ORGANOMETALLICS

Volume 10, Number 6, June 1991

© Copyright 1991 American Chemical Society

Communications

X-ray and Molecular Structure of the First Germacyclopentenyl Compound η^{1} - and η^{3} -Coordinated to Two Cobalt Carbonyl Fragments

Pascal Dufour,[†] Marie-Joëlle Menu,[†] Michèle Dartiguenave,^{*,†} Yves Dartiguenave,^{*,†} and Jacques Dubac[‡]

Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique, Université P. Sabatier, 205 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse-Cédex, France, and Laboratoire des Organométalliques, UA 477, Université P. Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse-Cédex, France

Received February 7, 1991

Summary: Thermal reaction of Co2(CO)8 with 1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetramethylgermole gave rise to the first germacyclopentenyl compound coordinated to two cobalt(I) carbonyl fragments, in an η^1 mode to Co(CO)₄ and in an η^3 mode to Co(CO)₃. The complex has been structurally characterized.

1,1-R,R'-substituted germoles are usually bonded to metal centers in the n^4 mode (Chart I). The result may be either isolation and characterization of the molecule as its metal complex¹ or nucleophilic regioselective substitution reactions at the germanium atom if R and R' are convenient substituents (Cl, OMe).^{1b,c,f,2} Hydrogermoles (R = H; R') on the other hand, like the corresponding hydrosiloles, coordinate oxidatively to metal centers in the η^1 mode, a reaction that has precedents in catalytic hydrosilylation reactions of olefins.^{3,4} However, this coor-



dination may destabilize the germole by a ring-opening reaction.5

It was thus of interest to study the reaction of 1phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetramethylgermole with $Co_2(CO)_8$, which is well-known to be a hydrosilylation and hydrogermylation catalyst precursor.⁶ Interestingly, the reaction of 1methyl-2,5-diphenylsilole with $Co_2(CO)_8$ has been reported, giving a bimetallic complex, in which the tetraphenylsilole is η^1 -bonded to Co(CO)₄ and η^4 -bonded to Co₂(CO)₆.⁷

[†]Laboratoire de Chimie Inorganique.

[‡]Laboratoire des Organométalliques.

¹Laboratoire des Organométalliques. (1) (a) Jetz, W.; Graham, W. A. G. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 4. (b) Jutzi, P.; Karl, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 128, 57. (c) Jutzi, P.; Karl, A.; Burschka, C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 215, 27. (d) Guimon, C.; Pfister Guillouzo, G.; Dubac, J.; Laporterie, A.; Manuel, G.; Iloughmane, H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 636. (e) Ananias de Carvalho, L. C.; Dartigue-nave, M.; Dahan, F.; Dartiguenave, Y.; Dubac, J.; Laporterie, A.; Manuel, G.; Iloughmane, H. Organometallics 1986, 5, 2205. (f) Burns, G. T.; Colomer, E.; Corriu, R. J. P.; Lheureux, M.; Dubac, J.; Laporterie, A.; Iloughmane, H. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1398. (2) Benkeser, R. A.; Burrous, M. L.; Nelson, L. E.; Swisber, J. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4385. (3) (a) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S. In Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1980; p 384. (b) Ibid., p 68.

⁽⁴⁾ Abel, E. W.; Blackmore, T.; Whitley, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 2484. Green, M.; Howard, J. A. N.; Proud, J.; Spencer, J. L.; Stone, F. G. A.; Tsipsi, C. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 671. Bradley, G. F.; Stobart, S. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 923. Malish, W.; Wekel, H. U.; Grob, I.; Köhler, F. H. Z. Z. Naturforsch., B 1982, 378, 601. Haszeldine, R. N.; Mather, A. P.; Parish, R. V. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 923. Sisak, A.; Ungvary, F.; Marko, L. Or-ganometallics 1986, 5, 1019. Kovacs, I.; Sisak, A.; Ungvary, F.; Marko, L. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1873.
(5) Curtis, M. D.; Butler, W. M.; Scibelli, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 192, 209.

