Allyl- and Allyl-Like-Tin Derivatives. 3. Crystal Structures and Solution Conformations of Some Cyclohex-2-envl- and Cyclohept-2-envistannanes

William Kitching* and Kerry G. Penman

Department of Chemistry, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Queensland, Australia 4067

Giovanni Valle

Centro di Ricerche sui Biopolimeri del CNR, Via Marzolo, 1, 35131 Padova, Italy

Giuseppe Tagliavini

Dipartimento di Chimica Inorganica, Metallorganica e Analitica, Università di Padova, Via Marzolo, 1, 35131 Padova, Italy

Paolo Ganis

Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Napoli, Via Mezzocannone, 4, 80134 Napoli, Italy

Received August 3, 1988

The crystal structures of the allylic stannanes cyclohex-2-enyl- (1) and cyclohept-2-enyltriphenylstannanes (2) have been determined, and in both, the coordination about tin is tetrahedral, although the enlargement of the $\angle C(13)$ -Sn-C(19) angle in both I (114.4°) and 2 (117.0°) may be in response to a short intramolecular contact between one phenyl ring and the cycloalkene moiety. The Sn-C(phenyl) bond lengths are normal $(2.144 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å})$, but the allyl bond $(\text{Sn-C}(19) = 2.185 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å})$ is longer (ca. 0.04-0.05 Å) than the normal Sn–C(aliphatic) bond length (2.13 \pm 0.01Å) and may be associated with a hyperconjugative σ_{C-Sn} effect. In 1, the (C_6H_5) Sn group formally occupies a quasi-equatorial orientation with a Sn-C(19)-C(20)-C(21) torsion angle of 121° which permits substantial σ - π interaction and may best accommodate other interactions in the crystal. Given the other values of bond and torsion angles, the actual distinction between quasi-axial and quasi-equatorial orientations with respect to double bond interaction with δ_{C-Sn} is a fine one. The molecular geometry of 2 is a slightly distorted chair arrangement with the $(C_6H_5)_3$ Sn group clearly occupying a quasi-axial position with a Sn-C(19)-C(25)-C(24) torsion angle of 90°, the most favored for σ - π interaction. However, this "outward splaying" of the C-Sn bond is probably also associated with relief of interactions between Sn(C₆H₅)₃ and the regions of C(21) and C(23). Solid-state (MAS) ¹³C NMR spectra have been recorded of both 1 and 2, and although only one set of signals was observed for each, ¹¹⁹Sn-¹³C couplings were not located. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra for 1 and 2 (in solution) have been obtained, and for 1 there is now a preponderance of the conformer with an axially disposed tin group, whereas for 2, the solution conformation is adequately described as a distorted chair arrangement with a quasi-axial Sn(C6H5)3 group, as identified in the crystal.

Introduction

Unsaturated organotin compounds are of increasing utility in organic synthesis,¹ and our interest in certain synthetic applications^{2,3} of allylstannanes required a firm understanding of the stereochemistry of some substitution reactions,⁴ which in turn demanded knowledge of the structures and preferred conformations of such allylstannanes.⁵ In a previous report,⁶ the X-ray structure of allyltriphenylstannane (3) was discussed and the $Sn(C_6H_5)_3$



groups were oriented nearly orthogonally to the plane of the allyl system, the preferred arrangement for $\sigma_{C-Sn}-\pi$ interaction. (Torsion angles of 97° and 108° for the two independent molecules comprising the asymmetric unit.) These studies were then extended⁷ to the cyclic allylic stannanes which incorporate a chiral center and the possibility of either quasi-axial or quasi-equatorial orientation of the tin moiety. In the case of the cyclopent-2-enyl compound 4, the tin group was axially oriented, such arrangement persisting in solution, on the basis of NMR measurements. 1 and 2 are less rigid conformationally than 4, and in view of the extensive mechanistic studies performed on these systems,^{4,5} we considered it desirable to determine the crystal structures of these stannanes, and the preferred (solution) conformations by various NMR methods. These endeavors are discussed in this report.

Experimental Section

Preparation of Cyclohex-2-enyl- and Cyclohept-2-enyltriphenylstannanes. Cyclohex-2-enyltriphenylstannane (1) was obtained from the reaction of (triphenylstannyl)lithium and cyclohex-2-enyl chloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) as described previously;⁸ mp 81 °C. In a similar way, the homologue, cyclohept-2-enyltriphenylstannane (2), mp 83-85 °C, was also obtained, and both 1 and 2 were recrystallized from ethanol after (short) column chromatography on Florosil, eluting with pentane. 1 and 2 were characterized by their ¹¹⁹Sn, ¹H, and ¹³C NMR spectra, some details of which are discussed in the text. Compound 1:

⁽¹⁾ Pereyre, M.; Quintard, J. P.; Rahm, A. Tin in Organic Synthesis;

<sup>Butterworth Co.: London, 1987.
(2) Young, D.; Kitching, W. Aust. J. Chem. 1985, 38, 1767.
(3) Tagliavini, G. Rev. Silicon, Germanium, Tin, Lead Compds. 1985,</sup> 8, 237.

^{(4) (}a) Wickham, G.; Young, D.; Kitching, W. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4848. (b) Wickham, G.; Young, D.; Kitching, W. Organometallics 1988,

^{7, 1187.} (5) Kitching, W.; Penman, K. G.; Laycock, B.; Maynard, I. Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 3819. (6) Ganis, P.; Furlani, D.; Marton, D.; Tagliavini, G.; Valle, G. J.

