a period of 25 h. No reaction was observed.

In a second experiment, 0.31 g (0.64 mmol) of II was dissolved in a mixture of 2 mL of  $C_6D_6$  and 2 mL of MeOH in a quartz tube and the solution photolyzed under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by <sup>29</sup>Si NMR and IR spectroscopy. After 54 h no new products were observed. When the reaction was repeated under an O<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with O<sub>2</sub>-saturated solvents, all the starting material had disappeared after 15 h and the <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectrum exhibited a single new resonance at 12.4 ppm assignable to FcSiMe<sub>2</sub>OMe (V).

**Photolysis of IX.** A 0.12-g (0.49-mmol) sample of IX in a mixture of 1 mL of  $C_6D_6$  and 1 mL of MeOH in a quartz tube

was photolyzed over a period of 32 h under scrupulously  $O_2$ -free conditions. IR and <sup>29</sup>Si NMR monitoring of the reaction showed that no reaction occurred. When the reaction was repeated in an  $O_2$  atmosphere complete conversion to V was observed.

Acknowledgment. Support of this research by the Robert A. Welch Foundation and the NSF (Grant RII-88-02973), via establishment of a Minority Research Center of Excellence in Materials Science, is gratefully acknowledged. K.H.P. thanks Professor M. Kumada for enjoyable and valuable discussions and suggestions.

## Photochemical Conversion of a Disilanylene-Bridged Iron Dimer to Silylene-Bridged Iron Dimers

Keiji Ueno, Nagato Hamashima, Mamoru Shimoi, and Hiroshi Ogino\*

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980, Japan

Received June 27, 1990

Photolysis of FpSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp (Fp = CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>; Cp =  $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>) in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> afforded, in the early stages of photolysis, a mixture of the geometric isomers [CpFe(CO)]<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -CO){ $\mu$ -SiMe(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)} and [CpFe(CO)]<sub>2</sub>-( $\mu$ -SiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (a small amount). Prolonged photolysis gave *cis*- and *trans*-[CpFe(CO)]<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -SiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> almost quantitatively. The structure of a geometric isomer of [CpFe(CO)]<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -CO){ $\mu$ -SiMe(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)} was determined by X-ray crystallography. Crystal data: C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, triclinic in the space group P1, *a* = 9.555 (3) Å, *b* = 14.031 (3) Å, *c* = 7.986 (2) Å,  $\alpha$  = 91.18 (3)°,  $\beta$  = 113.56 (3)°,  $\gamma$  = 101.72 (3)°, *V* = 955.5 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, and *R*(*F*<sub>0</sub>) = 0.040 for 3792 reflections with |*F*<sub>0</sub>| > 3 $\sigma$ (*F*<sub>0</sub>).

## Introduction

Photolytic rearrangement of disilaryliron complexes  $FpSi_2R_5$  ( $Fp = CpFe(CO)_2$ ;  $Cp = \eta \cdot C_5H_5$ ; R = alkyl, aryl) has been proposed to proceed via a mechanism containing silyl(silylene)iron complexes  $CpFe(CO)(SiR_3)(=SiR_2)$  as key intermediates.<sup>1,2</sup> Rapid alkyl or aryl scrambling (1,3-alkyl or -aryl migration) occurs in the silyl(silylene)iron intermediates. The proposed mechanism has been strongly supported by the observation that isomeric FpSi<sub>2</sub>R<sub>5</sub> compounds produce the same relative proportions of FpSiR<sub>3</sub><sup>1d,e</sup> and also our recent study on the photolysis of alkoxysubstituted disilarlyiron complexes  $FpSi_2R_{5-m}(OR)_m$ , which leads to the formation of the alkoxy-bridged bis(silylene)iron complexes.<sup>3</sup> This paper describes the photolysis of FpSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp (1).<sup>4</sup> Judging from the findings accumulated for the photolysis of disilarlyiron complexes. several products had been expected to be formed as follows: FpSiMe<sub>3</sub>, FpSiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp, and/or  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SiMe_2)$ . However, actual photolysis did *not* give these products at all but  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO)\{\mu-SiMe-(SiMe_3)\}$  (2) and  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-SiMe_2)_2$  (3).

