proven useful for the preparation of alkylplatinum complexes.

$$
[Pt(Me2NCS2)Cl]2 + 2L \rightarrow 2(Me2NCS2)PtLCl
$$
 (8)

$$
L = CO, C2H4
$$

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American

Chemical Society, for partial support of this research. This work was also partially supported by a grant from the University of South Carolina Venture Fund. The NSF (Grant CHE-8411172) and NIH (Grant RR-02425) have supplied funds to support NMR equipment, and the NIH (Grant RR-02849) has supplied funds to support mass spectrometry equipment.

Syntheses and NMR Spectra of Co₃(CO)₉[μ ₃-CCHAr₂] Clusters **Derived from DDT and Related Molecules: X-ray Crystal** Structures of $\iint Bis(4-chlorophenyI)$ methyI]carbynyI]tricobalt **Nonacarbonyl and of Its Bis(4-chloronaphthyl) Analogue**

Reginald A. Gates, Michael F. D'Agostino, Karen A. Sutin, and Michael J. McGlinchey'

Department of Chemistry, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada LBS 4M 1

Thomas *S.* Janik

Department of Chemistry, College at Fredonia, State University of New York, Fredonia, New York 14063

Melvyn Rowen Churchill"

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14214

Received December 20, *1988*

The reaction of $Co_2(CO)_{8}$ with a series of 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(aryl)ethanes yields the corresponding $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3-CCHAr_2]$ tetrahedral clusters, where Ar = 4-chlorophenyl (3), 4-methoxyphenyl (4), or 4chloronaphthyl **(6).** $C_{Q_3}(CO)_9[\mu_3$ -CCH(p -C₆H₄Cl)₂] **(3)** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ with $a = 13.0834 (27)$ \AA , $b = 14.2224 (16)$ \AA , $c = 14.1649 (24)$ \AA , $\beta = 95.871 (15)$ °, $V = 2621.9 (8)$ \AA ³, and Z = 4; treatment of all 3434 unique data led to final values of $R_F = 3.7\%$ and $R_{wF} = 3.3\%$. $(R_F = 2.5\%$ and $R_{\rm wF} = 3.0\%$ for those 2781 data with $|F_o| > 6\sigma(|F_o|)$.) The chloronaphthyl cluster of $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3-CCH(C_{10}H_6Cl)_2]$ (6) crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P\bar{1}$ with $a = 8.839$ (3) Å, $b = 12.156$ (4) Å, c treatment of the 3620 unique data led to final values of $R_F = 4.8\%$ and $R_{\rm wF} = 5.3\%$. At -120 °C, the cobalt carbonyl ligands of the phenyl clusters **3** and **4** exhibit a 6:3 splitting in their 13C NMR spectra; however, the naphthyl cluster **6** does not show this effect. These data are discussed in terms of the ability of the aryl groups to obstruct the fluxionality of the cobalt carbonyl ligands.

Introduction

The observed tendency of the carbynyl-tricobalt nonacarbonyl group to stabilize a positive charge in the α position, as in the cluster $[(O\ddot{C})_9Co_3(\mu_3-C=CR_2)]^+$, has been attributed to its ability to delocalize the charge onto the carbonyl ligands via the cobalts.' In order to compare the relative charge-stabilizing abilities of a C_6H_5 unit and a $(OC)_9Co_9(\mu_3-C)$ moiety, one needs to construct a molecule in which a phenyl ring and a carbynyl-nonacarbonyltricobalt unit are both attached to the same electron-deficient or electron-rich center. Therefore, it seemed a viable proposal to construct molecules in which one or more phenyl groups were bonded to a methynyl group situated in a position α to the nonacarbonyltricobalt moiety. By this means, one could remove the α -hydrogen either as a proton or **as** a hydride to prepare a cluster-stabilized anion or cation, respectively, and then determine the barrier to phenyl rotation in each case by variable-temperature **NMB** measurements. However, one needs a general route to clusters containing such arylmethyl- or diarylmethyl-capping functionalities. Nonacarbonyltricobalt clusters are most easily synthesized via the direct reaction of dicobalt octacarbonyl with an appropriate trichloromethyl-containing precursor. The acid-catalyzed reaction of arenes with trichloroacetaldehyde (chloral) is a very well-established process that leads to molecules of the type $Ar_2CH-
Cl₃²$ Indeed, this is a general route to commercial Indeed, this is a general route to commercial pesticides such as 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(4-chlorophenyl)ethane (DDT) 1 or the corresponding 4-methoxyphenyl compound (Methoxychlor) **2,** which is also readily available. Thus, we here describe the syntheses and characterizations of several clusters derived by reaction of these molecules with dicobalt octacarbonyl.

Results and Discussion

The reaction of DDT with $Co_2(CO)_8$ proceeds normally in that, upon heating, carbon monoxide is evolved; after the usual purification procedure, a mixture of black and white crystalline products is obtained. However, even after column chromatography and repeated recrystallizations, the black product (which is obtained in only minor yield)

^{(1) (}a) Seyferth, D. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1976, 14, 97. (b) Schilling, B. E. R.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3456. (c) Edidin, R. T.; Norton, J. R.; Mislow, K. Organometallics 1982, 1, 561. (d) D'-
R. T.; N *2345.*

⁽²⁾ Fieser, L. **F.; Fieser, M.** *Organic Chemistry,* **3rd ed.; Reinhold: New York, 1956.**

Syntheses of $Co_3(CO)_9$ *[* μ_3 *-CCHAr₂] Clusters*

could not be cleanly separated from the accompanying white material. This separation was finally effected by manually removing the individual crystals under a microscope. The microanalytical data (carbon, hydrogen, and chlorine) on the black crystals were in accord with the expected formula, i.e., $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9[\mu_3\text{-}\text{CCH}(p\text{-}C_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl})_2]$ (3). The mass spectrum exhibited peaks with isotopic abundances appropriate for a two-chlorine pattern at *mlz* $648/650/652$, which corresponds to $[M - CO]^+$, and also a series of ions showing the successive losses of seven more terminal carbonyl ligands.

