

Table III. Turnover Frequencies (h^{-1}) of Complexes 10 and 11 for the Catalysis of the Reduction of NAD^+ , $NADP^+$, and $PEG-NAD^+$ by Formate^a

temp, °C	NAD^+		$NADP^+$		$PEG-NAD^+$	
	10	11	10	11	10	11
25	20.3	13.3	20.7	12.3	16.6	9.9
38	67.5	43.3	67.5	39.5	56.4	31.8

^a 0.5 M HCOONa, 3.8×10^{-4} M cofactor, 2.5×10^{-5} M 10 or 11 in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) under an argon atmosphere.

doublet at -7.2 ppm clearly indicates the hydride ligand (Figure 8).

With complexes 6 and 7 the NADH formation slows down very much with time, indicating that in these cases hydride transfer is the rate-determining step. 13 and 14 behave similarly.

In the case of complex 10, we studied the influence of the buffer system (sodium phosphate or Tris/HCl) and the formate counterion (sodium or ammonium) on the turnover frequency. With sodium phosphate buffer the pH optimum for the reduction of NAD^+ by sodium formate is obtained at pH 8 due to increasing hydrogen formation at lower pH values. At higher pH the labile aquo ligand is replaced by the stronger coordinated hydroxy ligand, slowing down the ligand exchange by formate (eq 9). In the presence of ammonium formate as the reducing agent the pH optimum is found at pH 6. Under more basic conditions the free ammonia seems to act as a monodentate ligand for the rhodium central atom, which is more difficult to exchange by formate (eq 9). Tris/HCl buffer slows down the NADH formation drastically. This

may also be explained by the action of Tris as a strong ligand to rhodium, making the starting complex more stable.

In the case of complexes 10 and 11 it could also be shown that not only NAD^+ , but also $NADP^+$ and $PEG-NAD^+$ (PEG MW 20 000) can be reduced catalytically by sodium formate (Table III). The turnover frequency of 10 is identical for NAD^+ and $NADP^+$ and about 20% lower for the high molecular weight cofactor $PEG-NAD^+$. 11 behaves very similarly; however, because of the binding to PEG the turnover frequencies are between 30 and 40% lower. The reaction rate in the presence of 11 is also independent of the NAD^+ concentration. The activation energies for the reduction of NAD^+ and $PEG-NAD^+$ catalyzed by 10 ($E_A(NAD^+) = 16.3$ kcal·mol⁻¹, $E_A(PEG-NAD^+) = 16.4$ kcal·mol⁻¹) and 11 ($E_A(NAD^+) = 15.3$ kcal·mol⁻¹, $E_A(PEG-NAD^+) = 16.8$ kcal·mol⁻¹) were determined by rate measurements at different temperatures (17.3, 25.7, 32.1, 40.0 °C). The increase of the molecular weight of the cofactor as well as of the complex does not influence the activation energy. This is important for the application of 11 as a catalyst for the chemical cofactor regeneration for enzymatic reactions in a flow-through membrane reactor.¹⁰

Acknowledgment. We thank Mr. Mouchel, University Lille, for technical NMR assistance. We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the BASF Aktiengesellschaft for financial support and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (S.H.) and the Alexander-von-Humboldt-Stiftung (R.R.) for fellowships. The gift of chemicals by Degussa AG is gratefully acknowledged.

Ring Migration Reactions of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$. Evidence for η^3 Slippage and Metal-to-Ring Hydride Migration

William D. Jones,* Valerie L. Kuykendall, and Anthony D. Selmeczy

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

Received July 17, 1990

The reactions of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)H_2$ ($PR_3 = PMe_3, PMe_2Ph, PPh_3$) with D_2 gas occur quickly at 25 °C, leading to the stepwise exchange of hydrogen for deuterium. Kinetic studies indicate a second-order reaction mechanism involving rhodium and deuterium. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ also reacts with PMe_3 at 40 °C to give C_5Me_5H and $HRh(PMe_3)_4$, a reaction that also displays bimolecular kinetics. Isotope effect studies show no rate difference with the dideuteride rhodium complex. The mechanism(s) of these reactions are discussed in light of the kinetic requirements. The reactions of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)(Ph)H$ with H_2 have also been studied and found to lead to $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)H_2$.

Introduction

Reactions of metal cyclopentadienyl complexes and their methylated derivatives have been the subject of intense study over the past few decades. While in many cases this ligand has been present as a spectator for much of the chemistry that occurs at either the metal center or a coordinated ligand, there is also good evidence for noninnocent involvement of this η^5 ligand. In particular, the importance of $\eta^5 \rightarrow \eta^3$ ring slippage¹ and metal-to-ring

migration reactions² have both been cited in recent literature.

Complexes of the general formula $(C_5R_5)M(L)(R)H$ and $(C_5R_5)ML_2$ ($M = Rh, Ir; R = H, Me; L = PMe_3, CO, C_2H_4$) have been found to be generally very active for the activation of C-H bonds.³ While most evidence points toward

(1) O'Connor, J. M.; Casey, C. P. *Chem. Rev.* 1987, 87, 307-318 and references therein. Rerek, M. E.; Basolo, F. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 372-376. Schuster-Woldan, H. G.; Basolo, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1966, 88, 1657-1663. Cramer, R. E.; Seiwel, L. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1975, 92, 245-252.

(2) Benfield, F. W. S.; Green, M. L. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1974, 1324-1331. Crabtree, R. H.; Dion, R. P.; Gibboni, D. J.; McGrath D. V.; Holt, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986, 108, 7222-7227. Green, M. L. H. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1978, 50, 27-35. Jones, W. D.; Maguire, J. A. *Organometallics* 1985, 4, 951-953. Fachinetti, G.; Floriani, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1974, 516-517. Benfield, F. W. S.; Green, M. L. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1974, 1324-1331. Werner, H.; Hofmann, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1977, 16, 794-795.




Figure 1. ^{31}P NMR spectra for the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ (0.082 M) with D_2 (700 mm) (a) prior to D_2 addition, (b) after 1 h, (c) after 3 h, and (d) after 5 h and of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$ (0.078 M) with H_2 (700 mm) (e) prior to D_2 addition, (f) after 1 h, (g) after 3 h, and (h) after 5 h.




Figure 2. First-order plot for the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ (0.046 M) with D_2 (601 mm, 2.5 mM).

the intermediacy of reductive elimination/oxidative addition pathways involving the 16-electron intermediate $(\text{C}_5\text{R}_5)\text{M}(\text{L})$, reports of $\eta^5 \rightarrow \eta^3$ ring slippage have also appeared to explain C-H bond activation and ligand substitution.⁴ In this paper we report reactions of a series

(3) Jones, W. D.; Feher, F. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1989, 22, 91-100. Bergman, R. G.; Janowicz, A. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 3929-3939. Buchanan, J. M.; Stryker, J. M.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, 107, 1537-1550. Hoyano, J. K.; Graham, W. A. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, 104, 3723-3725. Hoyano, J. K.; McMaster, A. D.; Graham, W. A. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 7190-7191. Haddleton, D. M.; Perutz, R. N. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1986, 1734-1736. Haddleton, D. M.; McCamley, A.; Perutz, R. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 1810-1817. Belt, S. T.; Duckett, S. B.; Haddleton, D. M.; Perutz, R. N. *Organometallics* 1989, 8, 748-759.





Figure 3. Plot of k_{obs} vs $[\text{D}_2]$ for the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ with D_2 at 25°C .

of complexes of the type $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PR}_3)_2\text{H}_2$, where $\text{PR}_3 = \text{PMe}_3, \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}, \text{PMePh}_2$, and PPh_3 . The studies indicate that the C_5Me_5 ring participates in these not-so-common pathways in reactions with hydrogen and PMe_3 .

