalt Carbonyls. Preparation and Spectroscopic Characterization

Tamás Bartik* and Berit Happ^{1a}

Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Veszprém H-8201 Veszprém, Schönherz Z.u.8., Hungary

Angela Sorkau and Karl-Heinz Thiele*

Department of Chemistry, Technical University "Carl Schorlemmer", Otto-Nuschke-Str. DDR-4200 Merseburg, GDR

Gyula Pályi*,1b

Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry L. Eötvös University H-1088 Budapest, Múzeum-krt. 5/8, Hungary

Received April 22, 1988

Summary: Tetrabenzyltitanium (1a) and -zirconium (1b) react with equimolar HCo(CO)₄ (2) to give the corresponding tribenzylmetal-cobalt tetracarbonyl (M = Ti, 5a; M = Zr, 5b) derivatives. Compounds 5 also can be obtained from (PhCH₂)₃MX (X = Cl, Br) compounds and $[Co(CO)_4]^-$ salts, while complexes 6 are formed from equimolar 5 and 2. Compounds 5 react with PPh₃ to give the substitution products (PhCH₂)₃M[Co(CO)₃(PPh₃)] (M = Ti, 7a; M = Zr, 7b), which also can be obtained from 1a or 1b and HCo(CO)₃(PPh₃). The new, very unstable bimetallic complexes 5, 6, and 7 were characterized by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Transition-metal-assisted or -catalyzed reactions often display a characteristic pattern of products which is typical for the metal. Bimetallic compounds are hoped, believed, or even proved to unify the advantages of the metal components.² This goal has been of great interest in the last

0276-7333/89/2308-0558\$01.50/0 © 1989 American Chemical Society

⁽⁹⁾ Approximately 60% conversion to 7 at -78 °C was accomplished with a photolysis time of 4 h. This is indicative of 7 quenching the initial photoreaction. As the hydroxyferrocene product 3a does not effectively quench the photoreaction, shorter times are needed (cf. ref 8) if the solution is warmed to room temperature occasionally during the reaction. At -40 °C slow conversion of 7 to 3a is observed in the ¹H NMR. Solubility problems have thwarted our efforts for low-temperature NMR observation of intermediates from photolysis of 2b and 2c.

⁽¹⁰⁾ An alkylvinylketene complex would constitute one canonical resonance form for 7. We thank one reviewer for pointing out that form 7 could better represent the structure of the observed intermediate. Vinylketene complexes have been postulated as intermediates in the Dötz-Wulff cyclization reaction: cf. Fischer, H.; Muhlemeier, J.; Markl, R.; Dötz, K. H. Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 1355. Dötz, K. H.; Muhlemeier, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 929.

^{(1) (}a) On leave from the Technical University of Merseburg. (b) Present address: Cattedra di Chimica dei Composti di Coordinazione, Dipartimento di Chimica, Universită di Modena, Modena, Via Campi 1983, Italy.

⁽²⁾ Early/late-transition-metal combination in organic synthesis: (a) Negishi, E.; Van Horn, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3168. (b) Negishi, E.; Okukado, N.; King, A. O.; Van Horn, D. E.; Spiegel, B. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2254. (c) Schwartz, J.; Loots, M. J.; Kosugi, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1333. (d) LaCroce, S. J.; Cutler, A. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2312. (e) Bodnar, T. W.; Cutler, A. R. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1558. Early suggestions about the importance of these combinations in catalysis: (f) Muetterties, E. L. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1975, 84, 959; 1976, 85, 451. (g) Demitras, G. C.; Muetterties, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2796. (h) Masters, C. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 17, 61. (i) Wong, K. S.; Labinger, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3652. (j) Casey, C. P.; Jordan, R. F.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 665.