The 'H NMR spectrum of the white crystals, i.e., the major product from the reaction of DDT with dicobalt octacarbonyl, shows not only resonances in the aromatic region but also two doublets at *6* 6.8 and 5.5 which are coupled to each other. Correspondingly, the 13C NMR spectrum of the molecule exhibits resonances at **71** and **64** ppm which are coupled to the above-mentioned protons, thus establishing the presence of a >CH-CH< unit in the molecule. These data were consistent with the assignment of the white crystals as **2,2-bis(4-chlorophenyl)-l,l-di**chloroethane (DDD), and this was confirmed by comparison with an authentic sample. The mechanism **of** the reaction of trichloromethyl units with $Co_2(CO)_8$ has never been fully elucidated, but the general view is that the process occurs by radical abstractions. Indeed, Pauson has noted³ that the reaction of $C_6H_5-CCl_3$ with $Co_2(CO)_8$ yields not only the anticipated phenyl-capped cluster but also $Ph(Cl)C=C(Cl)Ph$ and $PhCl_2C-CCl_2Ph$; these latter products are readily rationalizable as arising from radical intermediates.

Since we wished to gain some insight into the molecular dynamics of the neutral cobalt cluster **3,** its variable-temperature 13C NMR spectrum was recorded. The roomtemperature spectrum exhibited resonances at shifts appropriate for the apical carbon, for the cobalt carbonyls and for the bis(4-chlorophenyl)methyl fragment. We had thought it possible that restricted rotation of the phenyl rings might occur in which case the ortho and meta carbons of the aryl rings would no longer be magnetically equivalent. [This is precisely what happens with diarylmethyl anions or their $Cr(CO)_3$ complexes.^{4,5}] Experimentally, the 13C NMR resonances of the ortho, meta, and C-C1 carbons overlap somewhat at low temperature in the freon solvent, thus obscuring any small splittings. Concomitantly however, we observed that the cobalt carbonyls split into a 6:3 pattern at -120 "C, as shown in Figure **1.**

The reaction of Methoxychlor (2) , with $Co_2(CO)_8$ yields the analogous bis(methoxypheny1) cluster **4.** In this case,

however, the phenyl ring resonances are widely separated, and, by -80 "C, the ortho and meta carbons are split,

Figure **1.** Carbonyl region of **the 125-MHz** 13C **NMR spectrum** of $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3\text{-}CCH(C_6H_4Cl)_2]$ (3) recorded in $CD_2Cl_2/CFCl_3$ at **-120** "C.

clearly indicating that aryl rotation has slowed on the NMR time scale. Furthermore, this molecule also exhibits the unexpected 6:3 13C0 pattern at **-120** "C. This rather surprising result is amenable to several interpretations; first, one could be stopping axial-equatorial exchange of the cobalt carbonyls on the NMR time scale. Despite numerous attempts this phenomenon has not so far been unequivocally demonstrated. $6,7$ Secondly, one could invoke slowed rotation of the diarylmethyl-capping group relative to the cobalt triangle. In the first instance, the molecule would maintain C_{3v} symmetry, but the cessation of the localized exchange would lead to a 6:3 pattern. This process could occur even if intermetal exchange were to continue. That is, the six aforementioned carbonyls could execute a merry-go-round process in the equatorial plane while the other three ligands would maintain their axial positions, as in **3a.** The second postulated process would

require that the bulky capping group rotate slowly about the alkylidyne carbon- α -carbon bond axis on the NMR time scale presumably such that the predominant rotamer would be the staggered molecule as in **3b.** This situation would not demand the hitherto unknown cessation of localized $Co(CO)_{3}$ rotation but merely the slowing of intermetal exchange—a well-established phenomenon. $6,8$ In order to evaluate these possibilities, it was necessary to obtain information on the steric interactions between the

⁽³⁾ Khand, I. **U.; Knox,** *G.* **R.; Pauson, P. L.; Watts, W. E.** *J.* **Orga- (4) Bank,** S.; **Sturgess, J.** S.; **Heyer,** D.; **Bushweller, C. H.** *J.* **Am.** *Chem.* **nomet.** *Chem.* **1974, 73, 383.**

SOC. 1980, 102, 3982.

⁽⁵⁾ Top, S.; **Jaouen,** *G.;* **Sayer, B.** *G.;* **McGlinchey, M. J.** *J.* **Am. Chem. SOC. 1983,** *105,* **6426.**

⁽⁶⁾ **D'Agostino, M. F.; McGlinchey, M.** J. **Polyhedron 1988, 7, 807. (7)** (a) **Sutin, K. A,; Kolis, J. W.; Mlekuz, M.; Bougeard, P.; Sayer, B.** G.; Quilliam, M. A.; Faggiani, R.; Lock, C. J. L.; McGlinchey, M. J.;
Jaouen, G. *Organometallics* 1987, 6, 439. (b) Aime, S.; Milone, L.; Rossetti, R.; Stanghellini, P. L. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1977, 25, 103.

⁽⁸⁾ **Aime,** S.; **Botta, M.; Gobetto, R.; Osella, D.; Milone, L.** *Gazz. Chim.* **Ital. 1987,** *11* **7, 773.**

(diarylmethy1)carbynyl group and the cobalt carbonyl ligands, and so crystals of **3** suitable for an X-ray diffraction study were obtained.