Results

Reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PR}_3)_2\text{H}_2$ with Deuterium.

The reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)_2\text{H}_2$ (1) with deuterium gas at 25°C in C_6D_6 solution was found to lead to the incorporation of deuterium into the hydride positions of the molecule. By ^1H NMR spectroscopy, the hydride resonance at $\delta -13.650$ (dd, $J = 41.8, 29.8$ Hz) was observed to gradually disappear over several hours time. Monitoring the reaction by $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy indicates that the exchange of deuterium occurs in a stepwise fashion, first generating 1- d_1 and then producing 1- d_2 (eq 1). The



presence of each deuterium on the metal center induces an isotopic perturbation of the resonance of ~ 0.14 ppm downfield. In addition, the deuterium ($I = 1$) also couples to phosphorus ($J_{\text{P-D}} = 6$ Hz) giving rise to a 1:1:1 triplet for $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{HD}$ and a 1:2:3:2:1 quintet for $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$ (Figure 1a-d). A similar experiment reacting $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$ with H_2 shows the gradual conversion to $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{HD}$ and then $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ (Figure 1e-h).

The rate of this reaction was probed as a function of the concentration of 1 and D_2 . The reactions were conducted in sealed NMR tubes in which good contact between the liquid and gas phases was maintained by keeping the

(4) Marx, D. E.; Lees, A. J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1988, 27, 1121-1122. Rest, A. J.; Whitwell, I.; Graham, W. A. G.; Hoyano, J. K.; McMaster, A. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1984, 624-626.

Table I. Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ with D_2 in C_6D_6 Solution at 25 °C^a

$[C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2]$, M	$P(D_2)$, mm	$10^3[D_2]$, M ^b	10^6k_{obs} , s ⁻¹
0.0457	101 (1)	0.421 (8)	3.52
0.0457	201 (1)	0.838 (8)	2.83
0.0457	298 (1)	1.24 (1)	4.48
0.0179	301 (1)	1.26 (1)	4.40
0.0327	301 (1)	1.26 (1)	5.88
0.0178	399 (1)	1.66 (1)	8.05
0.0457	400 (1)	1.67 (1)	7.63
0.0457	498 (1)	2.08 (1)	7.70
0.0179	501 (1)	2.09 (1)	6.58
0.0327	501 (1)	2.09 (1)	6.78
0.0178	599 (1)	2.50 (1)	8.87
0.0457	601 (1)	2.51 (1)	8.67
0.0179	687 (1)	2.87 (1)	10.3
0.0327	687 (1)	2.87 (1)	8.95

^a Errors are estimated at $\pm 10\%$ for k_{obs} and $\pm 5\%$ for $[D_2]$.^b Calculated by using the Henry's Law constant for hydrogen in benzene ($K_H = 3.17 \times 10^{-3}$ M/atm).²⁰


samples on their sides in the dark. Under these conditions, reproducible rates ($\pm 10\%$) could be obtained. Figure 2 shows a typical first-order plot (excess D_2 gas was employed in each run, although the concentration in solution is less than that of 1) for the exchange of one deuterium into 1, and Figure 3 shows how the observed pseudo-first-order rate constants vary with the concentration of D_2 . The scatter in the k_{obs} data is attributed to the difficulties in measuring kinetics of fast reactions involving gas/liquid phase mixing, but it is clear that the reaction is first order in both 1 and D_2 gas (Table I).

The exchange reaction does not appear to be catalyzed by acid, base, or glass. Addition of pyridine ($pK_b = 8.75$) or acetic acid ($pK_a = 5.0$) was found to have no significant effect upon the rate of H/D exchange of 1 with D_2 . Use of a Teflon-lined NMR tube for the experiment was also found to have no effect upon the rate. Also, the use of different reducing agents for preparing the dihydride ($PPN^+BH_4^-$ or Red-Al) had no effect upon the rate. Adding a drop of mercury did not effect the rate of H/D exchange.

1 also catalyzes H/D exchange between H_2 and D_2 gas. Treatment of a solution of 1 in C_6D_6 with ~ 300 mm each of the two gases led to the observation of a 1:1:1 triplet for HD in the 1H NMR spectrum at δ 4.423 ($J = 42.8$ Hz), in addition to the singlet for H_2 at δ 4.460.

The other dihydrides $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (2), $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_2Me)_2H_2$, and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPhMe_2)_2H_2$ also react with deuterium in a similar fashion to give the corresponding dideuterides over several hours. In each case, HD is observed in solution. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ also catalyzes the exchange between H_2 and D_2 gas, as was observed with 1.


2 was found to undergo an independent slow H/D exchange with benzene solvent. When a solution of the complex in 100.0% C_6D_6 was heated to 62 °C for several days, a singlet at δ 7.15 was observed to appear in the 1H NMR spectrum of the sample. Confirmation of the formation of C_6D_5H came from GC/MS examination of the sample, showing a peak at $m/e = 83$ (2.1% of $m/e = 84$ after correction for 1.6% present prior to exchange.). A similar experiment with C_6H_6 and D_2 failed to show evidence for exchange by mass spectroscopy, since the natural-abundance $M + 1$ peak of benzene was greater than the amount of C_6H_5D produced by exchange.

**Figure 4.** First-order plot for the reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ with PMe_3 at 40 °C. $[PMe_3] = 0.038$ M (\square), 0.117 M ($+$), 0.235 M (\blacktriangle), 0.313 M (\blacksquare), and 0.471 M (\times).**Table II.** Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ with PMe_3 in C_6D_6 Solution at 40 °C $\{[(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2] = 0.039$ M]

$[PMe_3]$	10^6k_{obs} , s ⁻¹	$[PMe_3]$	10^6k_{obs} , s ⁻¹
0.038 \pm 0.001	0.97 (5)	0.313 \pm 0.002	3.36 (17)
0.117 \pm 0.002	2.00 (11)	0.471 \pm 0.002	3.61 (19)
0.235 \pm 0.002	3.03 (14)		

Attempts to catalyze solvent exchange with 1 failed. Solutions of 1 in C_6H_6 /THF- d_8 , C_6D_6 /cyclohexene, or C_6D_6 /cyclohexane mixed solvents showed no evidence for H/D exchange after several weeks at room temperature.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ with PMe_3 . The reaction of 1 with PMe_3 was found to occur more slowly than the reaction with D_2 , and heating to 40 °C was required to obtain comparable rates. The products of the reaction are pentamethylcyclopentadiene and the known⁶ hydride $HRh(PMe_3)_4$ (eq 2), formed in good yield (NMR analysis). None of the bis(phosphine) complex $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2$ is seen.



Similar results are obtained upon treatment of 1 with $P(CD_3)_3$ at 25 °C, although the product is mostly $HRh[P(CD_3)_3]_4$. Free PMe_3 is observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy. At intermediate times (22 days), the PMe_3 resonance of 1 in the 1H NMR spectrum remains at an area of 9 relative to the C_5Me_5 resonance with area 15. Consequently, the PMe_3 ligand in 1 does not appear to be labile or undergo exchange with free $P(CD_3)_3$ under the reaction conditions, although exchange is apparently facile in the $HRh(PMe_3)_4$ product.

The kinetics of this reaction were probed by varying the concentration of PMe_3 (in excess) and rhodium. As with deuterium, the reactions were found to follow pseudo-first-order kinetics (Figure 4). However, a plot of the observed rate constant vs PMe_3 concentration is now curved, with k_{obs} approaching a limiting value of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-6}$

(5) Kunin, A. J.; Johnson, C. E.; Maguire, J. A.; Jones, W. D.; Eisenberg, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 2963-2968.(6) Jones, R. A.; Real, F. M.; Wilkinson, G.; Galas, A. M. R.; Hursthouse, M. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1981, 126-131.