^a For reaction conditions see in ref 14, (i) through (viii).

decade and has led to interesting results,³⁻⁶ but preparative work was mainly directed toward the most stable representatives, which, however, are not usually the most active catalysts.^{7,8}

In low-valent transition-metal complex catalysis group 4^9 alkyls (notably of Ti) and group 9^9 carbonyls (mostly those of Co and Rh) display important catalytic activity. In spite of the outstanding role of these metals, their combination in bimetallic compounds is very rare¹⁰⁻¹² and with the above-mentioned ligands is entirely lacking. This prompts us to report here¹³ preliminary results on Ti–Co and Zr–Co compounds bearing exclusively alkyl substitu-

(4) Early/late transition bimetallic combinations in carbon monoxide reduction chemistry: (a) Wolczanski, P. T.; Threlkel, R. S.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 218. (b) Caulton, K. G. J. Mol. Catal. 1981, 13, 71. (c) Marsella, J. A.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G.; Longato, B.; Norton, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6360. (d) Barger, P. T.; Bercaw, J. E. Organometallics 1984, 3, 278. (e) Knifton, J. F. Grigsby, R. A., Jr.; Lin, J. J. Organometallics 1984, 3, 62.

(5) Relevance to bioinorganic systems: (a) Ibers, J. A.; Holm, R. A. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1980, 209, 223. (b) Coucouvanis, D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1981, 14, 201.

(6) The role of bis(transition metal) complexes in heterogeneous catalysis: Guczi, L. In *Metal Clusters in Catalysis*; Gates, B. C., Guczi, L., Knözinger, H., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, **1986**; p 547.

(7) This "inherent" problem of organometallic mechanistic studies has been excellently pointed out in: Halpern, J. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1981, 50, 11.

(8) Reviews: (a) Gladfelter, W. L.; Geoffroy, G. L. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 18, 207. (b) Roberts, D. A.; Geoffroy, G. L. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Wilkinson, G.; Stone, F. G. A.; Abel, E. W., Eds.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1982; Vol. 6, Chapter 40, p 763. (c) Bruce, M. I. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 257, 417. (d) Bruce, M. I. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 283, 339.

(9) In this paper the recently proposed IUPAC and ACS notation is used for groups of the periodic table.

(10) An unsupported Ti-Co bond has been reported only in one case: Schmid, G.; Stutte, B.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 1239.

Schmid, G.; Stutte, B.; Boese, R. Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 1239.
(11) Relevant early/late bimetallic compounds with an unsupported metal-metal bond. [Ti-Fe]: (a) Sartain, W. J.; Selegue, J. P. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1812. [Ti-Ru]: (b) Sartain, W. J.; Selegue, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5818. [Zr-Fe]: ref 2j and (c) Casey, C. P.; Jordan, R. F.; Rheingold, A. L. Organometallics 1984, 3, 504. (d) Casey, C. P.; Nief, F. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1218. [Zr-Ru]: ref 2j, 11c, and (e) Casey, C. P.; Palermo, R. E.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 549. (f) Bursten, B. E.; Novo-Gradac, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 904.

(12) Bridging ligand-supported early/late bimetallic complexes. [Ti-Fe] (a) Targos, T. S.; Rosen, R. P.; Whittle, R. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 1375. [Zr-Fe]: ref 11d. [Zr-Ru]: ref 11d and (b) Casey, C. P.; Palermo, R. E.; Jordan, R. F.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4597. [Zr-Co]: ref 4d, 11d. [Zr-Ni]: (c) Gelmini, L.; Stephan, D. W. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 1222.

ents on the group 4 metal and carbonyl ligands on the cobalt.

The preprative observations are summarized in Scheme I.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Tetrabenzyltitanium (1a) and -zirconium (1b) react cleanly with a stoichiometric amount of hydridocobalt tetracarbonyl (2) in nonpolar solvents at low temperature (-40 °C) to give the respective bimetallic product 5. These products were obtained as very unstable red-brown crystals which, however, decomposed when the solvent was removed, even at low temperature. Thus they could be characterized only by spectroscopy and on the basis of their reactions. The formation of 5 and a strictly equivalent amount of toluene was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