Organomet. Chem. 1985, 293, 207.

⁽⁷⁾ Ganis, P.; Valle, G.; Tagliavini, G.; Kitching, W.; Penman, K. G.;
Jones, M. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1988, 349, 57.
(8) Wickham, G.; Young, D.; Kitching, W. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47,

^{4885.}







Figure 2. Perspective drawing of cyclohept-2-enyltriphenyltin (2) viewed down the Sn-C(19) axis.

¹³C (CDCl₃) 28.88 (389), 129.8 (45.2), 124.24 (48.7), 24.84 (16.1),
 23.06 (25.6), 26.69 ppm (19.98); ¹¹⁹Sn -130.3 ppm (relative (C-H₃)₄Sn for CDCl₃ solvent). Compound 2: ¹³C (CDCl₃) 35.83 (359,
 342), 133.28 (46), 128.35, 32.01 (17), 28.36 (13), 31.82 (25), 29.74 (13); ¹¹⁹Sn -130.5 ppm. (Values in parentheses are observed ¹¹⁹Sn-¹³C couplings. Aromatic carbon shifts are not listed.) The ¹H NMR spectra are discussed in the text.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (¹H) were recorded at 300 or 400 MHz in the FT mode on Bruker CXP-300 and Jeol JNM-GX400 spectrometers, respectively. Chemical shifts were referenced to internal tetramethylsilane (0.0 ppm) or residual CHCl₃ (7.24 ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 25.05, 75.46, or 100 MHz, and chemical shifts were referenced to the central peak of solvent (CDCl₃) at 77.00 ppm.

Crystal Data. Cyclohex-2-enyltriphenyltin (1): $C_{24}H_{24}Sn$ (mp 81 °C); M_r 431.15; monoclinic; space group $P2_1/c$; a = 18.141(8), b = 15.872 (7), c = 7.067 (3) Å; $\beta = 94.38$ (2)°; U = 2028.9Å³; Z = 4, ρ (calcd) = 1.41 g cm⁻³; μ (Mo K α) = 11.51 cm⁻¹.

Cyclohept-2-enyltriphenyltin (2): C₂₅H₂₆Sn (mp 83-85 °C); M_r 445.18; triclinic; space group $P\bar{1}$; a = 11.653 (4), b = 9.740 (3), c = 10.252 (4) Å; $\alpha = 92.82$ (2)°, $\beta = 111.14$ (4)°, $\gamma = 99.99$ (3)°; U = 1074.86 Å³; Z = 2; ρ (calcd) = 1.38 g·cm⁻³, μ (Mo K_{α}) = 10.88 cm⁻¹.

Diffraction data were collected by using a Philips PW 1000 computer controlled four-circle diffractometer (θ -2 θ scan, at 24 °C). The structures were solved by conventional heavy-atom

Table I. Crystal Data and Details of Intensity and
Structure Refinement of Cyclohex-2-enyltriphenyltin (1)
and Cyclohept-2-enyltriphenyltin (2)

	1	2			
mol formula	$C_{24}H_{24}Sn$	C ₂₅ H ₂₆ Sn			
mol wt	431.15	445.18			
space group	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$			
cell constants	-/				
a, Å	18.141 (8)	11.653(4)			
b. Å	15.872 (7)	9.740 (3)			
c, Å	7.067 (3)	10.252(4)			
α , deg		92.82 (2)			
β , deg	94.38 (4)	111.14 (4)			
γ , deg		99.99 (3)			
cell vol, Å ³	2028.88	1074.86			
Z	4	2			
ρ (calcd), g·cm ⁻³	1.411	1.375			
ρ (measd), g·cm ⁻³	1.40	1.37			
radiatn Mo F	ζ _α (0.7107 Å; grapl	nite monochromator)			
cryst dimens, mm	$0.3 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$	$0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$			
$\mu, {\rm cm}^{-1}$	11.51	10.88			
2θ range, deg	4	5			
decay of std reflctns	±3%	±2%			
reflctns collected	3881	3808			
reflctns with $I \geq 3\sigma(I)$	2413	3255			
R factor	0.048	0.032			
t, °C	2	4			

Table II. Cyclohex-2-enyltriphenyltin (1): Fractional
Atomic Coordinates (×10 ⁴) for Non-Hydrogen Atoms ^a

intomic et	or dillutes (•) 101 1.0 H 11)	urogen means
atom	x/a	y/b	z/c
Sn	2367 (0)	4830 (1)	2121 (1)
C(1)	1684 (3)	5579 (3)	3798 (8)
C(2)	1193 (3)	5183 (3)	4937 (8)
C(3)	754 (3)	5665 (3)	6063 (8)
C(4)	807 (3)	6542 (3)	6049 (8)
C(5)	1299 (3)	6937 (3)	4911 (8)
C(6)	1737 (3)	6455 (3)	3785 (8)
C(7)	1657 (3)	4117 (4)	130 (8)
C(8)	1964 (3)	3578 (4)	-1158 (8)
C(9)	1504 (3)	3096 (4)	-2405 (8)
C(10)	738 (3)	3152 (4)	-2364 (8)
C(11)	432 (3)	3691 (4)	-1077 (8)
C(12)	891 (3)	4174 (4)	170 (8)
C(13)	3043 (2)	5652 (4)	586 (8)
C(14)	3793 (2)	5764 (4)	1122 (8)
C(15)	4213 (2)	6317 (4)	109 (8)
C(16)	3883 (2)	6758 (4)	-1441 (8)
C(17)	3134 (2)	6646 (4)	-1977 (8)
C(18)	2713 (2)	6093 (4)	-964 (8)
C(19)	2986 (5)	3945 (6)	4011 (14)
C(20)	3453 (7)	3353 (7)	2991 (18)
C(21)	4137 (7)	3296 (11)	3326 (27)
C(22)	4585 (6)	3725 (8)	4791 (22)
C(23)	4130 (7)	4185 (7)	6306 (19)
C(24)	3418 (8)	4483 (6)	5309 (20)