Figure 5. Plot of $1/k_{\text{obs}}$ vs $1/[\text{PMe}_3]$ for the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ with PMe_3 at 40°C .

s^{-1} at the highest PMe_3 concentrations (Table II). This type of behavior is typical of preequilibrium/saturation kinetics, as described generally in eq 3. The double-re-




$$\frac{1}{k_{\text{obs}}} = \frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{k_{-1}}{k_1 k_2} \frac{1}{[\text{PMe}_3]} \quad (4)$$

ciprocal rate expression given in eq 4 can be derived under steady-state conditions, leading to the plot shown in Figure 5. From this plot, the intercept gives a value for the limiting rate $k_1 = 4.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and the slope gives $k_2/k_{-1} = 6.6 \text{ M}^{-1}$.

Isotope Effects. A competition experiment was employed in order to probe the kinetic isotope effect in the reaction of 1 with PMe_3 . An equimolar solution of 1 and $1-d_2$ was prepared and treated with excess PMe_3 (0.9 M) in C_6D_6 at 40°C . The reaction was monitored by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy, showing the loss of 1 and $1-d_2$ and the growth of $\text{HRh}(\text{PMe}_3)_4$ and $\text{DRh}(\text{PMe}_3)_4$. From first-order plots of the extent of reaction (Figure 6), it was determined that $k_{\text{H}}/k_{\text{D}} \cong 1.07$ (5).

Hydrogenation Reactions. 1 was also found to be a slow catalyst for the hydrogenation of olefins. It was discovered that placing cyclohexene (0.99 mmol) into an NMR tube with a solution of 1 (0.011 mmol) and hydrogen gas led to the hydrogenation of the cyclohexene to cyclohexane, evident from the appearance of a resonance at δ 1.395 in the ^1H NMR spectrum (eq 5). A total of 7.9 turnovers had taken place after 40 days at 25°C .



If the reaction is carried out with deuterium gas in place of hydrogen gas, deuterium is incorporated into the cyclohexane produced, as determined by the appearance of a resonance at δ 1.343 in the ^2H NMR spectrum. It was interesting to note that deuterium becomes incorporated not only into the cyclohexane produced, but also into the cyclohexene starting material as well, as seen by resonances for cyclohexene- d_n at δ 1.429 and 1.836 in the ^2H NMR spectrum.

Complex 2 was found to perform a similar hydrogenation of cyclohexene, although the rate was approximately twice that of complex 1. Eight turnovers had taken place after 23 days in the presence of 2 at 25°C . A new complex was also formed, as seen by the appearance of new resonances





Figure 6. Plots of $(\blacksquare) \ln [1]$ vs time and $(\blacktriangle) \ln [1-d_2]$ vs time.

in the ^1H NMR spectrum at δ 1.582 (15 H) and 7.754 (4 H) with other resonances partially obscured by those for the starting material. A new ^{31}P NMR resonance was observed at δ 57.69 (d, $J = 224 \text{ Hz}$) in C_6D_6 , the large coupling being indicative of rhodium in the +1 oxidation state. The complex was identified as $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ by comparison of spectral data with an independently prepared sample. This complex must be formed upon decomposition of 2, since no other source of PPh_3 is present. After 44 days, the ratio of the bis(phosphine) complex to 2 is 1:2, or ca. half of the starting material has been converted to product (since 2 equiv of 2 are required to produce 1 equiv of product).

This decomposition product is not observed in the hydrogenation of ethylene by 2, although another complex is formed in this case. Placing ethylene over a solution of 2 in C_6D_6 in the absence of added hydrogen at 60°C results in the hydrogenation of the ethylene to ethane, as determined by the appearance of a new resonance in the ^1H NMR spectrum at δ 0.791. The new metal-containing product that results has NMR resonances at δ 1.638 (d, $J = 1.6 \text{ Hz}$, 15 H), 7.067 (m, 9 H), and 7.652 (t, $J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}$, 6 H). The product was identified as $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PPh}_3)(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$ by independent synthesis of the material, as per Diversi.⁷ The ^1H NMR resonances for the coordinated ethylene are not observed in C_6D_6 . In CDCl_3 , however, one ethylene resonance appears at δ 1.084 (m, 2 H).

Reactions of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PR}_3)(\text{Ph})\text{H}$ with Hydrogen. The complexes $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PR}_3)(\text{Ph})\text{H}$ ($\text{PR}_3 = \text{PMe}_3, \text{PMe}_2\text{Ph}, \text{PMePh}_2, \text{and PPh}_3$) have been prepared by reaction of the corresponding phenyl chloride complexes with $[(\text{sec-butyl})_3\text{BH}]^-$, as described elsewhere.⁸ It was found that these complexes slowly undergo reaction with H_2 gas in C_6D_6 solution to give the dihydrides (eq 6). With excess



(6)

(7) Diversi, P.; Ingrosso, G.; Lucherini, A.; Martinelli, P.; Benetti, M.; Pucci, S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979**, *165*, 253-263.

(8) Jones, W. D.; Feher, F. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 1650-1663. Jones, W. D.; Kuykendall, V. L. *Inorg. Chem.*, in press.

Table III. Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)(Ph)H$ with H_2 in Benzene


complex	$P(H_2)$, atm	temp, °C	k_{obs} , s^{-1}	ΔG^* , kcal/mol
$(C_5Me_5)Rh-(PPh_3)(Ph)H$	0.855	68	$1.9(2) \times 10^{-2}$	22.9 (1)
$(C_5Me_5)Rh-(PPh_2Me)-(Ph)H$	0.851	68	$5.5(5) \times 10^{-4}$	25.3 (1)
$(C_5Me_5)Rh-(PPhMe_2)-(Ph)H$	0.896	62	$2.2(2) \times 10^{-6}$	27.0 (1)
$(C_5Me_5)Rh-(PMe_3)(Ph)H$	0.882	25	$3.3(3) \times 10^{-8}$	27.5 (1)

hydrogen the reactions go to completion, whereas with 1 equiv of hydrogen (i.e., no added H_2) an equilibrium appears to be established. Furthermore, exchange with C_6D_6 solvent to give $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)(C_6D_5)D$ was observed to occur prior to formation of the dihydride. The rates of reaction with H_2 were determined from plots of \ln (concentration) vs time with excess hydrogen present, giving the rate constants and free energy barriers shown in Table III. Reaction with D_2 at 60 °C gives $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)D_2$ and C_6H_6 , although any $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)HD$ formed at intermediate times would rapidly exchange with the D_2 gas under these conditions.

Discussion

The recent literature has provided several important examples of the importance of $\eta^5 \rightarrow \eta^3$ slippage of the cyclopentadienyl ligand in ligand substitution processes. Basolo has proposed this slippage in explaining the associative nature of ligand substitution processes in $CpRh(CO)_2$ and related derivatives.¹ The "indenyl effect" is well documented and cited as evidence for η^3 intermediates.⁹ Casey has also seen several examples in which CO substitution by PMe_3 proceeds by way of $\eta^5 \rightarrow \eta^1$ ring slippage and has even isolated and structurally characterized the intermediates with reduced hapticity.¹⁰ Other examples in the literature provide evidence for the formation of η^1 - or η^0 -Cp ligands upon reaction of η^5 -Cp complexes with entering ligands.¹¹

When the reactions of dihydride 1 were studied, the only thermal pathway anticipated for this stable Rh(III) 18-electron complex was the reductive elimination of H_2 to generate the reactive species $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)]$. The hydrogenation of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)(Ph)H$ indicated that while H_2 reacts more slowly than benzene solvent with $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)]$, the dihydride was the thermodynamically preferred product.¹² Since the barrier for loss of benzene from $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)(Ph)H$ to give $[(C_5Me_5)-$

Scheme I. Ring Slip Mechanism for H_2/D_2 Exchange

$Rh(PMe_3)]$ had been measured previously⁸ and the selectivity of $[(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)]$ for H_2 vs benzene was determined in the above experiment, only the barrier for the reductive elimination of H_2 from 1 needed to be determined in order to compare the free energies of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)(Ph)H$.