(14) Conditions of the reactions indicated in Scheme I: (i) 1:2 = 1:1; toluene (or toluene-d₈) or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -40 °C; 2-10 min. The amount of toluene-d₈ formed in this reaction was measured by ¹H NMR (anisole internal standard): yield 100 \pm 5%. ¹H NMR yield: 5a, 100 \pm 5%; 5b, 90 \pm 5%. Side reaction: decomposition of 2 to H₂ (GC, 0-5%) and Co₂(CO)₈ (IR 0-5%).^{15a} (ii) 3:4 = 1:1; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -40 °C; 4 h. Yield of 5 varied from 10 to 50%; the latter obtained at 3ac + 4g. (iii) 1:2 = 1:2 or 5:2 = 1:1; conditions as at (i). Yield (¹H NMR): 6a, 85%; 6b, 75%. (iv) 5:PPh₃ = 1:1; toluene; 0 °C; 25 min; ¹H NMR yield: 7a, 45%; 7b, 35% (anisole internal standard). (v) 1:HCo-(CO)₃PPh₃ = 1:1; toluene, -40 to +25 °C; 10 min; ¹H NMR yield: 95-100% (anisole internal standard). (vi) Protolysis with water: 5:H₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C, 5 min. Yield (ir): ~100%.^{15b} (vii) Solvolysis with Et₂O: 5:Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O: 5:Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O: 5:Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15b} (viii) Solvolysis with Et₂O = 1:5; toluene or toluene/n-hexane (1:1); -10 °C; 5 min. Yield (IR): ~100%.^{15a}

(15) Determined by quantitative IR spectroscopy, using published data: [Co₂(CO)₈]: (a) Bor, G.; Bélafi-Réthy, K. MÁFKI Közl. (Res. Rep.) 1965, 294, 38. Bor, G.; Dietler, U. K.; Pino, P.; Poë, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 154, 301. [HCo(CO)₄]: (b) Bor, G.; Pályi, G., unpublished results.

(16) Characterization of the new compounds. **5a**: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 2067 s, 2013 m, 1982 vs, 1962 vs cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , -40 °C) δ_1 3.34 (s, 6 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_2 6.58 ([virtual] d, 6 H, ar-ortho-CH), δ_3 6.97 (m, 9 H, ar-meta + ar-para-CH) Anal. Co:Ti ratio: calcd, 1.00; found, 1.03. **5b**: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 2065 s, 2006 m, 1963 vs, br cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , -40 °C) δ_1 2.19 (s, 6 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_2 6.26 ([virt.] d, 6 H, ar-ortho-CH), δ_3 7.05 (m, 9 H, ar-meta + ar-para-CH). The assignment of the structure is based on close similarities with IR¹⁷ and ¹H NMR^{18,19} spectra of compounds with analogous structural elements. Anal. Co:Zr ratio: calcd, 1.00; found, 1:01. **6a**: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 2092 w, 2073 m, 2051 s, 2036 vs cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , -40 °C) δ_1 4.13 (s, 4 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_6 6.96 (m, 10 H, ar-CH). Anal. Co:Ti ratio: calcd, 2.00; found, 1.99. **6b**: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 2099 w, 2076 m, 2052 vs, 2034 s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , -40 °C) δ_1 2.44 (s, 4 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_2 7.00 (m, 10 H, ar-CH). Anal. Co:Zr ratio: calcd, 2.00; found, 1.99. **6b**: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 2099 w, 2076 m, 2052 vs, 2034 s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , 0°C) δ_1 3.55 (s, 2 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_2 6.75 (m, 20 H, ar-CH). Anal. Co:P:Ti ratio: calcd, 1.00:1.00:1.00. Found, 1.00:0.98:1.02. 7b: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 1996 m, 1937 vs, 1925 vs cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , 0°C) δ_1 2.49 (s, 2 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_2 7.3 (m, 20 H, ar-CH). Anal. Co:P:Zr ratio: calcd, 1.00:1.00:1.00; found, 1.00:0.98:1.02. 7b: IR (ν (C–O), toluene/*n*-hexane (1:1)) 1996 m, 1937 vs, 1925 vs cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (toluene- d_8 , 0°C) δ_1 2.49 (s, 2 H, benzyl-CH₂), δ_2 7.3 (m, 20 H, ar-CH). Anal. Co:P:Zr ratio: calcd, 1.00:1.00:1.00; found, 1.00:0.93:0.98.

