

Subscriber access provided by American Chemical Society

Ring-opening reactions of strained-ring thioethers in metal cluster complexes. Photoinduced transformation of thietane to 2-propenethiolate in a triosmium cluster complex

Richard D. Adams, and Michael P. Pompeo

Organometallics, **1990**, 9 (6), 1718-1720• DOI: 10.1021/om00156a006 • Publication Date (Web): 01 May 2002 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on March 8, 2009

More About This Article

The permalink http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/om00156a006 provides access to:

- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article



of Sm(III) species is provided by the observation that the relative rates of the present reactions are consistent with general Cp'_2LnR ionic radius-rate correlations (La > Sm > Lu)¹ and that the present reactions are zero-order in substrate (Scheme I, k_{ii} [substrate] $\gg k_i$) as for other Cp'_2LnR catalysts.¹ The unimportance of divalent species in the catalytic cycle is also argued by the observation that Cp'_2Eu¹⁸ is catalytically inactive under the present conditions. From thermochemical data^{5a} we estimate that ΔH is approximately 22 kcal/mol of propylene more endothermic for the europium analogue of eq 1 and that bi-

(18) (a) Evans, W. J.; Hughes, L. A.; Hanusa, T. J. Organometallics
 1986, 5, 1285-1291. (b) Tilley, T. D.; Andersen, R. A.; Spender, B.; Ruben, H.; Zalkin, A.; Templeton, D. H. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 2999-3003.

$$Cp'_{2}EuR + Cp'_{2}EuR' \rightarrow 2Cp'_{2}Eu + RR'$$
(7)

$$\Delta H_{\text{calcd}} \approx -8$$
 to -12 kcal/mol of Eu

ably exothermic (in contrast to the case for samarium). Scheme II illustrates a representative, minimum catalytic cycle that accounts for the above observations.¹⁹

In summary, these results offer an expedient access to organolanthanide-catalyzed hydroamination/cyclization chemistry. They also underscore the diversity that the varying redox characteristics of 4f ions can introduce in lanthanide-centered catalytic chemistry.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the NSF for support of this research under Grant CHE-8800813. M.R.G. thanks Rhône-Poulenc for a graduate fellowship.

Ring-Opening Reactions of Strained-Ring Thioethers in Metal Cluster Complexes. Photoinduced Transformation of Thietane to 2-Propenethiolate in a Triosmium Cluster Complex

Richard D. Adams* and Michael P. Pompeo

Department of Chemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208

Received February 26, 1990

Summary: $Os_3(CO)_{11}[S(CH_2)_3]$ undergoes photoassisted ring opening, CH activation, and decarbonylation to yield $Os_3(CO)_{10}[\mu$ -SCH₂C(H)=CH₂](μ -H) (2). 2 engages in a phosphine-assisted insertion reaction between the allyl group and the hydride ligand to yield $Os_3(CO)_{10}$ -(PMe₂Ph)[μ -S(CH₂)₃] (3), which contains a novel thiametallacyclic ring. 3 is decarbonylated at 97 °C to yield the PMe₂Ph derivative of 2.

The ring-opening reaction is believed to be an integral step in the desulfurization of cyclic thioethers, the principal sulfur-containing contaminants of fossil fuels.¹ Metal complexes² have been shown to promote this process, and it is also known to occur readily on molybdenum surfaces.³ Due to their intrinsic strain, small-ring thioethers, such as thiiranes⁴ and thietanes,⁵ exhibit a greater tendency to



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of $Os_3(CO)_{11}[S(CH_2)_3]$ (1) showing 50% probability thermal ellipsoids. Selected intramolecular distances (Å) are as follows: Os(1)-Os(2) = 2.850 (1), Os(1)-Os(3) = 2.887 (1), Os(2)-Os(3) = 2.887 (1), Os(1)-S(1) = 2.375 (5), S(1)-C(1) = 1.85 (2), S(1)-C(3) = 1.83 (2).

undergo ring opening and desulfurization. Thiiranes usually undergo complete desulfurization upon reaction with metal complexes.⁴ The chemistry of thietane, S(C- H_2)₃, in metal complexes has been studied very little.⁶ On metal surfaces, thietane is readily desulfurized.⁵ In this report, the preliminary results of our study of the coordination and transformation of thietane by a triosmium cluster is described.

⁽¹⁶⁾ For analogous amine-amido complexes and/or similar exchange processes, see: (a) Heeres, H. J.; Renkema, J.; Booij, M.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. Organometallics 1988, 7, 2495-2502. (b) Bercaw, J. E.; Davies, D. L.; Wolczanski, P. T. Organometallics 1986, 5, 443-450 (footnote 14). (c) Hillhouse, G. L.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 5472-5478.