## **Results and Discussion**

A  $C_6D_6$  solution of 1 in a flame-sealed Pyrex NMR tube was irradiated by using a 450-W medium-pressure Hg lamp. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral change upon the irradiation is shown in Figure 1. The spectrum obtained after 2 h irradiation (Figure 1b) shows that 1 disappears almost completely. In turn, all possible geometrical isomers for 2 (2a-c) are formed. Figure 1b also shows the formation



of small amounts of 3a and 3b. Further irradiation results

 <sup>(1) (</sup>a) Pannell, K. H.; Rice, J. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 78, C35.
 (b) Pannell, K. H.; Cervantes, J.; Hernandez, C.; Cassias, J.; Vincenti, S. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1056. (c) Tobita, H.; Ueno, K.; Ogino, H. Chem. Lett. 1986, 1777. (d) Tobita, H.; Ueno, K.; Ogino, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 2797. (e) Pannell, K. H.; Rozell, J. M., Jr.; Hernandez, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4482. (f) Ueno, K.; Tobita, H.; Ogino, H. Chem. Lett. 1990, 369. (g) Pannell, K. H.; Rozell, J. M., Jr.; Vincenti, S. Adv. Chem. Ser. 1990, No. 224, 329.
 (2) Pannell, K. H.; Wang, L.-J.; Rozell, J. M. Organometallics 1989,

<sup>(2)</sup> Pannell, K. H.; Wang, L.-J.; Rozell, J. M. Organometallics 1989, 8, 550.

 <sup>(3) (</sup>a) Ueno, K.; Tobita, H.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1988, 110, 4092. (b) Tobita, H.; Ueno, K.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3415.

<sup>(4)</sup> Pannell and Sharma also report the photolysis of FpSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp: Pannell, K. H.; Sharma, H. Organometallics, preceding paper in this issue.



**Figure 1.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral change for the photolysis of  $FpSiMe_2SiMe_2Fp$  (1, 25 mg) in 0.4 mL of  $C_6D_6$ : (a) before irradiation; (b) after 2 h of irradiation; (c) after 8 h of irradiation; (d) after 12 h of irradiation; (e) after 20 h of irradiation.

in the gradual decrease of 2 and increase of 3 (Figure 1b-e). The spectrum obtained after 20 h of irradiation (Figure 1e) shows the almost complete disappearance of 2 and the formation of a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-[CpFe(CO)]<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -SiMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 2 shows the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the Cp signal region obtained at a very early stage of the photolysis. It should be noted that 3a,b as well as 2a-c are formed even at this stage.

When the solution containing predominantly 2 was stored at 1 °C, red-violet crystals of 2a were obtained exclusively. The X-ray crystal structure analysis was carried out for the crystal. The solved structure is shown in Figure 3. Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table I. The two Cp rings are mutually cis, and the Cp rings and the bulky Me<sub>3</sub>Si group are located on the same side with respect to the four-membered ring defined by Fe(1), Si(1), Fe(2), and C(13). The Fe–Si bonds (2.294) (1) and 2.301 (1) Å) of 2a are longer than those of cis-H isomer  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SiHBu^t)$  (4) (2.270 (1) and 2.272 (1) Å).<sup>5</sup> The Fe-Fe bond (2.622 (1) Å) is also longer than those of 4 (2.614 (1) Å),<sup>5</sup>  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO)_2$  (2.531 (2) Å),<sup>6</sup> and  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu - CO)(\mu - CHMe)$  (2.520 (2) Å)<sup>7</sup> but slightly shorter than that of  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-CO)$ GeMe<sub>2</sub>) (2.628 (1) Å).<sup>8</sup> The angle between the  $Fe_2Si(1)$ plane and the Si(1)-Si(2) bond (133.4°) is larger than that required for the tetrahedral configuration around Si(1)atom (125.3°). In contrast to this, the angle between the



**Figure 2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the Cp signal region obtained after 6 min of irradiation of  $C_6D_6$  solution (0.4 mL) containing 1 (ca. 10 mg). The peak marked by an asterisk is due to an impurity.



Figure 3. ORTEP view of 2a with thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level.