The crystal consists of discrete units of $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3$ - $CCH(C₆H₄Cl)₂$] which have close to (noncrystallographic) C_s symmetry excluding rotation of the C_6H_4Cl groups. There are no abnormally short inter- or intramolecular contacts. The structure and labeling scheme is illustrated in Figure **2.** X-ray crystallographic data and interatomic distances and angles are listed in Tables I through 111. The tricobalt plane has normal **Co-Co** bond lengths, with $Co(2)-Co(3) = 2.462$ (1) Å. These values fall within the range reported for previous structures containing the **CO~(CO)~C** fragment, viz., **2.463 (5)-2.498 (4)** Although the variation in **Co-Co** bond lengths is statistically significant, it is of little chemical importance. Similar variations in chemically equivalent **Co-Co** bond distances have been seen previously and are probably a result of crystal packing forces.^{9,10} The methylidyne carbon $(C(1))$ lies at distances of **1.914 (3)-1.921 (3) A** from the cobalt atoms. Again, these distances are typical. The p-chlorophenyl rings show normal distances and angles. **As** shown in Figure 3a, the chlorophenyl rings are slightly "twisted" relative to an axis perpendicular to the plane of the three cobalt atoms; the dihedral angles **C(l)-C(2)-C(41)-C(42)** and **C(l)-C(2)-C(5l)-C(56)** are **+83O** and **-58O,** respectively, thus removing the potential **C,** symmetry of the $Co(1)-Co(2) = 2.474$ (1) Å, $Co(1)-Co(3) = 2.471$ (1) Å, and

(9) Leung, **P.;** Coppens, P.; McMullan, R. K.; Koetzle, T. F. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1981,** *B37,* 1347.

(10) Miller, D. C.; Gearhart, R. C.; Brill, T. B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979, 169,** 395.

(11) Sutton, **P. W.;** Dahl, L. F. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1967,** *89,* **261.** (12) Klanberg, **F.;** Askew, W. B.; Guggenberger, L. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1968, 7, 2265.**

(13) Batzel, V.; Muller, V.; **Altman,** R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975,102,**

(14) Schmid, G.; Batzel, B.; Schutte, B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976,** 109.

(15) Schmid, G.; Volken, B.; Etzrodt, G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1976,** *113,* 67.

112, **345.**

48 data points, no significant decay observed

Figure 2. Labeling of the atoms in $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9[\mu_3\text{-CCH}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Cl})_2]$ **(3).**

Figure **3. CHEM-x** plots illustrating the twisting of (a) the chlorophenyl rings in **3** and (b) the chloronaphthyl rings in **6.**

entire molecule $3.$ (In DDT itself,¹⁶ the corresponding angles are $+87^\circ$ and -47° ; in contrast, in a series of porphyrin-iron-carbene complexes derived from DDT, the

⁽¹⁶⁾ DeLacy, T. P.; Kennard, C. H. L. *J. Chem. SOC. Perkin Tram.* **2 1972,** 2148.

Syntheses of Co,(*CO),[p,- CCHArJ Clusters Organometallics, Vol. 9, No. 1, 1990* 23

Table II. Final Atomic Parameters for $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3-CCH(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2]^o$

atom	x	у	z
Co(1)	0.75321(3)	0.77559(3)	0.70445(3)
Co(2)	0.59813(3)	0.70589(3)	0.61694(3)
Co(3)	0.68006(3)	0.84406(3)	0.55199(3)
Cl(1)	0.61000(9)	0.46201(9)	0.17826(7)
Cl(2)	1.24577(7)	0.76571(9)	0.53301(8)
O(11)	0.66108(22)	0.91044(21)	0.83176(20)
O(12)	0.96941(22)	0.83611(21)	0.71574(19)
O(13)	0.80334(29)	0.61120(23)	0.82331(24)
O(21)	0.44731(23)	0.78707 (23)	0.73630(22)
O(22)	0.47157(23)	0.66702(26)	0.43873(21)
O(23)	0.61722(24)	0.51277(20)	0.68850(24)
O(31)	0.62084(29)	0.84122(23)	0.34673(21)
O(32)	0.84784(25)	0.98068(20)	0.55602(21)
O(33)	0.51724(26)	0.96921(23)	0.61158(23)
C(1)	0.73462(22)	0.72129(19)	0.58051(19)
C(2)	0.80144(23)	0.64770(19)	0.53883(20)
C(11)	0.69695(28)	0.85838(25)	0.78422(24)
C(12)	0.88534(32)	0.81475(25)	0.70994(23)
C(13)	0.78228(32)	0.67503(29)	0.77816(25)
C(21)	0.50418(28)	0.75688(26)	0.68996(26)
C(22)	0.52092(28)	0.68208(27)	0.50731(28)
C(23)	0.60880(26)	0.58784(28)	0.66209(27)
C(31)	0.64423(31)	0.83995(26)	0.42560(28)
C(32)	0.78328 (32)	0.92695(25)	0.55354(25)
C(33)	0.57991(32)	0.92276 (27)	0.58873(27)
C(41)	0.75277(22)	0.60373(20)	0.44593(20)
C(42)	0.75729(28)	0.64417(24)	0.35806(23)
C(43)	0.71385(30)	0.60128(29)	0.27562(24)
C(44)	0.66504(26)	0.51678(26)	0.28158(24)
C(45)	0.65901(26)	0.47435(23)	0.36787(26)
C(46)	0.70297(26)	0.51793(22)	0.44923(22)
C(51)	0.91281(23)	0.67921(21)	0.53472(20)
C(52)	0.99082(26)	0.62745(24)	0.58361(24)
C(53)	1.09336 (26)	0.65381(28)	0.58385 (26)
C(54)	1.11689 (24)	0.73208(26)	0.53425(24)
C(55)	1.04137 (26)	0.78523(23)	0.48499(24)
C(56)	0.93999(24)	0.75867(22)	0.48652(22)
H(2)	0.8044	0.5974	0.5841
H(42)	0.7882	0.7050	0.3545
H(43)	0.7126	0.6341	0.2159
H(45)	0.6252	0.4144	0.3703
H(46)	0.7017	0.4871	0.5097
H(52)	0.9743	0.5713	0.6169
H(53)	1.1461	0.6182	0.6195
H(55)	1.0582	0.8414	0.4510
H(56)	0.8874	0.7955	0.4508

Ail hydrogen atoms were assigned an isotropic thermal parameter of $\vec{B} = 4.0 \,\text{Å}^2$.

chlorophenyl rings of the $Ar_2C=Fe$ moiety are aligned almost orthogonally to each other.^{17,18}) The $Co-C(1)-C(2)$ bond angles in 3 are $Co(1)-C(1)-C(2) = 127.6$ (2)^o, Co- $(2)-C(1)-C(2) = 127.8$ (2°), and Co(3)-C(1)-C(2) = 139.7 (2) ^o. Since the *p*-chlorophenyl rings are oriented toward Co(3), the increased Co(3)-C(1)-C(2) angle is probably a result of intramolecular steric forces. Axial carbonyl ligands (i.e., those trans to the apical carbon) are associated with somewhat longer cobalt-carbon distances $(C₀(1)-C = 1.839$ (4) Å) than are the equatorial carbonyl ligands The corresponding carbon-oxygen distances show little variation: $C-O(axial) = 1.129(5)$ Å (average) and $C-O-$ (11) = 1.837 **(4)** A; C0(2)-C(21) = 1.835 **(4) A;** C0(3)-C(33) $(C₀(1)-C(13) = 1.789$ (4) Å to $C₀(1)-C(12) = 1.810$ (4) Å).