^aStandard deviations in parentheses.

methods. Least-squares refinement (with the phenyl rings assumed as regular rigid hexagons: C-C = 1.395, C-H = 0.96 Å, $\angle C-C-C = \angle C-C-H = 120^\circ$) using anisotropic thermal parameters for all the non-hydrogen atoms converged at R values of 0.048 (for 2413 independent reflections $[I \ge 3\sigma(I)]$ for 1 and 0.032 (for 3255 independent reflections $[I \ge 3\sigma(I)]$ for 2, respectively. Scattering factors for the atoms were taken from Cromer and Waber,⁹ the scattering factor for tin atoms was corrected for the real and imaginary parts of anomalous dispersion by using Cromer's values.¹⁰

A summary of collection parameters is given in Table I. Figures 1 and 2 show a perspective drawing of 1 and 2, respectively, as viewed down their Sn-C(19) bonds; atom labels are reported except for hydrogen atoms. The final positional parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms for 1 and 2 are given in Tables II and

⁽⁹⁾ Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 104.
(10) Cromer, D. T. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 17.

Table III. Cyclohept-2-enyltriphenyltin (2): Fractional Atomic Coordinates (×10⁴) for Non-Hydrogen Atoms^a

		,		
atom	x/a	y/b	z/c	
Sn	1353 (0)	1284 (0)	3192 (0)	
C(1)	862 (4)	-909 (4)	2972 (5)	
C(2)	-327 (5)	-1421 (5)	2208 (5)	
C(3)	-664 (5)	-2818 (6)	2062 (6)	
C(4)	207 (7)	-3714 (5)	2698 (7)	
C(5)	1414 (6)	-3237 (5)	3482 (7)	
C(6)	1765 (6)	-1826 (5)	3648 (6)	
C(7)	-3339 (4)	2256 (4)	2197 (5)	
C(8)	-661 (5)	2727 (5)	859 (5)	
C(9)	-1768 (6)	3339 (6)	236 (6)	
C(10)	-2550 (6)	3498 (6)	951 (8)	
C(11)	-2244 (5)	3036 (7)	2261 (8)	
C(12)	-1148 (5)	2430 (5)	2896 (6)	
C(13)	2535 (4)	1657 (5)	2051 (5)	
C(14)	2743 (5)	571 (5)	1254 (5)	
C(15)	3500 (5)	765 (7)	496 (6)	
C(16)	4091 (6)	2029 (8)	564 (7)	
C(17)	3893 (6)	3121 (7)	1327 (7)	
C(18)	3117 (6)	2941 (6)	2068 (6)	
C(19)	2072 (5)	2021 (5)	5415 (5)	
C(20)	2884 (6)	1005 (6)	6366 (6)	
C(21)	4126 (6)	826 (6)	6308 (7)	
C(22)	5068 (6)	2020 (8)	6849 (8)	
C(23)	4851 (7)	3276 (8)	6058 (8)	
C(24)	3714 (6)	3995 (6)	5835 (7)	
C(25)	2577 (6)	3489 (6)	5610 (6)	

^aStandard deviations in parentheses.

Table IV. Cyclohex-2-enyltriphenyltin (1): Selected Structural Parameters ^a				
	В	ond L	engths (Å)	
Sn-C(1)	2.139	(5)	C(19)-C(20)	1.49 (2)
Sn-C(7)	2.154	(5)	C(20)-C(21)	1.26 (2)
Sn-C(13)	2.142	(6)	C(21) - C(22)	1.44 (2)
Sn-C(19)	2.189	(5)	C(22)-C(23)	1.58 (1)
			C(24)-C(19)	1.44 (1)
	B	ond A	ngles (deg)	
C(1)-Sn-C(7)	108	1 (2)	C(20)-C(19)-C(2	4) 112 (1)
C(1)-Sn-C(13)	108	7 (2)	c(19)-C(20)-C(21	1) 123 (1)
C(1)-Sn-C(19)	108	.1 (3)	C(20)-C(21)-C(2)	2) 127 (1)
C(7)-Sn-C(13)	109	.0 (2)	C(21)-C(22)-C(2)	3) 114 (1)
C(7) - Sn - C(19)	108	.3 (3)	C(22)-C(23)-C(2	4) 107 (1)
C(13)-Sn-C(19)	114	.4 (3)	C(23)-C(24)-C(1)	9) 121 (1)
Sn-C(19)-C(20)	113	.2 (7)		
Sn-C(19)-C(24)	103	.7 (5)		
Torsion Angles (deg)				
C(6)-C(1)-Sn-C(19)	-55	C(19)-C(24)-C(23))-C(22) 45
C(12)-C(7)-Sn-C	(19)	-63	C(24)-C(23)-C(22))-C(21) -32
C(18)-S(13)-Sn-6	C(19)	-16	C(23)-C(22)-C(21))-C(20) 11
Sn-C(19)-C(20)-	C(21)	121	C(22)-C(21)-C(20))-C(19) 5
			C(21)-C(20)-C(19)	-C(24) 4
			C(20)-C(19)-C(24)-C(23) -32
^a Estimated standard deviations in parentheses.				