^{(17) (}a) The oxidation of Cp'₂Sm to Cp'₂SmNHR + $1/_2H_2$ is estimated from existing data^{5b,17b} to be slightly exothermic ($\Delta H_{calcd} \approx -7$ kcal/mol of Sm) but may well be endergonic. To date, we have observed neither this reaction nor the microscopic reverse. (b) McMillan, D. F.; Golden, D. M. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1982, 33, 493-532.

^{(19) (}a) We are unable to detect internal olefins or their cyclization products in low substrate/catalyst ratio experiments, suggesting that proton transfer in step i (Scheme II) is to the substituted allyl carbon atom or that internal olefins are subsequently isomerized. (b) Reaction of Sm alkyls (subsequent to step iii, Scheme II) with olefin could also yield η^3 -allyls^{2b,5h,13b} and the corresponding heterocyclic product (an alternative to step iv). The η^3 -allyl would presumably then reenter the cycle at step i.

^{(1) (}a) Markel, E. J.; Schrader, G. L.; Sauer, N. N.; Angelici, R. J. J. Catal. 1989, 116, 11. (b) Sauer, N. N.; Markel, E. J.; Schrader, G. L.; Angelici, R. J. J. Catal. 1989, 117, 295. (c) Kwart, H.; Schuit, G. C. A.; Gates, B. C. J. Catal. 1980, 61, 128. (d) Curtis, M. D.; Penner-Hahn, J. E.; Schwank, J.; Beralt, O.; McCabe, D. J.; Thompson, L.; Waldo, G. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 2411.

Gates, B. C. J. Catat. 1960, 61, 126. (d) Curus, M. D.; Fenner-Hann, J. E.; Schwank, J.; Beralt, O.; McCabe, D. J.; Thompson, L.; Waldo, G. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 2411.
 (2) (a) Angelici, R. J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 387. (b) Hachgenei, J. W.; Angelici, R. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 909. (c) Ogilvy, A. E.; Draganjac, M.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Wilson, S. R. Organometallics 1988, 7, 1171.

^{(3) (}a) Friend, C. M.; Roberts, J. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 1988, 21, 394 and references therein. (b) Roberts, J. T.; Friend, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7204.

^{(4) (}a) Adams, R. D.; Babin, J. E. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 3418. (b)
Adams, R. D.; Babin, J. E.; Tasi, M. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 4514. (c)
Beck, W.; Danzer, W.; Thiel, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1973, 12, 582. (d) King, R. B. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 2, 326. (e) Roberts, J. T.; Friend, C. M. Surf. Sci. 1988, 202, 405.

⁽⁵⁾ Roberts, J. T.; Friend, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3872.
(6) Abel, E. W.; Booth, M.; Orrell, K. G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 1994.



Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of $Os_3(CO)_{10}(PMe_2Ph)[\mu$ -S(CH₂)₃] (3) showing 50% probability thermal ellipsoids. Selected intramolecular distances (Å) are as follows: Os(1)-Os(2) = 2.9504 (7), Os(1)-Os(3) = 2.9559 (7), Os(2)-S(1) = 2.430 (2), Os(3)-S(1) = 2.446 (3), S(1)-C(1) = 1.85 (1), Os(2)-C(3) = 2.20 (1).

The compound $Os_3(CO)_{11}[S(CH_2)_3]$ (1) was obtained in 84% yield from the reaction of $Os_3(CO)_{11}(NCMe)$ and $S(CH_2)_3$ at 25 °C.⁷ Compound 1 was characterized structurally, and an ORTEP drawing of its molecular structure is shown in Figure 1.^{8,9} This is apparently the first structural characterization of thietane in a metal complex. The ligand occupies an equatorial coordination site in the cluster and is not significantly different from the structure that it exhibits in the gas phase.¹⁰

When subjected to UV-vis irradiation, compound 1 was decarbonylated and transformed to the new compound $Os_3(CO)_{10}(\mu$ -SCH₂CH=CH₂)(μ -H) (2), which is identical with the product obtained from the reaction of Os_3 -(CO)₁₀(NCMe)₂ and 2-propenethiol.¹¹ The transformation of 1 to 2 involves both a C-S bond cleavage and a CH

(8) Crystal data for 1: space group $P2_1/c$, a = 9.020 (2) Å, b = 11.673(3) Å, c = 19.7000 (4) Å, $\beta = 94.21$ (2)°, Z = 4. An empirical absorption correction was applied to the data. The structure was solved by direct methods and was refined (1947 reflections) to the final residuals R = 0.034and $R_w = 0.035$.

and $R_w = 0.035$. (9) Diffraction measurements were made on a Rigaku AFC6S diffractometer using Mo K α radiation. Calculations were performed on a Digital Equipment Corp. MICROVAX II computer by using the TEXSAN structure solving program library obtained from the Molecular Structure Corp., The Woodlands, TX.