^{192, 209.}

 ⁽⁶⁾ Chalk, A. J.; Harrod, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1133.
 Colomer, E.; Corriu, R. J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 133, 159.
 Chalk, A. J.; Harrod, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 1640.
 Patmore, D. J.; Graham, W. A. G. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 8, 981.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of 1. The main distances (Å) are as follows: Ge-Co1 = 2.467 (1), Ge-C14 = 1.971 (5), Ge-C8 = 1.948(5), Ge-C20 = 1.958 (5), C14-C16 = 1.520 (7), C16-C18 = 1.414(8), C18-C20 = 1.419 (7), C14-H14 = 1.12 (5), Co1-C1 = 1.785(6), Co1-C2 = 1.789 (6), Co1-C3 = 1.806 (5), Co1-C4 = 1.783 (6), Co2-C16 = 2.140(5), Co2-C18 = 2.010(5), Co2-C20 = 2.120(5),Co2-C5 = 1.806 (6), Co2-C6 = 1.802 (6), Co2-C7 = 1.777 (7). Angles (deg) are as follows: around Ge, Co1-Ge-C8 = 109.7 (1), Co1-Ge-C14 = 111.1 (1), Co1-Ge-C20 = 111.2 (1), C8-Ge-C14= 117.6 (2), C20-Ge-C14 = 91.1 (2), C20-Ge-C8 = 115.1 (2); in the ring, Ge–C14–C16 = 101.7 (3), Ge–C14–C15 = 118.6 (4), C14–C16–C18 = 116.9 (4), C16–C18–C20 = 113.9 (4), C18–C20–Ge = 106.8 (4), Ge–C14–H14 = 101 (3); around Co1, Ge–Co1–C3 = 175.5 (2), C1–Co1–C2 = 123.3 (3), C1–Co1–C4 = 117.6 (3), C2– Co1-C4 = 114.2 (3), Ge-Co1-C1 = 79.3 (2), Ge-Co1-C2 = 83.5(2), Ge-Co1-C4 = 85.5 (2); around Co2, C6-Co2-C5 = 103.3 (3), C6-Co2-C7 = 97.6 (3), C5-Co2-C7 = 99.1 (2).

We describe here the reaction that gives rise to the synthesis of the first germacyclopentenyl complex 1, in which the germole acts as a (3 + 1)-electron donor toward two cobalt carbonyl fragments (reaction 1).



A solution of 1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetramethylgermole (0.350 g, 1.28 mmol) in pentane was treated with $Co_2(CO)_8$ (0.220 g, 0.643 mmol) and stirred at 35 °C for 48 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo. Cooling overnight at -20 °C gave rise to 1, as black-brown, air-stable crystals (yield 65%), which were fully characterized by IR and NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis,⁸ and X-ray diffraction measurement.9





The molecular geometry is illustrated in Figure 1. It consists of a germacyclopentenyl ring bridging two cobalt carbonyl fragments, Co(CO)₄, in an η^1 fashion via germa-nium (Co1–Ge = 2.467 (1) Å) and Co(CO)₃ in an η^3 mode through the carbons C16, C18, and C20 of the allylic portion of the cycle. The variations in the Co2-allyl distances are as usually observed in cobalt η^3 -allyl complexes, i.e. M-C(terminal) (2.120 (5), 2.140 (5) Å) > M-C(central)(2.010 (5) Å).¹⁰ The η^3 -envlic C–C bond lengths at 1.414 (8) Å are normal. The germanium is tetrahedral, as indicated by the angles around Ge (average 109.3°). Nevertheless, the Ge-C distances (1.958 and 1.971 Å) are slightly longer than those observed in η^4 metal coordinated germole. The ring is hinged at the terminal allylic carbons into two planes, with the interplanar angle being $28 (2)^{\circ}$. The methyl carbon C15, which lies toward the metal atom Co2, has probably been forced into this position by the steric requirement of the Co(CO)₄ fragment. Consequently, the proton H14 is located at 1.12 (5) Å from C14 toward Co1, as expected.

The geometry around Co1 is trigonal bipyramidal, with GeR₃, a σ -donor ligand, in an axial position as expected.¹¹ The Co1–C distances are not significantly different and are as usual, as are the angles around Co1. The environment of Co2 is best described as a distorted-square-pyramidal geometry, with the three Co2-C distances not significantly different and similar to that observed in $(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Co(CO)_3$. The allylic fragment occupies two basal coordination sites, in a staggered orientation with respect to the C5C6C7 face, which is the most stable conformation for $(\eta^3$ -allyl)ML₃ systems.¹²

A probable mechanism for the reaction is as shown in Scheme I.