III, respectively. Selected molecular geometrical parameters of 1 and 2 are reported in Tables IV and V, respectively.

All computations were carried out on a CDC Cyber 76 computer using the programmes of Sheldrick.¹¹ Thermal factors (Tables VI and VII), hydrogen atom coordinates with their thermal parameters (Tables VIII and IX), and listings of the structure factors (Tables X and XI) are deposited as supplementary material and are available from the authors on request.

Results and Discussion

Solid-State Structures: Consideration of the previously obtained data^{6,7} on 3 and 4 with the present information permits the following observations. The coordination about tin is tetrahedral (see Tables IV and V),

(11) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX-76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination; Cambridge University: Cambridge, England, 1975.

 Table V. Cyclohept-2-enyltriphenyltin (2): Selected

 Structural Parameters^a

Bond Lengths (Å)					
Sn-C(1)	2.162 (4)	C(25)-C(19)	1.492 (7)	
Sn-C(7)	2.147 (4)	C(19)-C(20)	1.526 (7)	
Sn-C(13)	2.142 (6)	C(20) - C(21)	1.489 (1	1)	
Sn-C(19)	2.182(5)	C(21)-C(22)	1.488 (9)	
		C(22)-C(23)	1.482 (1	1)	
		C(23)-C(24)	1.478 (1	1)	
	Bond A	ngles (deg)			
C(1)-Sn-C(7)	106.8(2)	C(20)-C(19)-C	(25) 117.4	4 (4)	
S(1) - Sn - C(13)	107.6 (2)	C(19)-C(20)-C	(21) 117.9	9 (6)	
C(1) - Sn - C(19)	109.7(2)	C(20)-C(21)-C	(22) 117.	1 (6)	
C(7) - Sn - C(13)	108.7(2)	C(21)-C(22)-C	(23) 118.	1 (5)	
C(7) - Sn - C(19)	106.6 (2)	C(22)-C(23)-C	(24) 119.8	8 (7)	
C(13) - Sn - C(19)	117.0 (2)	C(23)-C(24)-C	(25) 129.9	9 (6)	
Sn-C(19)-C(20)	112.6 (4)	C(24)-C(25)-C	(19) 129.	2 (6)	
Sn-C(19)-C(25)	110.9 (4)	. , . ,	. ,	. ,	
Torsion Angles (deg)					
C(6)-C(1)-Sn-C	(19) -59	C(19)-C(25)-C	(24) - C(23)	-4	
C(12) - C(7) - Sn - C(7)	C(19) -50	C(25)-C(24)-C	(23) - C(22)	-36	
C(18) - C(13) - Sn	-C(19) -39	C(24) - C(23) - C(23	(22) - C(21)	58	
Sn-C(19)-C(25)-	-C(24) -90	C(23)-C(22)-C	(21) - C(20)	-67	
	- ()	C(22)-C(21)-C	(20) - C(19)	67	
		C(21)-C(20)-C	(19) - C(25)	-60	
		C(20)-C(19)-C(19)	(25) - C(24)	41	
		5(10) 0(10) 0	(,()		

^aEstimated standard deviations in parentheses.

although the enlargement of the $\angle C(13)$ -Sn-C(19) angle in both 1 (114.4°) and 2 (117°) may be in response to short intramolecular contact between one phenyl ring and the cycloalkene moiety (see Figures 1 and 2). The Sn-C-(phenyl) bond lengths are normal $(2.144 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å})$, but the Sn–C(allyl) bond has a value $(2.185 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å})$ which is longer than the normal average value¹² for Sn-C(aliphatic) (2.13 \pm 0.01 Å) by ca. 0.04-0.05 Å. This may be associated with the operation of $\sigma_{C-Sn}-\pi$ hyperconjugative interaction, and it is striking that in the four allyltin compounds examined structurally, the torsion angle (Sn-CH-CH=CH) lies between 90° and 120° (Tables IV and V), such angles permitting essentially the maximum conjugative mixing. In 2, the angle of 90° may, in part, however, result from an "outward splaying" of the \hat{C} -Sn bond to relieve interactions between $Sn(C_6H_5)_3$ and the C(21) and C(23) regions. In 4 and 2, the Sn moiety is clearly quasi-axially oriented (torsion angles above) but in 1 occupies a formal quasi-equatorial position (torsion angle of 121° for Sn-C(19)-C(20)-C(21), still permitting substantial conjugation but presumably best accommodating other crystal interactions. Given the other values of bond and torsion angles (e.g. C(20)-C(21)-C(22)-C(23) = 11° and C(21)-C(20)-C(19)-C(24) = 4°, the actual distinction between quasi-axial and quasi-equatorial orientations with respect to any double bond interaction with σ_{C-Sn} is a fine one. However, the torsion angle Sn-C(19)-C(24)-C(23) in 2 differs drastically from the two orientations, and this is relevant to the magnitude of ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-C(23)}}$ for example, to be discussed later. Definite manifestations of the $\sigma-\pi$ effect in the acyclic 3⁶ were associated with a widening of the $\angle C$ —C=C angle (~140°) and a shortening of the \tilde{C} —C (1.37 Å) and \tilde{C} —C (1.26 Å) bonds. For cyclic analogues, the suggestion has been made that structural consequences of such conjugation could be masked by "ring closure effects", 13,14 and no similar bond