(10) Karakida, K.; Kuchitsu, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1975, 48, 1691. (11) An 80-mg (0.086-mmol) amount of 1 in 80 mL of hexane was photolyzed (high-pressure Hg lamp, external source) under a nitrogen purge for 2 h at 25 °C. The solution changed from yellow to orange/red. The unreacted 1 was separated from a mixture of red Os₄(CO)₁₂(μ_2 S) and yellow 2 by column chromatography (silica gel with hexane solvent). The mixture of products was then separated by TLC on alumina to yield 15 mg of pure Os₃(CO)₁₀(μ -SCH₂CHCH₂)(μ -H) (2; 22%, based on amount of 1 consumed). IR (ν (CO) cm⁻¹; in hexane): 2107 w, 2068 m, 2059 s, 2048 w, 2025 sh, 2020 vs, 2000 m, 1989 m, 1987 m, 1946 vw. ¹H NMR (δ ; in CDCl₃): 5.81 (m, 1 H, J_{H-H} = 7 Hz), 5.27 (m, 2 H)8, 3.03 (m, 2 H), -17.44 (s, 1 H). Anal. Calcd for 2: C, 16.88; H, 0.65. Found: C, 17.14; H, 0.58. To corroborate its identity further, 2 was also prepared (yield 76%) by the reaction of Os₃(CO)₁₀(NCCH₃)₂ and 1 equiv of allyl mercaptan at 25 °C in CH₂Cl₂ for 2 h. cleavage at the 3-position of the thietane ring (eq 1).



Curiously, we were unable to obtain 2 by a thermal decarbonylation of 1. It is known that thietanes undergo photoinduced ring-opening reactions,¹² and we suspect that this is the first step in the transformation of 1 to 2. The nature of the CH activation step is less clear, but we have observed the following transformation, which may be pertinent.

When a solution of 2 was allowed to react with PMe₂Ph, the new compound $Os_3(CO)_{10}(PMe_2Ph)[\mu-S(CH_2)_3]$ (3) was obtained in 73% yield.¹³ The molecular structure of 3 was ascertained by a crystallographic analysis, and an ORTEP drawing of its structure is shown in Figure 2.^{9,14} The molecule consists of an open triosmium cluster with the sulfur atom, S(1), bridging the nonbonded metal atoms Os(2) and Os(3). A trimethylene group bridges the S-(1)-Os(2) bond to form the first example of a thiametallacyclopentane ring system; Os(2)-C(3) = 2.20 (1) Å and S(1)-C(1) = 1.85 (1) Å. The phosphine ligand is coordinated to Os(3). Formally, the formation of 3 is the result of an insertion of the unsaturated double bond of the allyl group into the metal-hydride bond (eq 2). The trans-



formation, which occurs under remarkably mild conditions (25 °C), appears to be greatly promoted by the phosphine.¹⁵ It is surprising that the phosphine ligand and the alkyl group are coordinated to different metal atoms. Efforts to learn more about the mechanism of this unusual process are in progress. When solutions of 3 were heated to 97 °C, it was decarbonylated to yield $Os_3(CO)_9$ -(PMe₂Ph)(μ -SCH₂CH=CH₂)(μ -H) (4), the PMe₂Ph derivative of 2.¹⁶ This transformation appears to be a simple

⁽⁷⁾ One equivalent of thietane (5 μ L) was allowed to react with Os₃-(CO)₁₁NCCH₃ (60 mg, 0.065 mmol) in 60 mL of CH₂Cl₂ at 25 °C for 1 h. The product 1 was isolated by chromatography (TLC) on silica gel by elution with a 5/1 hexane/CH₂Cl₂ solvent mixture; yield 52 mg, 84%. IR (ν (CO), cm⁻¹; in hexane): 2111 w, 2057 s, 2036 s, 2022 vs, 2010 m, 2004 m, 1993 m, 1997 m, 1970 w, 1958 w. ¹H NMR (δ ; in CDCl₃); 3.65 (t, 4 H, $J_{H-H} = 8$ Hz), 2.84 (s, br, 2 H). Yellow crystals of 1 were grown from a hexane solution by slow evaporation at 25 °C. Anal. Calcd for 1: C, 17.65; H, 0.63. Found: C, 17.66; H, 0.62. (8) Crystal data for 1: space group P2/c, a = 9.020 (2) Å, b = 11.673

⁽¹²⁾ Dice, D. R.; Steer, R. P. J. Am. Soc. 1974, 96, 7361.