Table I. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for [CpFe(CO)]<sub>2</sub>(μ-CO)(μ-SiMe(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)) (2a)

• • •						
Distances						
Fe(1)-Fe(2)	2.622 (1)	Fe(1)-Si(1)	2.294 (1)			
Fe(2)-Si(1)	2.301 (1)	Fe(1)-C(11)	1.729 (4)			
Fe(2)-C(12)	1.737 (5)	Fe(1)-C(13)	1.936 (3)			
Fe(2) - C(13)	1.915 (4)	Si(1)-Si(2)	2.371 (1)			
Si(1)-C(14)	1.904 (4)	Si(2) - C(15)	1.876 (5)			
Si(2)-C(16)	1.876 (4)	Si(2)-C(17)	1.872 (6)			
O(1)-C(11)	1.154 (6)	O(2) - C(12)	1.153 (6)			
O(3) - C(13)	1.180 (4)					
Angles						
Si(1)-Fe(1)-C(11)	86.9 (2)	Si(1)-Fe(1)-C(13)	100.04 (9)			
C(11)-Fe(1)-C(13)	89.6 (2)	Si(1)-Fe(2)-C(12)	87.2 (2)			
Si(1)-Fe(2)-C(13)	100.5 (1)	C(12)-Fe(2)-C(13)	89.4 (2)			
Fe(1)-Si(1)-Fe(2)	69.59 (3)	Fe(1)-Si(1)-Si(2)	123.18 (5)			
Fe(1)-Si(1)-C(14)	120.4 (1)	Fe(2)-Si(1)-Si(2)	125.45 (5)			
Fe(2)-Si(1)-C(14)	119.3 (1)	Si(2)-Si(1)-C(14)	99.4 (1)			
Fe(1)-C(11)-O(1)	177.8 (4)	Fe(2)-C(12)-O(2)	177.5 (5)			
Fe(1)-C(13)-Fe(2)	85.8 (1)	Fe(1)-C(13)-O(3)	135.8 (3)			
Fe(2)-C(13)-O(3)	138.3 (3)					

 $Fe_2Si(1)$  plane and Si(1)-C(14) (127.3°) is close to the ideal value. The distortion may be attributed to the steric repulsion between  $SiMe_3$  and the Cp rings.

<sup>(5)</sup> Tobita, H.; Kawano, Y.; Shimoi, M.; Ogino, H. Chem. Lett. 1987, 2247.

<sup>(6)</sup> Bryan, R. F.; Greene, P. T.; Newlands, M. J.; Field, D. S. J. Chem. Soc. A 1970, 3068.

<sup>(7) (</sup>a) Meyer, B. B.; Riley, P. E.; Davis, R. E. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 3024. (b) Orpen, A. G. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 1427.

<sup>(8)</sup> Adams, R. D.; Brice, M. D.; Cotton, F. A. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 1080.

Scheme I. One Possible Mechanism for the Photolysis of FpSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp (1)



In  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO)(\mu-SiHR)$  (R = Me,<sup>9</sup> Bu<sup>t 5,10</sup>) or  $[CpFe(CO)]_{2}(\mu - CO)(\mu - SiMeR)$  (R = Bu<sup>t 10</sup>), a sterically crowded substituent R on a bridging silicon atom tends to be located away from the Cp ring(s). Therefore, one may expect that the isomer 2b is the most stable among 2a-c. Contrary to this expectation, however, the isomer 2a was found to be thermodynamically the most stable. When crystals of 2a are dissolved in benzene, a mixture of 2a-c results. The isomerization reaction equilibrates after 10 h at room temperature. The molar ratio of the isomers was cis-SiMe<sub>3</sub>:cis-Me:trans = 41:32:27. The isomer distribution may indicate that the steric hindrance between the  $SiMe_3$  group and the Cp rings in 2a is smaller than that between the methyl group on the Si(1) atom and Cp rings in 2b. This curious phenomenon arises from the fact that the Si-Si bond in 2a (2.371 (1) Å) is longer than the Si-C bond in **2a** (1.904 (4) Å).

We propose one possible mechanism reasonably explaining the photochemical conversion of 1 to 2 in Scheme I:<sup>11</sup> (i) photoinduced CO loss to generate a coordinatively unsaturated 16-electron intermediate; (ii) 1,2-shift of the SiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp group to form the (Fp-substituted silyl)silyleneiron intermediate A; (iii) 1,3-shift of Me group from the Fp-silyl group to the silylene ligand to give the silyl(Fpsubstituted silylene) iron intermediate B; (iv) 1,2-shift of the silyl group to the unsaturated silicon atom to form the intermediate C containing bridging Si(Me)SiMe<sub>3</sub>; (v) bridging of a terminal CO ligand in the Fp fragment to produce 2.