In an attempt to measure the rate at which 1 thermally eliminates H_2 (by looking at exchange with D_2), the unexpected stepwise H/D exchange results were obtained. If a simple reductive elimination of H_2 and oxidative addition of D_2 were occurring, then the first (and only) product formed should have been $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)D_2$. The intermediacy of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)HD$ as the first observed product is totally inconsistent with a simple reductive elimination/oxidative addition mechanism. Furthermore, the rate of H/D exchange between 1 and D_2 at 25 °C was much faster ($\tau_{1/2} \approx 1-6$ h) than the exchange of C_6D_6 into $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$, the rate of the latter exchange being so slow that no reaction was observed after several months. The exchange with D_2 was found to be independent of added acid, base, or mercury (a known poison for heterogeneous catalysts¹³) and was demonstrated not to be catalyzed by the glass vessel.

Two other mechanisms can be imagined for the observed H/D exchange of 1. In one, a ring slippage step opens a vacant coordination site at the metal center. Oxidative addition of D_2 followed by reductive elimination of HD would lead to the observed intermediate 1-d₁ (Scheme I). As long as the $\eta^5 \rightarrow \eta^3$ ring slip is rapid and reversible, the reaction rate will be first order in $[D_2]$. This pathway may not seem feasible in that it requires a Rh(V) intermediate, but Maitlis has characterized several similar Rh(V) and Ir(V) complexes such as $(C_5Me_5)Rh(H)_2(SiMe_3)_2$,¹⁴ and Bergman has characterized $(C_5Me_5)IrH_4$.¹⁵ It is curious to note that in the latter complex, exchange with D_2 was believed to occur by a mechanism not involving reductive elimination of H_2 , although it was not reported if the exchange was stepwise or pairwise.

In the present system, it was demonstrated that the PMe_3 is not labile under the reaction conditions so that the requisite intermediate would have to be $[(\eta^3-C_5Me_5)-Rh(PMe_3)H_2D_2]$, not $(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)RhH_2D_2$. A more serious problem with this pathway, however, is that it would seem likely that this species could lose H_2 as well as HD (although loss of HD is statistically preferred), which in turn

(9) Kakkar, A. K.; Taylor, N. J.; Marder, T. B. *Organometallics* 1989, 8, 1765-1768. Marder, T. B.; Roe, D. C.; Milstein, D. *Organometallics* 1988, 7, 1451-1453. Rerek, M. E.; Basolo, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, 106, 5908-5912.

(10) Casey, C. P.; Jones, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 6154-6156. Casey, C. P.; Jones, W. D.; Harsy, S. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1981, 206, C38-C42. Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M.; Jones, W. D.; Haller, K. J. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 535-538.


(11) Caddy, P.; Green, M.; O'Brien, E.; Smart, L. E.; Woodward, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1977, 16, 648-649. Caddy, P.; Green, M.; O'Brien, E.; Smart, L. E.; Woodward, P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1977, 16, 648-649. Casey, C. P.; O'Connor, J. M.; Haller, K. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985, 107, 1241-1246. Werner, H.; Tune, D.; Parker, G.; Krüger, C.; Brauer, D. *J. Angew. Chem.* 1975, 87, 205-206. Werner, H.; Kuhn, A. *Angew. Chem.* 1979, 91, 447-448. Marder, T. B.; Williams, I. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1987, 1478-1480.

(12) The rate of the reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)(Ph)H$ with H_2 ($k = 3.3 \times 10^{-8} s^{-1}$) is about 10 times slower than the rate at which C_6D_6 exchanges into the molecule ($k = 4.8 \times 10^{-7} s^{-1}$) at 25 °C, indicating that reaction with benzene is faster than reaction with H_2 .

(13) Crabtree, R. H.; Mellea, M. F.; Mihelcic, J. M.; Quirk, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, 104, 107-113.

(14) Fernandez, M. J.; Maitlis, P. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1982, 310-311. Isobe, K.; Bailey, P. M.; Maitlis, P. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1981, 808-809. Fernandez, M. J.; Maitlis, P. M. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 164-165.

(15) Gilbert, T. M.; Bergman, R. G. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 1458-1460.

Scheme II. Hydride Migration Mechanism for H₂/D₂ Exchange


would lead to the simultaneous formation of both $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{HD}$ and $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$. The ratio of 1-*d*₁ to 1-*d*₂ can be seen to be at least 5:1 from the ³¹P NMR spectrum. The HD complex is clearly the first formed species beginning with either $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$ or $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ (Figure 1). Consequently, a substantial isotope effect preferring the elimination of HD over H₂ can be ruled out, since D₂ would also have to be eliminated more rapidly, giving rise to the initial formation of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ in the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$ with H₂. In fact, the initial ratio of RhD₂/RhHD in the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{H}_2$ with D₂ can be seen to be the same as the initial ratio of RhH₂/RhHD in the reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{D}_2$ with H₂, indicating that the isotope effects upon the product ratio are negligible. The possible intermediacy of an η²-dihydrogen complex cannot be ruled out, however, in which case a preference for maintaining the deuterium–deuterium and deuterium–hydrogen bonds would be expected. Consequently, the initial preference for forming $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{HD}$ does not in itself completely rule out a tetrahydride intermediate.

A second possible mechanism involves the initial migration of a hydride ligand to the C₅Me₅ ring, effectively a reductive elimination reaction that produces a 16-electron Rh(I) intermediate. This species can then oxidatively add D₂ and reductively eliminate HD to generate $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Rh}(\text{PMe}_3)\text{HD}$ (Scheme II). A similar sequence was proposed by Bercaw to explain the formation of *i*-BuD upon treatment of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{ZrH}(\textit{i}\text{-Bu})$ with D₂ at -78 °C.¹⁶ This mechanism has the advantage that no high oxidation state Rh species are involved, and that the HD complex is the only product that can be formed initially. Graham and Rest have put forth a similar migration to explain the incorporation of deuterium into the neopentyl hydride product upon irradiation of CpIr(CO)D₂ in the presence of neopentane.¹⁷

Both of these mechanisms fit the kinetic expressions given in eq 3 (with D₂ replacing PMe₃) provided that the initial step is rapid and reversible relative to the bimolecular step with D₂. The slope of a plot of k_{obs} vs [D₂] gives the value for $k_1 k_2 / k_{-1}$ of $2.77 (34) \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The rate was not observed to level off, since insufficient D₂ pressures were employed to saturate the rate.

In an attempt to trap the (η⁴-C₅Me₅H)Rh intermediate, PMe₃ was added to the solution. Instead of observing an intermediate, however, the formation of HRh(PMe₃)₄ and C₅Me₅H occurred. The reaction proceeded more slowly

and was found to depend on the concentration of PMe₃ up to about 0.3 M. Higher ligand concentrations did not result in an increase in rate. During the course of these investigations, Maitlis reported the observation of HRh(PMe₃)₄ in similar reactions of both 1 and other rhodium silane complexes with phosphine.¹⁸

As mentioned above, the rate saturation behavior found in the reaction with PMe₃ fits with a mechanism involving a reversible unimolecular preequilibrium followed by a rate-determining reaction with PMe₃ (eq 3). The two mechanisms shown in Schemes III and IV (related to those shown in Schemes I and II) both fulfill this prerequisite. Consequently, the only obvious way to determine if the metal–hydrogen bond is being broken in (or prior to) the rate-determining step was to measure the kinetic isotope effect for the reaction of PMe₃ with 1 or 1-*d*₂. This ratio was measured in a competition experiment with [PMe₃] ≈ 0.9 M, where the preequilibrium is irreversible and the initial ring slippage or migration of H or D to the ring determines the overall rate of reaction. The kinetic isotope effect can be estimated for either mechanism under these conditions as described below.