(17) [(Alkyl)- and (acyl)Co(CO)₄]: (a) Markô, L.; Bor, G.; Almâsy, G.; Szabô, P. Brennst.-Chem. **1963**, 44, 184. (b) Bor, G. Inorg. Chim. Acta **1967**, 1, 81. (c) Galamb, V.; Pâlyi, G.; Cser, F.; Furmanova, M. G.; Struchkov, Y. T. J. Organomet. Chem. **1981**, 209, 183. (d) Galamb, V.; Pâlyi, G.; Ungváry, F.; Markô, L.; Boese, R.; Schmid, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 3344. (e) Galamb, V.; Pályi, G.; Boese, R.; Schmid, G. Organometallics **1987**, 6, 861. [X₂ECo(CO)₄; X = Cl, Br; E = Ga, In]: (f) Patmore, D. J.; Graham, W. A. G. Inorg. Chem. **1966**, 5, 1586. (g) Ruff, J. K. Inorg. Chem. **1968**, 7, 1499. [R₃ECo(CO)₄; R = alkyl, aryl, Cl, Br; E = Si, Ge, Sn]: (h) Chalk, A. J.; Harrod, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 1133; **1967**, 89, 1640. (i) Patmore, D. J.; Graham, W. A. G. Inorg. Chem. **1967**, 6, 688.

⁽³⁾ A very clean example of synergism in catalysis and its preparative background: (a) Pino, P.; von Bézard, D. A. Ger. Offen. 2,807,251, 1978.
(b) Spindler, F.; Bor, G.; Dietler, U. K.; Pino, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 213, 303. (c) Horváth, I. T.; Bor, G.; Garland, M.; Pino, P. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1441.

⁽¹³⁾ Comparison of the reactivity of early- (Ti, Zr, Hf) and late- (Co) transition-metal organyls is one of the main goals of the cooperation between our laboratories. Some previous studies: (a) Röder, A.; Thiele, K.-H.; Pályi, G. Markó, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 199, C31. (b) Plyi, G.; Galamb, V.; Röder, A. Atti Accad. Sci. Ist. Bologna, Cl. Sci. Fis., Rend. 1981, 269 (13/8), 67. (c) Sorkau, A.; Thiele, K.-H.; Galamb, V.; Pályi, G. Z. Allg. Anorg. Chem. 1986, 532, 47. (d) Thiele, K.-H.; Krüger, C.; Sorkau, A.; Otvös, I.; Bartik, T.; Pályi, G. Organometallics 1987, 6, 2290.

The structure of compounds 5 can be deduced from the spectra. The IR ν (C–O) band patterns correspond to an XCo(CO)₄ (trigonal bipyramidal, with bulky axial X) type¹⁷ complex. The quantitative¹⁹ ¹H NMR provides proof for the signals for the M–Co bond,^{18d,22} and the ortho/meta + para band separation hints at an η^4 -type coordination of the benzyl groups¹⁸ as in the starting compounds 1.

Synthesis (i) utilizes the well-documented binuclear reductive elimination²³ route. Compounds 5 also could be synthetized by the salt elimination route (ii). This and reactions (iii)–(iv) can be regarded as further indirect proof for the structure of compounds 5.

Perbenzyls 1 react with 2 molar equiv of 2 or compounds 5 with equimolar 2 to give the bis(tetracarbonylcobalt)dibenzylmetal derivatives 6a and 6b (reaction (i) + (iii) or (iii) in scheme 1). The second cobalt carbonyl moiety can be introduced smoothly under very mild conditions,¹⁴ but no sign of MCo₃ or MCo₄ products was observed using an up to tenfold excess of 2 or more forcing conditions.