Recently, Pannell and his colleagues reported interesting observations that the nature of the photolytic products derived from oligosilanyliron complexes  $FpSi_nR_m$  changes dramatically depending on the number of the silicon atoms  $n^2$  For n = 2, the silvlene ligand is lost from the silvl-(silylene)iron intermediate to form the monosilyliron complex  $FpSiR_3$ , while in the case of n > 3, skeletal redistribution occurs without "SiR2" expulsion to give the branched oligosilanyliron complex(es). The photochemical behavior of the disilanylene-bridged iron dimer 1 can be categorized formally with that of oligosilanyliron complexes containing more than three silicon atoms (n > 3).

Our early detection of 3 from 1 upon irradiation may be explained by the sequence of the processes shown in Scheme I: (vi) photoinduced CO loss from the intermediate A to give a coordinatively unsaturated intermediate D; (vii) cyclization to form the complex 3. However, photolysis of 2 was found also to give 3 quantitatively. Therefore, 2 is the "dead-end"12 intermediate in the photolysis of 1 to the ultimate product 3. This means that the processes iii-v must be reversible under the experimental conditions.

Table II. Crystal Data for  $[CpFe(CO)]_{2}(\mu - CO)[\mu - SiMe(SiMe_{3})]$  (2a)

[Opre(00/]2(#-00)(#-510	re(binieg); (2a)
formula	$C_{17}H_{22}Fe_2O_3Si_2$
fw	442.23
cryst system	triclinic
space group	$P\bar{1}$
a/Å	9.555 (3)
b/Å	14.041 (3)
c/Å	7.986 (2)
$\alpha/\deg$	91.18 (3)
$\beta/\deg$	113.56 (3)
$\gamma/\text{deg}$	101.72 (3)
V/Å <sup>3</sup>	955.5 (4)
Z	2
$d_{\rm calcd}/{\rm g}~{\rm cm}^{-3}$	1.54
$\mu(Mo K\alpha)/cm^{-1}$	16.9
cryst size/mm	$0.33 \times 0.10 \times 0.09$
radiation	Mo K $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å)
monochrometer	graphite
temp/°C	21.5
type of diffractometer	Rigaku AFC-6A
reflens measd	$\pm h, \pm k, \pm l$
$2\theta$ range/deg	3-60
scan mode	$\omega - 2\theta$
$\omega$ -scan width/deg	$1.0 + 0.35 \tan \theta$
no. of unique data	5574
no. of data used with $ F_{o}  > 3\sigma( F_{o} )$	3792
Ra	0.040
$R_w^b$	0.038

 ${}^{a}R = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|. {}^{b}R_{w} = [\sum w(|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2} / \sum w|F_{o}|^{2}]^{1/2}; w$ = [ $\sigma^{2}(|F_{o}|) + aF_{o}^{2}$ ]<sup>-1</sup>, where a = 0.00015.

## **Experimental Section**

General Procedures. All manipulations were carried out under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere or high vacuum. Reagent grade pentane and toluene were distilled under a nitrogen atmosphere from sodium benzophenone ketyl immediately before use. Benzene- $d_6$  was dried over a potassium mirror, and dichloromethane- $d_2$  was dried over 4-Å molecular sieves. These two solvents were transferred to reaction vessels under vacuum before use.  $FpSiMe_2SiMe_2Fp$  (1) was prepared according to the literature.<sup>13</sup> IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO IR-810 spectrophotometer using solution cells of 0.1-mm path length with sodium chloride windows. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian XL-200 or a Varian EM-390 spectrometer. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on the Varian XL-200 spectrometer. <sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer using the DEPT pulse sequence. Mass spectra were recorded with a JEOL JMS-HX110 or a Hitachi M-52 mass spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded with the JEOL JMS-HX110 mass spectrometer.

Photolysis of FpSiMe<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>2</sub>Fp (1). The complex 1 was placed in a Pyrex NMR sample tube (5-mm o.d.  $\times$  3-mm i.d.) connected to a vacuum line and then dissolved in  $C_6D_6$  transferred by the conventional trap-to-trap method. The sample tube was then flame-sealed under vacuum. Irradiation was carried out externally at room temperature with a 450-W medium-pressure Hg lamp (Ushio UV-450) placed in a water-cooled quartz jacket. The sample tube was immersed in water during the irradiation. The distance from the lamp to the sample tube was about 4 cm. The reaction was followed by taking <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra periodically.