If the mechanism in Scheme III is occurring in the reaction of 1 with PMe₃, then no isotope effect is anticipated since the Rh–H and Rh–D bonds remain intact in both the preequilibrium and rate-determining step involving PMe₃. If the hydride migration mechanism shown in Scheme IV is occurring, however, then the reaction of 1-*d*₂ is expected to proceed slightly faster than the reaction of 1, since a stronger C–D bond (with a lower zero-point energy difference) is formed with 1-*d*₂. Since k_D is expected to be larger than k_H , the ratio k_H/k_D should be less than 1. A typical value for this kinetic isotope effect would be 0.5–0.7 on the basis of reductive elimination reactions of similar rhodium and iridium aryl and alkyl hydrides.¹⁹

The lack of any significant isotope effect in the reaction of 1 with PMe₃ ($k_H/k_D \approx 1$) therefore is most consistent with the η⁵ → η³ ring slip mechanism shown in Scheme III. It might seem likely, therefore, that the D₂ exchange reaction with 1 would also involve the same ring slip in the initial step as shown in Scheme I. However, the observed rate of the D₂-exchange reaction at 25 °C (~1 atm of D₂) is $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is already much faster (~20x) than the reactions involving PMe₃ despite the ~100-fold lower concentration of the former. The rate of H/D exchange with 1 atm of D₂ at 25 °C is twice as fast as the rate-limiting ring slip reaction in the PMe₃ experiments at 40 °C ($k_1 = 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Consequently, the H/D exchange reaction must be proceeding by a different mechanism than the PMe₃ ring displacement reaction. Since the ring displacement reaction is believed to occur by way of the ring slip mechanism in Scheme III, the hydride-to-ring migration mechanism in Scheme I is most consistent with the observations pertaining to the H/D-exchange reaction.



The ability of 1 and 2 to catalyze the hydrogenation of olefins is also very surprising in light of their coordinative saturation. Since the PMe₃ ligand is not labile, a simple mechanism involving phosphine loss, olefin coordination and insertion into the rhodium–hydrogen bond, and reductive elimination of alkane can be ruled out. Pathways can be envisioned derived from either of the two mechanisms seen for the reactions with D₂ or PMe₃, but current data cannot distinguish which is operating. The obser-

(16) McAlister, D. R.; Erwin, D. K.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 5966–5968.

(17) Bloyce, P. E.; Rest, A. J.; Whitwell, I.; Graham, W. A. G.; Holmes-Smith, R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 846–848.

(18) Maitlis, P. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1989**, 105–106.

(19) Jones, W. D.; Feher, F. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4814–4819. Periana, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 7332–7346. Buchanan, J. M.; Stryker, J. M.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 1537–1550.

Scheme III. Ring Slip Mechanism for PMe_3 Displacement of C_5Me_5H Scheme IV. Hydride Migration Mechanism for PMe_3 Displacement of C_5Me_5H 

vation of deuterium incorporation into the cyclohexene indicates that β -elimination can compete with reductive elimination in the intermediate cyclohexyl hydride.

Conclusions

In summary, the complexes $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)(Ph)H$ are found to react with hydrogen to give the thermodynamically preferred dihydrides. The complex $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ has not been found to thermally reductively eliminate dihydrogen. Rather, this complex reacts bimolecularly with D_2 to give initially $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)HD$ and then $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)D_2$ by what is believed to be an initial migration of a hydride ligand from the metal to the C_5Me_5 ring. In contrast, reaction with PMe_3 leads to displacement of the C_5Me_5H ring by way of a pathway that is most consistent with an initial $\eta^5 \rightarrow \eta^3$ ring slip. The diversity of pathways open to these complexes in reactions with different substrates demonstrates that the energies of mechanistically distinct modes of reaction must lie close in energy and that generalization of reaction mechanisms must not be made without adequate investigation.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen or on a high-vacuum line with the use of Schlenk techniques. PMe_3 was purchased from Strem Chemical Co. and was used after vacuum distillation (25 °C, 10^{-3} mm). Benzene was distilled from purple solutions of benzophenone ketyl. The complexes $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)(Ph)H$ and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PR_3)H_2$ were prepared as described elsewhere.⁸ D_2 was purchased from Scott Specialty Gases and was purified by passage through a Scott Specialty Gases "Oxygen Trap" to remove any water or oxygen present.

1H (400 MHz) and ^{31}P (162 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AMX-400 spectrometer. 1H NMR shifts were measured relative to residual 1H resonances in the deuterated solvent: C_6D_6 (δ 7.15). ^{31}P NMR spectra were reported in units of δ (chemical shifts are referred to external 10% H_3PO_4 at δ 0.0 ppm). C_6D_6 was purchased from MSD Isotopes Merck Chemical Division and was vacuum-distilled from potassium-benzophenone prior to use.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ with D_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ (0.015 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in a resealable NMR tube. The solution was subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles and frozen with an ice bath. Deuterium gas was admitted to the NMR tube (663 mm) on the high-vacuum line. The solution was maintained at 24.5 °C in the absence of light. The reaction to produce $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)D_2$ was monitored by 1H NMR integration of the hydride resonance at δ -13.010 versus the C_5Me_5 resonance at δ 1.878. After 130 h, the solution was again freeze-pump-thaw-degassed, and H_2 (677 mm) was placed over the solution. The back-reaction to re-form **2** was then monitored.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ with D_2 . The reaction was performed as described for the PPh_3 analogue. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (0.019 mmol) was placed in a resealable NMR tube in C_6D_6 . Deuterium gas (661 mm) was placed over the solution. The reaction was maintained at room temperature in the absence of light and followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy via integration of the hydride resonance at δ -13.623 versus the C_5Me_5 resonance at δ 2.065. The $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectrum showed a doublet at δ 6.49 ($J = 150$ Hz), a doublet of 1:1:1 triplets at δ 6.63 ($J = 151$, 6 Hz), and a doublet of 1:2:3:2:1 quintets at δ 6.76 ($J = 150$, 6 Hz).

A sample that had been exhaustively exchanged with excess D_2 with freeze-pump-thaw-degassed and placed under 1 atm of H_2 . The reverse reaction to produce $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)HD$ and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ was monitored by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy as described above.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMePh_2)H_2$ with D_2 . The reaction was performed as described for the PPh_3 analogue. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMePh_2)H_2$ (0.017 mmol) was placed in a resealable NMR tube in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 . Dioxane (5 mm, 0.049 L, 293 K, 0.011 mmol) was condensed into the NMR tube as an internal standard. Deuterium gas (648 mm) was placed over the solution. The reaction was heated to 62 °C, and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy via integration of the hydride resonance at -13.154 ppm versus the C_5Me_5 resonance at 1.892 ppm.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_2Ph)H_2$ with D_2 . The reaction was performed as described for the PPh_3 analogue. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_2Ph)H_2$ (0.020 mmol) was placed in a resealable NMR tube in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 . Dioxane (3 mm, 0.049 L, 296 K, 0.008 mmol) was condensed into the NMR tube as an internal standard. Deuterium gas (668 mm) was placed over the solution. The reaction was heated to 62 °C, and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy via integration of the hydride resonance at -13.300 ppm versus the C_5Me_5 resonance at 1.945 ppm.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ with H_2 and D_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ (0.020 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in a resealable NMR tube. The solution was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and frozen with liquid nitrogen. Deuterium gas (324 mm) was placed over the sample on the high-vacuum line, followed by hydrogen gas (275 mm). The sample was maintained at 23 °C and the reaction followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ with H_2 and D_2 . The same procedure was followed as for the PMe_3 analogue. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (0.013 mmol) was placed in a resealable NMR tube in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 with D_2 (355 mm) and H_2 (320 mm). Resonances for H_2 and HD were immediately (~ 10 min) observed in the 1H NMR spectrum.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ with C_6H_6 /THF- d_8 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ (0.043 mmol) was dissolved in 0.55 mL of a 5:1 mixture of C_6H_6 and THF- d_8 , and the solution was placed into a resealable NMR tube. The sample was maintained at 24.5 °C, and the solution was monitored via 1H NMR spectroscopy for 4 days. No exchange occurred.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ in C_6D_6 / C_6H_{10} . The same procedure was carried out as for the C_6H_6 /THF- d_8 solvent mixture. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in a resealable NMR tube. To this was added 0.2 μ L of cyclohexane. The sample was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and maintained at 24.5 °C for 10 days. The reaction was monitored by 1H NMR spectroscopy.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ in C_6D_6 / C_6H_{12} . The same procedure was carried out as for the C_6H_6 /THF- d_8 solvent mixture. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in a resealable NMR tube. To this was added 0.2 μ L of cyclohexane. The sample was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and maintained at 24.5 °C for 10 days. The reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy, showing no evidence for H/D exchange.