⁽¹²⁾ This value is estimated from X-ray structural data of tetrahedral organostannanes of the last 10 years as quoted In: Dictionary of Organometallic Compounds; Chapman and Hall: London, 1984; supplements 1 and 2. See also; Cusack, P. A.; Smith, P. J.; Donaldson, J. D.; Grimes, S. M. Bibliography of X-ray Crystal Structures of Tin Compounds; I.T.R.I. Publication No. 588, 1980.

or angle alterations were in fact observed for 4. However, as ring size increases, it is reasonable to suppose that such closure requirements will be less severe and in $2 \angle C(19)$ --C(25)=C(24) is nearly 130°, as is $\angle C(25)=C(24)-C(23)$. The lengthening of the Sn-C(19) allyl bond may be a more reliable indicator of the effect of hyperconjugation¹³⁻¹⁵ on these structures, and this occurs in 1, 2, and 3 but was not obvious for 4 which, besides being a less rigid molecule, had the highest level of indetermination due to thermal disorder. Such an effect has, however, been noted for $(C_6H_5)_3Sn-CH_2-C(CH_3)=CH_2.^{16}$

Comparing the structural data of 1 and 2 with those of 3 and $\hat{4}$,^{6,7} it is clear that the average thermal factors of the carbon atoms of the allyl group and the cycloalkenyl group decrease sensibly and regularly with their increasing bulkiness, i.e. $U_{eq} = 0.18, 0.16, 0.12$, and 0.08 Å², for 3, 4, 1, and 2, respectively, showing that the higher the steric encumbrance, the lower the libration about the Sn-C(allyl) bond. Such increasing bulkiness will presumably stabilize one of the two paddlewheel (left- and right-handed) configurations of the $(C_6H_5)_3$ Sn groups, explaining the absence of two diastereomeric molecular forms in the same crystal for 1 and 2 but presence^{6,7} in 3 and 4. Solid-State ¹³C NMR (MAS) Measurements. The

finding that the tin moiety in 1 was formally quasi-equatorial (given the caveats expressed above) prompted the acquisition of solid-state ¹³C NMR spectra, in the hope that observation of ${}^{3}J_{Sn-C}$ (i.e. vicinal Sn-C coupling, known to have a strong dihedral angle dependence)¹⁷ in the solid could be compared with similar values from solution spectra. Satisfactorily resolved ¹³C spectra were obtained from 1, 2, and 4 but regrettably we could not identify any Sn-C coupling. There was no duplication of resonances for either the cycloalkenyl ring or the phenyl groups in any of the three stannanes. Harris and Sebald¹⁸ have reported the CP MAS solid-state ¹¹⁹Sn and ¹³C NMR spectra of 3, and two ¹¹⁹Sn signals ($\Delta \delta = 17.9$ ppm) were observed, presumably corresponding to the two crystallographically independent molecules. However, the solid-state ¹³C spectrum of 3 (overnight accumulation) did not allow the identification of any ${}^{n}J_{119}S_{n-13}C$, a situation we encountered for 1, 2, and 4. However, solid-state ¹¹⁹Sn measurements for these latter compounds may be worthwhile.

Solution NMR Measurements. Cyclohex-2-enyltriphenylstannane (1). The first indication that the tin moiety in 1 (in solution) was preferentially quasi-axial resulted from ¹¹⁹Sn chemical shifts (ppm) which are shown below



(relative to internal $(CH_3)_4Sn$; $CDCl_3$ solvent; $R = C_6H_5$. On the basis that the trans 5-tert-butyl compound overwhelmingly must have a quasi-axial tin group and the cis 5-tert-butyl a quasi-equatorial group and that no serious deformations are present; 1 appears to prefer the quasiaxial orientation for SnR₃ (ca. 70%). ¹³C NMR measurements supported this conclusion, and in particular

Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 650 and references therein.

(16) Research in progress.
(17) Doddrell, D.; Burfitt, I.; Kitching, W.; Bullpitt, M.; Lee, C. H.; Mynott, R. J.; Considine, J. L.; Kuivila, H. G.; Sarma, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1640.

 ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sp-C}} = 25.6 \text{ Hz}$ (to C₅) required^{8,17} a quasi-axial preference when the indicated values of 11.7 and 52 Hz are considered for the cis and trans 5-tert-butyl compounds.