Table 111. Selected Interatomic Distances (A) and Angles (deg) for $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_6[\mu_2\text{-}CCH(p-C_4H_1Cl)_2]$

(A) Co-Co and Co-C(methylidyne) Distances							
$Co(1)-Co(2)$	2.474(1)	$Co(1)-C(1)$	1.911(3)				
$Co(1)-Co(3)$	2.471(1)	$Co(2)-C(1)$	1.921(3)				
$Co(2)-Co(3)$	2.462(1)	$Co(3)-C(1)$	1.914(3)				
(B) Co-CO and C-O Distances							
$Co(1)-C(11)$	1.837(4)	$C(11)-O(11)$	1.134(5)				
$Co(1)-C(12)$	1.810(4)	$C(12)-O(12)$	1.136(5)				
$Co(1)-C(13)$	1.789(4)	$C(13)-O(13)$	1.128(5)				
$Co(2)-C(21)$	1.835(4)	$C(21) - O(21)$	1.126(5)				
$Co(2)-C(22)$	1.796(4)	$C(22)-O(22)$	1.131(5)				
$Co(2)-C(23)$	1.797(4)	$C(23)-O(23)$	1.133(5)				
$Co(3)-C(31)$	1.805(4)	$C(31) - O(31)$	1.128(5)				
$Co(3)-C(32)$	1.791(4)	$C(32) - O(32)$	1.137(5)				
$Co(3)-C(33)$	1.839(4)	$C(33) - O(33)$	1.126(5)				
(C) Distances Involving the μ_3 -C-CH-(C) ₂ System							
$C(1) - C(2)$	1.521(4)	$C(2) - C(41)$	1.535(4)				
		$C(2) - C(51)$	1.531(4)				
(D) Angles within the $Co_3(\mu_3-C)$ Core							
$Co(1)-Co(2)-Co(3)$	60.08(2)	$Co(1)-C(1)-Co(2)$	80.43 (11)				
$Co(3)-Co(1)-Co(2)$	59.71 (2)	$Co(1)-C(1)-Co(3)$	80.50 (11)				
$Co(2)-Co(3)-Co(1)$	60.21(2)	$Co(2)-C(1)-Co(3)$	79.86 (11)				
$Co(1)-Co(2)-C(1)$	49.59 (8)	$Co(3)-Co(2)-C(1)$	49.93 (8)				
$Co(1)-Co(3)-C(1)$	49.70 (8)	$Co(2)-Co(1)-C(1)$	49.97 (8)				
$Co(2)-Co(3)-C(1)$	50.20(8)	$Co(3)-Co(1)-C(1)$	49.81 (8)				

Figure 4. CHEM-x plots of selected conformations (see text) of $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3-CCH(C_6H_4Cl)_2]$ (3). For clarity only one chlorophenyl ring is depicted.

 (b)

 $\text{(equatorial)} = 1.132 \cdot (5) \text{ Å} \text{ (average)}.$

 (n)

To probe the possible steric interactions between the bulky capping group and the terminal carbonyl ligands, the crystallographically derived atomic coordinates were manipulated by using the CHEM-x molecular modelling program.¹⁹ The (diarylmethyl)carbynyl fragment was rotated so as to position a phenyl ring between two metal carbonyl ligands either (a) on the same cobalt atom or (b) on adjacent cobalt atoms. These situations are depicted in Figure **4** and show that, in the most extreme cases, the ortho-hydrogens of the chlorophenyl rings can get uncomfortably close to the metal carbonyls. A similar effect may be responsible for the slowed rotation of the aryl rings in **4** as detected by 13C NMR.

To gain further data on this problem, we chose to synthesize an even more bulky capping carbynyl substituent. The sulfuric acid catalyzed reaction **of** trichloroacetaldehyde with 1-chloronaphthalene gave 1,1,1-trichloro-**2,2-bis(4-chloronaphthyl)ethane (5),** which underwent reaction with dicobalt octacarbonyl to yield the bis(naphthy1) cluster **6.** It is noteworthy that this synthesis is exceptionally sensitive to the effects of temperature and reaction time. If kept for several hours at more than 50 "C, the

^{(17) (}a) Chevrier, B.; Weiss, R.; Lange, M.; Chottard, J. C.; Mansuy, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2899. (b) Olmstead, M. M.; Cheng, R. J.; Balch, A. L. *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 4143. (c) Mansuy, D.; Battioni, J.-P.; La

⁽¹⁸⁾ An important factor here must be the relatively wide Ar-C- (sp^2) -Ar angle of $\approx 120^\circ$ in the iron-carbene complexes; the aryl rings thus have the freedom to rotate into the most favorable conformation and so minimize steric interactions between the aryl rings. In contrast, the **Ar-C(sp3)-Ar** angle is only **-110'** in DDT.

⁽¹⁹⁾ **CHEM-X,** July 1986 version, developed and distributed by Chemical Design Ltd., Oxford, England.