Preparation of  $[CpFe(CO)]_2(\mu-CO){\mu-SiMe(SiMe_3)}$  (2). A Pyrex NMR tube was charged with 52 mg of 1 and about 0.4 mL of  $C_6D_6$ . The solution was irradiated for 40 min. When the reaction mixture was stored at 1 °C, red-violet crystals of 2a were obtained exclusively. The crystals were collected and washed with toluene (1 mL) and pentane  $(2 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ . Yield: 26 mg (54%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ( $C_6D_6$ ):<sup>14</sup>  $\delta$  4.41 (s, 5 H,  $Cp(SiMe_3)$ , 2c), 4.25 (s, 5 H, Cp(Me), 2c), 4.22 (s, 10 H, Cp, 2a), 4.07 (s, 10 H, Cp, 2b), 1.35(s, 3 H, SiMe, 2a), 1.29 (s, 3 H, SiMe, 2c), 1.23 (s, 3 H, SiMe, 2b),

 <sup>(9)</sup> Malisch, W.; Ries, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 120.
 (10) Tobita, H.; Kawano, Y.; Ogino, H. Chem. Lett. 1989, 2155.

<sup>(11)</sup> The geometric configurations are not specified.

<sup>(12)</sup> Rosenhein, L.; Speiser, D.; Haim, A. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 1571.

<sup>(13) (</sup>a) King, R. B.; Pannell, K. H.; Bennett, C. R.; Ishaq, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 19, 327. (b) Pannell, K. H.; Cervantes, J.; Parkanyi, L.; Cervantes-Lee, F. Organometallics 1990, 9, 859.

<sup>(14)</sup> Some signals were assigned by means of the NOE technique. Details will be reported elsewhere.

Table III. Final Atomic Parameters and Temperature Factors of the Non-Hydrogen Atoms of [CpFe(CO)]/(...CO)[...SiMe(SiMe,)] (2a)<sup>6</sup>

$[Cpre(CO)]_2(\mu - CO) \{\mu - SIMe(SIMe_3)\} (2a)^2$						
ato	om x	У	z	$B_{ m eqv}$		
Fe	(1) 6695.9	(5) 1727.1 (3	3) 2687.5 (6	) 2.3		
Fe	(2) 6863.7	(5) 3608.9 (3	3) 3120.5 (6	) 2.6		
Si(	1) 4782.3	(10) 2492.3 (	S) 944.0 (1	1) 2.4		
Si(	2) 2190.9	(10) 2101.2 ('	7) 768.9 (1	3) 3.2		
0(1	l) 7527 (3	) 1628 (2)	-396 (4)	5.1		
0(2	2) 7800 (4	) 4248 (2)	229 (4)	6.8		
0(3	3) 9793 (3	) 2981 (2)	4712 (4)	5.1		
C(1	6180 (5	) 208 (2)	2658 (5)	3.9		
C(2	2) 5006 (4	) 561 (2)	2926 (5)	3.6		
C(a	3) 5720 (4	) 1199 (2)	4561 (5)	3.6		
C(4	l) 7337 (4	) 1230 (3)	5318 (5)	4.1		
C(5	5) 7628 (4	) 620 (3)	4153 (5)	4.2		
C(e	5)	) 3843 (3)	5847 (6)	6.5		
C(7	7) 5577 (6	) 3806 (3)	4649 (7)	6.4		
C(8	3) 5563 (6	b) 4539 (4)	3523 (6)	7.1		
C(9	e) 7172 (7	) 5039 (3)	4149 (7)	6.6		
C(1	10) 8013 (5	) 4595 (4)	5551 (7)	7.1		
C(1	1) 7197 (4	) 1687 (2)	837 (4)	3.1		
C(1	2) 7409 (5	) 3974 (2)	1360 (5)	4.0		
C(1	3) 8425 (4	) 2853 (2)	3864 (4)	3.3		
C(1	4) 4325 (5	) 2575 (3)	~1591 (5)	4.0		
C(1	1243 (5	) 3146 (3)	-77 (7)	6.1		
C(1	1104 (4	) 1018 (3)	-1025 (5)	4.6		
C	(7) 1904 (5	) 1762 (3)	2884 (6)	5.0		

<sup>a</sup> Positional parameters are multiplied by 10<sup>4</sup>. Thermal parameters are given by the equivalent temperature factors  $(Å^2)$ .