High-field ¹H NMR measurements completely support the conclusion that the equilibrium contant at ca. 300 °C is ca. 2-4 in favor of the axial form. The easily located >CHSn signal (δ 2.89 (${}^{2}J_{\text{Sn-H}}$ = 87.5 Hz)) exhibited $W_{1/2}$ \simeq 13.5 Hz, closer to that for the trans (11.3 Hz) than the cis (22.9 Hz) 5-tert-butyl derivatives. Nevertheless, the generally compressed nature of the high-field part of the spectrum (with, for example, little chemical shift difference between $H_{5_{ex}}$ and $H_{5_{eq}}$ at δ 1.65) suggests comparable populations of two (or more) conformations. Irradiation at δ 1.65 (H₅,) reveals two "AB" patterns associated with the allylic protons $(H_{4a},\,H_{4e})$ and $(\bar{H}_{6a},\,H_{6e}),$ the latter being vicinal to tin and therefore, in principle, being accompanied by satellites due to ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}$, known to have a strong dihedral angle dependence, ¹⁹ as shown below for



(cis-4-tert-butylcyclohexyl)triphenylstannane.²⁰ Thus, in the conformational equilibrium of 1, H6, when trans diaxial to Sn, would experience a large coupling (~ 165 Hz), whereas in the alternative conformer with equatorial Sn- $(C_6H_5)_3$, both H₆, with small dihedral angles relative to C(1)–Sn, would have ${}^{3}J \simeq 30$ Hz. (These values have been reduced from those of the cyclohexylstannane because of the different angles). The largest measured coupling to H₆ is ca. 100–110 Hz, indicating $K \simeq 1$ for the above equilibrium. Hence, solution NMR measurements confirm that for 1, there is a definite axial preference for $Sn(C_6H_5)_3$, although this represents a small energy difference (~ 400 cal at 300 K) between the two conformations. The change in preferred orientation of the tin group in the crystal (formal quasi-equatorial) from that in solution is thus easily acceptable.

Cyclohept-2-enyltriphenylstannane (2). Information available for cycloheptenyl derivatives suggested that the general chair geometry was favored,²¹ and we based our early considerations of 2 in terms of the following:



In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, C(6) resonated at 31.8 ppm with ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-C}} = 25$ Hz, a value inconsistent¹⁷ with a pre-dominance of 2a but more or less consistent with 2B, on the basis of the known¹⁷ angular dependence of ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-C}}$. Although 2b has one formal 1.3-diaxial Sn-H6a interaction. it escapes the severe 1,2 interaction between Sn and H_2 in 2A, in which the C-Sn and C_2H_2 bonds are virtually eclipsed, and also gains from any $\sigma_{C-Sn}-\pi$ conjugation, now a recognized and crucial feature affecting conformations and geometry in $(\sigma$ -allyl)metal compounds.¹⁵ That the general features of 2B describe the preferred conformation

⁽¹³⁾ Jarvie, A. W. P. Organomet. Chem. Rev. Sect. A 1970, 6, 153.
(14) Mangravite, J. A. J. Organomet. Chem. Libr. 1979, 7, 45.
(15) Kahn, S. D.; Pau, C. F.; Chamberlan, A. R.; Hehre, W. J. J. Am.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Harris, R. K.; Sebald, A. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1987, 25, 1058.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Quintard, J. P.; Degveil-Castaing, M.; Barbre, B.; Petraud, M. J.

 ⁽²⁰⁾ Gainard, S. 1. 1982, 234, 41.
 (20) Olszowy, H. A.; Kitching, W. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1670.
 (21) Neto, N.; Dilauro, C.; Califano, S. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A.
 1970, 26, 1489. St. Jacques, M.; Vaziri, C. Can. J. Chem. 1971, 49, 1256.



Figure 3. (A) The 500-MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of cyclohept-2-enyltriphenylstannane (CDCl₃ solvent) showing assignments based on decoupling experiments. ^{117,119}Sn couplings to H₁ and H_{7a} (high-field side) are indicated. (Aromatic resonances have been omitted). (B) Expansion of high-field region of spectrum, with ^{117,119}Sn couplings to H_{7a} and H_{7a} indicated. (Some resolution of the separate ¹¹⁷Sn and ¹¹⁹Sn couplings to H_{7a} is evident.) (c) Irradiation of H_{6a} and the effect on H_{7a} and H_{7e} and their attendant ^{117,119}Sn satellites.

of 2 was confirmed by 400-MHz (and later 500-MHz) ¹H NMR studies. The general "spread" of the high-field protons (from δ 1.0 to δ 2.4) was not indicative of interconversion between conformations of comparable populations but rather of an extremely lopsided conformational profile. Straightforward decoupling experiments and consideration of chemical shifts and coupling constants required a structure approximating to 2B to be heavily predominant if not virtually exclusive in solution. The ¹H spectrum was completely assigned and reproduced in Figure 3. The chief aspects requiring **2B** are as follows: (i) $J_{\rm H_1-H_2} \simeq 8$ Hz is consistent with the almost eclipsed arrangement of H_1 and H_2 in 2B whereas in 2A a much smaller J value would be expected; (ii) irradiation of H_1 $(\delta 3.25 (J_{Sn-H} = 96 \text{ Hz}))$ removes a very small coupling only from H_{7a} , showing these protons have not a trans-diaxial arrangement as present in 2A; (iii) H_{7a} is a triplet ($J \simeq$ 13.5 Hz with superimposed smaller couplings as required by 2B, whereas in 2A, H_{7a} would experience three large couplings (H_{7e}, H₁, H_{6a}).