Table IV. Final Atomic Positions and Temperature Factors (\mathring{A}^2) for $Co_3(CO)_{\text{of }\mu_3}$ -CCH $(4-C_{10}H_{\text{e}}Cl)_{\text{2}}$]

	$101 \, \text{C}$	$791 - 3$	
atom	$\pmb{\chi}$	y	z
Co(1)	0.09551(8)	0.24094(6)	0.84170(4)
Co(2)	0.15933(8)	0.11416(5)	0.71507(4)
Co(3)	0.38948(7)	0.31142(6)	0.81761(3)
Cl(1)	$-0.3989(2)$	0.1532(2)	0.3980(9)
Cl(2)	0.2028(3)	0.8467(2)	0.9443(1)
O(11)	0.1656(6)	0.4822(4)	0.9743(2)
O(12)	0.1158(5)	0.0942(4)	0.9549(3)
O(13)	$-0.2727(6)$	0.1456(5)	0.7995(3)
O(21)	$-0.1963(6)$	$-0.0755(4)$	0.6455(3)
O(22)	0.2724(7)	0.1167(4)	0.5514(3)
O(23)	0.2529(7)	$-0.0588(4)$	0.7770(3)
O(31)	0.6185(5)	0.3423(4)	0.6940(3)
O(32)	0.5561(5)	0.5785(4)	0.9317(2)
O(33)	0.5158(5)	0.2044(4)	0.9312(2)
C(1)	0.1899(5)	0.2865(4)	0.7462(2)
C(2)	0.1904(6)	0.3713(4)	0.6932(3)
C(11)	0.1376(7)	0.3891(5)	0.9217(3)
C(12)	0.1065(6)	0.1493(5)	0.9124(3)
C(13)	$-0.1295(8)$	0.1815(6)	0.8151(3)
C(21)	$-0.0573(8)$	0.0004(5)	0.6740(3)
C(22)	0.2313(7)	0.1134(5)	0.6150(4)
C(23)	0.2186(7)	0.0078(5)	0.7524(3)
C(31)	0.5296(6)	0.3287(5)	0.7426(3)
C(32)	0.4886(6)	0.4757(5)	0.8864(3)
C(33)	0.4693(6)	0.2457(5)	0.8883(3)
C(40)	0.0470(5)	0.3109(4)	0.6153(2)
C(41)	$-0.1136(6)$	0.2208(5)	0.6159(3)
C(42)	$-0.2501(6)$	0.1728(5)	0.5480(3)
C(43)	$-0.2241(6)$	0.2118(5)	0.4794(3)
C(44)	$-0.0623(6)$	0.3018(4)	0.4721(3)
C(45)	0.0767(6)	0.3524(4)	0.5418(3)
C(46)	0.2372(6)	0.4443(5)	0.5352(3)
C(47)	0.2611(8)	0.4846(6)	0.4645(3)
C(48)	0.1263(8)	0.4311(6)	0.3969(4)
C(49)	$-0.0316(7)$	0.3442(5)	0.3995(3)
C(50)	0.2015(6)	0.4987(4)	0.7510(2)
C(51)	0.0554(7)	0.4977(5)	0.7778(3)
C(52)	0.0575(8)	0.6065(6)	0.8357(3)
C(53)	0.2036(8)	0.7162(5)	0.8670(3)
C(54)	0.3571(7)	0.7271(4)	0.8407(3)
C(55)	0.3568(6)	0.6161(4)	0.7798(3)
C(56)	0.5123(7)	0.6297(5)	0.7532(3)
C(57)	0.6582(8)	0.7431(7)	0.7842(5)
C(58)	0.6584(10)	0.8489(6)	0.8429(5)
C(59)	0.5143(10)	0.8431(5)	0.8716(4)
H(2)	0.290(5)	0.384(3)	0.671(2)
H(41)	$-0.130(6)$	0.188(5)	0.662(3)
H(42)	$-0.361(7)$	0.110(5)	0.551(3)
H(46)	0.342(6)	0.479(5)	0.585(3)
H(47)	0.378(6)	0.558(5)	0.466(3)
H(48)	0.143(7)	0.458(6)	0.354(4)
H(49)	$-0.136(6)$	0.301(4)	0.353(3)
H(51)	–0.054 (7)	0.415(5)	0.753(3)
H(52)	–0.042 (8)	0.603(6)	0.847(4)
H(56)	0.506(6)	0.549(5)	0.709(3)
H(57)	0.749(7)	0.744(5)	0.772(3)
H(58)	0.763(7)	0.923(6)	0.873(3)
H(59)	0.526(5)	0.922(4)	0.920(3)

cluster decomposed; on the other hand, when the reaction was carried out at ≈ 40 °C, the main product was Co₄(C-**OIl2.** The X-ray crystal structure of 6 (see Figure **5** and Tables I, IV, and V) reveals that all the carbonyls are terminally bonded and also that the chloronaphthyl ring planes are again twisted relative to the axis normal to the tricobalt plant; the analogous dihedral angles to those reported above for 3 are $+78^{\circ}$ and -34° . It is apparent that, in the solid state at least, the twist angle between the chloronaphthyl rings in 6 is approximately **30°** greater than that for the chlorophenyl rings in **3** (see Figure 3b). We note also that in 6 one of the cobalt tricarbonyl vertices has rotated slightly, presumably to alleviate the steric interactions with the capping group. Comparisons of the bond lengths and angles in 6 with those of **3** naturally show

Figure 5. Labeling of the atoms in $\text{Co}_3(\text{CO})_9[\mu_3\text{-}\text{CCH}(\text{C}_1_0\text{H}_6\text{Cl})_2]$ **(6).**

many similar features. Again, twisting of the chloronaphthyl rings of the capping group prevents the molecule from adopting approximate C_s symmetry.

Interestingly, the low-temperature **I3C** NMR spectrum of **6** does not show a 6:3 splitting of the cobalt carbonyl groups. Furthermore, in the **I3C** NMR spectrum, the corresponding carbons of the two chloronaphthyl rings are equivalent. Evidently, the naphthyls must have sufficient conformational mobility to generate a molecular mirror plane on the NMR time scale.