The first step parallels the usual hydrosilylation reaction: thermally induced cleavage of Co₂(CO)₈ and hydrogen transfer from 1-phenyl-2,3,4,5-tetramethylgermole to give the mononuclear $HCo(CO)_4$ and the η^1 Ge–Co complexes. The absence of the characteristic absorption bands of $HCo(CO)_4$ in the IR spectrum of the reaction mixture¹³

⁽⁷⁾ Wong Chi Man, W. W. C. Thèse, Université des Sciences et

⁽⁷⁾ Wong Chi Man, W. W. C. Thèse, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Montpellier, France, 1987. (8) Characterization of GeCO₂O₇C₂₁H₁₈: ¹H NMR (200 MHz; CD₂Cl₂) δ 1.53 (C14-Me, d, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.1 Hz), 1.81, 2.03, 2.19 (C16,C18,C20-Me, s), 1.99 (C14-H, q, ${}^{3}J$ = 7.1 Hz), 7.36, 7.54 (H-Ph, 2 m); 13 C NMR (50.32 MHz, C₈D₈) δ 15.5, 16.1, 20.3, 22.5 (C14,C16,C18,C20-CH₃), 44 (C14), 96, 79 (C16,C18,C20), 135.4, 129.1, 128.7, 125 (CPh); IR (pentane) ν (CO) = 2081 (8), 2049 (s), 2021 (s), 1990 (s) cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for GeCO₂O₇C₂₁H₁₈: C, 44.03; H, 3.17. Found: C, 43.97; H, 3.12. (9) Crystal data at 20 °C for GeCO₂O₇C₂₁H₁₈, grown from pentane at -20 °C: a = 8.733 (2) Å, b = 14.697 (2) Å, c = 18.561 (3) Å, β = 101.48 (2)°, V = 2335 (1) Å³, Z = 4, d_{cale} = 1.219 g cm⁻³, space group P₂₁/c, Mo K α radiation, μ = 26.20 cm⁻¹. Of the 6218 unique data, 3743 with I > 3σ (I) were used in refinement. Anisotropic thermal parameters were used

 $^{3\}sigma(I)$ were used in refinement. Anisotropic thermal parameters were used for all non-hydrogen atoms. Refinement converged at R = 0.0360 and $R_{\rm w} = 0.0419.$

⁽¹⁰⁾ Porri, L.; Vitulli, G.; Zocchi, M.; Allegra, G. J. Chem. Soc. D 1969, (10) Forri, L.; Vitum, G.; Zocchi, M.; Alegra, G. J. Chem. Soc. D 1999,
 276. Seip, R. Acta Chem. Scand. 1972, 26, 1966. Potenza, J.; Johnson,
 R.; Mastropaolo, D.; Efraty, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 64, C13. Cann,
 K.; Riley, P. E.; Davis, R. E.; Pettit, R. Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 1421.
 Chiang, T.; Kerber, R. C.; Kimbal, S. D.; Lauher, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 1979,
 18, 1687. Thompson, M. R.; Day, V. W.; Tauy, K. D.; Muetterties, E. L. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 1237 and references therein.

⁽¹¹⁾ Rossi, A. R.; Hoffmann, R. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 365.

⁽¹²⁾ Harlow, R. L.; McKinney, R. J.; Ittel, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7496

⁽¹³⁾ Edgell, W. F.; Wilson, W. E.; Summitt, R.; Nieuwpoort, W. C.
(13) Edgell, W. F.; Wilson, W. E.; Summitt, R.; Nieuwpoort, W. C.
U.S. At. En. Comm., TID 15242, 1961. Kovacks, I.; Sisak, A.; Ungvary,
F.; Marko, L. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1873. HCo(CO)4: IR (heptane)
2116 (w), 2052 (s), 2029 (vs) cm⁻¹. IR of the solution: 2081 (sh), 2049 (sh.) s), 2021 (sh), 1991 (br, s), 1954 (w), 1895 (w) cm⁻¹ (corresponds to the IR of 1).

could indicate that this strong electrophile^{3b} may be immediately trapped by the germole 2, with loss of CO, to give 1, i.e. the germacyclopentenyl moiety η^3 -coordinated to $Co(CO)_3$. Precedents of these reactions have been reported in the action of dienes with metal hydrido complexes.14

(14) Schunn, R. A. In Transition Metal Hydrides, Muetterties, E. L., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1971; p 216. Tolman, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6777, 6785.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Université P. Sabatier and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (LCC) for the support of this work through ATUPS PCA and Internal ATP grants.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of crystal data and data collection and refinement details, fractional atomic coordinates, bond distances and angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters (7 pages); a table of observed and calculated structure factors (18 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Synthesis and Solid-State Structure of $(Me_3Si)_3CGeCH(SiMe_3)_2$, a Monomeric Dialkylgermylene