The conclusion that **2B** is overwhelmingly preferred in solution has the consequence that ¹¹⁹Sn coupling (i.e. ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-H}}$) should be quite large¹⁹ to one of the 7-protons, whereas in **2A** both 7-protons would exhibit relatively small couplings. (Estimated dihedral angle for Sn-C-(1)-C(7)-H(7a) $\simeq 165-170^{\circ}$ and for Sn-C(1)-C(7)-H(7e) $\simeq 85^{\circ}$ for an undistorted chair). In the 400-MHz ¹H spectrum (particularly when H_{6a} or H_{7e} are decoupled) tentative identification of ^{119,117}Sn satellites about H_{7a} (on the high-field side) was made, providing ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-H}} = 150-160$ Hz. The spectrum was also obtained at 500 MHz (Figure 3), and the greater dispersion allowed unambiguous identification of the high-field set of ^{119,117}Sn satellites, which change from a general "triplet" appearance to a "doublet"

on irradiation of H_{6a} . The value of ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H_{7a}} \simeq 155$ Hz and indicates a dihedral angle of ~180°, based on values of ${}^{3}J_{Sn-D} \simeq 20$ -22 Hz for $\sigma = 180^{\circ}$ for trialkylstannanes.¹⁹ For an undistorted chair cycloheptene, the relevant $\phi \simeq 160^{\circ}$, and the large value of ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H}$ observed suggests an outward "splaying" of the Sn group which increases this angle toward 180° and places the C-Sn bond nearly orthogonal to the double bond, as in the crystal. ${}^{3}J_{Sn-H_{7a}}$ is ca. 30–32 Hz, a value also indicating some outward splaying of the tin group, for otherwise Sn-C(1)-C(7)-H(e) $\approx 90^{\circ}$, with an expected much smaller value for this coupling. Thus the highly preferred, if not exclusive, conformation incorporates a quasi-axial tin group in a slightly distorted chair arrangement.

Similar NMR examinations show cyclohept-2-enyltrimethylstannane to prefer an arrangement with a quasiaxial tin group also. For example, ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-H}_{1a}} \simeq 130$ Hz, which was consistent with the ¹H-coupled ¹¹⁹Sn spectrum (with decoupling of the methyl groups). This strong preference appears to be necessary to explain some NMR features of certain methyl-substituted cyclohept-2-enyltrimethylstannanes.^{5,22} For example, the *trans* 7-methyl derivative **5** has spectral properties that cannot be reconciled with a predominantly diequatorial conformation below.



The DEPT spectrum revealed the C-CH₃ (21.06 ppm) and one CH₂ group (22.16 ppm) to be at unusually high field (in other isomers of this series, predominantly equatorial C-CH₃ have δ 24.0) suggesting a significant role for **5B** with axial C-CH₃ and steric γ -shielding of C(5). That **5B** was predominant was confirmed by the large ${}^{3}J_{\text{Sn-C}}$ to C-CH₃ (48 Hz), requiring a large average dihedral angle. The lower energy of **5B** is explicable in terms of gauche (or nearly eclipsing) interactions between Sn(CH₃)₃ and CH₃ and also H₂ in **5A**. These are relieved in **5B** which gains from σ - π conjugation but has a destabilizing gauche-butane fragment.

Similar considerations apply to the *trans* 5-methyl isomer 6 shown below:



The C-CH₃ shift of 21.1 ppm is to higher field of that for the cis isomer 7 (24.4 ppm) and also the C(7) shift (26.9 ppm) when compared with C(7) (31.0 ppm) in 7 which can be adequately described in terms of the conformation drawn. These are the expected consequences of a substantial intervention by **6B** in the equilibrium.

These studies demonstrate that tin moieties in both cyclohex-2-enyl and particularly cyclohept-2-enyl systems prefer a quasi-axial orientation in solution and also clearly in the crystal for cyclohept-2-enyltriphenylstannane. These findings are relevant to the highly preferred γ -anti mode of electrophile delivery in cyclohept-2-enyl systems,^{5,22} as σ - π activation of the γ -anti site operates most

⁽²²⁾ Kitching, W.; Laycock, B.; Maynard, I.; Penman, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 954.

efficiently when the C-Sn bond is orthogonal to the π system.¹⁵ Studies of medium ring systems incorporating metal substituents are currently being examined structurally and mechanistically, and these will be reported at a later date.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Australian Research Grants Scheme, CNR (Roma), and the Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione (Roma) for financial support and

Registry No. 1, 14540-08-0; 2, 118893-17-7; (C₆H₅)₃SnLi, 4167-90-2; cyclohept-2-enyl chloride, 35021-99-9.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of thermal parameters and hydrogen atom coordinates for 1 and 2 (4 pages); listings of structure factors for 1 and 2 (35 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Preparation, Characterization, and Structural Analyses of $[Rh(chp)(NBD)]_2$ and $[Rh(chp)(NBD)]_2(PF_6)$. Isolation of a Paramagnetic d⁷-d⁸ Binuclear Radical and Its d⁸-d⁸ Precursor