It is perhaps surprising that the DDT- and Methoxychlor-derived clusters **3** and **4,** respectively, exhibit a 6:3 pattern in the 13C NMR spectrum at low temperature while the chloronaphthyl analogue 6 does not. One might have imagined that the bulky naphthyl rings would cause much more steric hindrance to the carbonyls than would the phenyl rings in **3** and **4.** A closer examination of the system reveals that the naphthyl rings are relatively restricted in their movement because of their mutual steric repulsions. In order to interfere with the movements of the metal carbonyl ligands, which can involve local rotation of a $Co(CO)_{3}$ fragment and/or carbonyl migration between cobalt vertices, the aryl rings have to be able to orient themselves such they are almost orthogonal to the tricobalt plane, as shown for **3** in Figure **4.** In contrast to **3** and **4,** molecular modelling studies indicate that such conformations are difficult to achieve in **6.**

Without further labelling studies, it is not yet possible to distinguish unequivocally between the two scenarios outlined above, namely, the cessation of local rotation of the $Co(CO)_{3}$ vertices or slowed rotation of the carbynyl capping group.²⁰ To resolve the ambiguity as to the origin of the 6:3 splitting of the carbonyl ligands observed in **3** and **4,** it is necessary to construct clusters of potentially lower symmetry which also incorporate other **NMR** probes. To this end, we have synthesized molecules of the type $Co_3(CO)_6(tripod)[\mu_3-CCHAr_2]$, where tripod is the tris-(dipheny1phosphino)methane ligand. The variable-temperature 13C and 31P NMR spectra of these clusters together with an X-ray characterization of one such molecule are discussed in an accompanying manuscript.²¹

Experimental Section

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen employing conventional benchtop and glovebag techniques. All solvents were dried according to standard procedures before use. 22 ^{13} C NMR spectra were recorded at 125.7 and 62.9 MHz by using Bruker AM500 and WM250 spectrometers, respectively. 'H NMR spectra were recorded by using Bruker WM250 and WPSO spectrometers. Chemical shifts reported were referenced to tetramethylsilane. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 283 instrument using KBr solution cells. Mass spectra were obtained on a VG Analytical ZAB-E reversed-geometry double-focussing mass spectrometer with a VG11/250J data system. FAB (positive ion) spectra were obtained by using 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol **as** the matrix. Microanalytical data are from Guelph Chemical Laboratories, Guelph, Ontario.

 $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3\text{-}CCH(p-C_6H_4Cl)_2]$ (3). To a solution of $Co_2(CO)_8$ (18.70 g, 54.7 mmol) in THF (100 cm³), under an atmosphere of N_2 , was slowly added by syringe a solution of DDT (10.80 g, 30.5) mmol) dissolved in THF (50 cm³). After the solution was stirred at 50 "C for 2 h, the heat source was removed and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature overnight. Following filtration under nitrogen pressure (Schlenk tube apparatus) to remove cobalt salts, the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in hexane and filtered to eliminate any insoluble products. The hexane was then removed by using a rotary evaporator, and the crude material was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent, hexane). The first band that eluted contained the organic starting material DDT. The second band was collected and found to contain the desired product **3** as well as some DDD. Separation of **3** from the DDD was achieved by carrying out repeated recrystallizations in a dichloromethane/hexane medium. The black crystals of **3** were selected with the aid of a microscope after each recrystallization. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6): δ 7.15 (d, 4 H), 6.70 (d, 4 H), 5.30 (s, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂/CFCl₃) (room temperature): δ 305.9 (alkylidyne C), 200.7 (Co-CO's), 145.7 (C₁, ipso carbons, C-CH-C), 133.5 (C₄, C-Cl), 131.3, 129.4 (C_{2.6}, C_{3.5}), 76.9 (Ar-CH-Ar). ¹³C (6 C). IR (CCl,): *uco* 2092 (m), 2030 (vs), 2010 (s), 1989 (w), 1980 (w) cm⁻¹. Mass spectrum (DEI): m/z (%) 648 (29) C₂₂H₉Cl₂Co₃O₈ $(M - 8CO)$, $(300 (100) (M - 6CO)$, $(400 (40) (M - 7CO)$, $492 (36)$
 $(M - 8CO)$, $424 (48) (M - 9CO)$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_9Cl_2Co_3O_9$: C, 40.80; H, 1.34; C1, 10.47. Found: C, 40.77; H, 1.33; C1, 10.49. NMR ($CD_2Cl_2/CECl_3$) (-120 °C) (Co–CO's): δ 202.9 (3 C), 198.5 (w) cm \sim Mass spectrum (DEI): m/z (%) 646 (29) C₂₂H₉Cl₂C₀₃C₈
(M – CO)⁺, 592 (65) (M – 3CO)⁺, 564 (60) (M – 4CO)⁺, 536 (31) $(M - SO)^2$, 592 (69) ($M - SOO$)⁺, 504 (60) ($M - 4CO$)⁻, 536 (31)
 $(M - 5CO)$ ⁺, 508 (100) ($M - 6CO$)⁺, 480 (43) ($M - 7CO$)⁺, 452 (38)

 $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3-CCH(p-C_6H_4OCH_3)_2]$ (4). A THF solution (100 cm³) of $Co_2(CO)_{8}$ (10.88 g, 31.8 mmol) and Methoxychlor (6.11) g, 17.7 mmol) was stirred at reflux under an atmosphere of N_2 for 4 h. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered (under N_2 pressure) to remove any cobalt salts. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in hexane. After any insoluble material was filtered off, the hexane solution was evaporated and purification of the resultant solid by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent, ether/petroleum ether, 5/95) yielded the product **4 as** dark purple crystals (1.32 g, 2.0 mmol, 11.2%). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.65 (d, 4 H), 6.92 (d, 4 H), 5.95 (s, 1 H), and 3.76 **(s,** 6 H). 13C NMR (CDC13) (room temperature): **6** 311.1 (alkylidyne C), 199.7 (Co- CC 's), 158.9 (MeO-C), 138.9 (C₁, ipso carbons, C-CH-C), 129.7 $(C_{3,5})$, 114.1 $(C_{2,6})$, 76.8 (Ar-CH-Ar), 55.3 (O-CH₃). ¹³C NMR 157.5 (MeO-C), 137.8 (C₁, ipso carbons, C-CH-C), 129.3, 128.8 $(C_{3,5})$, 113.1, 112.7 $(C_{2,6})$. IR (CH_2Cl_2) : ν_{CO} 2100 (m), 2050 (vs), 2030 (s), 2010 (sh) cm-'. Mass spectrum (FAB): *m/z* (%) 668 (3) $C_{25}H_{15}O_{11}Co_3(M)$ +, 640 (12) (M – CO)⁺, 584 (100) (M – 3CO)⁺, 690 (3) $556 (50) (M - 4CO) + 528 (28) (M - 5CO) + 500 (48) (M - 6CO) + 556 (50) (M - 4CO) + 528 (28) (M - 5CO) + 500 (48) (M - 6CO) + 500 (48)$ $472 (25) (M - 7CO)^{+}$, $444 (22) (M - 8CO)^{+}$, $416 (18) (M - 9CO)^{+}$ $(CD_2^{\circ}C1_2/CFC1_3)^{\circ}(-120 \text{ °C})$: δ 202.3 (3 C), 198.0 (6 C) (Co-CO's), Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{15}O_{11}Co_3$: C, 44.94; H, 2.26. Found: C, 44.69; H, 2.22.