Compounds 6 again were very unstable and could be characterized only by spectroscopic methods. The stoichiometry was determined by using quantitative IR¹⁵ and ¹H NMR¹⁹ which was facilitated by the fact that almost no byproducts (only some H₂ and Co₂(CO)₈) were formed. the IR spectra hint at a nearly linear array²⁰ of the CoMCo moiety in these complexes. Since the ortho-H signals in the ¹H NMR spectra of **6a** and **6b** are shifted into the rest of the aromatic resonance, no statement about the coordination type of the benzyl groups can be made.

¹H NMR analysis of solutions containing both of the corresponding 5/6 pairs enables us to gain information about the relative thermal stability of these compounds. Slowly warming such solutions from -40 to +25 °C within ~ 20 min results in decomposition of half of 5 and all of 6. The rest of 5 disappears within 10-15 min at 25 °C.

Toluene solutions of complexes 5a and 5b react with PPh₃ at 0 °C yielding phosphine-substituted products 7a and 7b within a few minutes. These complexes could be obtained independently from tetrabenzyls 1a and 1b and HCo(CO)₃PPh₃ (8). Complexes 7a, b also were very

(20) $[Xe[CoCO)_4]_2$; X = Cl, Br; E = Ga, In]: ref 17 f. $[R_2E[Co(CO)_4]_2$; R = alkyl, aryl, Cl, Br; E = Si, Ge, Sn]: ref 17 f.i. $[Hg[Co(CO)_4]_2$: (a) Bor, G. Spectrochim. Acta 1963, 19, 2065. (b) Bor, G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1969, 3, 196.

(21) (a) Stoeckli-Evans, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 1975, 58, 373. (b) Mintz,
E. A.; Moloy, K. G.; Marks, T. J.; Day, V. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4692. (c) Edwards, P. G.; Andersen, R. A.; Zalkin, A. Organometallics 1984, 3, 293.

(22) Kahn, O.; Bigorgne, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 10, 137. See also ref 17c.

also ref 17C.
(23) Some leading references: ref 11a,b, 17c, and (a) Norton, J. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 139. (b) Jones, W. D.; Huggins, J. M.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4415. (c) Halpern, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 332. (d) Nappa, M. J.; Santi, R.; Diefenbach, S. P.; Halpern, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 619. (e) Sartain, W. J.; Selegue, J. P. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1922. (f) Skupiński, W. A.; Huffman, J. C.; Bruno, J. W.; Caulton, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 8128. (g) Carter, W. J.; Okrasinski, S. J.; Norton, J. R. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1376. (h) Warner, K. E.; Norton, J. R. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2150. (i) Nappa, M. J.; Santi, R.; Halpern, J. Organometallics 1985, 4, 34. (j) Geerts, R. L.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 290. (k) Lemmen, T. H.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 262.

(A) Lemmer, 1. 1., 114, 114, 114, 5. C., Catton, K. G. Angew. Chem., 114.
 Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 262.
 (24) IR spectra of XCo(CO)₃PR₃ (X = H, halogen, alkyl, acyl): ref 17
 c-e and (a) Wilford, J. B.; Forster, A.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 6519.
 (b) Nagy-Magos, Z.; Bor, G.; Markó, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 14, 205.
 (c) Milstein, D.; Huckaby, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6150.
 (d) Tasi, M.; Pályi, G. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1523.

unstable and thus were characterized by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy^{16,24} and elemental analysis. The formation (reaction (iv))²⁵ and spectroscopic behavior²⁶ of compounds 7 represent an additional piece of evidence for the supposed structure of compounds 5.

The solvolytic reactions (vi)–(viii) are of two types. In protolyses²⁴ (vi) and (viii) the known oxophilic²⁵ nature of the group 4 metal leads to 2 as the primary product in both cases (and $Co_2(CO)_8$ is regarded only as a secondary product in (viii)). Solvolysis (vii) is expected to take a different reaction course. This is now under investigation, together with the metal-containing products of these reactions.

Beyond the chemical and spectroscopic evidence for the covalent bimetallic structure of compounds 5, 6, and 7, comparison of the spectroscopic behavior of these complexes with literature data on more less associated ion pairs,^{29,30a-c} CO-bridged complexes,^{30d-g} or oligomeric species^{30g} enables us to exclude these possibilities.