David C. Boyd, Robert Szalapski, and Kent R. Mann*

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Received August 4, 1988

Single-crystal X-ray studies of [Rh(chp)(NBD)]₂ and its one-electron oxidation product [Rh(chp)- $(NBD)]_2PF_6CH_2Cl_2$ (chp = 6-chloro-2-hydroxypyridinate; NBD = norbornadiene) have been completed. (NBD)]₂PF₆·CH₂Cl₂ (chp = 6-chloro-2-hydroxypyridinate; NBD = norbornadiene) have been completed. Crystal data for [Rh(chp)(NBD)]₂ at 22 °C: space group $P2_1/c$; Z = 4; a = 14.639 (2) Å, b = 10.483 (3) Å, c = 15.271 (3) Å, $\beta = 103.04$ (2)°, V = 2283 (2) Å³; R = 0.024, $R_w = 0.034$ for 3784 reflections with $F_o^2 > \sigma(F_o^2)$. Crystal data for [Rh(chp)(NBD)]₂PF₆·CH₂Cl₂ at -72 °C: space group PI; Z = 2; a = 10.160 (4) Å, b = 12.770 (5) Å, c = 13.129 (7) Å, $\alpha = 75.70$ (4)°, $\beta = 68.39$ (4)°, $\gamma = 67.94$ (3)°, V = 1456.0 (1.1) Å³; R = 0.061, $R_w = 0.060$ for 3237 reflections with $F_o^2 > \sigma(F_o^2)$. [Rh(chp)(NBD)]₂ contains two square-planar Rh(I) units that intersect with a dihedral angle of 49° bridged by two hydroxypyridinate ligands. The molecule is structurally similar to the previously characterized [Rh(mhp)(COD)]₂ (mhp = 6-methyl-2-hydroxypyridinate; COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene). The Rh-Rh distance is relatively short (3.040 (1) Å) for $d^{\delta}d^{\delta}$ complexes, which is consistent with our observations of a low-energy $d\sigma^* \rightarrow p\sigma$ electronic spectral band and its ease of oxidation. Addition of AgPF₆ to CH₂Cl₂ solutions produces the stable d⁸d⁷, mixed-valence complex [Rh(chp)(NBD)]₂PF₆·CH₂Cl₂. This complex is paramagnetic ($\mu_{eff} = 1.76 \ \mu_{B}$; EPR $g_1 = 2.08, g_2 = 2.21$, and $g_3 = 2.25$) and shows a broad signal for g_1 that is consistent with two equivalent Rh(I¹/₂) atoms. The crystal structure of the d⁸d⁷ radical indicates that its geometry is similar to the d⁸d⁸ parent, but the Rh-Rh distance is considerably shorter (2.819 (1) Å). The shortening of the Rh-Rh distance is consistent with the 1/2 bond order expected for a $(d\sigma_b)^2(d\sigma^*)^1$ electronic configuration in the radical.

Introduction

Binuclear complexes of rhodium and iridium undergo facile redox reactions that implicate them as catalytic electron-transfer agents.¹ Binuclear systems previously studied are capable of one- or two-electron oxidations that generate d⁷-d⁸ radical species,^{2,3} or d⁷-d⁷ binuclear complexes.⁴⁻⁶ Our previous studies have demonstrated that the thermodynamics of electron transfer are sensitive to factors such as structural changes and medium effects,⁷ but the precise nature of these effects is largely unexplored.

Previous work⁸⁻¹¹ has centered on the properties of the d^8-d^8 complexes rather than the less stable, radical reaction intermediates. The combination of chp (chp = 6-chloro-2-hydroxypyridinate) as the bridging ligand and NBD (NBD = norbornadiene) has allowed the synthesis and structural examination of a reduced d⁸-d⁸ binuclear and the corresponding oxidized d⁸-d⁷ radical with identical ligation. This pair of complexes allows the influence of oxidation state on the metal-metal and metal-ligand interactions to be investigated.

Experimental Section

General Considerations. All synthetic procedures were carried out under an atmosphere of purified N₂ by using standard

Schlenk techniques. Solvents used were of spectroscopic grade and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Protonated hydroxypyridinates, cyclooctadiene, norbornadiene, $AgPF_6$, and $AgBF_4$ were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. The hydroxypyridines were converted to their corresponding sodium salts with sodium methoxide in methanol. [Rh(NBD)Cl]₂ was prepared by a published procedure.¹² NMR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet NT-300 WB instrument. Proton chemical shifts are reported relative to $Si(CH_3)_4$. Elemental analyses were performed by MHW Laboratories (Phoenix, AZ).

Synthesis. [Rh(chp)(NBD)]₂. A solution of 0.5454 g of $AgBF_4$ (2.80 × 10⁻³ mol) and 0.6460 g (1.40 × 10⁻³ mol) of [Rh-

- (7) Boyd, D. C.; Rodman, G. S.; Mann, K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986,

(12) Abel, B. E.; Bennett, M. A.; Wilkinson, G. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 3178

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Gray, H. B.; Miskowski, V. M.; Milder, S. J.; Smith, T. P.; Maverick, A. W.; Buhr, J. D.; Gladfelter, W. L.; Segal, I. S.; Mann, K. R. Fundam. Res. Homogeneous Catal. 1979, 3, 819.
 Boyd, D. C.; Matsch, P. A.; Mixa, M. M.; Mann, K. R. Inorg. Chem.

^{1986, 25, 3331.}

⁽³⁾ Miskowski, V. M.; Mann, K. R.; Gray, H. B.; Milder, S. J.; Hammond, G. S.; Ryason, P. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4383.
(4) Matsch, P. A. M. S. Thesis, University of Minnesota, 1985.
(5) Caspar, J. V.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3029.
(6) Smith, T. P. Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1982.
(7) Revid D. C. Bedman, C. S.; Monra, K. B. J. Am. L. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 106, 3029.