Synthesis of l,l,l-Trichloro-2,2-bis(4-chloronaphthyl) ethane (5). Following the procedure of Haskelberg and Lavie.²³ 4-chloronaphthalene and trichloroacetaldehyde reacted in the presence of concentrated HzS04 to yield **5.** The 500-MHz 'H-'H $COSY$ 2-D NMR experiment (in CD_2Cl_2) revealed all the expected proton interactions leading to the following assignments: δ 8.39 $(d, d, 2 H, H_8)$, 8.35 $(d, d, 2 H, H_5)$, 8.08 $(d, 2 H, H_3)$, 7.6 (mult, 6 H, H_2 , H_6 , H_7), and 6.86 (s, 1 H, H_α).

 $Co_3(CO)_{9}[\mu_3-CCH(C_{10}H_6Cl)_2]$ (6). A solution of $Co_2(CO)_8$ (4.95 g, 14.5 mmol) and $(C_{10}H_6Cl)_2CHCCl_3$ (5) $(3.66 \text{ g}, 8.1 \text{ mmol})$ in THF (75 cm^3) was stirred at 45 °C under an atmosphere of N₂ for 3 h. The solvent was removed by evaporation, and purification of the residue by column chromatography on neutral alumina (eluent, hexane) yielded two products. The major product, present in the first band, was spectroscopically identified as $Co₄(CO)₁₂$. The second band was collected and evaporated to give dark purple crystals of the desired product 6 (0.17 g, 0.2 mmol, 2.6%). ¹³C NMR (CH_2Cl_2) (room temperature): δ 303.7 (alkylidyne C), 199.8 $(Co-CO's)$, 141.4 $(C_1$, ipso carbons, $C-CH-C$), 131.0 $(C_4, C-Cl)$, 61.7 (Ar-CH-Ar). IR (CH2C12): *uc0* 2092 (m), 2038 (s), 2027 (s), 2010 (m) cm⁻¹. Mass spectrum (FAB): m/z (%) 748 (8) C₃₀-
H₁₃Cl₂C₀₃O₈ (M - CO)⁺, 720 (17) (M - 2CO)⁺, 692 (88) (M -131.8, 127.7, 127.3, 127.0, 126.0, 125.3 (C_{2,3,5,6,7,8}), 122.9, 122.5 (C_{9,10}), 3CO)+, 664 (100) **(M** - 4CO)+, 636 (77) (M - 5CO)+, 608 (32) (M -6CO) , 664 (100) (M - 4CO) , 656 (77) (M - 5CO) , 606 (32) (M
- 6CO)⁺, 580 (32) (M - 7CO)⁺, 552 (17) (M - 8CO)⁺, 524 (70) (M $-9CO$ ⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₁₃Cl₂C₀₃O₉: C, 47.91; H, 1.69; Cl 9.12. Found: C, 48.02: H, 1.81: C1, 9.31.

Determination of the Structure of $Co_3(CO)_9[\mu_3-CCH(p-$ **C6H4C1)2] (3).** A black crystal of approximate orthogonal dimensions $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.4$ mm³ was mounted along its extended axis in a thin-walled glass capillary and was aligned and centered on a Syntex P2₁ automated four-circle diffractometer. Determination of accurate unit-cell dimensions and the crystal's orientation matrix were performed as described previously. 24 This and other details of the data collection are given in Table I.

Examination of the data set revealed the systematic absences *h0l* for $h + l = 2n + 1$ and 0k0 for $k = 2n + 1$, indicating the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group *P2,/n.* Data were corrected for the effects of absorption (by interpolation, in 2θ and ϕ , between normalized curves from Ψ -scans of six close to axial reflections) and for Lorentz and polarization factors. Symmetry equivalent data were averaged, and the resulting unique data set was placed on an approximately absolute scale by means of a Wilson plot.

The positions of the three cobalt atoms were determined by direct methods using the program MULTAN²⁵ and a subsequent

⁽²⁰⁾ Sutin, **K.** A.; Faggiani, R.; McGlinchev. **M.** J. *New J. Chem.* **1988.** -_ *12,* 419.

script in preparation. **(21)** D'Agostino, M. F.; Frampton, C. S.; McGlinchey, M. J., manu-

Pergamon Press: New **York,** 1980. *A27,* **368. (22)** Perrin, D. D.; Perrin, D. R. *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals;*

⁽²³⁾ Haskelberg, L.; Lavie, D. J. *Am. Chem. SOC.* **1947, 69, 2236. (24)** Churchill, M. R.; Lashewycz, R. A.; Rotella, F. J. *Znorg. Chem.*

⁽²⁵⁾ Germain, G.; Main, P.; Wolfson, M. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1971, 1977,** *16,* **265.**