0.48 (s, 9 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>, **2b**), 0.36 (s, 9 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>, **2c**), 0.33 (s, 9 H, SiMe<sub>3</sub>, **2a**). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  278.9 ( $\mu$ -CO), 277.6 ( $\mu$ -CO), 214.0 (CO), 213.4 (CO), 85.5 (Cp), 85.0 (Cp), 84.6 (Cp), 84.1 (Cp), 12.4 (Me), 10.8 (Me), 8.8 (Me), 1.8 (Me), 1.1 (Me), 0.6 (Me). <sup>29</sup>Si NMR (DEPT, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  245.5 ( $\mu$ -Si), 242.7 ( $\mu$ -Si), 232.1 ( $\mu$ -Si, **2a**), -3.7 (SiMe<sub>3</sub>, **2a**), -4.9 (SiMe<sub>3</sub>), -6.3 (SiMe<sub>3</sub>). IR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\nu$ (CO) 1965, 1927, 1766 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (DEI, 70 eV) [m/z (assignment, relative intensity)]: 442 (M<sup>+</sup>, 30.1), 414 (M<sup>+</sup> - CO, 6.0), 386 (M<sup>+</sup> - 2CO, 100), 358 (M<sup>+</sup> - 3CO, 61.7). HRMS: calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, m/z 441.9807; found, m/z 441.9786. Anal. Found (calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>): C, 45.78 (46.17); H, 4.96 (5.02).

**Preparation of [CpFe(CO)]\_2(\mu-SiMe**<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (3). A Pyrex glass tube (10-mm o.d.) was charged with 60 mg of 1 and 4.3 mL of toluene. After the solution was irradiated for 10 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated to half-volume. Cooling the solution to -20 °C gave a mixture of red crystals of 3a,b. The crystals were

collected and washed with pentane (4 × 2 mL). Yield: 25 mg (46%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  4.09 (s, 10 H, Cp, 3b), 3.91 (s, 10 H, Cp, 3a), 1.24 (s, 6 H, Me, 3a), 1.14 (s, 12 H, Me, 3b), 1.03 (s, 6 H, Me, 3a). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  215.7 (CO, 3a), 214.5 (CO, 3b), 81.9 (Cp, 3a), 80.8 (Cp, 3b), 15.9 (Me, 3a), 15.4 (Me, 3b), 13.1 (Me, 3a). <sup>23</sup>Si NMR (DEPT, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  243.8, 229.5. IR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\nu$ (CO) 1930, 1900 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI, 25 eV) [m/z (assignment, relative intensity)]: 414 (M<sup>+</sup>, 43.2), 386 (M<sup>+</sup> - CO, 100), 358 (M<sup>+</sup> - 2CO, 80.3). HRMS: calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>, m/z 413.9857; found, m/z 413.9855. Anal. Found (calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>): C, 45.88 (46.40); H, 5.10 (5.35).

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination of 2a. Diffraction measurements were made on a Rigaku AFC-6A four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ = 0.71073 Å) at 21.5 °C. Crystallographic data are listed in Table II. The reflection data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors but not for absorption. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by the block-diagonal leastsquares method. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were located on a difference Fourier synthesis and refined with isotropic temperature factors. Atomic scattering factors for non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms were taken from refs 15 and 16, respectively. Final atomic parameters of non-hydrogen atoms are listed in Table III.

The calculations were performed on a Nippon Electric Co. ACOS-2000 computer system at the Computer Center of Tohoku University by using the Universal Crystallographic Computation Program System UNICS III.<sup>17</sup>

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 01740348, and for International Scientific Research Program, No. 01044013, from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

**Supplementary Material Available:** For **2a**, tables of atomic positional and thermal parameters and bond distances and angles and an ORTEP figure (6 pages); a listing of observed and calculated structure factors (17 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

<sup>(15)</sup> International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV: Table 2.2A, pp 72-92; Table 2.3.1.1, pp 149-150.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(16)</sup> Stewart, R. F.; Davidson, E. R.; Simpson, W. T. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3175.

<sup>(17)</sup> UNICS III: Sakurai, T.; Kobayashi, M. Rikagaku Kenkyuusho Houkoku 1979, 55, 69.