Our efforts to learn more about the very sensitive bimetallic complexes reported here are continuing.

Acknowledgment. Thanks are due to Prof. L. Markó (Veszprém) and Dr. V. Galamb (Tiszavasvári) for discussions. Cooperation in the spectroscopic and GC measurements by Drs. S. Iglewski, I. Ötvös, and Ms. K. Nagy-Perge is acknowledged. This research was in part supported by the Grant No. OTKA-1749 (1987–1989) of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (G.P.).

Registry No. 1a, 17520-19-3; 1b, 24356-01-2; 2, 16842-03-8; 3, 18889-27-5; 4g, 14878-26-3; 5a, 117984-39-1; 5b, 117984-40-4; 6a, 117984-41-5; 6b, 117984-42-6; 7a, 117984-43-7; 7b, 117984-44-8; 8, 19537-79-2; $CO_2(CO)_8$, 10210-68-1.

(27) Protolysis of R₄M compounds are well-documented; furthermore, reactions (vi) and (viii) can be regarded as reductive eliminations of HCo(CO)₄. (a) Zucchini, U.; Giannini, U.; Albizzati, E.; D'Angelo, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1969, 1174. (b) Zucchini, U.; Albizzati, E.; Giannini, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1971, 26, 357. (c) Bower, B. K.; Tennent, H. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 2512. (d) Attridge, C. J.; Dobbs, B.; Maddock, S. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 57, C55. (e) Collier, M. R.; Lappert, M. F.; Pearce, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 445. (f) Lappert, M. F.; Patil, D. S.; Pedley, J. B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1975, 830. (g) Gell, K. I.; Schwartz, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 162, C11. (h) Basso, Bert, M.; Gervais, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 165, 209.

(29) Reviews: ref 25a and (a) Horwitz, C. P.; Shriver, D. F. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 23, 219. (b) Darensbourg, M. Y. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 33, 221.

(30) (a) Edgell, W. F.; Lyford, IV, J.; Barbetta, A.; Jose, C. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 6403. (b) Fachinetti, G.; Floriani, C.; Zanazzi, P. F.; Zanzari, A. R. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 3002. (c) Calderazzo, F.; Fachinetti, G.; Marchetti, F.; Zanazzi, P. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 181. (d) Bencze, L.; Galamb, V.; Guttmann, A.; Pályi, G. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis., Mat. Nat. Rend. 1980, 68 [1/5], 437. (e) Adams, H.-N.; Fachinetti, G.; Strähle, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1981, 20, 125. (f) Tilley, T. D.; Andersen, R. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 985. (g) Boncella, J. M.; Andersen, R. A. Inorg. Chem. 1984, 23, 432.

⁽¹⁸⁾ $[(\text{RCH}_2)_n\text{MX}_{4-n}; \text{R} = \text{aryl}; n = 1-4; \text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}; \text{M} = \text{Ti}, \text{Zr}, \text{Hf} compounds]: (a) Felton, J. J.; Anderson, W. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 36, 87. (b) Zetta, L.; Gatti, G. Org. Magn. Reson. 1972, 4, 585. (c) Felton, J. J.; Anderson, W. P. Inorg. Chem. 1973, 12, 2334. (d) Scholz, J.; Schlegel, M.; Thiele, K.-H. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 1369.$

⁽¹⁹⁾ Absolute signal intensities are given, determined by the anisole internal standard.

⁽²⁵⁾ Substitution of [Co(CO)₄]⁻ in an eventual ionic structure would need much more forcing conditions: (a) Ellis, J. E. J. Organomet. Chem.
1975, 86, 1. (b) Ungváry, F., unpublished results.

^{(26) (}a) The XCo(CO)₃L-type IR spectrum. (b) The sensitivity of the ¹H NMR signal of the benzyl- CH_2 groups (on Ti) toward substitution of CO for PPh₃ (on CO).