"E map". All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from difference Fourier maps. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms (with hydrogen atoms included in idealized lo-
cations with $d(C-H) = 0.95 \text{ Å}^{26}$) led to convergence with²⁷ $R_F =$
 $\frac{2.677}{\pi} = 0.077 \text{ kg} \cdot 1.006$ **3.7%,** Rwp = **3.3%,** and GOF = **1.37** for all **3434** unique data; for those 2781 data with $|F_{0}| > 6\sigma(|F_{0}|), R_{F} = 2.5\%$, and $R_{wF} = 3.0\%$. A final difference Fourier map showed no significant features. Final positional and thermal parameters are listed in Table **11.**

All calculations were performed on the SUNY-Buffalo modified Syntex XTL system. The function $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ was minimized during the least-squares procedure; here $w^{-1}=[\sigma(|F_o|)]^2$ $+$ $[0.01|F_o|]^2$. The analytical forms of the neutral atoms' scattering factors were corrected for both the real $(\Delta f \,)$ and the imaginary $(i\Delta f')$ components of anomalous dispersion.²⁸

Determination of the Crystal Structure of $Co_3(CO)_{9}$ - $[\mu_3\text{-}\text{CCH}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_6\text{Cl})_2]$ (6). A black, air-stable crystal of dimensions $0.16 \times 0.32 \times 0.35$ mm³ was grown from a cooled ether/hexane solution. Precession photographs revealed the crystal was triclinic, and accurate unit cell parameters were determined from a least-squares fit of χ , ϕ , and δ for 15 reflections in the range 21.5° < **28** < **27.3'.** Measurements were made on a Nicolet **P3** diffractometer with use of graphite-monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation. Crystal data and other numbers related to data collection appear in Table I.

Data collection over h , $\pm k$, and $\pm l$ resulted in 3620 unique reflections and 2784 observed reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$. Data were corrected for Lorentz-polarization effects, but not for absorption (μ (Mo K α) = 19.22 cm⁻¹).

Considering only observed data, heavy-atom positions were found by using the direct methods program SHELXS.³⁰ Subsequent

(28) Cromer, D. T.; Waber, J. T. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Ibers, J. A., Hamilton, W. C., Eds.; Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, Table 2.2.B, pp 99-101.

(29) Reference 28, Table 2.3.1, pp 149–150.
(30) Sheldrick, G. M. shELxs86, Program for Crystal Structure Determination; University of Gottingen: Gottingen, Federal Republic of Germany, **1986.**

Fourier difference maps revealed the positions of all remaining atoms, including the hydrogens.³¹ Anisotropic refinements of all non-hydrogen atoms by full-matrix least-squares methods resulted in $R_F = 4.8\%$ and $\tilde{R}_{wF} = 5.3\%$. Final atom positions and thermal parameters appear in Table IV. Computations were carried out on the McMaster VAX 8600 system. The weighting scheme is $w = [\sigma^2(F) + 0.004F^2]^{-1}$. Scattering curves from ref 28 were used during refinement of the structure, and anomalous dispersion corrections from ref **29** were applied to the curves for Co and C1. Geometry calculations were performed by using MOLGEOM.32

Acknowledgment. We thank the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support of this research. Financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged. K.A.S. and M.F.D. thank NSERC for postgraduate scholarships. We also thank the trustees of the Harry Lyman Hooker Fund for contributing to the purchase of the 500-MHz NMR spectrometer and for awarding M.F.D. a Senior Fellowship. We thank Steve Zweep for crystallographic assistance. Mass spectra were obtained courtesy of Dr. Richard W. Smith of the McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectrometry. Molecular modelling **was** carried out on computers generously provided by IBM CANADA.

Registry **No. 3, 123882-18-8; 4, 123882-19-9; 5, 123882-17-7; 6,123882-20-2;** DDT, **50-29-3;** CO&O)~, **10210-68-1;** Methoxychlor, **72-43-5.**

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of anisotropic thermal parameters and bond distances and angles for molecules **3** and 6 **(9** pages); listings **of** observed and calculated structure factors for molecules **3** and **6 (36** pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(32) Stephens, J. **MOLGEOM** adapted from CUDLS: McMaster University: Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, **1973.**

Palladium-Catalyzed Oxidative Carbonylation of Ethylene and Propylene by Butyl Nitrite and Carbon Monoxide

Philippe Bréchot, Yves Chauvin,* Dominique Commereuc, and Lucien Saussine

Institut Français du Pêtrole, B.P. 311, 92506 Rueil-Malmaison, France

Received December 28, 1988

In the oxidative carbonylation of ethylene by carbon monoxide and butyl nitrite catalyzed by $PdCl₂$ - $(PhCN)_2$ in the presence of triphenylphosphine, the pentacoordinated tetravalent palladium complex $PdCl₂(COOBu)NO(PPh₃)$ has been isolated and has proved to be an intermediate in the catalytic cycle.

Introduction

The catalytic oxidative carbonylation of ethylene to form difunctionalized derivatives (alkyl acrylate, dialkyl succinate, alkyl alkoxypropionate) was first described by Fenton¹ and Medema and van Helden.² In their experiments the catalytic system used was derived directly from the Wacker oxidation catalyst, i.e. $PdCl₂/CuCl₂$.

As demonstrated by $Heck³$ in these reactions the carboalkoxy groups can be transferred to ethylene via the palladium atom by an organometallic derivative, e.g. carboalkoxymercuric chloride (and, as more recently demonstrated, by carboalkoxycopper chloride4) or can also be

(3) Heck, R. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972, 94, 2712.**

⁽²⁶⁾ Churchill. M. R. *Inore. Chem.* **1973.** *12.* **1213.**

⁼ number **of** observations and NV = number of variables

⁽³¹⁾ Refinements were performed by using: Sheldrick, **G.** M. SHELX~B, Cambridge University: Cambridge, England, **1976.**

⁽¹⁾ Olivier, K. L.; Fenton, D. M.; Biale, J. US. Patent **3,381,031, 1968** (to Union Oil).

⁽²⁾ Medema, **D.;** Van Helden, R.; Kohl, C. F. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1969,** *3,* **255.**

^{0276-7333/90/2309-0026\$02.50/0} *8* 1990 American Chemical Society