Thermolysis of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ in C_6H_6 in the Presence of D_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (0.21 mmol) was dissolved in 0.6 mL of C_6H_6 , and the solution was placed in a resealable NMR tube. The solution was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and frozen (0 °C). Deuterium gas (638 mm) was admitted to the tube, and the solution was heated to 62 °C in a silicone oil bath. The reaction was followed by 2H NMR spectroscopy, monitoring the growth of a resonance for deuterated benzene at 7.15 ppm. After 142 h, a mass spectrum was taken of the reaction mixture to analyze the size of the m/e 78–84 peaks, showing no statistically significant increase in the m/e 79 peak above the natural abundance (6.6%).

Thermolysis of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ in C_6D_6 in the Presence of H_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (0.011 mmol) was dissolved in 99.96% C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed into a resealable NMR tube and freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times. Dioxane (3 mm, 20 °C, 52.4 mL, 0.0086 mmol) was condensed into the NMR tube as an internal standard. The solution was cooled to 0 °C, and H_2 (676 mm) was admitted to the tube. The tube was heated to 62 °C, and the appearance of the resonance for C_6H_6 at 7.15 ppm in the 1H NMR spectrum was monitored with time. After 42 days, a gas chromatograph/mass spectral analysis of the m/e 78–84 fragments was performed as described in the text.

Methods for Ruling Out Adventitious H/D Exchange Catalyst. (1) **Silica.** $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)Cl$ (10.9 mg, 0.028 mmol) was reacted with 4 equiv Red-Al (0.113 mmol, 0.67 mL) in THF. After stirring for 10 min, the sample was divided into two portions. One portion was subjected to flash chromatography through silica gel as previously described.⁸ The second portion was subjected to flash chromatography through alumina. The resulting $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ from each chromatography was dissolved in C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed into separate resealable NMR tubes. After three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles, the samples were frozen with liquid nitrogen and deuterium gas was placed over each of the samples (605 and 578 mm of D_2 , respectively) on the high-vacuum line. The tubes were left at room temperature (23 °C) in the dark, and the reaction was monitored with time with 1H NMR spectroscopy by integration of the hydride resonance at -13.623 ppm. Plots of $\ln [1]$ vs time exhibit rate constants of 0.0201 and 0.0178 h^{-1} for the samples filtered through alumina and silica, respectively. Attempts at the same reaction with the

PPh_3 analogue $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ were not successful due to the decomposition that results when the filtration through alumina is carried out, as evidenced by the presence of multiple resonances in the 1H NMR spectrum.

(2) **Involvement of Base.** $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (0.029 mmol) was dissolved in 1.0 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was divided into two samples in separate resealable NMR tubes. To one tube was added pyridine (0.05 mL). The solutions were subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles and frozen with liquid nitrogen, and deuterium gas (663 mm) was admitted to the tubes. The samples were kept at room temperature (23 °C) in the dark, and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy via integration of the hydride resonance at $\delta -13.010$ versus the C_5Me_5 resonance at $\delta 1.878$. After 31 h, the sample with no added pyridine was 77% complete while the pyridine tube was 23% complete. The samples were freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and frozen with liquid nitrogen. A mixture of H_2 (311 mm) and D_2 (342 mm) was placed over the samples. Both H_2 and HD were observed in the 1H NMR spectrum of both samples as a singlet at $\delta 4.46$ and a triplet at $\delta 4.44$. When the samples were heated to 62 °C for 13 h, a substantial increase in the amount of HD present was observed in both samples. No other major changes in the samples were observed.

(3) **Involvement of Acid.** $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (0.028 mmol) was placed into a resealable NMR tube in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and acetic acid (0.05 mL, 0.88 mmol, 30 equiv) was added. No change in the starting rhodium complex was noted by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The acetic acid appeared at $\delta 1.55$ (s, 3 H) and 12.36 (br s, 1 H). The sample was subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles and frozen with liquid nitrogen. A mixture of H_2 (302 mm) and D_2 (315 mm) was placed over the sample, and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. HD formation was observed at a rate comparable to that for experiments performed in the absence of added acid.

(4) **Involvement of the Glass NMR Tube.** $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2H_2$ (0.030 mmol) was dissolved in 1.0 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was divided into two portions. One portion was placed in a resealable NMR tube as usual. The second sample was placed in a Wilmad Glass Teflon NMR tube liner, which was placed inside a resealable NMR tube. The solutions were freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and frozen in liquid nitrogen, and deuterium gas (665 mm) was admitted on the high-vacuum line. The tubes were maintained at room temperature (23 °C) in the dark, and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. After 5 days, the samples were freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and frozen with liquid nitrogen. A mixture of D_2 and H_2 was placed over the samples: nonlined tube, 300 mm of D_2 + 323 mm of H_2 ; lined tube, 296 mm of D_2 + 326 mm of H_2 . The HD produced was observed in the 1H NMR spectrum of both samples.

Kinetics of the Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ with D_2 in C_6D_6 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ (0.036 mmol) was dissolved in 0.3 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was divided into three 0.1-mL portions. Each portion was placed into a resealable NMR tube. The samples were freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and diluted by condensation of C_6D_6 into the tubes to a volume of 0.49 mL, yielding a concentration of 0.0178 M/tube. The solutions were frozen (77 °K), and D_2 was admitted to the tubes on the high-vacuum line (197, 399, and 599 mm of D_2). The tubes were maintained at 24.5 °C, and the reaction was monitored via integration of the 1H NMR hydride resonance versus the C_5Me_5 resonance.

This procedure was carried out for other concentrations of rhodium complex and D_2 as follows: $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2 = 0.0179$ M, $P(D_2) = 301, 501, 687$ mm; $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2 = 0.0327$ M, $P(D_2) = 301, 501, 687$ mm; $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2 = 0.0457$ M, $P(D_2) = 101, 201, 298, 400, 498, 601$ mm.

The same procedure was also repeated with the reaction being followed by the ^{31}P NMR appearance of resonances for $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)HD$ at $\delta 6.630$ (dt, $J = 151, 6.3$ Hz) and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)D_2$ at $\delta 6.764$ (dqn, $J = 150, 6.5$ Hz). In this case, the concentration of starting material was 0.022 M, and the D_2 pressures used were 201, 409, and 609 mm. In addition, to one tube was added a drop of mercury.