⁽¹³⁾ A 4- μ L (0.028-mmol) amount of PMe₂Ph was allowed to react with 2 (8 mg, 0.009 mmol) in 15 mL of hexane for 30 min at 25 °C. The product was isolated by TLC on silica gel. This yielded 7 mg (73%) of yellow Os₃(CO)₁₀(PMe₂Ph)[μ -S(CH₂)₃] (3). IR (ν (CO), cm⁻¹; in hexane): 2098 w, 2060 m, 2036 m, 2011 s, 1999 sh, 1994 m, 1979 m, 1974 m, 1960 m. ¹H NMR (δ ; in CDCl₃): 7.54-7.44 (m, 5 H), 2.29 (t, 2 H, $J_{H-H} = 6.7$ Hz), 2.17 (d, 6 H, $J_{H-P} = 9.7$ Hz), 1.69 (t, 2 H, $J_{H-P} = 9.7$ Hz), 1.69 (t, 2 H, $J_{H-H} = 5.8$ Hz), 1.32 (m, 2 H). Orange crystals of 3 were grown from solution in a hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (5/1) solvent mixture by slow evaporation at 25 °C. Anal. Calcd for 3: C, 23.73; H, 1.61. Found: C, 23.55; H, 1.55.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Crystal data for 3: space group $P2_1/n$, a = 10.536 (2) Å, b = 18.131 (3) Å, c = 14.174 (2) Å, $\beta = 99.57$ (1)°, Z = 4. An empirical absorption correction was applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and was refined (2780 reflections) to the final residuals R = 0.024 and $R_w = 0.026$.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Interestingly, this insertion reaction is not promoted by CO under similar conditions.

 β -elimination process that results in a release of the alkenyl grouping and closure of the cluster. This transformation demonstrates the existence of a relatively facile CH activation process that leads to the formation of an uncoordinated 2-propenethiolato group, such as that found in 2. It is possible that an intermediate similar to 3 was traversed en route to 2. Efforts to confirm this are currently

(16) A solution of 3 (16 mg) in heptane (20 mL) was heated to reflux for 1 h. The product 4 was isolated in 60% yield by TLC on alumina. for 1 h. 'The product 4 was isolated in 60% yield by 1 LC on autmina. IR (ν (CO), cm⁻¹; (in hexane): 2091 m, 2051 s, 2014 vs, 1999 m, 1989 m, 1967 m, 1947 w, 1943 w. ¹H NMR (δ ; in CDCl₃): 7.53–7.35 (m, 5 H), 5.73 (m, 1 H), 5.17–5.12 (m, 2 H), 2.81 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-H} = 12.7$ Hz, $J_{H-H} = 7.0$ Hz), 2.54 (m, 1 H, $J_{H-H} = 12.7$ Hz, $J_{H-H} = 7.0$ Hz), 2.29 (d, 3 H, $J_{P-H} =$ 10 Hz), 2.26 (d, 3 H, $J_{P-H} = 10$ Hz), -17.03 (d, 1 H, $J_{P-H} = 26$ Hz). The reverse of this reaction (CO addition) has not yet been achieved. The DDb is determined a magnetized by a similar activation of intervention ($J_{H-H} = 12.7$ Hz, $J_{H-H} = 2.6$ Hz). PPh_3 derivative of 2 was prepared by a similar sequence of insertion/ ligand addition to yield the PPh₃ analogue of 3 and a subsequent decarbonylation. The PPh3 derivative of 2 was characterized crystallographically.

in progress. This observation of the involvement of thiametallacylces in the cluster chemistry of thietane appears to contrast significantly with the proposed transformations of thietane on metal surfaces.^{5,17}

Acknowledgment. These studies were supported by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences of the U.S. Department of Energy. We wish to thank Gong Chen for help with the structural analyses.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of crystal data, positional anisotropic thermal parameters, and bond distances and angles for the structural analysis of compounds 1 and 3 (15 pages); tables of structure factor amplitudes (33 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(17) Calhorda, M. J.; Hoffmann, R.; Friend, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 50.