P. Jutzi,* A. Becker, H. G. Stammler, and B. Neumann

Fakultät für Chemie der Universität Bielefeld. D-4800 Bielefeld, Germany Received January 25, 1991

Summary: The reaction of Me₅C₅GeCH(SiMe₃)₂ with LiC-(SiMe₃)₃ affords (Me₃Si)₃CGeCH(SiMe₃)₂ (2), the first unsymmetrically substituted dialkylgermylene, which is stable at ambient temperature. In the solid state, the germylene 2 is monomeric, whereas Lappert's germylene [(Me₃Si)₂CH]₂Ge (1) shows a dimeric structure with a Ge-Ge double bond. Steric effects of the additional trimethylsilvl group in 2 are responsible for these drastic changes in the structure. The germylene $Me_3SiCH_2GeCH(SiMe_3)_2$ (3) decomposes at about -20 °C.

Germvlenes-the germanium analogues of carbeneshave been extensively studied during the last 15 years.¹ Most of these compounds are unstable under normal conditions and thus have been used as reactive intermediates.² A noticeable stabilization is possible by using π -ligands³ or bulky ligands such as $-NR_2$, -OR, or -SR;⁴ compounds of this type are stable at ambient temperature, and some of them are monomeric in the solid state and in the gas phase. In the class of dialkylgermylenes, the stable compound $[(Me_3Si)_2CH]_2Ge$ (1) was described in 1976 by Lappert and co-workers.⁵ This species has interesting structural features: It is a monomer in solution and in the gas phase⁶ and a dimer in the solid state. The

 Jutzi, P. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 26, 217.
 (4) (a) Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (4) (a) Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.
 1974, 895. (b) Fjeldberg, T.; Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Smith, S. J.; Thorne, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 939. (c) Hitchcock, P. B.; Lappert, M. F.; Samways, B. J.; Weinberg, E. L.; J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1492. (d) Meller, A.; Gräbe, C. P. Chem. Ber. 1985, 1492. 118, 2020.

(5) (a) Goldberg, D. E.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F.; Thomas, K. M.
J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 261. (b) Davidson, P. J.; Harris,
D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1976, 2268.
(6) (a) Cowley, A. H.; Hull, S. W.; Nunn, C. M.; Power, J. M. J. Chem.

Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 753. (b) Lappert, M. F.; Power, P. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 51. (c) Fjeldberg, T.; Haaland, A.; Schilling, B. E. R.; Volden, H. V.; Lappert, M. F.; Thorne, A. J. J. Or-ganomet. Chem. 1985, 280, C43. (d) Fjeldberg, T.; Haaland, A.; Schilling, B. E. R.; Lappert, M. F.; Thorne, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1986, 1551 1551.



Figure 1. X-ray structure of (Me₃Si)₂CHGeC(SiMe₃)₃ (2). Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ge(1)-C(1) = 2.012(6), Ge(1)-C(2) = 2.067 (4), Si(1)-C(1) = 1.883 (4), Si(2)-C(1) = 1.887 (5), Si(2)-C(6) = 1.857 (9), Si(3)-C(2) = 1.928 (6), Si(4)-C(2)= 1.871(4), Si(5)–C(2) = 1.881(6); C(1)–Ge(1)–C(2) = 111.3(2), Ge(1)-C(1)-Si(1) = 115.2 (3), Ge(1)-C(1)-Si(2) = 103.3 (2), Ge-(1)-C(2)-Si(3) = 95.2 (2), Ge(1)-C(2)-Si(4) = 109.0 (3), Ge(1)-Si(4) = 109.0 (3), C(2)-Si(5) = 117.4 (3).



Figure 2. Unit cell diagram of (Me₃Si)₂CHGeC(SiMe₃)₃ (2).

dimerization is caused by a Ge-Ge interaction that has been interpreted as a new type of double bond.⁷ Up to

^{(1) (}a) Petz, W. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 1019. (b) Lappert, M. F.; Rowe, R. S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1990, 100, 267. (c) Barrau, J.; Escudié, J.; Satgé, J. Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 283.

^{(2) (}a) Satgé, J. Pure Appl. Chem. 1984, 56, 137. (b) Neumann, W. P. Chem. Rev., in press. (c) Neumann, W. P. Nachr. Chem., Tech. Lab. 1982, 30, 190.