Reaction of Cyclohexene with $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ and Hydrogen. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)_2H_2$ (0.011 mmol) was placed in a

resealable NMR tube in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 . Cyclohexene (0.010 mL, 0.099 mmol) was added to the tube via syringe under nitrogen. The solution was subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles and frozen with liquid nitrogen. Hydrogen gas was admitted to the NMR tube (673 mm, ~ 0.06 mmol) on the high-vacuum line. The sample was placed in a constant-temperature room (24.5 °C), and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The starting cyclohexene has the following 1H NMR resonances: δ 1.887 (m, 4 H), 1.506 (m, 4 H), 5.68 (s, 2 H). Cyclohexane was produced, as observed by an 1H NMR resonance at δ 1.395 (br s, 12 H). After 40 days, 7.9 turnovers had taken place.

Reaction of Cyclohexene with $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ and Deuterium. The reaction was carried out as described for the analogous hydrogenation reaction with deuterium gas substituted for hydrogen gas. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (0.017 mmol) was placed in a resealable NMR tube in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 . Cyclohexene (0.010 mL, 0.099 mmol) was added, and the solution was subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles. Deuterium gas (669 mm, ~ 0.06 mmol) was placed over the liquid nitrogen frozen sample. After 49 days at 24.5 °C, the cyclohexene had been converted to cyclohexane. The 2H NMR spectrum exhibited a resonance at δ 1.343 indicative of deuterium incorporation into the cyclohexane.

Reaction of Cyclohexene with $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ and Hydrogen. The reaction was carried out as described for the PMe_3 analogue. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ (0.042 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in a resealable NMR tube with cyclohexene (0.02 mL, 0.20 mmol) and hydrogen gas (676 mm). After 23 days at 24.5 °C, the maximum number of approximately eight turnovers had occurred, while cyclohexene still remained in solution. The sample was again frozen in liquid nitrogen, and additional hydrogen (621 mm) was admitted to the NMR tube on the high-vacuum line. After 44 days, the cyclohexene was all converted to cyclohexane. The solvent was removed, and the solid product was dissolved in fresh C_6D_6 . New resonances were present in the 1H and ^{31}P NMR spectra: 1H δ 1.582 (s, 15 H), 7.754 (dd, $J = 12.1$, 6.9 Hz, 4 H) (remaining resonances obscured by starting material); ^{31}P δ 57.695 (d, $J = 224$ Hz). The relative proportions of the starting material and product were 2:1. The product was identified as $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)_2$ by comparison with an independently synthesized sample.

Thermolysis of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ with Ethylene. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ (0.020 mmol) was placed in a resealable NMR tube in C_6D_6 , and the solution was subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles. Dioxane (10 mm, 0.0173 L, 293 K, 0.0095 mmol) was condensed into the NMR tube on the vacuum line as an internal standard. The sample was frozen with an ice/water bath, and ethylene (665 mm) was placed over the sample. The sample was heated to 60 °C, and the reaction was followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The starting ethylene appeared in the 1H NMR spectrum as a singlet at δ 5.245 ppm. The C_5Me_5 resonance of the starting material decreased with time. As the reaction continued, ethane was observed (0.791 ppm), as well as a new product: δ 1.638 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 15 H), 7.067 (m, 9 H), 7.652 (t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 6 H). The solvent was removed, and the solid product was dissolved in fresh C_6D_6 . The product formed was identified as $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_2H_4)$, as confirmed by independent synthesis.

Reaction of Ethylene with $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ and Hydrogen. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ (0.013 mmol) was dissolved in C_6D_6 , placed in a resealable NMR tube, and freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times. The solution was frozen with an ice/water bath, and ethylene (290 mm, 0.012 mmol) was admitted to the NMR tube. The solution and ethylene were frozen with liquid nitrogen, and hydrogen (303 mm, 0.016 mmol) was admitted to the NMR tube. The reaction was carried out at 23 °C and followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The initial 1H NMR spectrum exhibits resonances for ethylene (δ 5.226) as well as ethane (δ 0.791). Starting material was present along with a small amount of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_2H_4)$ in a 9.1:1 ratio. After 6 days, no ethylene remained; a large resonance for ethane and a small resonance for H_2 were present. At this time, the ratio of starting material to $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_2H_4)$ was still about the same (7:1).

Independent Synthesis of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_2H_4)$. Diversi et al. have previously synthesized this complex.⁷ No characterization data were reported, however, so the complex was synthesized here following the same procedure. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(C_2H_4)_2$

(0.011 g, 0.0374 mmol) was placed in a flask with PPh_3 (0.010 g, 0.0381 mmol) and dissolved in 10 mL of toluene. The flask was fitted with a septum-capped reflux condenser, and the solution was heated under nitrogen flow with stirring for 3 h at 124 °C. Removal of solvent and dissolution in $CDCl_3$ yielded a mixture of starting material (15%) and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_2H_4)$ (85%). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 1.084 (m, 2 H); 1.565 (d, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 15 H), 7.484 (m, 12 H), 7.663 (dd, $J = 11.9$, 7.6 Hz, 3 H); (C_6D_6) δ 1.639 (d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 15 H), 7.08 (m, 9 H), 7.66 (t, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 6 H) [lit.:⁷ δ 1.76 (15 H), 1.77 (2 H), 1.25 (2 H)].

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ with $P(CD_3)_3$. $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (0.054 mmol) was dissolved in 1.2 mL of C_6D_6 and divided into two portions, each of which was placed into an NMR tube attached to a ground glass joint. A solution of $P(CD_3)_3$ (0.0808 mmol) in 1.0 mL of C_6D_6 was divided into three portions. One portion was placed into one of the NMR tubes above, while two portions were placed into the other to yield tubes with 0.0269 and 0.0538 mmol of $P(CD_3)_3$, respectively. The samples were maintained at 24.5 °C in the dark. The reaction was monitored by integration of the 1H NMR resonance for coordinated PMe_3 versus that for the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand.

Thermolysis of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ with PMe_3 in C_6H_{12} . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (0.0730 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of cyclohexane and placed into a resealable NMR tube. The solution was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and 4 equiv of PMe_3 (0.283 mmol) condensed into the sample. The sample was maintained at 24 °C. After 12 days, the reaction to form $HRh(PMe_3)_4$ was 26% complete, as determined by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The sample was heated to 70 °C for 6.5 h, at which time very little starting material remained. The product exhibited a 1H NMR resonance at δ -12.55 (dqn). Solvent removal and the addition of C_6D_6 allowed a more thorough examination by 1H NMR spectroscopy: δ 0.851 (d, $J = 12.6$ Hz), 1.301 (s), -12.278 (dqn, $J = 13.6$ Hz) in a ratio of 35:44:1. ^{31}P [narrow band 1H] NMR: δ -19.421 (dd, $J = 146$, 10.4 Hz), 30.407 (s) in a ratio of 24.1:21.3. (The latter resonance and the 1H resonance at 1.301 are assigned to $O=PMe_3$.) GC analysis of the solution using a capillary column (Supelcowax 10, 30 m) at 100 °C showed the formation of pentamethylcyclopentadiene in >90% yield by comparison to an authentic sample.

Kinetics of the Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ with PMe_3 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (0.129 mmol) was dissolved in 2.4 mL of C_6D_6 and divided into six portions, each of which was placed into an NMR tube attached to a ground glass joint. The solutions were freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times on the vacuum line, and C_6D_6 was condensed into each tube to bring the solution to 0.55 mL, so that the rhodium complex concentration was 0.039 M. PMe_3 was measured out on the vacuum line into a 17.35-mL ampule and was condensed into each tube as follows: tube no. 1, no PMe_3 ; tube no. 2, 22 mm of PMe_3 (0.0208 mmol, 0.97 equiv); tube no. 3, 68 mm of PMe_3 (0.0645 mmol, 3.0 equiv); tube no. 4, 137 mm of PMe_3 (0.129 mmol, 6.0 equiv); tube no. 5, 182 mm of PMe_3 (0.240 mmol, 8.0 equiv); tube no. 6, 247 mm of PMe_3 (0.259 mmol, 12.0 equiv). The NMR tubes were then flame-sealed under vacuum and heated to 40 °C in a silicone oil bath. The reactions were followed by 1H NMR spectroscopy. The concentrations of starting 1 and the product $HRh(PMe_3)_4$ was determined from the relative percentages in solution. The percentage determination was carried out via an average of the two values obtained for the integration of the C_5Me_5 resonance for 1 versus the PMe_3 resonance for $HRh(PMe_3)_4$ and by comparison of the hydride resonances for the two complexes. The first tube containing no added PMe_3 was used for corrections in concentration due to any decomposition.