Synthesis and X-ray Crystal Structure of $[Li_2Cu_2(CH_2SiMe_3)_4(SMe_2)_2]_{\infty}$: The First Detailed Structural Characterization of a Lithium Dialkylcuprate Aggregate

Marilyn M. Olmstead and Philip P. Power*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, California 95616

Received March 6, 1990

Summary: The use of SMe₂ (dimethyl sulfide) as both ligand and solvent has allowed the first X-ray structural characterization of a lithium alkylcuprate aggregate. The title compound [Li₂Cu₂(CH₂SiMe₃)₄(SMe₂)₂]_∞ (1) was synthesized by the reaction of 2 equiv of LiCH₂SiMe₃ with CuBr in SMe₂. Its structure consists of infinite chains of the dimeric units Li2Cu2(CH2SiMe3)4 linked by weakly bridging SMe₂ ligands that bind to the lithium centers. The Li_2Cu_2 array is almost planar, and the α -carbons of the alkyl groups reside in alternating positions approximately 1.3 Å above and below the metal plane. The Li-S distances, 2.65–2.71 Å, are long, and the Li–C bonds, \sim 2.2 A. are short. Thus, the Li atoms are relatively strongly bonded to the α -carbons of the alkyl group. The Cu–C distances are \sim 1.956 Å, which is close to the values in arylcuprates. The structure of 1 is significantly different, in certain details, from that predicted for Li₂Cu₂Me₄ on the basis of spectroscopy and theoretical calculations.

Since their introduction over 20 years ago¹ organocuprate (Gilman) reagents have proved extremely valuable in organic synthesis.² They are normally represented by the formula "LiCuR₂",³ but they are believed to be associated into higher aggregates in solutions of ether-the solvent in which they are normally employed. For exam-

ple, "LiCuPh₂" crystallizes as the "trimeric" ionic species [Li₂Cu₃Ph₆]⁻ from ether or THF solution mixtures along with a countercation of solvated or complexed lithium.⁴ In addition, the self-complexing cuprate Li₂Cu₂- $(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-2)_4$ is a dimer in both solution⁵ and the solid state.⁶ These two structures, and that of the related species $[Li(THF)_4][LiCu_4Ph_6]$,⁷ constitute the extent of the currently available detailed structural knowledge of associated lithium diorganocuprates. The scarcity of data for these compounds is further underlined by the absence of a detailed (X-ray) structure for any lithium dialkylcuprate aggregate. In addition, only two crystal structures of neutral copper(I) alkyls, the tetramer $Cu_4(CH_2SiMe_3)_4^8$ and the dimer $[(2-(Me_3Si)_2CCuC_5H_4N)_2]$,⁹ have been published. Even though the structures of the separated mononuclear dialkylcuprate ions $[Cu(C(SiMe_3)_3)_2]^{-10}$ and $[CuMe_2]^{-11,12}$

⁽¹⁾ House, H. O.; Respess, W. L.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 3128. Corey, E. J.; Posner, G. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3111.

⁽²⁾ Posner, G. H. An Introduction to Synthesis Using Organocopper Reagents; Wiley: New York, 1980. House, H. O. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 59. Normant, J. F. Synthesis 1972, 63. Jukes, J. E. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 12, 215. van Koten, G.; Noltes, J. G. Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, England, 1984; Vol. 1, Chapter 14.

⁽³⁾ Gilman, H.; Jones, R. G.; Woods, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1952, 17, 1630

⁽⁴⁾ Hope, H.; Oram, D.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, (149. Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P. Unpublished work. The counter-cation may be [Li₄Cl₂(OEt₂)₁₀]²⁺, [Li(THF)₄]⁺, or [Li(Et₂O)₄]⁺.
 (5) Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; van Koten, G.; Konijn, M.; Stam, C. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5490.

⁽⁶⁾ van Koten, G.; Jastrzebski, J. T. B. H.; Muller, F.; Stam, C. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 697

⁽⁷⁾ Khan, S. I.; Edwards, P. G.; Xuan, H. S.; Bau, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1682

⁽⁸⁾ Jarvis, J. A.; Kilbourn, B. T.; Pearce, R.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 475. Jarvis, J. A.; Pearce, R.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 999. The latter reference features studies on the reactivity of $Cu_4(CH_2SiMe_3)_4$. Upon treatment with $LiCH_2SiMe_3$ the species $LiCu(CH_2SiMe_3)_2$ was obtained and reported to be soluble in ether. ¹H NMR data on solutions having different ratios of LiCH₂SiMe₃ and CuCH₂SiMe₃ also indicate that LiCu(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ is the only cuprate species found: Kieft, R. L.; Brown, T. L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 77, 289.

⁽⁹⁾ Papasergio, R. I.; Raston, C. L.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1419

⁽¹⁰⁾ Eaborn, C.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Smith, J. D.; Sullivan, A. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1984, 263, C23.

⁽¹¹⁾ Hope, H.; Olmstead, M. M.; Power, P. P.; Sandell, J.; Xu, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4337.