Isotope Effects in the Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ and $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)D_2$ with PMe_3 . Two samples of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (0.052 mmol each) in C_6D_6 (0.52 mL) were prepared, and one was reacted with D_2 gas for 2 days to generate $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)D_2$ (>90% D). The solution was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed and mixed with the $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ solution. Examination of the mixture by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy revealed a 40:14:46 ratio of 1- d_2 :1- d_1 :1. A 0.105-mL aliquot of PMe_3 (1.03 mmol, ~ 10 equiv, 0.9 M) was introduced into the sample and the reaction monitored by inverse gated $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectroscopy over the next 4 days. The areas of the resonances for 1- d_2 , 1, and $HRh(PMe_3)_4$ were used to determine the per-

centage of each complex, and plots of \ln (concentration) vs time were made to determine the competitive rates of reaction. From the slopes of these lines during the first 2 half-lives of reaction, $k_H = 5.66 (0.41) \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_D = 5.28 (0.10) \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Equilibration of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_6H_5)H$ with 1 Equiv of H_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ (13.5 mg, 0.024 mmol) was dissolved in C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in an NMR tube attached to a ground glass joint. The solution was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed and flame-sealed under vacuum. Irradiation of the sample was carried out (180-W Hg lamp, $\lambda > 300 \text{ nm}$) with cooling by a jet of compressed air. After irradiation for 30 min, only 1% of the starting material remained, with the only product being $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_6D_5)D$. The sample was placed in the dark at 24 °C. After 97 days, the sample exhibited a ratio of 1.25:1.00 for $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2:(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_6D_5)D$. 1H NMR (C_6D_6): $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$ δ -13.009 (dd, $J = 38.8, 28.7 \text{ Hz}$, 2 H), 1.876 (d, $J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}$, 15 H), 7.017 (m, 9 H), 7.663 (m, 6 H); $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_6D_5)D$ δ 1.604 (d, $J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}$, 15 H), 6.987 (m, 9 H), 7.445 (dd, $J = 9.7, 8.1 \text{ Hz}$, 6 H).

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_6H_5)H$ with Excess Added H_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)(C_6H_5)H$ (0.0026 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 , and the solution was placed in an NMR tube attached to a resealable Teflon valve. 1,4-Dioxane (0.01 mL, 10.3 mg, 0.117 mmol) was added to the tube via syringe to serve as an internal standard. The solution was freeze-pump-thaw-degassed three times and cooled to 0 °C with an ice bath. Hydrogen gas was placed above the solution (650 mm, 0.07 mmol, 26 equiv) by filling the vacuum line with hydrogen and opening the Teflon valve. The sample was heated at 68 °C in the dark and the reaction monitored periodically by 1H NMR spectroscopy to determine the rate of formation of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PPh_3)H_2$.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_2Ph)(C_6H_5)H$ with H_2 . $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_2Ph)(C_6H_5)H$ (0.015 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of C_6D_6 and placed into a resealable NMR tube. The solution was subjected to three freeze-pump-thaw degas cycles. Dioxane (3 mm, 0.049 L, 296 K, 0.0080 mmol) was condensed into the NMR tube. The solution was cooled to 0 °C, and H_2 (681 mm) was placed over the solution. The sample was heated to 62 °C and the formation of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_2Ph)H_2$ monitored by the growth of the C_5Me_5 resonance in the 1H NMR spectrum at δ 1.945 versus dioxane.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMePh_2)(C_6H_5)H$ with H_2 . A solution of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMePh_2)(C_6H_5)H$ was prepared as above. The sample was cooled to 0 °C, and H_2 (647 mm) was placed over the solution. After 1 day at room temperature, the only change noted was a decrease in the hydride signal due to exchange with C_6D_6 . The sample was heated to 68 °C, and the growth of the resonance in the 1H NMR spectrum at δ 1.898 was monitored with time for the formation of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMePh_2)H_2$.

Reaction of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)(C_6H_5)H$ with H_2 . A solution of $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)(C_6H_5)H$ (0.038 mmol) was prepared as above. Hydrogen (670 mm) was placed above the solution on the high-vacuum line. The 1H NMR resonances for the C_5Me_5 ligands of starting material $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)(C_6H_5)H$ (δ 1.786) and of the product $(C_5Me_5)Rh(PMe_3)H_2$ (δ 2.065) were monitored with time, while the sample was maintained at 24.5 °C. (The reaction was too fast to monitor at 68 °C.)

Acknowledgment. We thank the U.S. Department of Energy (Grant DE-FG02-86ER13569) for their support of this work and the Exxon Education Foundation.

Bromide Ion Catalyzed Dimerization of 3,3-Diphenyl-6-oxa-3-silabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane. Structure Determination and Ring Contraction of 2,2,6,6-Tetraphenyl-4,8-divinyl-1,5-dioxo-2,6-disilacyclooctane and Synthesis of 2,2,4,4-Tetraphenyl-6-vinyl-1,3-dioxo-2,4-disilacyclohexane

Young Tae Park, Georges Manuel, Robert Bau, Dong Zhao, and William P. Weber*

D. P. and K. B. Loker Hydrocarbon Research Institute and Department of Chemistry,
University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089-1661

Received October 11, 1990

Bromide ion catalyzed dimerization of 3,3-diphenyl-6-oxa-3-silabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (I) yields *cis*- and *trans*-2,2,6,6-tetraphenyl-4,8-divinyl-1,5-dioxo-2,6-disilacyclooctane (II). The structure of *trans*-II has been determined by a combination of 1H , ^{13}C , and ^{29}Si NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. *trans*-II crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$, with $a = 7.105 (3) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 12.613 (3) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 15.455 (5) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 94.21 (3)^\circ$, and $V = 1381.2 (8) \text{ \AA}^3$ for $Z = 2$. The structure was refined to a final agreement factor of 0.055 for 1374 reflections. Pyrolysis of II at 150 °C yields 2,2,4,4-tetraphenyl-6-vinyl-1,3-dioxo-2,4-disilacyclohexane (III) and 1,3-butadiene. The kinetics of this first-order ring contraction reaction of *trans*-II have been determined: $E_a = 32.2 \pm 1.5 \text{ kcal/mol}$, $S = 1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ eu}$.

We wish to report the bromide ion catalyzed dimerization of 3,3-diphenyl-6-oxa-3-silabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (I) to yield a mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-2,2,6,6-tetraphenyl-4,8-divinyl-1,5-dioxo-2,6-disilacyclooctane (II). This high-yield

(87%) reaction is carried out at 80 °C in a mixed solvent system of acetone and benzene. The ratio of *cis*-II to *trans*-II is found to be highly dependent on the exact solvent composition used. Mixtures of *cis*-II and *trans*-II with compositions from 9/91 to 32/68 were obtained. The nature of this solvent effect is not understood. The molecular weight of II has been determined by mass spectroscopy. The structure of *trans*-II has been elucidated by 1H , ^{13}C , and ^{29}Si NMR spectroscopy as well as by X-ray crystallography (see Figure 1 for an ORTEP diagram of *trans*-II and Tables I and II for atomic coordinates and bond lengths and angles of *trans*-II) on a 9/91 mixture of

